Carmen's Core Concepts (Math 135)

Carmen Bruni

University of Waterloo

Week 6

- 1 Extended Euclidean Algorithm
- 2 Extended Euclidean Algorithm Example
- 3 Notes on EEA
- 4 Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic (UFT)
- 5 Divisors From Prime Factorization (DFPF)
- 6 GCD From Prime Factors (GCDPF)
- Tips for GCD Problems
- 8 Linear Diophantine Equation (LDE)
- 9 LDET1
- 10 LDET2
- 11 LDE Example
- 12 The most important definition in this course

• Gives a fast way to compute gcd(*a*, *b*) and integers *x* and *y* such that

$$gcd(a, b) = ax + by$$

Extended Euclidean Algorithm Example

Find $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that 506x + 391y = gcd(506, 391).

Find $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that 506x + 391y = gcd(506, 391).

x	y	r	q
1	0	506	0
0	1	391	0
1	-1	115	$\left\lfloor \frac{506}{391} \right\rfloor = 1$
-3	4	46	$\left\lfloor \frac{391}{115} \right\rfloor = 3$
7	-9	23	$\left\lfloor \frac{115}{46} \right\rfloor = 2$
-17	22	0	$\left\lfloor \frac{46}{23} \right\rfloor = 2$

Therefore, 506(7) + 391(-9) = 23 = gcd(506, 391).

- Bézout's Lemma is the Extended Euclidean Algorithm in the textbook.
- 2 With gcd(a, b), what if
 - b > a? Then swap a and b. This works since gcd(a, b) = gcd(b, a).
 - a < 0 or b < 0? Solution is to make all the terms positive. This works since

$$gcd(a, b) = gcd(|a|, |b|).$$

 In practice, one can accomplish these goals by changing the headings then accounting for this in the final steps. (Examples can be found on the lecture notes on EEA) Suppose that n > 1 is an integer. Then *n* can be factored uniquely as a product of prime numbers up to reordering of prime numbers.

Divisors From Prime Factorization (DFPF)

Theorem: Divisors From Prime Factorization (DFPF). Let $n = \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i^{\alpha_i}$ where each $\alpha_i \ge 1$ is an integer. Then *d* is a positive divisor of *n* if and only if a prime factorization of *d* can be given by

$$d = \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i^{\delta_i}$$
 where $\delta_i \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \le \delta_i \le \alpha_i$ for $1 \le i \le k$

Example: Positive divisors of $63 = 3^2 \cdot 7$ are given by

$$3^0 \cdot 7^0, 3^0 \cdot 7^1, 3^1 \cdot 7^0, 3^1 \cdot 7^1, 3^2 \cdot 7^0, 3^2 \cdot 7^1$$

or

GCD From Prime Factors (GCDPF)

Theorem: GCD From Prime Factors (GCDPF). If

$$a = \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i^{\alpha_i} \qquad b = \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i^{\beta_i}.$$

where $0 \le \alpha_i$ and $0 \le \beta_i$ are integers and the p_i are distinct primes, then

$$gcd(a,b) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i^{m_i}$$

where $m_i = \min\{\alpha_i, \beta_i\}$ for $1 \le i \le k$.

Example:

$$gcd(20000, 30000) = gcd(2^{5} \cdot 3^{0} \cdot 5^{4}, 2^{4} \cdot 3^{1} \cdot 5^{4})$$
$$= 2^{min\{4,5\}} \cdot 3^{min\{0,1\}} \cdot 5^{min\{4,4\}}$$
$$= 2^{4} \cdot 5^{4}$$
$$= 10000$$

When tackling a GCD type problem, try the following tips in order

- (HWY 401) Use key theorems especially the following:
 - Bézout's Theorem (EEA) [Good when gcd is in hypothesis].
 - GCDWR [Good when terms in gcd depend on each other; good for computations].
 - GCDCT [Good when gcd is in conclusion].
- (HWY 7) Use the definition of gcd.
- (Flying) Use prime factorizations.

