

PMATH 641 Lecture 1: January 7, 2013

Cam Stewart MC 5051

Algebraic Number Theory

Marks: Final exam 65%

Midterm 25%

Assignments 10%

Grad Students: essay & talk

No text.

Notes on my webpage.

Reference Texts: Number Fields: Marcus

Algebraic Number Theory: Lang; Stewart & Tall; Frohlich & Taylor

Definition: An algebraic integer is the root of a monic polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. An algebraic number is the root of a nonzero polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$.

A number field is a finite extension K of \mathbb{Q} and we shall suppose it is in \mathbb{C} . Our object of study is the ring of algebraic integers in K .

Basic: Suppose L and K are finite extensions of \mathbb{Q} . L is an extension of K if $K \subset L$. The dimension of L over K in this case is $[L : K]$. Suppose next that H is a field with $K \subseteq H \subseteq L$. Then H is said to be an intermediate field of K and L . We have $[L : K] = [L : H][H : K]$.

A polynomial f in $K[x]$ is said to be irreducible if whenever $f = gh$ with $g, h \in K[x]$ then either g or h is a constant.

Recall: $K[x]$ is a Principal Ideal Domain.

Definition: Let $K \subset \mathbb{C}$. Let $\theta \in \mathbb{C}$ be algebraic over K . A minimal polynomial f of θ over K is a monic polynomial in $K[x]$ which has θ as a root and has minimal degree with this property.

Theorem 1: Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. If $\theta \in \mathbb{C}$ is algebraic over K then θ has a unique minimal polynomial.

Proof: Suppose that $p_1(x)$ and $p_2(x)$ are minimal polynomials for θ over K . By the Division Algorithm for $K[x]$, $\exists c \in K$ and $r(x) \in K[x]$ such that $p_1(x) = cp_2(x) + r(x)$ with $r(x)$ the zero polynomial or $\deg r < \deg p_1 = \deg p_2$. But $p_1(\theta) = cp_2(\theta) + r(\theta)$ hence $r(\theta) = 0$. By the minimality of the degree we see that r is the zero polynomial.

Since p_1 and p_2 are monic we see that $c = 1$ hence $p_1 = p_2$ as required.

Definition: Suppose that θ is algebraic over K . Then the degree of θ over K is the degree of the minimal polynomial of θ over K .

Remark: Let θ be algebraic over K and let $p \in K[x]$ be the minimal polynomial of θ over K . If $f \in K[x]$ is a polynomial for which $f(\theta) = 0$ then $p \mid f$ in $K[x]$.

Theorem 2: Let $f \in K[x]$ with $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. If f is irreducible over K of degree n (≥ 1) then f has n distinct roots.

Proof: Suppose that f has a root α of multiplicity larger than 1. Then $f(x) = a_n(x - \alpha)^2 f_1(x)$ with $f_1 \in K(\alpha)[x]$. Thus

$$f'(x) = 2a_n(x - \alpha) \cdot f_1(x) + a_n(x - \alpha)^2 f_1'(x),$$

hence $f'(\alpha) = 0$ and note that $f' \in K[x]$. Let $p(x)$ be the minimal polynomial for α over K . Observe that $p(x)$ divides $f(x)$ and it divides $f'(x)$. Observe that $p(x)$ divides $f(x)$ and it divides $f'(x)$. Therefore f is reducible which is a contradiction.

Let θ be algebraic over K and let $p \in K[x]$ be the minimal polynomial of θ . Suppose that the degree of p is n . Then p has n distinct roots $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ and these are known as the conjugates of θ over K .

Definition: Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ and let θ be algebraic over K . $K(\theta)$ is defined to be the smallest field containing K and θ . $K(\theta)$ is said to be a simple algebraic extension of K .

PMATH 641 Lecture 2: January 11, 2013

If $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, θ is algebraic over K .

$$K(\theta) := \text{smallest field containing } \theta \in K = \{ f(\theta)/g(\theta) : f, g \in K[x] \text{ with } g(\theta) \neq 0 \}.$$

Theorem 3: Let $K \subset \mathbb{C}$, θ be algebraic over K . $\deg_k(\theta) = n$. Then every element $\alpha \in K(\theta)$ has a unique representation of the form:

$$\alpha = a_0 + a_1\theta + \cdots + a_{n-1}\theta^{n-1}$$

for $a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} \in K$.

Proof: Since $\alpha \in K(\theta)$, $\alpha = f(\theta)/g(\theta)$. Let p be minimal polynomial of θ over K . Now $p(x)$ and $g(x)$ are coprime polynomials. There exists $s, t \in K[x]$ by Euclidean algorithm such that

$$p(x)t(x) + g(x)s(x) = 1$$

or $g(\theta)s(\theta) = 1 \implies \alpha = f(\theta)s(\theta)$. Now $f(x)s(x) = q(x)p(x) + r(x)$ by division so $f(\theta)s(\theta) = r(\theta)$, $\deg r(\theta) \leq n-1$.

Proof of uniqueness:

$$\alpha = r_1(\theta) = r_2(\theta); r_1, r_2 \in K[x].$$

$r_1(x) - r_2(x)$ is polynomial of degree $< n$ having θ as root. This is not possible otherwise $\deg_k(\theta) \neq n$

$$K(\theta) = K[\theta].$$

Definition: Let R and S be rings. An injective homomorphism $\phi: R \rightarrow S$ is an embedding of R in S .

Theorem 4: Let $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ and L be finite extensions of K . Each embedding of K in \mathbb{C} extends to exactly $\deg_k(L)$ ($[L : K]$) embeddings of L in \mathbb{C} .

Proof: By induction on $[L : K]$.

Let $\alpha \in L \setminus K$, $p(x)$: minimal polynomial of α/K , let σ be an embedding of K in \mathbb{C} . $p(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i$, $g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n \sigma(a_i) x^i$ is irreducible over $\sigma(K)$.

For each root β of g , define an embedding λ_β of $K[\alpha]$ in \mathbb{C} by $\lambda_\beta: K[\alpha] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$,

$$\lambda_\beta(l_0 + l_1\alpha + \cdots + l_{n-1}\alpha^{n-1}) = \sigma(l_0) + \sigma(l_1)\beta + \cdots + \sigma(l_{n-1})\beta^{n-1}.$$

One can check λ_β is an embedding by checking it is an injective homomorphism and extends σ on K .

Further, there are no other embeddings since $\lambda(0) = 0 = p(\alpha) = \lambda p(\alpha) = g(\lambda_\alpha)$ (λ_α is a root of g)

Applying inductive hypothesis to $[L : K(\alpha)]$, there are exactly $[L : K(\alpha)][K(\alpha) : K]$ embeddings of L in \mathbb{C} .

PMATH 641 Lecture 3: January 14, 2013

Theorem 5: Let $K \subseteq L \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ and let L be a finite extension of K . Then $L = K(\theta)$ for some θ in L .

Proof: Note that

$$L = K(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$$

for some $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ algebraic over K . We'll now show our result by induction. It suffices to show that if $L = K(\alpha, \beta)$ with α, β algebraic over K then there exists $\theta \in L$ such that

$$L = K(\theta).$$

Let $\alpha = \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be the conjugates of α over K . Let $\beta = \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m$ be the conjugates of β over K . Consider for each i and $k \neq 1$ the equation

$$\alpha_i + x\beta_k = \alpha_1 + x\beta_1.$$

There is precisely one solution. Now choose an element c in $K \setminus \{0\}$ which is not one of these solutions and put $\theta = \alpha + c\beta$.

We claim θ works. Notice that $K(\theta) \subseteq K(\alpha, \beta)$. To show that $K(\alpha, \beta) \subseteq K(\theta)$ it suffices to show that α and β are in $K(\theta)$. Observe that it suffices to show that β is in $K(\theta)$ since then automatically α is also in $K(\theta)$.

Let f be the minimal polynomial of α over K and let g be the minimal polynomial of β over K . Thus β is a root of $g(x)$ and also of $f(\theta - cx)$. Notice that $f(\theta - cx) \in K(\theta)[x]$. Further observe that β is the only common root of $g(x)$ and $f(\theta - cx)$, by our choice of c .

Let p be the minimal polynomial of β over $K(\theta)$. Then p divides g and p divides $f(\theta - cx)$. Therefore p is linear, in particular $\gamma_1\beta + \gamma_2 = 0$ with $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in K(\theta)$, $\gamma_1 \neq 0$ hence $\beta \in K(\theta)$.

Definition: Let $K \subseteq L \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ with $[L : K] < \infty$. We say that L is normal over K if L is closed under taking conjugates over K .

Theorem 6: Let $K \subseteq L \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ with $[L : K] < \infty$. L is normal over $K \iff$ Each embedding σ of L in \mathbb{C} which fixes each element of K is an automorphism.

Proof: \Rightarrow By Theorem 5 there exists a $\alpha \in L$ with $L = K[\alpha]$. Further let $\alpha = \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be the conjugates of α over K . Then there are precisely n embeddings $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ of L in \mathbb{C} which fix each element of K . We have $\lambda_i(\alpha) = \alpha_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Since L is normal $\lambda_i : L \rightarrow L$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Next note $[K(\alpha_i) : K] = n$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ hence $L = K(\alpha_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and thus λ_i is an automorphism for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

\Leftarrow Let $\alpha \in L$ and let β_1, \dots, β_m be the conjugates of β over K .

Notice that each embedding of $K(\beta)$ in \mathbb{C} which fixes elements of K can be extended to an embedding of L in \mathbb{C} which fixes K . Each such embedding is an automorphism and so $\beta_i \in L$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ as required.

Remark: Theorem 4 \implies $[L : K]$ embeddings of L in \mathbb{C} which fix K . Thus by Theorem 6 L is normal over $K \iff$ there are $[L : K]$ automorphisms of L which fix K .

