Module 1: Functional C

Programming Paradigms

There are several different ways of thinking about computer programming. You will see several during your studies.

- In CS135, you focused on the functional paradigm: our programs consisted of pure functions, that is, functions with no side effects. (We never changed the value of a variable; we instead created a new value.)
- in CS136 we focus on the procedural paradigm: we will write "procedures" that are a collection of instructions, and these instructions will often have side effects, such as changing what is in memory.

There are several others. Different languages are designed to work best in one or more paradigms, but will generally allow work in other paradigms as well.

(For example, we can change the value of a variable in Racket, using the set! command.)

Running C in edX; introducing printf

Later in CS136L you will see other ways to run C code. For now we have a simple "sandbox" in edX.

In edX, write a simple C program that contains a function called main that prints a message using the function printf.

The first argument to printf must be a string, consisting of characters inside quotation marks. The characters \n are a special symbol called "newline".

Modify your program so when you run it, it displays this lovely long-stemmed rose:

Exercise

The characters %d are a "placeholder"; each will be replaced by an int value provided as an extra argument to printf:

```
printf("The answer is d^n, 2 * 3 * (3 + 4));
```

In C we write arithmetic the "normal" way; use brackets () as needed to specify order.

Exercise

Use printf along with mathematical operators like +, -, *, and / to write a program to calculate and display the value of $\frac{4(7+3)}{1+1}$.

Big picture: sequential programs

Experienced programmers are so used to this that it might feel like it goes without saying: in most languages programs are executed *sequentially*, top to bottom, left to right, one expression at at time.

In Racket: evaluate the expressions, one at a time, starting at the top of the file.

and also in C: execute the code in the function, one statement at a time. Start at the top of the function called main.

Every C program has exactly one function called main.

The main function always returns an int.

In this course, it will always return 0.

Doing otherwise will cause problems with the testing environment.

Creating new functions in C

The syntax for defining a function in C:

```
int add_3 ( int a , int b , int c ) {
   return a + b + c;
}
```

Now in our main function we can use this function: printf("add_3 returns %d\n", add3(2,3,4));

In C, the "contract" is part of the language syntax.

- int indicates the type of the value that the function will return;
- add_3 is the name of the new function;
- Inside round brackets (,):
 int a , int b , int c , specify the names and types of the parameters;
- Curly brackets { } form a block of code containing:
 return a + b + c; , the body of the

return a + b + c; , the body of the function.

Dynamic vs Static Typing

In Racket, we *could* write code that does not follow the contract.

```
:: aux: Int Int -> Int
(define (qux a b)
  (cond [(even? a)
          (+ a b)1
         [else
          (* a 2)]))
(qux 6 3)
(aux 6 3) \Rightarrow 9
(aux 5 3)
(aux 5 3) \Rightarrow 10
(qux 5 "trois")
(aux 5 "trois") \Rightarrow 10
```

But we might get an error when we run the code (a "runtime error"):

Dynamic vs Static Typing

Doing the equivalent in C is impossible; The code will not even compile.

```
int gux(int a. int b) {
 if (0 == a % 2) {
   return a + b;
 } else {
   return a * 2;
int main(void) {
  printf("%d\n", qux(6,3)); // OK
  printf("%d\n", qux(5,3)); // OK
  printf("%d\n", qux(5,"trois")); // compile-time error
  printf("%d\n", aux("cina", 3)); // compile-time error
```

(Technically, it is only a warning, and it will "guess" that we mean something else, but its guess makes no sense.)

Returning a value

When we wrote a function in Racket, it was always just an expression. Calling the function means evaluating the expression; the function returns the value of the expression.

In C, a function may contain more than one statement. We use the keyword return to indicate the value that the function returns. When we call a function, the function is executed sequentially, until it reaches a return statement.

```
#include <stdio.h>
int chatty_add(int a, int b) {
  printf("I got %d and %d\n", a, b);
  printf("So I'm going to add them.\n");
  printf("I should get %d\n", a + b);
  return a + b:
int main(void) {
  printf("%d\n", chattv_add(3, 4));
```

Numeric types

In Racket, you had two numeric types:

- Int for integers of any size
- Num for numbers including integers and certain non-integer real numbers:
 - rational numbers like (/ 7 2) ⇒ 3.5
 - inexact numbers like (sqrt 2) ⇒ #i1.4142135623730951

It's impossible to represent real numbers "properly". See Cantor, 1891.

In this course, we are not going to use any non-integer numbers. We will use only:

- int for integers between -2^{31} and $2^{31} 1$ (i.e. -2147483648 to 2147483647)
- char for integers -2^7 and $2^7 1$ (i.e. -128 to 127)
- bool for integers 0 and 1, representing false and true

Integer operators

Several operators work exactly as the corresponding Racket function:

$$6 * 7 \Rightarrow 42$$
 $6 + 7 \Rightarrow 13$ $6 - 7 \Rightarrow -1$

But division is different. $(/72) \Rightarrow 3.5$; this is not an integer, so we cannot store it.

