

# Carmen's Core Concepts (Math 135)

Carmen Bruni

University of Waterloo

Week 4

- 1 Principle of Mathematical Induction
- 2 Example
- 3 Base Case
- 4 Inductive Hypothesis
- 5 Inductive Step
- 6 When Induction Isn't Enough
- 7 Principle of Strong Induction
- 8 Fibonacci Sequence
- 9 Euclid's Lemma
- 10 Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic
- 11 Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic - Existence Proof
- 12 Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic - Informal Uniqueness Proof

# Principle of Mathematical Induction

## Principle of Mathematical Induction (POMI)

**Axiom:** If sequence of statements  $P(1), P(2), \dots$  satisfy

- 1  $P(1)$  is true
  - 2 For any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , if  $P(k)$  is true then  $P(k + 1)$  is true
- then  $P(n)$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Domino Analogy

# Example

**Example:** Prove that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n i^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the statement that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n i^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}$$

holds. We prove  $P(n)$  is true for all natural numbers  $n$  by the Principle of Mathematical Induction.

# Base Case

Base case: When  $n = 1$ ,  $P(1)$  is the statement that

$$\sum_{i=1}^1 i^2 = \frac{(1)((1) + 1)(2(1) + 1)}{6}.$$

This holds since

$$\frac{(1)((1) + 1)(2(1) + 1)}{6} = \frac{1(2)(3)}{6} = 1 = \sum_{i=1}^1 i^2.$$

# Inductive Hypothesis

Inductive Hypothesis. Assume that  $P(k)$  is true for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .  
This means that

$$\sum_{i=1}^k i^2 = \frac{k(k+1)(2k+1)}{6}.$$

# Inductive Step

Inductive Step. We now need to show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} i^2 = \frac{(k+1)((k+1)+1)(2(k+1)+1)}{6}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} i^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^k i^2 + (k+1)^2 \stackrel{IH}{=} \frac{k(k+1)(2k+1)}{6} + (k+1)^2 \\&= (k+1) \left( \frac{k(2k+1)}{6} + k+1 \right) = (k+1) \left( \frac{2k^2+k}{6} + \frac{6k+6}{6} \right) \\&= (k+1) \left( \frac{2k^2+7k+6}{6} \right) = \frac{(k+1)(k+2)(2k+3)}{6}\end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\sum_{i=1}^n i^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}$  is true for all natural numbers  $n$   
by the Principle of Mathematical Induction.

# When Induction Isn't Enough

Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence defined by  $x_1 = 4$ ,  $x_2 = 68$  and

$$x_m = 2x_{m-1} + 15x_{m-2} \quad \text{for all } m \geq 3$$

Prove that  $x_n = 2(-3)^n + 10 \cdot 5^{n-1}$  for  $n \geq 1$ .

**Solution:** By Induction. **Base Case:** For  $n = 1$ , we have

$$x_1 = 4 = 2(-3)^1 + 10 \cdot 5^0 = 2(-3)^n + 10 \cdot 5^{n-1}.$$

**Inductive Hypothesis:** Assume that

$$x_k = 2(-3)^k + 10 \cdot 5^{k-1}$$

is true for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Inductive Step:** Now, for  $k + 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} x_{k+1} &= 2x_k + 15x_{k-1} && \text{Only true if } k \geq 2!!! \\ &= 2(2(-3)^k + 10 \cdot 5^{k-1}) + 15x_{k-1} \\ &= 4(-3)^k + 20 \cdot 5^{k-1} + 15x_{k-1} \\ &= \dots? \end{aligned}$$



## Principle of Strong Induction (POSI)

**Axiom:** If sequence of statements  $P(1), P(2), \dots$  satisfy

- 1  $P(1) \wedge P(2) \wedge \dots \wedge P(b)$  are true for some  $b \in \mathbb{N}$
- 2  $P(1) \wedge P(2) \wedge \dots \wedge P(k)$  are true implies that  $P(k+1)$  is true for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  ( $k \geq b$ )

then  $P(n)$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

For an example check out the other video.

# Fibonacci Sequence

Define the Fibonacci Sequence  $\{f_n\}$  as follows. Let  $f_1 = 1$  and  $f_2 = 1$  and

$$f_m = f_{m-1} + f_{m-2}$$

for all  $m \geq 3$ . This defines the sequence

$$1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, 89, \dots$$

# Euclid's Lemma

## Theorem 1 (Euclid's Lemma (Primes and Divisibility PAD)).

*Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  and let  $p$  be a prime number. If  $p \mid ab$  then  $p \mid a$  or  $p \mid b$ .*

## Corollary 2 (Generalized Euclid's Lemma).

*Let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and let  $p$  be a prime number. If  $p \mid a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$  then  $p \mid a_i$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .*

# Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic

## Theorem 3 (UFT).

*Every integers  $n > 1$  can be factored uniquely into a product of primes*

Note: By convention, primes are said to be a single element product.

# Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic - Existence Proof

Assume towards a contradiction that not all numbers can be factored into a product of primes. By the Well Ordering Principle, there is a smallest such number say  $n$ . Then, either  $n$  is prime (a contradiction) or  $n$  is composite and we write  $n = ab$  where  $1 < a, b < n$ . By the minimality of  $n$ , both of  $a$  and  $b$  must be able to be factored as a product of primes. This implies that  $n = ab$  can be factored into a product of primes, contradicting the definition of  $n$ . Hence every number can be factored into a product of prime numbers.

# Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic - Informal Uniqueness Proof

Suppose that  $n$  can be factored in two distinct ways. Say  $n = p_1 p_2 \dots p_k = q_1 q_2 \dots q_m$ . Since

$$p_1 \mid p_1 p_2 \dots p_k = q_1 q_2 \dots q_m$$

by the Generalized Euclid's Lemma (Generalized Primes and Divisibility), we see that  $p_1 \mid q_j$  for some  $j$ . By reordering if necessary, we may swap  $q_1$  and  $q_j$  in the order so that  $p_1 \mid q_1$ . Hence, we can divide by  $p_1$  to obtain

$$p_2 \dots p_k = q_2 \dots q_m.$$

Repeating this process shows that all the factors must match.