Theorem 7: Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}$ be algebraic over K . Put $L = K(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$. If L contains the conjugates of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ over K then L is normal over K .

Proof: We have $K(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = K[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]$. Next by Theorem 5 there exists $\theta \in L$ such that $L = K[\theta]$. Then $\theta = f(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ for some $f \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

Let σ be an embedding of L in \mathbb{C} which fixes K . Then $\sigma(\theta) = f(\sigma\alpha_1, \dots, \sigma\alpha_n) \in L$. Therefore L is normal over K .

PMATH 641 Lecture 4: January 16, 2013

Corollary 8: Let $K \subseteq L \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ and let L be a finite extension of K . Then there is a finite extension H of L which is normal over K .

Proof: By Theorem 5, $L = K[\theta]$ where θ is algebraic over K . Let $\theta = \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ be the conjugates of θ over K . We put $H = K(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$ and the result follows by Theorem 7.

Remark: H is normal over K and also normal over L .

Note that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$ is not a normal extension of \mathbb{Q} since $\omega\sqrt[3]{2}$ is a conjugate of $\sqrt[3]{2}$ over \mathbb{Q} where $\omega = e^{2\pi i/3}$ and $\omega\sqrt[3]{2} \notin \mathbb{R}$ whereas $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Observe that by Corollary 8, $H = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}, \omega\sqrt[3]{2}, \omega^2\sqrt[3]{2})$ is normal over \mathbb{Q} . $H = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}, \omega)$ so $[H : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})] = 2$.

Let $K \subseteq L \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ with $[L : K] < \infty$. We define the Galois group $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ to be the group of automorphisms of L which fixes each element of K . This is a group under the binary operation of composition. The identity element is the identity map. By Theorem 4 and Theorem 6

$$L \text{ is normal over } K \iff |\text{Gal}(L/K)| = [L : K].$$

For each subgroup H of $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$ we define F_H to be the fixed field of H , in other words

$$F_H = \{ \alpha \in L : \sigma\alpha = \alpha \text{ for all } \sigma \in H \}.$$

Note that F_H is a field.

Theorem 9: Let $K \subseteq L \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ with $[L : K] < \infty$. Suppose that L is normal over K and that G is the Galois group of L over K . Then K is the fixed field of G and K is not the fixed field of any proper subgroup H of G .

Proof: Plainly K is fixed by G . Suppose that there is an $\alpha \in L \setminus K$ which is fixed by G . Then $K[\alpha]$ is also fixed by G . By Theorem 4 and 6 there are exactly $[L : K[\alpha]]$ embeddings of L in \mathbb{C} which fix $K[\alpha]$ and, since L is normal, each of them is an automorphism of L . Similarly, by Theorem 4 and 6, there are exactly $[L : K]$ embeddings of L in \mathbb{C} which fix K and since L is normal each is an automorphism. But $[L : K[\alpha]] < [L : K]$ and this gives a contradiction.

We'll now suppose that K is the fixed field of a proper subgroup H of G . Let α be such that $L = K[\alpha]$ and define the polynomial f by

$$f(x) = \prod_{\sigma \in H} (x - \sigma\alpha).$$

Note that since H is a subgroup of G if $\sigma' \in H$ then $H\sigma' = \{ \sigma\sigma' : \sigma \in H \} = H$. Therefore

$$f(x) = \prod_{\sigma \in H} (x - \sigma\sigma'\alpha).$$

Thus the coefficients of f are fixed by the elements of H . Thus $f \in K[x]$ with α as a root and it is monic. Therefore α is algebraic over K of degree at most $|H|$. But α is algebraic over K of degree $|G|$ since $L = K[\alpha]$ is normal over K . Finally since H is a proper subgroup of G , $|H| < |G|$ which gives a contradiction.

As always $K \subseteq L \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ with $[L : K] < \infty$. Suppose L is normal over K . Let $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$.

Let S_1 be the set of fields F with $L \subseteq F \subseteq \mathbb{C}$.

Let S_2 be the set of subgroups H of G .

Define $\lambda: S_1 \rightarrow S_2$ by $\lambda(F) = \text{Gal}(L/F)$. Define $\mu: S_2 \rightarrow S_1$ by $\mu(H) = F_H$ where F_H is the fixed field of H .

PMATH 641 Lecture 5: January 18, 2013

Let $K \subseteq L \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ with $[L : K] < \infty$. L normal over K . $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$ the Galois group of L over K . Recall the maps λ and μ , $\lambda: S_1 \rightarrow S_2$ by $\lambda(F) = \text{Gal}(L/F)$, $\mu: S_2 \rightarrow S_1$ by $\mu(H) = F_H$, fixed field of H .

Theorem 10: (Fundamental Theorem of Galois Theory)

μ and λ are inverses of each other. Suppose that $\lambda(F) = H$. F is normal over K if and only if H is a normal subgroup of $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$. Further if F is normal over K there is an isomorphism δ of G/H to $\text{Gal}(F/K)$ given by $\delta(\sigma + H) = \sigma|_F$; where $\sigma|_F$ is the automorphism of F which fixes each element of K given by the restriction of σ to F .

Proof: Note that

$$\mu \circ \lambda(F) = \mu(\text{Gal}(L/F)) = F_{\text{Gal}(L/F)}$$

By Theorem 9 the fixed field of $\text{Gal}(L/F)$ is F and so $\mu \circ \lambda(F) = F$.

Further

$$\lambda \circ \mu(H) = \lambda(F_H) = \text{Gal}(L/F_H).$$

Put $H' = \text{Gal}(L/F_H)$. By Theorem 9, F_H is the fixed field of H' and of no proper subgroup of H' . Thus $H' \subseteq H$. But if $\sigma \in H$ then $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/F_H)$ so $H \subseteq H'$. Thus $H = H'$ so $\lambda \circ \mu(H) = H$.

Suppose now $H = \text{Gal}(L/F)$, $\gamma \in H$ and $\sigma \in G$. Then

$$\sigma \circ \gamma \circ \sigma^{-1} \text{ is in } \text{Gal}(L/\sigma F)$$

Similarly if $\theta \in \text{Gal}(L/\sigma F)$ then $\sigma^{-1}\theta\sigma$ is in $\text{Gal}(L/F)$.

$$\implies \text{Gal}(L/\sigma F) = \sigma H \sigma^{-1}.$$

Now if F is normal over K then $\sigma F = F$ for all σ in G .

F is normal over K and only every embedding of F in \mathbb{C} which fixes K is an automorphism. Further every embedding of F in \mathbb{C} which fixes K can be extended to an element of G .

$$\begin{aligned} F \text{ normal over } K &\iff \sigma F = F \forall \sigma \in G \\ &\iff \sigma H \sigma^{-1} = H \forall \sigma \in G \\ &\iff H \text{ is a normal subgroup of } G \end{aligned}$$

Next suppose F is normal over K . We introduce the group homomorphism in ψ from $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$ to $\text{Gal}(F/K)$ given by

$$\psi(\sigma) = \sigma|_F,$$

where σ is the restriction of σ to F .

We first observe that the map is surjective since every element of $\text{Gal}(F/K)$ can be extended to an element of G .

The kernel of ψ is $\text{Gal}(L/F)$ so by the First Isomorphism Theorem

$$\text{Gal}(L/K)/\text{Gal}(L/F) \approx \text{Gal}(F/K).$$

Theorem 11: Let α be an algebraic integer. The minimal polynomial of α over \mathbb{Q} is in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$.

Proof: Let f be the minimal polynomial of α over \mathbb{Q} , $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$. Let h be a monic polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ with α as a root. Since f is the minimal polynomial over \mathbb{Q} , $f \mid h$ is in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$. In particular there exist $g \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ with $h = gf$.

Since h and f are monic we see that g is monic. By Gauss' Lemma there exist $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$ with

$$h = (c_1 g) \cdot (c_2 f),$$

where c_1 and c_2 are in \mathbb{Q} and $c_1 g$ and $c_2 f$ are in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. Note $c_1 = c_2 = 1$ since f and g are monic.

Corollary 12: Let d be a squarefree integer. The ring of algebraic integers in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ is

$$\{a + b\sqrt{d} : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\} \text{ if } d \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}$$

and

$$\left\{ \frac{a + b\sqrt{d}}{2} : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, a \equiv b \pmod{2} \right\} \text{ if } d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}.$$

PMATH 641 Lecture 6: January 21, 2013

Corollary 12: Let d be a squarefree integer. The set of algebraic integers in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\{a + b\sqrt{d} : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\} \text{ if } d \equiv 2 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{4} \\ &\left\{ \frac{a + b\sqrt{d}}{2} : a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \text{ if } d \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Suppose that $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ then $\alpha = r + s\sqrt{d}$ with $r, s \in \mathbb{Q}$. Suppose that α is an algebraic integer.

First note that if $s = 0$ then $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose $s \neq 0$. Then observe that

$$f(x) = (x - (r + s\sqrt{d}))(x - (r - s\sqrt{d})) = x^2 - 2rx + (r^2 - ds^2)$$

is a monic polynomial over \mathbb{Q} with α as a root. Since $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$, f is the minimal polynomial of α . We need only check when $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Note that $2r \in \mathbb{Z}$ so either $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ or $r = a/2$ with $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. In the first case then $r^2 - ds^2 \in \mathbb{Z} \implies ds^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. But d is squarefree and so $s \in \mathbb{Z}$.

In the second case $r = a/2$ and then

$$r^2 - ds^2 = a^2/4 - ds^2 \in \mathbb{Z} \implies s = b/2 \text{ with } b \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$$

and then

$$\frac{a^2 - db^2}{4} \in \mathbb{Z} \implies d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$$

Objective: Prove

- i) the set of all algebraic integers forms a ring.
- ii) For any finite extension K of \mathbb{Q} the set of algebraic integers in K , so $A \cap K$, forms a ring.