On integers, the / operator works like the Racket function quotient:

And the % operator works like the Racket function remainder:

The behaviour with negatives may be surprising. (It's not quite what Python does!) We will mostly be using non-negative integers.

```
printf("should be 5: %d\n", last_digit(245));
```

Write a function rest_digits that takes a int, and returns a int that is all the digits except the last of the number.

```
printf("should be 24: %d\n", rest_digits(245));
```

Boolean values

In Racket, we had predicate functions like <. >, and = that return a Bool value:

$$(< 3 5) \Rightarrow \text{#true}$$
 $(> 3 5) \Rightarrow \text{#false}$ $(= 3 4) \Rightarrow \text{#false}$

$$(> 3 5) \Rightarrow \#false$$

$$= 3 4) \Rightarrow \#false$$

Similarly in C. except these are operators instead of functions. The number 0 is used for "false", and the number 1 is used for "true".

$$3 < 5 \Rightarrow 1$$

$$3 > 5 \Rightarrow 0$$

Also, a single equal sign = is used to change the value of a variable. We cannot use = to check if values are equal! Instead we must use ==.

$$3 == 5 \Rightarrow 0$$

$$3 == 3 \Rightarrow 1$$

$$3 = 5 // error$$

We cannot use = to check if values are equal! Instead we must use ==.

if statements

We can now write code that **branches**, that is, does different things in different situations.

A if/else statement checks the "question" inside the brackets, then executes either the first or second block of code.

```
int qux(int a, int b) {
  if (0 == a % 2) {
    return a + b;
  } else {
    return a * 2;
  }
}
```

```
Translate the following function into C, and run it using
printf from your main function to check it works properly.
;; (sum-to n) Calculate 1+2+3+...+n.
:: sum-to: Nat -> Nat
(define (sum-to n)
  (cond [(zero? n)
        [else
         (+ n (sum-to (- n 1)))]))
(check-expect (sum-to 5) 15)
```

Chained Conditionals

In Racket, a cond statement could have any number of clauses:

```
(define (aeso n)
 (cond [(zero? n) 0]
        [(and (< n 10) (even? n))]
         (+ (aeso (sub1 n)) n)]
        [(and (< n 10) (odd? n))]
         (- (aeso (sub1 n)) n)]
        [(and (>= n 10) (even? n))]
         (- (aeso (sub1 n)) n)]
        [else
         (+ (aeso (sub1 n)) n)]))
```

Equivalently, in C, use a sequence of if/else statements:

```
int aeso(int n) {
 if (n == 0) {
   return 0:
 } else if (n < 10 \&\& 0 == n % 2) {
    return aeso(n-1) + n;
 } else if (n < 10 \&\& 1 == n % 2) {
    return aeso(n-1) - n;
 } else if (n >= 10 \&\& 0 == n % 2) {
    return aeso(n-1) - n;
 } else {
    return aeso(n-1) + n;
```

If we omit the curly brackets are each "else", the statements line up neatly like this, and it acts a fair bit like a cond.

The design recipe in C

In Racket, the design recipe helped us understand the problem, design our programs, and communicate with other programmers.

We're going to do something similar in C.

- Like in Racket, start with a **purpose statement** as a comment, showing how it would be called and a description of what it does.
- The **contract** is already part of the code, so we don't write a comment for it.
- Any requirements we write a comment for...and also use assert.

The design in C: assert your requirements

```
// my_div(x, y) Returns the fraction of x/y
// Requires: y is not 0
int my_div(int x, int y) {
  assert(y != 0);
  return x / y;
}
```

When we call assert(expr)

- if the value expr is 0, meaning false, this indicates an error.
 The program will display a helpful message then halt immediately.
- if the value of expr is non-zero, meaning true, this indicates no error. Nothing happens.

This helps us identify where we went wrong. As much as possible, we will always use assert to verify that all function requirements are met.

In Racket we used the special form <code>check-expect</code> to test our functions:

In C, we can write assert statements, in the main function, to test our other functions:

```
// Do some tests using assert.
int main(void) {
  assert(my_div(10, 2) == 5);
  assert(my_div(22, 7) == 3);
  printf("All tests passed!\n");
}
```

Write a function int sum_divisible(int n, int d0, int d1) that returns the sum of all the numbers from 0 to n that are divisible by one or more of d0, d1.

For example, $sum_divisible(10, 3, 5) \Rightarrow 33$

Write a function bool has_divisor_below(int n, int d) that determines if any natural number between 2 and d divides n.

Then write a non-recursive function bool is_prime(int n) to determine if a natural number is prime.

Test carefully.

Operator precedence

We know the ordinary order of operations: BEDMAS, or possibly PEMDAS. So our usual operators are evaluated in order:

- Brackets: ()
- Exponents there is no such operator in C.
- Oivision and Multiplication: * / also %. These are evaluated left to right. So 100 / 4 / 2 means (100 / 4) / 2, not 100 / (4 / 2).
- 4 Addition and Subtraction: + and -. These are also evaluated *left to right*. So 100 4 2 means (100 4) 2, not 100 (4 2).

Where do we put & and ||? If in doubt, use brackets.

- Logical and: &&
- Logical or: ||

This slide is very incomplete; see a C operator precedence chart for details.

tracing tools

To help debug our code, we can use printf. But this is "output". These debugging messages can mess up what we want to print.

