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Abstract

This note (most likely) resolves an apparent open problem concerning if there is a prime of the form 8 \cdot 13^{4i} + 183 for i \geq 1.

1 Overview

The 35670-digit number

\[ p := 8 \cdot 13^{4\cdot8005} + 183 \]

is very likely prime, as determined by the Miller–Rabin primality test [6, 8].

To begin with, note that \( p - 1 \) has the partial factorization

\[ p - 1 = 2 \cdot 5 \cdot 13 \cdot 509 \cdot C_{35665}, \]

where \( C_{35665} \) is a 35665-digit composite number with no prime factors below \( 10^{12} \). Since \( p - 1 \) contains a single factor of 2, the Miller–Rabin criterion to base \( a \) says that

\[ a^{(p-1)/2} \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p} \]

holds if \( p \) is prime. Furthermore, if \( p \) is not prime then at least 3/4 of the bases \( a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^* \) do not satisfy this identity [7, 8].

The following congruences show that \( p \) satisfies the Miller–Rabin criterion for all prime bases under 40:

\[
\begin{align*}
2^{(p-1)/2} &\equiv 1 \pmod{p} & 17^{(p-1)/2} &\equiv 1 \pmod{p} \\
3^{(p-1)/2} &\equiv 1 \pmod{p} & 19^{(p-1)/2} &\equiv 1 \pmod{p} \\
5^{(p-1)/2} &\equiv 1 \pmod{p} & 23^{(p-1)/2} &\equiv -1 \pmod{p} \\
7^{(p-1)/2} &\equiv -1 \pmod{p} & 29^{(p-1)/2} &\equiv -1 \pmod{p} \\
11^{(p-1)/2} &\equiv -1 \pmod{p} & 31^{(p-1)/2} &\equiv 1 \pmod{p} \\
13^{(p-1)/2} &\equiv 1 \pmod{p} & 37^{(p-1)/2} &\equiv -1 \pmod{p}
\end{align*}
\]

Already, this seems to strongly suggest that \( p \) is in fact prime. In practice the 3/4 bound is highly pessimistic and even a single base \( a \neq \pm 1 \) for which a number passes is good evidence of primality.
In fact, the probability that a randomly chosen \( k \)-bit integer passes for a randomly chosen base is less than \( 16k^2/4\sqrt{k} \) \cite{2}. For \( k = \lceil \log_2 p \rceil = 118492 \), this gives a miniscule probability of failure of about \( 10^{-196} \). Of course, this theorem does not actually help us in this context since \( p \) was certainly not chosen randomly.

However, in addition \( p \) passed over 1000 tests to pseudo-randomly chosen bases. If \( p \) were not prime then we would expect the chance of this happening to be less than \((1/4)^{1000} \approx 10^{-604}\). The computations were performed using the GMP library \cite{3}, which uses the Mersenne twister \cite{5} pseudo-random number generator.

2 Sample code

Figure 1 contains C code which employs the GMP library to compute \( a^{(p-1)/2} \mod p \). The base \( a \) is given as a single command-line argument, and the result is printed on the standard output stream. A single computation takes about 6 minutes to run on an Intel Core i3-540 processor.

3 Future work

Although \( p \) is almost certainly prime, the natural next question to ask is if we can formally prove that it is prime. Although much larger primes are known, they are all of a special form amenable to primality testing, and the form of \( p \) does not seem to be especially helpful in this regard.

The most promising method for proving its primality seems to be by utilizing elliptic curve primality proving \cite{4}. This method generally works well in practice, although it has not been proven to run in polynomial time for all inputs. Currently the largest number proved prime using ECPP contains 26642 digits \cite{1}, so it is likely a formal proof of primality for \( p \) is still some years away.
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```c
#include <gmp.h>

int main(int argc, char** argv)
{
    mpz_t p, d, a;

    // compute d = (p-1)/2
    mpz_init(d);
    mpz_ui_pow_ui(d, 13, 4*8005); // d = 13^(4*8005)
    mpz_mul_ui(d, d, 4); // d = 4*d
    mpz_add_ui(d, d, 91); // d = d + 91

    // compute p
    mpz_init_set(p, d); // p = d
    mpz_mul_ui(p, p, 2); // p = 2*p
    mpz_add_ui(p, p, 1); // p = p + 1

    // compute a^((p-1)/2) mod p
    mpz_init_set_str(a, argv[1], 10); // a = command-line input
    gmp_printf("Computing %Zd^((p-1)/2) mod p...
", a);
    mpz_powm(a, a, d, p); // a = a^d mod p

    // nicely format -1 mod p
    mpz_add_ui(a, a, 1); // a = a + 1
    mpz_mod(a, a, p); // a = a mod p
    mpz_sub_ui(a, a, 1); // a = a - 1
    gmp_printf("Result: %Zd\n", a);

    // clean-up
    mpz_clear(a);
    mpz_clear(d);
    mpz_clear(p);

    return 0;
}
```

Figure 1: Code the for computation of $a^{(p-1)/2}$ mod $p$.  
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