For any $\alpha, \beta \in A$ we plan to show that $\alpha - \beta$ and $\alpha\beta$ are in A since this shows A is a subring of \mathbb{C} .

Let $\alpha = \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be the conjugates of α . Let $\beta = \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m$ be the conjugates of β .

Consider $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta)$. Let σ_1, \dots, \dots_k be the embeddings of $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta)$ in \mathbb{C} which fix \mathbb{Q} . Then put $g(x) = \prod_{i=1}^k (x - \sigma_i(\alpha - \beta))$. Note that g is monic. To prove $\alpha - \beta$ is an algebraic integer it suffices to prove $g \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. This can be done using the elementary symmetric polynomials but there is an easier approach.

Theorem 13: Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. The following are equivalent:

- i) α is an algebraic integer
- ii) The additive subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ in \mathbb{C} is finitely generated
- iii) α is a member of some subring of \mathbb{C} having a finitely generated additive group.
- iv) $\alpha A \subseteq A$ for some finitely generated additive subgroup of \mathbb{C} .

Proof: i) \implies ii) by Theorem 3 since

$$\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = \{ a_0 + a_1\alpha + \dots + a_{n-1}\alpha^{n-1} : a_j \in \mathbb{Z} \}$$

where n is the degree of α over \mathbb{Q} .

ii) \implies iii) \implies iv) immediate

Finally suppose iv) is true. Since A is a finitely generated additive subgroup of \mathbb{C} there exist a_1, \dots, a_n which generate A . Since $\alpha A \subseteq A$ we see that for $i = 1, \dots, n$

$$\alpha a_i = m_{i,1}a_1 + \dots + m_{i,n}a_n$$

with $m_{i,1}, \dots, m_{i,n} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Put $M = (m_{i,j})$. Then

$$(\alpha I_n - M) \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \neq (0, \dots, 0) \implies \det(\alpha I_n - M) = 0 \implies \alpha$ is a root of a monic polynomial with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} , hence is an algebraic integer. Thus iv) \implies i).

Corollary 14: If α and β are algebraic integers then so are $\alpha - \beta$ and $\alpha \cdot \beta$.

Proof: Suppose α has degree n over \mathbb{Q} and β has degree m over \mathbb{Q} then $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha, \beta]$ is generated over \mathbb{Q} by

$\{\alpha^i \beta^j : i = 0, \dots, n-1, j = 0, \dots, m-1\}$. Note $\alpha - \beta$ and $\alpha\beta$ are in the subring generated by this. The result follows by Theorem 13 ((i), (iii)).

Theorem 15: If α is an algebraic number then there exists a positive integer r such that $r\alpha$ is an algebraic integer.

Proof: Since α is an algebraic number it is the root of a polynomial $f(x) = x^n + a_1x^{n-1} + \dots + a_n$ with $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$. Clear denominators to get that α is a root of a polynomial

$$b_n x^n + \dots + b_0 \text{ with } b_i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then note $b_n \alpha$ is a root of

$$x^n + b_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + b_0 b_n^{n-1}$$

and so $b_n \alpha$ is an algebraic integer.

PMATH 641 Lecture 7: January 23, 2013

Assignment #1: Due next Wednesday in class

Corollary 14 \implies The set A of algebraic integers forms a subring of \mathbb{C} .

Also if $[K : \mathbb{Q}] < \infty$ then $A \cap K$ is also a subring of \mathbb{C} . $A \cap K$ is the ring of algebraic integers of K .

Corollary 12 gives a description of $A \cap K$ when $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$.

Next we'll consider the cyclotomic extensions of \mathbb{Q} . Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and put $\zeta_n = e^{2\pi i/n}$. The fields $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ are significant. For instance they are normal extensions of \mathbb{Q} with abelian Galois group. Further it can be shown that if L is a normal extension of \mathbb{Q} with an abelian Galois group (over \mathbb{Q}) then L is a subfield of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$.

Let $h(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and p be a prime. The map that sends h to $\bar{h} \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[x]$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{h} &= \overline{a_n} x^n + \overline{a_{n-1}} x^{n-1} + \dots + \overline{a_0} \\ \text{with } \overline{a_i} &= a_i + p\mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

is a ring homomorphism. Further

$$\bar{h}(x^p) = (\bar{h}(x))^p \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[x] \tag{*}$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{h}(x^p) &= \overline{a_n} x^{np} + \dots + \overline{a_1} x^p + \overline{a_0} \\ &= \overline{a_n^p} x^{np} + \dots + \overline{a_1^p} x^p + \overline{a_0^p} \\ &= (\overline{a_n} x^n + \dots + \overline{a_0})^p \\ &= (\bar{h}(x))^p \end{aligned}$$

We now introduce $\Phi_n(x)$, the n th cyclotomic polynomial for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. We put

$$\Phi_n(x) = \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ (j,n)=1}}^n (x - \zeta_n^j).$$

Theorem 16: $\Phi_n(x)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

Proof: We'll show that ζ_n^j for $1 \leq j \leq n$ with $(j, n) = 1$ are the conjugates of ζ_n and so $\Phi_n(x)$ is then the minimal polynomial of ζ_n . It is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$.

Let $r(x)$ be the minimal polynomial of ζ_n . Since ζ_n is a root of $x^n - 1$, ζ_n is an algebraic integer. Note that then $r(x) \mid x^n - 1$ in $\mathbb{Q}(x)$ so $x^n - 1 = r(x)g(x)$ with $g(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$. By Gauss' Lemma, $g \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$.

Since $r(x)$ divides $x^n - 1$ in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ we see that the conjugates of ζ_n lie in

$$\{\zeta_n^j : j = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

Observe though that if $(j, n) > 1$ then $(\zeta_n^j)^{n/(j, n)} = 1$ whereas $(\zeta_n)^{n/(j, n)} \neq 1$ and so ζ_n^j is not a conjugate of ζ_n . In particular the conjugates of ζ_n lie in

$$\{\zeta_n^j : j = 1, \dots, n, (j, n) = 1\}.$$

This is in fact the complete set of conjugates. To prove this it is enough to prove that if p is a prime which does not divide n and θ is a root of $r(x)$ then θ^p is also a root of $r(x)$. Note that ζ_n is a root of $r(x)$ and the result follows by repeated application of the above fact.

Recall that $x^n - 1 = r(x)g(x)$. Let θ be a root of $r(x)$. If θ^p is not a root of $r(x)$ then, since θ^p is a root of $x^n - 1$, we see that θ^p is a root of $g(x)$. Thus θ is a root of $g(x^p)$. Thus $r(x)$, the minimal polynomial of θ , divides $g(x^p)$ in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ and so

$$g(x^p) = r(x)s(x) \quad \text{with} \quad s \in \mathbb{Q}[x].$$

By Gauss' Lemma $s(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$.

Since $g(x^p) = r(x)s(x)$ we see that $\bar{r}(x) \mid \bar{g}(x^p)$ in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[x]$. Let t be an irreducible polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[x]$ which divides \bar{r} . Now by (*) t divides $\bar{g}(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[x]$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Recall that } x^n - 1 &= r(x)g(x) \\ \text{so } x^n - \bar{1} &= \bar{r}(x)\bar{g}(x) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $t^2 \mid x^n - \bar{1}$ in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[x]$, and so $t \mid \bar{n}x^{n-1}$. Since $p \nmid n$, \bar{n} is not $\bar{0}$ hence $t = \bar{c}x^g$ with $1 \leq g \leq n-1$. But $t \mid x^n - \bar{1}$ which gives a contradiction.

The result follows.

PMATH 641 Lecture 8: January 25, 2013

Midterm Exam: Friday March 1 in class

Observe that ζ_n^j is a conjugate of ζ_n for $j = 1, \dots, n$ with $(j, n) = 1$. Certainly $\zeta_n^j \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ and so $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ is a normal extension of \mathbb{Q} .

The degree of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ over \mathbb{Q} is $\phi(n)$, Euler's function of n . In particular

$$\phi(n) = |\{j : 1 \leq j \leq n, (j, n) = 1\}|$$

Theorem 17: Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. The Galois group of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ over \mathbb{Q} is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$.

Proof: The elements of $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)/\mathbb{Q})$ fix \mathbb{Q} and are determined by their action on ζ . In particular if $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)/\mathbb{Q})$ then $\sigma(\zeta) = \zeta^k$ for some k with $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $(k, n) = 1$. Denote σ by σ_k .

Let $\lambda: \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ by $\lambda(\sigma_k) = k + n\mathbb{Z}$. Plainly λ is a bijection. It is also a group homomorphism since

$$\lambda(\sigma_k \circ \sigma_l) = \lambda(\sigma_{kl}) = kl + n\mathbb{Z} = (k + n\mathbb{Z}) \cdot (l + n\mathbb{Z}) = \lambda(\sigma_k) \cdot \lambda(\sigma_l).$$

Theorem 18: Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. If n is even the only roots of unity in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ are the n th roots of unity. If n is odd the only roots of unity in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ are the $2n$ th roots of unity.

Proof: If n is odd then $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) = \mathbb{Q}(-\zeta_n) = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2n})$. Thus to prove our result it suffices to prove it when n is even.

Suppose that $\gamma = e^{2\pi il/s}$ with $(l, s) = 1$, $e, s \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. We consider $\gamma^v \zeta_n^w$ with $v, w \in \mathbb{Z}$ and note that $\gamma^v \zeta_n^w \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma^v \zeta_n^w &= e^{2\pi i(\frac{vl}{s} + \frac{w}{n})} \\ &= e^{2\pi i(\frac{vln+sw}{ns})} \\ &= e^{2\pi i(\frac{1}{b})} \quad \text{where } b = \frac{ns}{(n, s)} = \text{lcm}(n, s)\end{aligned}$$

Since $e^{2\pi i/b} \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ and degree of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ over \mathbb{Q} is $\phi(n)$ we see that $\phi(b) \leq \phi(n)$.