For this course, we have created some macros to display values as "errors", separate from the output: trace_int, trace_bool, trace_char, trace_ptr, and more.

```
When we run this:
                                          We see this:
int sum_to(int n) {
                                          >>> [sum_to.c|sum_to|4] >> n => 5
  trace_int(n):
                                          >>> [sum_to.c|sum_to|4] >> n => 4
  if (0 == n) {
                                          >>> [sum_to.c|sum_to|4] >> n => 3
                                          >>> [sum_to.c|sum_to|4] >> n => 2
    return 0;
 } else {
                                          >>> [sum_to.c|sum_to|4] >> n => 1
    return n + sum_to(n - 1);
                                          >>> [sum_to.c|sum_to|4] >> n => 0
                                          All tests passed!
                                          The "All tests passed!" is secretly in one stream,
                                          and the trace_int messages are in another.
int main(void) {
                                          So our "output" is not affected by the trace
  assert(sum_to(5) == 15);
  printf("All tests passed!\n"):
                                          messages.
```

Using trace_int



Write a simple-recursive int fib(int n) to calculate the nth Fibonacci number.

Then add a call to $trace_{int(n)}$. See how many calls there are when you call fib(15).

Accumulative Recursion

Consider a simple Racket function:

If we do a trace, formally:

```
(sum-to 5)

⇒ (+ 5 (sum-to 4))

⇒ (+ 5 (+ 4 (sum-to 3)))

⇒ (+ 5 (+ 4 (+ 3 (sum-to 2))))

⇒ (+ 5 (+ 4 (+ 3 (+ 2 (sum-to 1)))))

⇒ (+ 5 (+ 4 (+ 3 (+ 2 (+ 1 (sum-to 0))))))

⇒ (+ 5 (+ 4 (+ 3 (+ 2 (+ 1 0)))))

...etc...

⇒ 15
```

→ 」

At every step, the computer has to keep track of:

"remember to add this to the result, later, when the recursion is done".

It takes more and more memory as we recurse. (sum-to 10000000) needs "too much".

Instead, we will use an extra parameter, an accumulator, to do the calculation as we go.

Accumulative Recursion

Rewritten using an accumulator:

```
:: (sum-to-acc n acc)
   Calculate acc+1+2+3+...+n.
;; sum-to-acc: Nat Nat -> Nat
(define (sum-to-acc n acc)
 (cond [(zero? n)
        accl
        ſelse
         (sum-to-acc (sub1 n) (+ n acc))]))
;; (sum-to n) Calculate 1+2+3+...+n.
:: sum-to: Nat -> Nat
(define (sum-to n)
 (sum-to-acc n 0))
```

Now trace:

```
(sum-to 5)
(sum-to-acc 5 0)
(sum-to-acc 4 5)
(sum-to-acc 3 9)
(sum-to-acc 2 12)
(sum-to-acc 1 14)
(sum-to-acc 0 15)
```

It does not need to keep track of a bunch of additions to do "later".

Accumulative Recursion

To write a function using accumulative recursion, make your function be a wrapper. Then make a "helper" function that has one or more extra parameters to store the accumulation.

```
:: (sum-to-acc n acc)
      Calculate acc+1+2+3+...+n.
  sum-to-acc: Nat Nat -> Nat
(define (sum-to-acc n acc)
  (cond [(zero? n)
         acc1
        [else
         (sum-to-acc (sub1 n) (+ n acc))
    ]))
   (sum-to n) Calculate 1+2+3+...+n.
  sum-to: Nat -> Nat
(define (sum-to n)
  (sum-to-acc n 0))
```

```
Translate sum-to into C, also creating a helper function int sum_to_acc(int n, int acc).
```

Use accumulative recursion to write a function int fact(int n) that calculates n!.

Use accumulative recursion to write a function int fib(int n) that calculates the nth Fibonacci number.

There is a close connection between *accumulative* recursion and *tail* recursion.

Comments

We have seen // comments already in the design recipe.

In Racket, anything after a; until the end of a line is a comment. In C, anything after // until the end of a line is a comment.

We can also have multi-line comments, starting with /* and ending with */.

This is especially useful if you want to comment out a block of code temporarily:

```
int foo(int x, int y) {
   thing1();
   thing2();
   /*
   experimental_code1(); // try something...
   experimental_code2(); // try something else...
   */
   experimental_code3(); // yet another thing...
   return 0;
}
```

You cannot have nested comments using /* this syntax */.

/* foo /* bar */ baz */
will be parsed as:

a comment "/* foo /* bar */",
then nonsense code "baz */".

Module summary

- Informally walk through code C, step by step starting in the main function or elsewhere, to work out what a piece of code does.
- Write functions in C using the design recipe, to make your code easier to create and understand.
- Work with bool values, and use if/else statements.
- Use printf, with a format string, using %d to display integers, and \n to end each line.
- Write functions in C using simple recursion or accumulative recursion.

In class we work with the key ideas of the module. We sometimes skip a few details. Review the official CS136 slides to ensure you see all the material.