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# SAT + CAS 

## Search + Math

## MathCheck: The first SAT+CAS system

In 2015, Zulkoski et al. created the first SAT+CAS system MathCheck and applied it to conjectures in graph theory. ${ }^{1}$

In 2016, Bright et al. extended MathCheck and applied it to a conjecture in combinatorics. ${ }^{2}$

MathCheck has since won several awards including a 2020 best paper award in Applicable Algebra in Engineering, Communication and Computing. ${ }^{3}$
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## The $S C^{2}$ project

In November 2015, researchers from both satisfiability checking and symbolic computation (SC ${ }^{2}$ ) came together for the first time in a seminar in Dagstuhl, Germany...


This led to the creation of the SC-square project which now has associates from over 40 universities and 15 companies.

## MODERN CDCL(T) PROGRAMMATIC SAT, CDCL(CAS)



## Application I:

## The Williamson Conjecture

## Hadamard matrices

Hadamard matrices are square matrices with $\pm 1$ entries whose rows are mutually orthogonal.


| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 |
| -1 | 1 | 1 | -1 |
| -1 | -1 | 1 | 1 |

In 1893, Jacques Hadamard studied these matrices. They have applications in error-correcting codes and many other areas.

## Williamson's construction

In 1944, John Williamson discovered a method of constructing Hadamard matrices in many orders like this order 8 example:


| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 |
| -1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | -1 |
| -1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 1 |
| -1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 |
| -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

## Order 23 example

In 1961, scientists from NASA searched for Williamson matrices while developing codes for communicating with spacecraft and they found the first Williamson matrices of order $23 .{ }^{4}$

${ }^{4}$ L. Baumert, S. Golomb, M. Hall. Discovery of an Hadamard matrix of order 92. Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, 1962.

## Williamson matrices

Williamson's construction relies on finding $\{ \pm 1\}$-matrices for which $A^{2}+B^{2}+C^{2}+D^{2}$ is a scalar matrix (all off-diagonal entries are zero).

If $\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{B}, \boldsymbol{C}, \boldsymbol{D}$ are symmetric and each row is a cyclic shift of the previous row then the matrices are said to be Williamson matrices.


Williamson matrices of order 5.

## The Williamson conjecture

Many researchers expected Williamson matrices to exist in all orders and this became known as the Williamson conjecture.

Williamson himself found examples in orders $n=2^{k}$ for $k \leq 5$ and he expressed interest in if this could be continued:

It would be interesting to determine whether the results of this paper are isolated results or are particular cases of some general theorem. Unfortunately, any efforts in this direction have proved unavailing.

Williamson matrices of order $2^{k}$ for $2 \leq k \leq 5$


## Williamson matrices of order $2^{k}$

The question of if Williamson matrices exist in all orders $2^{k}$ was open for 75 years.

In 2019, we ran exhaustive searches for Williamson matrices in all even orders $n \leq 70$ and discovered a large number of Williamson matrices in order $64 .{ }^{5}$

The patterns uncovered by this searches show that Williamson's method works for all orders that are powers of two. ${ }^{6}$

[^1]
## Previous searches

In 2006, a computer algebra approach found Williamson matrices in all even orders $n \leq 22 .{ }^{7}$

In 2016, a satisfiability approach found Williamson matrices in all even orders $n \leq 30$. ${ }^{8}$

The search space for order $n=70$ is twenty-five orders of magnitude larger than the search space for order $n=30$-yet it is possible to search exhaustively with a hybrid approach.
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## SAT encoding

Let the Boolean variable $a_{i}$ represent the $i$ th entry in the initial row of the matrix $A$ contains a 1 .


Using similar variables for $B, C$, and $D$, one can express that the off-diagonal entries of $A^{2}+B^{2}+C^{2}+D^{2}$ are zero using arithmetic circuits (which can be converted into conjunctive normal form).

## Simple setup

## Encoding that Williamson matrices of order $n$ exist



Williamson matrices
or counterexample

However, this does not perform well, since a SAT solver will not exploit mathematical facts about Williamson matrices.

## SAT+CAS overview

The SAT solver is augmented with a CAS learning method:


The conflict generated by the CAS depends on the problem domain.

## Power spectral density (PSD) filtering

If $\boldsymbol{A}$ is a Williamson matrix then

$$
\mathrm{PSD}_{\boldsymbol{A}} \leq 4 n
$$

where $\mathrm{PSD}_{\boldsymbol{A}}$ is the maximum squared magnitude of the Fourier transform of the first row $\left[a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right]$ of $\boldsymbol{A}$.

Precisely, $\left|\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_{j} \omega^{j}\right|^{2} \leq 4 n$ where $\omega$ is any $n$th root of unity.

## Search with PSD filtering

To exploit PSD filtering we need
(1) an efficient method of computing the PSD values; and
(2) an efficient method of searching while avoiding matrices that fail the filtering criteria.

## Search with PSD filtering

To exploit PSD filtering we need
(1) an efficient method of computing the PSD values; and
(2) an efficient method of searching while avoiding matrices that fail the filtering criteria.

8 CASs excel at (1) and SAT solvers excel at (2).

## SAT+CAS learning for Williamson matrices

The CAS computes the PSD of a matrix provided by the SAT solver...


## SAT+CAS learning for Williamson matrices

The CAS computes the PSD of a matrix provided by the SAT solver...

... if it is too large, the matrix is blocked from the search.