Since $b = \text{lcm}(n, s)$ we have

$$b = p_1^{l_1} \cdots p_k^{l_k} \quad \text{with } p_i \text{ prime and } l_i \geq 1 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k$$

Then, by reordering the primes,

$$n = p_1^{h_1} \cdots p_r^{h_r} \quad \text{with } r \text{ satisfying } 1 \leq r \leq k$$

and with $h_i \geq 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Note $h_i \leq l_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. We have

$$\phi(b) = (p_1^{l_1} - p_1^{l_1-1}) \cdots (p_k^{l_k} - p_k^{l_k-1})$$

and

$$\phi(n) = \phi(p_1^{h_1}) \cdots \phi(p_r^{h_r}) = (p_1^{h_1} - p_1^{h_1-1}) \cdots (p_r^{h_r} - p_r^{h_r-1}).$$

But $\phi(b) \leq \phi(n)$.

If $r < k$ then $p_k \neq 2$ since n is even and $p_k^{l_k} - p_k^{l_k-1} > 1$ hence $\phi(b) > \phi(n)$ which is a contradiction. Therefore $r = k$. Since $l_i \geq h_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ we see that in fact $l_i = h_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ since $\phi(n) \geq \phi(b)$.

Let K be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q} with $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = n$. Let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ be the embeddings of K in \mathbb{C} which fix \mathbb{Q} .

Let $\alpha \in K$. We define the trace of α from K to \mathbb{Q} denoted $T_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha)$, by

$$T_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha) = \sigma_1(\alpha) + \sigma_2(\alpha) + \cdots + \sigma_n(\alpha).$$

We define the norm of α from K to \mathbb{Q} , denoted by $N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha)$, by

$$N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha) = \sigma_1(\alpha) \cdots \sigma_n(\alpha).$$

PMATH 641 Lecture 9: January 28, 2013

Let $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = n$ and let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ be the embeddings of K in \mathbb{C} which fix \mathbb{Q} . Let $\alpha \in K$. The trace of α from K to \mathbb{Q} , $T_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha)$ is given by $T_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha) = \sigma_1(\alpha) + \cdots + \sigma_n(\alpha)$.

The norm $N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha)$ is given by

$$N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha) = \sigma_1(\alpha) \cdots \sigma_n(\alpha).$$

Note $T_{\mathbb{Q}}^K$ is additive on K since for $\alpha, \beta \in K$

$$T_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha + \beta) = T_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha) + T_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\beta)$$

and also

$$N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha\beta) = N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha)N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\beta).$$

Since the embeddings σ_i fix elements of \mathbb{Q} , for $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ we have

$$T_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(r\alpha) = \sigma_1(r\alpha) + \cdots + \sigma_n(r\alpha) = r(\sigma_1(\alpha) + \cdots + \sigma_n(\alpha)) = rT_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha)$$

and

$$N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(r\alpha) = r^n N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha).$$

Also note $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ is contained in K so we can consider $N_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)}(\alpha)$ and $T_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)}(\alpha)$. These are coefficients in the minimal polynomial α .

$\implies N_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)}(\alpha)$ and $T_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)}(\alpha)$ are in \mathbb{Q} and are in \mathbb{Z} if α is an algebraic integer.

Theorem 19: Let K be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q} . Let $\alpha \in K$ and let $l = [K : \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)]$. Then

$$T_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha) = l T_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)}(\alpha)$$

and

$$N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha) = (N_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)}(\alpha))^l.$$

Proof: Each of the embeddings of $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ in \mathbb{C} which fix \mathbb{Q} extend to l distinct embeddings of K in \mathbb{C} which fix \mathbb{Q} by Theorem 4. The result follows.

Theorem 20: Let K be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q} and let $\alpha \in A \cap K$.

$$\alpha \text{ is a unit in } A \cap K \iff N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha) = \pm 1.$$

Proof:

\implies Since α is a unit there is a $\beta \in A \cap K$ with $\alpha\beta = 1$. Thus $N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha\beta) = N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(1) = 1$. But $N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha\beta) = N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha)N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\beta)$ and since $\alpha, \beta \in A \cap K$ we see that $N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha), N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\beta) \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence $N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha) = \pm 1$.

\Leftarrow Suppose $N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha) = \pm 1$. Then let $\sigma_1(\alpha) = \alpha, \sigma_2(\alpha), \dots, \sigma_n(\alpha)$ be the images of σ_i .

Thus

$$\alpha((-1)^t \sigma_2(\alpha) \cdots \sigma_n(\alpha)) = 1$$

where $t \in \{0, 1\}$. But $\beta = (-1)^t \sigma_2(\alpha) \cdots \sigma_n(\alpha)$ is in $A \cap K$ since $\beta = \frac{1}{\alpha} \in K$ and $\sigma_i(\alpha)$ is an algebraic integer for $i = 2, \dots, n$ hence $\beta \in A$. Thus

$$\beta \in A \cap K.$$

Theorem 20 \implies The set of units in $A \cap K$ is a group under multiplication hence a subgroup of \mathbb{C} . What happens in $A \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ when D is a squarefree integer with $D \neq 1$?

What is the unit group?

If $D \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ then to determine the unit group we must find all elements $l + m\sqrt{D}$ with $l, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ for which

$$N_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})}(l + m\sqrt{D}) = \pm 1 \tag{1}$$

hence for which $(l + m\sqrt{D})(l - m\sqrt{D}) = \pm 1 \implies l^2 - Dm^2 = \pm 1$. If $D \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ then we must also consider $\frac{l+m\sqrt{D}}{2}$ with l and m odd integers. Hence

$$N_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})}\left(\frac{l + m\sqrt{D}}{2}\right) = \frac{l^2 - Dm^2}{4} = \pm 1 \implies l^2 - Dm^2 = \pm 4. \tag{2}$$

Theorem 21: Let D be a squarefree negative integer. The units in $A \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ are ± 1 unless $D = -1$ in which case the units are $\pm 1, \pm i$ or $D = -3$ in which case the units are $\pm 1, \frac{\pm 1 \pm \sqrt{-3}}{2}$. Since D is negative we need only consider

$$l^2 - Dm^2 = +1 \text{ in (1)}$$

and

$$l^2 - Dm^2 = +4 \text{ in (2).}$$

If $-D \neq 1$ or -3 then the only solution of (1) in integers l and m is given by $l = \pm 1, m = 0$. Similarly if $D \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $D \neq -3$ there are no solutions of (2) with l odd. If $D = -1$ then (1) has the solutions $l = \pm 1, m = 0$ and $l = 0, m = \pm 1$.

If $D = -3$ and l and m are odd then the solutions (l, m) are given by $(\pm 1, \pm 1)$. Further if $D = -3$ then (1) has only the solutions $l = \pm 1, m = 0$ in integers l, m .

Theorem 22: Let D be a squarefree integer larger than 1. There is a unit ϵ in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ larger than 1 with the property that the group of units in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ is

$$\{(-1)^j \epsilon^k : j, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

PMATH 641 Lecture 10: January 30, 2013

Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ how well can we approximate it with rationals p/q ? How well can we approximate it in terms of q ?

Dirichlet's Theorem: If $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$ then

$$\text{there exists infinitely many } \frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ with } \left| \alpha - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{q^2}. \quad (*)$$

Lemma 23: Let α be a real *irrational* and let Q be an integer larger than 1. There exist integers p and q with $0 < p \leq Q$ such that $|p\alpha - q| < 1/Q$. Also we have *.

Proof: Note that * follows from our first claim since

$$|q\alpha - p| < \frac{1}{Q} \implies \left| \alpha - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{pQ}$$

Thus if we pick a Q , we find $|\alpha - \frac{p_1}{q_1}| < \frac{1}{q_1 Q_1} \leq \frac{1}{q_1^2}$ with $q_1 \leq Q_1$. But then since α is irrational $\exists Q_2$ such that $\frac{1}{Q_2} < |q_1\alpha - p_1|$ and so $\exists \frac{p_2}{q_2} \neq \frac{p_1}{q_1}$ with $|\alpha - \frac{p_2}{q_2}| < \frac{1}{q_2^2}$. Continuing in this way we get our claim.

For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we define $\{x\}$, the fractional part of x to be $x - [x]$. We consider the $Q + 1$ number $0, 1, \{\alpha\}, \{2\alpha\}, \dots, \{(Q-1)\alpha\}$. Thus there exists an integer j with $1 \leq j \leq Q$ such that two of the numbers are in $\{\frac{j-1}{Q}, \frac{j}{Q}\}$ by the pigeonhole principle.

Note 0 and 1 are not both in the interval since $Q > 1$. Thus either there exist i_1 and i_2 with $\{i_1\alpha\}, \{i_2\alpha\}$ in $[\frac{j-1}{Q}, \frac{j}{Q}]$ with $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq Q$ or there exist $t \in \{0, 1\}$ and i_1 with $1 \leq i_1 \leq Q$ with t and $\{i_1\alpha\}$ in $[\frac{j-1}{Q}, \frac{j}{Q}]$.

Then $|\{i_1\alpha\} - \{i_2\alpha\}| \leq 1/Q$ in the first case and $|t - \{i_1\alpha\}| \leq 1/Q$ in the second case. But $\{i_j\alpha\} = i_j\alpha - [i_j\alpha]$ for $j = 1, 2$. Thus in the first case $|\{i_1\alpha\} - \{i_2\alpha\}| = |(i_1 - i_2)\alpha - ([i_1\alpha] - [i_2\alpha])|$ and we take $q = i_1 - i_2$ and $p = [i_1\alpha] - [i_2\alpha]$. Since $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$ we see that $|q\alpha - p| < 1/Q$ as required. The second case follows in a similar fashion.

