Functional Programming Languages Programming Languages CS442

David Toman

School of Computer Science University of Waterloo

Core of a Functional Language

- Types: bool, int, ⊤list
- Syntax and Typing Rules:

⇒ what happened to loops? left for recursive abstractions

Reductions

- Booleans (values {true, false}):
 - if true then u else v fi $\rightarrow u$ if false then u else v fi $\rightarrow v$
- Integers (values {0, 1, 2, ...}):

$$m+m \rightarrow p$$
 where p is the sum of values m and n $m=m \rightarrow {\bf true}$

$$m = n \rightarrow false$$

Lists (what are "values" here???)

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{hd}(\text{cons } E_1 \ E_2) \to E_1 & \quad \text{null}(\text{nil}) \to \text{true} \\ \text{tl}(\text{cons } E_1 \ E_2) \to E_2 & \quad \text{null}(\text{cons } E_1 \ E_2) \to \text{false} \end{array}$$

$$value(\tau list) = \{nil\} \cup \{cons E_1 E_2 \mid E_1 \in value(\tau), E_2 \in value(\tau list)\}$$

Abstraction and Qualification

Syntax:

$$\frac{\pi \vdash E : \tau}{\pi \vdash \text{val } I = E : \{I : \tau\}} \qquad \frac{\pi \vdash E_1 : \pi_1 \qquad E_2 : \pi_2}{\pi \vdash E_1, E_2 : \pi_1 \cup \pi_2}$$

$$\frac{\pi \vdash E_1 : \pi_1 \qquad \pi \cup \pi_1 \vdash E_2 : \tau}{\pi \vdash \text{let } E_1 \text{ in } E_2 : \tau} \qquad \frac{(I : \tau) \in \pi}{\pi \vdash I : \tau} \qquad \frac{\pi \cup \{I : \tau\} \vdash E : \tau}{\pi \vdash \text{rec } I . E : \tau}$$

Reductions:

let val
$$I_1 = E_1, \dots, \text{val } I_k = E_k \text{ in } E \to [E_1/I_1, \dots, E_k/I_k]E$$

rec $I.E \to [\text{rec } I.E/I]E$

What are "values" now? i.e., can we "substitute" non-values?

Parametrization

Syntax:

$$\frac{\pi \cup \{x : \tau\} \vdash E : \tau'}{\pi \vdash \lambda x. E : \tau \to \tau'} \qquad \frac{\pi \vdash E_1 : \tau \to \tau' \quad \pi \vdash E_2 : \tau}{\pi \vdash (E_1 E_2) : \tau'}$$

Reductions:

$$(\lambda I:\tau.E)E_2 \rightarrow [E_2/I]E$$

What are "values" here?

$$\textit{value}(\tau \to \tau') = \{\lambda \textit{I} : \tau . \textit{E} \mid \pi \vdash \lambda \textit{I} : \tau . \textit{E} : \tau \to \tau' \text{ for some } \pi\}$$

Denotational Semantics

- most of the language = simply typed λ -calculus w/names
 - \Rightarrow same semantic equations (cf. soundness of β and β -val)
 - ⇒ no "store" to trigger evaluation!
- we need improper fail and ⊥ values
 - ⇒ impacts the eager/lazy issue!
- but what is the meaning of lists?

```
Eager finite lists of \tau values
```

```
Lazy finite-or-infinite lists of \tau values (or "fail", \perp)
```

 \Rightarrow what does [rec X : int list . cons 0 X] mean?

Summary

- Functional languages = everything is an expression
 - ⇒ no store and side-effects caused by assignments
 - ⇒ loops *usually* realized by recursion
 - ⇒ often has *complex data-types* (a.k.a., lists)
- Eager/Lazy issues still around
 - ⇒ determined by designating values for all types
 - ⇒ impacts data (e.g., lists) as well as functions
- Questions:
 - 1 can failure be handled using exceptions?
 - what can we do about those annoying type tags?