## Encoding comparison

The SAT+CAS method was significantly faster than the simple SAT encoding and the speedup improved as the order increased:

SAT+CAS speedup in the Williamson matrix search


## Results

With our SAT+CAS system MathCheck we found over 100,000 new sets of Williamson matrices-even though fewer than 200 had previously been found by computers.

No Williamson matrices of order $n=35$ were found, verifying a result of Đoković. ${ }^{9}$ Williamson matrices were found for all $n<35$ thereby showing that $n=35$ is the smallest counterexample of Williamson's conjecture.

These results lead to the proposal of the conjecture that Williamson matrices exist in all even orders $n$.
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## Application II: Lam's Problem

## History



Since 300 BC, mathematicians tried to derive Euclid's "parallel postulate" from his other axioms for geometry.

## History



Since 300 BC , mathematicians tried to derive Euclid's "parallel postulate" from his other axioms for geometry.

The discovery of alternative geometries in the 1800s showed this is impossible!

## Projective planes

Parallel lines do not exist in projective planes-instead, any pair of lines will meet at a unique point.


A complete classification of projective planes is still unknown (in particular in the case when there are a finite number of points).

## Finite projective planes

Finite projective planes satisfy the following axioms:

- Every pair of lines meet at a unique point.
- Every pair of points define a unique line.
- Every line contains $n+1$ points for some order $n$.

order 1

order 2

order 3


## Incidence matrices of projective planes

A projective plane of order $n$ is equivalent to a quad-free $(0,1)$-matrix with $n+1$ ones in each row and column.

A quad-free matrix contains no rectangle with 1 s in the corners.


## Projective planes of small orders

# 12345678910 $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ $x$ $\checkmark$ <br> $\checkmark$ <br> $\checkmark$ <br> (x) 

Lam's problem

## Computer Science team solves centuries-old math problem

And they had to search through a thousand trillion combinations to do it
Charles Bêlanger

$$
\overline{\text { Simply put . . }}
$$

Whew! To complete a mathematical investigation as complicated as the one recently accomplished by a team from the faculty of Engineering and Computer Science, every human being on earth would have to do 50,000 complex calculations.

The team, made up of Computer Science's Clement Lam, John McKay, Larry Thiel and Stanley Swiercz, took three years to solve a problem which had stumped mathematicians since the 1700 s.

The problem: To find out whether "a finite projective plane of the order of 10 " can exist.


## Resolution of Lam's problem

Lam et al. ${ }^{10}$ used custom-written software to show that a projective plane of order ten does not exist.

We must trust the searches ran to completion-the authors were upfront that mistakes were a real possibility.

Using MathCheck, we generated the first certifiable resolution of Lam's problem. ${ }^{11}$
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## Lam's problem encoding

If $x_{i, j}$ represents that entry $(i, j)$ of the projective plane contains a 1 then specifying a matrix is quad-free can be done using the clauses

$$
\neg x_{i, j} \vee \neg x_{i, j^{\prime}} \vee \neg x_{i^{\prime}, j} \vee \neg x_{i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}}
$$

for all distinct pairs of indices $(i, j)$ and $\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right)$.

The constraints that there are exactly eleven 1 s in each row and column are reformulated and expressed in a convenient way for a SAT solver.

This alone is not sufficient to solve Lam's problem-it does not exploit the theorems that make an exhaustive search feasible.

## SAT+CAS learning for Lam's Problem

The SAT solver finds partial solutions and sends them to a CAS...


## SAT+CAS learning for Lam's Problem

The SAT solver finds partial solutions and sends them to a CAS...

... and the CAS finds a nontrival isomorphism and blocks it.

## Results

The search for a projective plane of order 10 can be split into three main cases. The search times compared with previous searches:

| Case | SAT-based | CAS-based | SAT+CAS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 minutes | $3-78$ minutes | 0.1 minutes |
| 2 | - | 16,000 hours | 30 hours |
| 3 | - | 20,000 hours | 16,000 hours |

The SAT+CAS approach was much faster in the first two cases and decently faster in the third case (a case where most of the search space was not very symmetric).

## Discrepancies

The lack of verifiable certificates has real consequences. We found discrepancies with the intermediate results of both Lam's search and an independent verification from 2011.

On the right is a 51-column partial projective plane of order ten said to not exist in 2011—but we found with MathCheck.


## Other results

We have successfully used MathCheck in many other problems:

Problem<br>Williamson<br>Even Williamson<br>Lam's Problem<br>Good Matrix<br>Best Matrix<br>Complex Golay<br>Ruskey-Savage<br>Norine

## Main Result

Found smallest counterexample First verification in orders $n \leq 70$ First certifiable solution
Found 3 new counterexamples
First solution in order 57
Verified lengths up to 28
First verification in order 5
First verification in order 6

CAS Functionality
Fourier transform
Fourier transform
Graph isomorphism
Fourier transform
Fourier transform
Nonlinear optimizer
Travelling salesman solver
Shortest path solver

uwaterloo.ca/mathcheck

## Conclusion

Many mathematical problems stand to benefit from fast, verifiable, and expressive search tools.

Adding CAS functionality to a SAT solver greatly increases its expressiveness and the kinds of problems that can be tackled effectively.

Don't reinvent the wheel!

- It's hard to beat a SAT solver at search.
- It's hard to beat CASs for mathematical computations.


## Future work

SAT+CAS methods are poised to forever change what is considered feasible in mathematical search-and there are many promising areas where they have yet to be used.

Upcoming: I'm co-chairing the sixth SC-square workshop taking place virtually on August 19-20, 2021. See my website for more information on this and related research opportunities:

curtisbright.com
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