Proof of Theorem 22: We'll first find a unit γ in $A \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ which is positive and different from 1. To show this we'll prove there exist a positive integer m and ∞ -ly many $\beta \in A \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ for which $N_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})}(\beta) = N\beta = m$. Let $\beta = p + q\sqrt{D}$ with $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}, q \neq 0$. Then $N\beta = (p + q\sqrt{D})(p - q\sqrt{D}) = p^2 - Dq^2$. Then

$$|N\beta| = \left| \frac{p}{q} - \sqrt{D} \right| q^2 \left| \frac{p}{q} + \sqrt{D} \right|$$

We can find, by Dirichlet's Theorem, p, q with $|\frac{p}{q} - \sqrt{D}| < 1/q^2$ and then this implies $|\frac{p}{q} + \sqrt{D}| < 2\sqrt{D} + 1$ hence $|N\beta| < 2\sqrt{D} + 1$ for ∞ -ly many pairs p, q with $(p, q) = 1$.

But $N\beta$ is an integer and so there is an integer m with $1 \leq |m| \leq 2\sqrt{D} + 1$ and ∞ -ly many $\beta \in A \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ for which $N\beta = m$. We now choose an infinite subset of the β s so that if $\beta_1 = p_1 + q_1\sqrt{D}$ and $\beta_2 = p_2 + q_2\sqrt{D}$ are in the set then

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 &\equiv p_2 \pmod{m} \text{ and} \\ q_1 &\equiv q_2 \pmod{m}. \end{aligned}$$

We now take from this subset β_1 and β_2 for which $\beta_1/\beta_2 \neq -1$ and consider β_1/β_2 .

$$\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2} = 1 + \frac{\beta_1 - \beta_2}{\beta_2} = 1 + \frac{(\beta_1 - \beta_2)\tilde{\beta}_2}{N\beta_2}$$

where $\tilde{\beta}_2$ is the conjugate of β_2 . Thus

$$\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2} = 1 + \left(\frac{\beta_1 - \beta_2}{m}\right)\tilde{\beta}_2 \in A \cap K.$$

Similarly $\beta_2/\beta_1 \in A \cap K$. Therefore β_1/β_2 is a unit in $A \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$. It is not -1 by construction and so it is not a root of unity. Thus one of $\pm\beta_1/\beta_2$ is a positive unit different from 1. Thus there is a unit γ larger than 1.

PMATH 641 Lecture 11: February 1, 2013

Let

$$S = \{\gamma : \gamma \text{ a unit in } \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D}) \cap A \text{ with } \gamma > 0\}.$$

We showed there exists an element γ_0 in S different from 1. By taking inverses if necessary we may suppose that $\gamma_0 > 1$.

But the elements of $A \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D}) \cap \mathbb{R}^+$ are of the form $\frac{l+m\sqrt{D}}{2}$ with $l, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus there are only finitely many elements of $A \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ larger than 1 and less than or equal to γ_0 . Let ϵ be the smallest elements of S with $1 < \epsilon \leq \gamma_0$.

We claim $S = \{\epsilon^n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

Suppose that there is a unit λ in S which is not a power of ϵ . Then choose $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\epsilon^n < \lambda < \epsilon^{n+1}.$$

Consider $\lambda/\epsilon^n = \lambda(\epsilon^{-1})^n \in S$ since

$$N(\lambda(\epsilon^{-1})^n) = N(\lambda)(N(\epsilon^{-1}))^n = \pm 1.$$

But $1 < \lambda/\epsilon^n < \epsilon$ contradicting the minimality of ϵ . The result follows.

Theorem 24: Let K, L, M be finite extensions of \mathbb{Q} with $K \subseteq L \subseteq M$. Let $\alpha \in M$ then $\text{Tr}_K^M(\alpha) = \text{Tr}_K^L(\text{Tr}_L^M(\alpha))$ and $N_K^M(\alpha) = N_K^L(N_L^M(\alpha))$.

Let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ be the embeddings of L in \mathbb{C} which fix K . Let τ_1, \dots, τ_m be the embeddings of M in \mathbb{C} which fix L .

If $\alpha \in M$ then

$$\text{Tr}_K^L(\text{Tr}_K^L(\alpha)) = \text{Tr}_K^L(\tau_1(\alpha) + \dots + \tau_m(\alpha)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(\tau_1(\alpha) + \dots + \tau_m(\alpha)). \quad (*)$$

Let N be a normal extension of M . We can extend $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ to embeddings of N in \mathbb{C} which fix K , let us choose $\sigma'_1, \dots, \sigma'_n$. These are automorphisms of N which fix K . Let τ'_1, \dots, τ'_m be embeddings of N in \mathbb{C} which fix L .

We can compose σ'_i and τ'_j and we put $\sigma'_i \circ \tau'_j|_M$ to be the restriction of $\sigma'_i \circ \tau'_j$ to M . By *

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}_K^L(\text{Tr}_L^M(\alpha)) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma'_i(\tau_1(\alpha) + \dots + \tau'_m(\alpha)) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \sigma'_i \circ \tau'_j(\alpha) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \sigma'_i \circ \tau'_j|_M(\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\sigma'_i \circ \tau'_j|_M$ is an embedding of M in \mathbb{C} which fixes K . If we can show that $\sigma'_i \circ \tau'_j|_M$ are distinct as we sum over i and j then they are the nm distinct embeddings of M in \mathbb{C} which fix K and the result follows.

Suppose that $\sigma'_i \circ \sigma'_j|_M = \sigma'_r \circ \tau'_s|_M$. Next let γ be such that $L = K[\gamma]$.

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{Then } \sigma'_i \circ \tau'_j|_M(\gamma) = \sigma'_i(\gamma) = \sigma_i(\gamma) \\ \text{and } \sigma'_r \circ \tau'_s|_M(\gamma) = \sigma'_r(\gamma) = \sigma_r(\gamma) \end{array} \right\} i = r.$$

Next choose θ such that $M = L(\theta)$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \sigma'_i \circ \tau'_j|_M(\theta) = \tau'_j(\theta) = \tau_j(\theta) \\ \sigma'_i \circ \tau'_s|_M(\theta) = \tau'_s(\theta) = \tau_s(\theta) \end{array} \right\} j = s.$$

Similarly for the norm.

Definition: Let K be an extension of \mathbb{Q} of degree n and let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ be the embeddings of K in \mathbb{C} which fix \mathbb{Q} . Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be elements of K . We define the discriminant of the set $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$, denoted by $\text{disc}\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$, by

$$\text{disc}\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} = (\det(\sigma_i(\alpha_j)))^2.$$

Note by properties of the determinant that the order in which we take the α_i s or in which we take the σ_i s does not matter.

Theorem 25: Let K be an extension of \mathbb{Q} of degree n . Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be elements of K . Then

$$\text{disc}\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} = \det(\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha_i \alpha_j)).$$

Proof: Let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ be the embeddings of K in \mathbb{C} which fix \mathbb{Q} .

$$(\sigma_j(\alpha_i))(\sigma_i(\alpha_j)) = (\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha_i \alpha_j)). \quad (*)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{disc}\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} &= (\det(\sigma_i(\alpha_j)))^2 \\ &= \det(\sigma_j(\alpha_i)) \cdot \det(\sigma_i(\alpha_j)) \\ &= \det((\sigma_j(\alpha_i)) \cdot (\sigma_i(\alpha_j))) \\ &= \det(\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha_i \alpha_j)) \text{ by } *. \end{aligned}$$

Remark: Since $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha_i \alpha_j) \in \mathbb{Q}$ we see that $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} \in \mathbb{Q}$. Further if $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ are in $A \cap K$ then $\alpha_i \alpha_j \in A \cap K$ and so $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha_i \alpha_j) \in \mathbb{Z} \implies \text{disc}\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

PMATH 641 Lecture 12: February 4, 2013

Let $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = n$. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ and β_1, \dots, β_n be bases for K (as a vector space over \mathbb{Q}). Write

$$\beta_k = \sum_{j=1}^n c_{kj} \alpha_j.$$

Then

$$(c_{kj}) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ and β_1, \dots, β_n are bases we see that the matrix (c_{kj}) is invertible hence that $\det(c_{kj}) \neq 0$.

Let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ be the embeddings of K in \mathbb{C} which fix \mathbb{Q} .

$$\begin{aligned} (c_{kj}) \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_t(\alpha_1) \\ \vdots \\ \sigma_t(\alpha_n) \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_t(\beta_1) \\ \vdots \\ \sigma_t(\beta_n) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } t = 1, \dots, n. \\ (c_{kj}) \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(\alpha_1) & \cdots & \sigma_n(\alpha_1) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \sigma_1(\alpha_n) & \cdots & \sigma_n(\alpha_n) \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(\beta_1) & \cdots & \sigma_n(\beta_1) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \sigma_1(\beta_n) & \cdots & \sigma_n(\beta_n) \end{pmatrix} \\ (\det(c_{kj}))^2 \operatorname{disc}\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} &= \operatorname{disc}\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\}. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Suppose that $K = \mathbb{Q}[\theta]$. Then $1, \theta, \dots, \theta^{n-1}$ is a basis for K over \mathbb{Q} . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{disc}\{1, \theta, \dots, \theta^{n-1}\} &= \left(\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sigma_1(\theta) & \cdots & \sigma_1(\theta^{n-1}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & \sigma_n(\theta) & \cdots & \sigma_n(\theta^{n-1}) \end{pmatrix} \right)^2 \\ &= \left(\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sigma_1(\theta) & \cdots & (\sigma_1(\theta))^{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & \sigma_n(\theta) & \cdots & (\sigma_n(\theta))^{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \right)^2 \\ &= \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\sigma_i(\theta) - \sigma_j(\theta)) \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

But note that $\sigma_i(\theta) \neq \sigma_j(\theta)$ for $i \neq j$ hence $\operatorname{disc}\{1, \theta, \dots, \theta^{n-1}\} \neq 0$.