We want to solve ax + by = c where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ under the condition that $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$

We want to solve ax + by = c where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ under the condition that $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$

Relate to the equation of a line

$$y = \frac{-ax}{b} + \frac{c}{b}$$

LDET1

Theorem: (LDET1) Let d = gcd(a, b). The LDE

$$ax + by = c$$

has a solution if and only if $d \mid c$.

LDET1

Theorem: (LDET1) Let d = gcd(a, b). The LDE

$$ax + by = c$$

has a solution if and only if $d \mid c$.

Proof: (\Rightarrow) Assume that ax + by = c has an integer solution, say $x_0, y_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $d \mid a$ and $d \mid b$, by Divisibility of Integer Combinations, we have that $d \mid (ax_0 + by_0) = c$.

(\Leftarrow) Assume that $d \mid c$. Then, there exists an integer k such that dk = c. By Bézout's Lemma, there exist integers u and v such that $au + bv = \gcd(a, b) = d$. Multiplying by k gives

$$a(uk) + b(vk) = dk = c$$

Therefore, a solution is given by x = uk and y = vk.

LDET2

(LDET2) Let $d = \operatorname{gcd}(a, b)$ where $a \neq 0$ and $b \neq 0$. If $(x, y) = (x_0, y_0)$ is a solution to the LDE ax + by = c then all solutions are given by $\{(x_0 + \frac{b}{d}n, y_0 - \frac{a}{d}n) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ **Proof:** Note that the above are actually solutions to the LDE. It suffices to show that these are all the solutions. Let (x, y) be a different solution to the LDE (other than (x_0, y_0)). Then,

$$ax + by = c$$
 and $ax_0 + by_0 = c$

Subtracting gives

 $a(x - x_0) = -b(y - y_0) \implies \frac{a}{d}(x - x_0) = \frac{-b}{d}(y - y_0)$ Now, since $gcd(\frac{a}{d}, \frac{b}{d}) = 1$ (by DBGCD) and since

$$\frac{b}{d}\mid \frac{-b}{d}(y-y_0)=\frac{a}{d}(x-x_0).$$

By CAD $\frac{b}{d} \mid (x - x_0)$. Thus, $\exists n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $x = x_0 + \frac{b}{d}n$. Hence

$$\frac{a}{d}(x-x_0) = \frac{-b}{d}(y-y_0) \implies \frac{a}{d} \cdot \frac{b}{d}n = \frac{-b}{d}(y-y_0)$$

Hence, $y = y_0 - \frac{a}{d}n$ completing the proof.

LDE Example

Solve the LDE 20x + 35y = 15.

LDE Example

Solve the LDE 20x + 35y = 15. **Solution:** Since gcd(20, 35) = 5 and 5 | 15, we see by LDET1 that we have a solution. Notice here that we can simplify the LDE by dividing by 5 first to give

$$4x + 7y = 3$$

An easy solution is given by x = -1 and y = 1. To find all solutions, we invoke LDET2 to see that all solutions are given by

$$x = -1 + \frac{7}{\gcd(4,7)}n$$
 $y = 1 - \frac{4}{\gcd(4,7)}n$

for all integers n. Note this is equivalent to the solution set

$$x = -1 - \frac{7}{\gcd(4,7)}n$$
 $y = 1 + \frac{4}{\gcd(4,7)}n$

Definition: Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that two integers *a* and *b* are congruent modulo *m* if and only if $m \mid (a - b)$ and we write

$$a \equiv b \pmod{m}$$
.

If $m \nmid (a - b)$, we write $a \not\equiv b \pmod{m}$. Commit the previous definition to memory!!! **Definition:** Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that two integers *a* and *b* are congruent modulo *m* if and only if $m \mid (a - b)$ and we write

$$a \equiv b \pmod{m}$$
.

If $m \nmid (a - b)$, we write $a \not\equiv b \pmod{m}$. Commit the previous definition to memory!!! Examples: $3 \equiv 7 \pmod{4}$, $10 \equiv -8 \pmod{9}$, $4 \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$