Thus by (1) whenever $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ is a basis for K over \mathbb{Q} , $\operatorname{disc}\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} \neq 0$.

Remark: If $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and K is normal over \mathbb{Q} then by (1) whenever $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ is a basis for K over \mathbb{Q} we see that

$$\operatorname{disc}\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Theorem 27: Let $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = n$ and let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be in K .

$$\operatorname{disc}\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} = 0 \iff \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \text{ are linearly independent over } \mathbb{Q}.$$

Proof: \Leftarrow Immediate from the definition of discriminant.

$\Rightarrow \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ is not a basis $\implies \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ are linearly dependent over \mathbb{Q} .

Note: The following is useful for computing the discriminant of $\{1, \theta, \dots, \theta^{n-1}\}$ when $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$. Let f be the minimal polynomial of θ over \mathbb{Q} . Then

$$\operatorname{disc}\{1, \theta, \dots, \theta^{n-1}\} = (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(f'(\theta)).$$

To see this let $\theta = \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ be the conjugates of θ . Then

$$f(x) = (x - \theta_1) \cdots (x - \theta_n)$$

and

$$f'(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n (x - \theta_1) \cdots \widehat{(x - \theta_j)} \cdots (x - \theta_n)$$

where $\widehat{(x - \theta_j)}$ means this term is removed from the product. Thus

$$f'(\theta_i) = (\theta_i - \theta_1) \cdots (\theta_i - \theta_n) \quad \text{where } (\theta_i - \theta_i) \text{ is removed}$$

Further

$$N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(f'(\theta)) = \prod_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(f'(\theta)) = \prod_{i=1}^n f'(\theta_i) = \prod_{i \neq j} (\theta_i - \theta_j)$$

Note that for $i \neq j$

$$(\theta_i - \theta_j) \cdot (\theta_j - \theta_i) = (-1) \cdot (\theta_i - \theta_j)^2$$

so

$$N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(f'(\theta)) = (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\theta_i - \theta_j) \right)^2$$

and our result follows.

Suppose $K = \mathbb{Q}[\theta]$, $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = n$. Then we abbreviate $\text{disc}\{1, \theta, \dots, \theta^{n-1}\}$ to $\text{disc}(\theta)$.

Theorem 28: Let n be a positive integer. In $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ we have that $\text{disc}(\zeta_n)$ divides $n^{\phi(n)}$. Further if n is a prime we have

$$\text{disc}(\zeta_n) = (-1)^{(p-1)/2} p^{p-2}.$$

Proof: We know that $\Phi_n(x)$ is the minimal polynomial for ζ_n . We have

$$\begin{aligned} x^n - 1 &= \Phi_n(x) \cdot g(x) \text{ with } g \in \mathbb{Z}[x]. \\ \implies nx^{n-1} &= \Phi'_n(x) \cdot g(x) + \Phi_n(x) \cdot g'(x). \\ \implies n\zeta_n^{n-1} &= \Phi'_n(\zeta_n) \cdot g(\zeta_n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} N_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)}(n) N_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)} &= N_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)}(\Phi'_n(\zeta_n)) \cdot N_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)}(g(\zeta_n)) \\ n^{\phi(n)} &= ((-1)^{n(n-1)/2} \text{disc}(\zeta_n)) \cdot N_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)}(g(\zeta_n)) \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

PMATH 641 Lecture 13: February 6, 2013

Assignment #2 Typos: Q1(b) 2 · 3, Q3 $Q(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$.

Proof of Theorem 28

$$N_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)}(n) = N_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)}(\zeta_n) N_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)}(\Phi'_n(\zeta_n)) N_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)} \quad (*)$$

where $x^n - 1 = \Phi_n(x) \cdot g(x)$ with $g \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Now take $n = p$, a prime in $*$.

$$\begin{aligned} N_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)}(p) &= N_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)}(\zeta_p) N_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)}(\Phi'_p(\zeta_p)) N_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)}(g(\zeta_p)) \\ p^{p-1} &= \zeta_p^{p(p-1)/2} (-1)^{(p-1)(p-2)/2} \text{disc}(\zeta_p) N_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)}(g(\zeta_p)) \\ p^{p-1} &= (-1)^{(p-1)/2} \text{disc}(\zeta_p) \cdot N_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)}(g(\zeta_p)) \end{aligned}$$

But $x^p - 1 = \Phi(x)(x - 1)$ so $g(x) = x - 1$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} N_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)}(g(\zeta_p)) &= N_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)}(\zeta_p - 1) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} (\zeta_p^j - 1) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} (1 - \zeta_p^j) \\ &= \Phi(1) \end{aligned}$$

and since $\Phi_p(x) = \frac{x^p-1}{x-1} = 1 + x + \dots + x^{p-1}$ we see that $\Phi_p(1) = p$. Thus

$$\text{disc}(\zeta_p) = (-1)^{(p-1)/2} \cdot p^{p-2}.$$

Definition: Let K be an extension of \mathbb{Q} of degree n . A set of n algebraic integers $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ in K is said to be an integral basis for K if every algebraic integer in K can be uniquely expressed as an integral linear combination of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$.

Remarks: If $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ is an integral basis for K over \mathbb{Q} then it is a basis for K over \mathbb{Q} . To see this note that if γ is in K then there is a positive integer r such that $r\gamma \in A \cap K$. But then since $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ is an integral basis there exist integers a_1, \dots, a_n such that

$$\begin{aligned} r\gamma &= a_1\alpha_1 + \dots + a_n\alpha_n \\ \gamma &= \frac{a_1}{r}\alpha_1 + \dots + \frac{a_n}{r}\alpha_n \end{aligned}$$

so γ is a \mathbb{Q} -linear combination of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$. Further $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} and this follows since $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = n$.

Theorem 29: Let $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = n$. Then there exists an integral basis for K .

Proof: Consider the set of bases for K over \mathbb{Q} which are made up of algebraic integers. The set is non-empty since there exists an algebraic integer θ such that $K = \mathbb{Q}[\theta]$. Then $\{1, \theta, \dots, \theta^{n-1}\}$ is a basis of algebraic integers.

Let $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ be a basis for K comprised of algebraic integers for which $|\text{disc}\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}|$ is minimal. We claim that $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ is an integral basis for K . Suppose that it is not an integral basis. Then there exists an element γ in $\mathbb{A} \cap K$ which is not an integral linear combination of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$.

But $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ is a basis and so $\exists r_1, \dots, r_n \in \mathbb{Q}$ with

$$\gamma = r_1\alpha_1 + \dots + r_n\alpha_n.$$

By reordering we may suppose that $r_1 \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Put $b_1 = r_1 - [r_1]$ and note $0 < b_1 < 1$. Note that $\gamma - [r_1]\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{A} \cap K$ and

$$\gamma - [r_1]\alpha_1 = b_1\alpha_1 + r_2\alpha_2 + \dots + r_n\alpha_n.$$

Further observe that $\{\gamma - [r_1]\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ is also a basis for K over \mathbb{Q} consisting of algebraic integers. But

$$\begin{aligned} \text{disc}\{\gamma - [r_1]\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\} &= \left(\det \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & r_2 & \dots & r_n \\ & \ddots & & 0 \\ 0 & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right)^2 \text{disc}\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} \\ &= b_1^2 |\text{disc}\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}| \end{aligned}$$

and since $0 < b_1^2 < 1$ we have a contradiction. The result follows.

Theorem 30: Let K be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q} . All integral bases for K have the same discriminant.

Proof: Let $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\}$ be integral bases for K . Then

$$\alpha_j = \sum_{k=1}^n c_{jk}\beta_k \quad \text{with } c_{jk} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Thus

$$\text{disc}\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} = (\det(c_{jk}))^2 \text{disc}\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\}.$$

Note $(\det(c_{jk}))^2 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Thus

$$\text{disc}\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\} \mid \text{disc}\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}.$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{disc}\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} \mid \text{disc}\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\}. \\ \implies & \text{disc}\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} = \pm\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\} \end{aligned}$$

and since $(\det(c_{jk}))^2$ is positive the result follows.

PMATH 641 Lecture 14: February 11, 2013

Definition: Let K be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q} . The discriminant of K is the discriminant of an integral basis for K over \mathbb{Q} .

How about quadratic extensions?

Let D be a squarefree non-zero integer. If $D \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ then $1, \sqrt{D}$ is an integral basis for $\mathbb{A} \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$.

$$\implies \text{disc } \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D}) = \left(\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{D} \\ 1 & -\sqrt{D} \end{pmatrix} \right)^2 = 4D.$$

If $D \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ then $1, (1 + \sqrt{D})/2$ is an integral basis so

$$\text{disc}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})) = \left(\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1+\sqrt{D}}{2} \\ 1 & \frac{1-\sqrt{D}}{2} \end{pmatrix} \right)^2 = D.$$

Next we'll show that if p is a prime then $\text{disc}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)) = (-1)^{(p-1)/2} p^{p-2}$. This will follow provided we show that $1, \zeta_p, \dots, \zeta_p^{p-1}$ is an integral basis for $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{A} \cap \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p) = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p].$$

More generally we'll show that if $n > 1$ that $\mathbb{A} \cap \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$, hence that $1, \zeta_n, \dots, \zeta_n^{\phi(n)-1}$ is an integral basis for $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$.

Theorem 31: Let K be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q} . Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be a basis for K over \mathbb{Q} consisting of algebraic integers. Let d be the discriminant of $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$. Then if $\alpha \in \mathbb{A} \cap K$ there exist integers m_1, \dots, m_n with $d \mid m_i^2$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ such that

$$\alpha = \frac{m_1\alpha_1 + \dots + m_n\alpha_n}{d}.$$

Proof: Since $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ is a basis for K over \mathbb{Q} there exist rationals a_1, \dots, a_n such that

$$\alpha = a_1\alpha_1 + \dots + a_n\alpha_n.$$

Let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ be the embeddings of K in \mathbb{C} which fix \mathbb{Q} . Then

$$\sigma_j(\alpha) = a_1\sigma_j(\alpha_1) + \dots + a_n\sigma_j(\alpha_n) \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Thus

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(\alpha_1) & \cdots & \sigma_1(\alpha_n) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \sigma_n(\alpha_1) & \cdots & \sigma_n(\alpha_n) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(\alpha) \\ \vdots \\ \sigma_n(\alpha) \end{pmatrix}$$

By Cramer's rule

$$a_j = \frac{\det \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(\alpha) & \cdots & \sigma_1(\alpha)^3 & \cdots & \sigma_1(\alpha_n) \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \sigma_n(\alpha) & \cdots & \sigma_n(\alpha) & \cdots & \sigma_n(\alpha_n) \end{pmatrix}}{\det \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(\alpha_1) & \cdots & \sigma(\alpha_1) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \sigma_n(\alpha_1) & \cdots & \sigma_n(\alpha_n) \end{pmatrix}}.$$

Since α and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ are in $\mathbb{A} \cap K$ and $d = \text{disc}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ we see that

$$a_j = \frac{\gamma_j}{\delta} \quad \text{where } \gamma_j \in \mathbb{A} \cap K$$

and where $\delta^2 = d$, for $j = 1, \dots, n$.

Then

$$da_j = \delta\gamma_j \in \mathbb{A} \cap K \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, n.$$

But $da_j \in \mathbb{Q}$ so da_j is an integer say m_j . It remains to show that $d \mid m_j^2$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. But

$$\frac{m_j^2}{d} = \frac{\delta^2 \gamma_j^2}{d} = \gamma_j^2 \in \mathbb{A} \cap K \implies \frac{m_j^2}{d} \in \mathbb{Z} \implies d \mid m_j^2.$$

Let $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = n$ and let $K = \mathbb{Q}[\theta]$. Then for each embedding σ of K in \mathbb{C} which fixes \mathbb{Q} either $\sigma(\theta) \in \mathbb{R}$ or it is not. In the latter case there is another embedding $\sigma(\theta)$ since $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Therefore $n = r_1 + 2r_2$ where r_1 is the number of embeddings of K in \mathbb{C} which fix \mathbb{Q} which embed K in \mathbb{R} and $2r_2$ is the number of other embeddings.

Proposition 32: Let K be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q} with r_1 real embeddings and $2r_2$ complex and not real embeddings. Then the sign of the dimension of K over \mathbb{Q} is $(-1)^{r_2}$.

Proof: Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be an integral basis for K over \mathbb{Q} and let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ be the embeddings of K in \mathbb{C} which fix \mathbb{Q} .

Then

$$\text{disc}(K) = \left(\det \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(\alpha_1) & \cdots & \sigma_1(\alpha_n) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \sigma_n(\alpha_1) & \cdots & \sigma_n(\alpha_n) \end{pmatrix} \right)^2. \quad (*)$$

Note that

$$\overline{\det \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(\alpha_1) & \cdots & \sigma_1(\alpha_n) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \sigma_n(\alpha_1) & \cdots & \sigma_n(\alpha_n) \end{pmatrix}} = (-1)^{r_2} \det \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(\alpha_1) & \cdots & \sigma_1(\alpha_n) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \sigma_n(\alpha_1) & \cdots & \sigma_n(\alpha_n) \end{pmatrix}$$

since we are interchanging r_2 rows under complex conjugation. If r_2 is even then $\det \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(\alpha_1) & \cdots & \sigma_1(\alpha_n) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \sigma_n(\alpha_1) & \cdots & \sigma_n(\alpha_n) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}$

while if r_2 is odd then $\det \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(\alpha_1) & \cdots & \sigma_1(\alpha_n) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \sigma_n(\alpha_1) & \cdots & \sigma_n(\alpha_n) \end{pmatrix}$ is purely imaginary. The result follows from *.

We'll first prove that if p is a prime and $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ then $\mathbb{A} \cap \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^r}) = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{p^r}]$.

Note that

$$\Phi_{p^r}(x) = \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ (j,p)=1}}^{p^r} (x - \zeta_{p^r}^j).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{p^r}(x) &= \frac{x^{p^r} - 1}{x^{p^{r-1}} - 1} = (x^{p^{r-1}})^{p-1} + \cdots + x^{p^{r-1}} + 1 \\ \implies \Phi_{p^r}(1) &= p \text{ hence } \prod_{j=1}^{p^r} (1 - \zeta_{p^r}^j) = p. \end{aligned}$$

PMATH 641 Lecture 15: February 13, 2013

Recall that if p is a prime and $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ then

$$p = \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ (j,p^r)=1}}^{p^r} (1 - \zeta_{p^r}^j).$$

Theorem 33: Let p be a prime and let $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then $\mathbb{A} \cap \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^r}) = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{p^r}]$.

Proof: Note that $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^r}) = \mathbb{Q}(1 - \zeta_{p^r})$. Put $s = \phi(p^r)$. Then $1, 1 - \zeta_{p^r}, \dots, (1 - \zeta_{p^r})^{s-1}$ is a basis for $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^r})$ over \mathbb{Q} consisting of algebraic integers. By Theorem 31 if $\alpha \in \mathbb{A} \cap \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^r})$ then there exist integers m_0, \dots, m_{s-1} such that

$$\alpha = \frac{m_0 + m_1(1 - \zeta_{p^r}) + \dots + m_{s-1}(1 - \zeta_{p^r})^{s-1}}{\text{disc}(1 - \zeta_{p^r})}.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \text{disc}(1 - \zeta_{p^r}) &= \left(\prod_{\substack{1 \leq i, j \leq p^r \\ (i,p)=1, (j,p)=1}} ((1 - \zeta_{p^r}^i) - (1 - \zeta_{p^r}^j)) \right)^2 \\ &= \left(\prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq j \leq p^r \\ (i,p)=1, (j,p)=1}} (\zeta_{p^r}^i - \zeta_{p^r}^j) \right)^2 = \text{disc}(\zeta_{p^r}). \end{aligned}$$

But $\text{disc}(\zeta_{p^r})$ is a power of p and so we can write α in the form

$$\alpha = \frac{m_0 + m_1(1 - \zeta_{p^r}) + \dots + m_{s-1}(1 - \zeta_{p^r})^{s-1}}{p^j} \quad \text{for some integer } j.$$

Suppose $\mathbb{A} \cap \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^r}) \neq \mathbb{Z}[1 - \zeta_{p^r}]$, in other words there exists an $\alpha \in \mathbb{A} \cap \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^r})$ of the form

$$\alpha = \frac{l_0 + l_1(1 - \zeta_{p^r}) + \dots + l_{s-1}(1 - \zeta_{p^r})^{s-1}}{p}$$

where l_0, \dots, l_{s-1} are integers not all divisible by p . Let i be the smallest integer for which $p \nmid l_i$. Then

$$\frac{l_i(1 - \zeta_{p^r})^i + \dots + l_{s-1}(1 - \zeta_{p^r})^{s-1}}{p}$$

is in $\mathbb{A} \cap \mathbb{Q}(1 - \zeta_{p^r})$.

For every positive integer k , $1 - x$ divides $1 - x^k$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. Recall that

$$p = \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ (k,p)=1}}^{p^r} (1 - \zeta_{p^r}^k)$$

and so

$$p = (1 - \zeta_{p^r})^s \cdot \lambda \quad \text{where } \lambda \in \mathbb{A}.$$

Thus

$$(1 - \zeta_{p^r})^{s-(i+1)} \cdot \lambda \left(\frac{l_i(1 - \zeta_{p^r})^i + \dots + l_{s-1}(1 - \zeta_{p^r})^{s-1}}{p} \right) \in \mathbb{A}$$

hence

$$\left(\frac{l_i(1 - \zeta_{p^r})^i + \dots + l_{s-1}(1 - \zeta_{p^r})^{s-1}}{(1 - \zeta_{p^r})^{i+1}} \right) \in \mathbb{A}.$$

Thus $l_i/(1 - \zeta_{p^r}) \in \mathbb{A}$ say is γ . But then $\gamma(1 - \zeta_{p^r}) = l_i$ and hence

$$N_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^r})}(\gamma) \cdot N_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^r})}(1 - \zeta_{p^r}) = N_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^r})}(l_i).$$

But then since $N_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^r})}(1 - \zeta_{p^r})$ is p we see that $p \mid l_i^s$ hence $p \mid l_i$ which is a contradiction. Thus $\mathbb{A} \cap \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^r}) = \mathbb{Z}[1 - \zeta_{p^r}]$ and since $\mathbb{Z}[1 - \zeta_{p^r}] = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{p^r}]$ our result follows.

Let L and K be finite extensions of \mathbb{Q} . We denote by LK , the compositum of L and K the smallest field containing $L \cup K$.

Lemma 34: Let $[L : \mathbb{Q}] = m$ and $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = n$ and suppose $[LK : \mathbb{Q}] = mn$. Let σ be an embedding of L in \mathbb{C} which fixes \mathbb{Q} and let τ be an embedding of K in \mathbb{C} which fixes \mathbb{Q} . Then there is an embedding of LK which when restricted to L is σ and when restricted to K is τ .

Proof: For each embedding σ of L we can consider the extensions of σ to embeddings of LK . There are n of them. Restricted to K there are n again. But there are exactly n embeddings of K and so one of them is τ .

Theorem 35: Let $[L : \mathbb{Q}] = m$, $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = n$ and $[LK : \mathbb{Q}] = mn$. Then

$$\mathbb{A} \cap LK \subseteq \frac{1}{d}(\mathbb{A} \cap K)(\mathbb{A} \cap L)$$

where $d = \gcd(\text{disc}(K), \text{disc}(L))$.

Proof: Ingredients: Lemma 34 and Cramer's Rule.

See Notes.

PMATH 641 Lecture 16: February 15, 2013

Theorem 36: Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then

$$\mathbb{A} \cap \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n].$$

Proof: By induction on the number of prime factors of n . Result true for $n = 1$. If n has one prime factor the result follows from Theorem 33. Suppose now that

$$n = p_1^{l_1} \cdots p_k^{l_k}$$

with $l_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and p_1, \dots, p_k distinct primes. By the inductive hypothesis

$$\mathbb{A} \cap \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p_1^{l_1} \cdots p_{k-1}^{l_{k-1}}}) = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{p_1^{l_1} \cdots p_{k-1}^{l_{k-1}}}]$$

and

$$\mathbb{A} \cap \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p_k^{l_k}}) = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{p_k^{l_k}}].$$

Note that the compositum of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p_1^{l_1} \cdots p_{k-1}^{l_{k-1}}})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p_k^{l_k}})$ is $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ since we can find integers g and h for which

$$\zeta_{p_1^{l_1} \cdots p_{k-1}^{l_{k-1}}}^g \cdot \zeta_{p_k^{l_k}}^h = \zeta_n.$$

By Theorem 23

$$\gcd(\text{disc}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p_1^{l_1} \cdots p_{k-1}^{l_{k-1}}}), \text{disc}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p_k^{l_k}}))) = 1.$$

We now apply Theorem 35 to conclude that

$$\mathbb{A} \cap \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) \subseteq \mathbb{A} \cap \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p_1^{l_1} \cdots p_{k-1}^{l_{k-1}}}) \cdot \mathbb{A} \cap \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p_k^{l_k}}).$$

But by (1) and (2)

$$\mathbb{A} \cap \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p_1^{l_1} \cdots p_{k-1}^{l_{k-1}}}) \cdot \mathbb{A} \cap \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p_k^{l_k}}) = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{p_1^{l_1} \cdots p_{k-1}^{l_{k-1}}}] \cdot \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{p_k^{l_k}}]$$

which is

$$= \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n] \implies \mathbb{A} \cap \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n].$$

General problem: Given a finite extension K of \mathbb{Q} how does one compute the discriminant of K ? Find a θ which is an algebraic integer so that $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$. Determine the discriminant of θ . If it is squarefree then it is the discriminant of K . We have seen that if $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = n$ then

$$\text{disc}(\theta) = (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(f'(\theta))$$

where f is the minimal polynomial of θ over \mathbb{Q} . Suppose that $f, g \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ with

$$f(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0$$

and

$$g(x) = b_m x^m + \cdots + b_1 x + b_0.$$

We define the resultant $R(f, g)$ by

$$\det \left(\begin{array}{cccccc} a_n & a_{n-1} & \cdots & a_0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ & a_n & a_{n-1} & \cdots & a_0 & & \\ & & \ddots & & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & a_n & a_{n-1} & \cdots & a_0 \\ b_m & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & b_0 & \cdots & 0 \\ & \ddots & & & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & b_m & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & b_0 \end{array} \right) \left. \begin{array}{l} \vphantom{\det} \\ \vphantom{\det} \\ \vphantom{\det} \\ \vphantom{\det} \\ \vphantom{\det} \\ \vphantom{\det} \\ \vphantom{\det} \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} m \text{ rows} \\ n \text{ rows} \end{array}$$

Fact

(1) $R(f, g) = 0 \iff f$ and g have a common root.

(2) $\text{disc}(\theta) = (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} R(f, f')$.

Example: Let $f(x) = x^3 - 5x + 1$. By Rational Roots Theorem since $f(1) \neq 1$, $f(-1) \neq 1$, we see that f is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} . Let θ be a root of f and put $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$. What is $\text{disc}(K)$?

First, what is $\text{disc}(\theta)$? Thus

$$\begin{aligned} R(f, f') &= \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -5 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -5 & 1 \\ 3 & 0 & -5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 & -5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & -5 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -5 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -5 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 10 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 & -5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & -5 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -5 & 1 \\ 0 & 10 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 10 & -3 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 & -5 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \det \begin{pmatrix} 10 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 10 & -3 \\ 3 & 0 & -5 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= 10(-50) + 27 = -473 = -11 \cdot 43 \end{aligned}$$

By (2) we see that $\text{disc}(\theta) = 473$. Since 473 is squarefree we see that

$$\text{disc}(K) = 473.$$

Example: 2 Let $f(x) = x^3 + x^2 - 2x + 8$. Again f is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} by Rational Roots Theorem. Let θ be a root of f and put $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$. Further

$$R(f, f') = \det(\) = -4 \cdot 503.$$

We now try to modify the basis $1, \theta, \theta^2$ in the hope of getting an integral basis. We can check that $(\theta + \theta^2)/2$ is an algebraic integer.

PMATH 641 Lecture 17: February 25, 2013

Recall: Let $f(x) = x^3 + x^2 - 2x + 8$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} . Let θ be a root of f . Put $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$. We have $\text{disc}(\theta) = -R(f, f') = -4 \cdot 503$.

Let $\theta = \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$ be the conjugates of θ . We can check that

$$g(x) = \prod_{i=1}^3 \left(x - \frac{\theta_i^2 + \theta_i}{2} \right)$$

is in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. Thus $\frac{\theta^2 + \theta}{2}$ is an algebraic integer. Then $\text{disc}(1, \theta, \frac{\theta^2 + \theta}{2}) = -503$. Thus $1, \theta, \frac{\theta^2 + \theta}{2}$ is an integral basis for K since 503 is squarefree and $\text{disc}(K) = -503$.

The question still remains: *is there* an integral power basis for K ? In other words, is there $\lambda \in \mathbb{A} \cap K$ such that $1, \lambda, \lambda^2$ is an integral basis?

Suppose we have such a λ . Then there exist integers a, b , and c so that

$$\lambda = a + b\theta + c\left(\frac{\theta^2 + \theta}{2}\right)$$

but then

$$\lambda^2 = A + B\theta + C\left(\frac{\theta^2 + \theta}{2}\right)$$

where $A = (a^2 - 2c^2 - 8bc)$, $B = (-2c^2 + 2ab + 2bc - b^2)$, and $C = (2b^2 + 2ac + c^2)$. Note

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \lambda \\ \lambda^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a & b & c \\ A & B & C \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \theta \\ \frac{\theta^2 + \theta}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

so

$$\text{disc}(\lambda) = \left(\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a & b & c \\ A & B & C \end{pmatrix} \right)^2 \text{disc}\left(1, \theta, \frac{\theta^2 + \theta}{2}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a & b & c \\ A & B & C \end{pmatrix} \cdot (-503).$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a & b & c \\ A & B & C \end{pmatrix} \right)^2 &= (bC - Bc)^2 \\ &= (2b^3 - bc^2 + b^2c + 2c^3)^2 \\ &\equiv (b^2c - 2bc^2)^2 \pmod{2} \\ &\equiv (bc(b - c))^2 \pmod{2} \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{2} \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\text{disc}(\lambda) \neq -503$ and so no integral power basis exists.

$[K : \mathbb{Q}] < \infty$. An element α in $\mathbb{A} \cap K$ which is not zero and not a unit is said to be an irreducible of $\mathbb{A} \cap K$ if whenever $\alpha = \beta\gamma$ with β and γ in $\mathbb{A} \cap K$ then β is a unit or γ is a unit. We've seen that we don't have unique factorization into irreducibles up to units and reordering in $\mathbb{A} \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5})$, up to units and reordering in $\mathbb{A} \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5})$.

To recover unique factorization we pass to prime ideals in the ring.

Recall that an ideal P in a commutative ring with identity is a prime ideal \iff whenever $ab \in P$ with $a, b \in R$ then $a \in P$ or $b \in P$. Also an integral domain is a commutative ring with identity with no zero divisors.

Suppose R is a subfield of a ring S . Then θ in S is said to be integral over R if it is the root of a monic polynomial with coefficients in R . R is integrally closed in S if whenever $\theta \in S$ is integral over R then $\theta \in R$.

Definition: A Dedekind domain R is an integral domain for which

- (1) Every ideal in R is finitely generated.
- (2) Every non-zero prime ideal in R is maximal
- (3) R is integrally closed in its field of fractions.

Proposition 37: Let $[L : \mathbb{Q}] < \infty$. Let I be a non-zero ideal in $\mathbb{A} \cap K$. There is a positive integer in I .

Proof: Since I is non-zero there exists an $\alpha \in I$ with $\alpha \neq 0$. Let $\alpha = \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be the conjugates of α over \mathbb{Q} . Then

$$N_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)}(\alpha) = \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n = a \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Observe that $\alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_n = a/\alpha_1 \in K$. Further $\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ are algebraic integers so $\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{A}$. Thus $\alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_n \in \mathbb{A} \cap K$. Thus $(\alpha_1) \cdot (\alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_n) \in I$ so $a \in I$. But $-a \in I$ also.

Definition: Let $[K : \mathbb{Q}] < \infty$ and let I be a non-zero ideal in $\mathbb{A} \cap K$. Then $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ is an integral basis for the ideal if $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ are in I and every element of I has a unique representation as an integral linear combination of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$.

PMATH 641 Lecture 18: February 27, 2013

Midterm: Friday in class.

Theorem 38: Let $[K : \mathbb{Q}] < \infty$ and let $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$ be an integral basis for $\mathbb{A} \cap K$. Let I be a non-zero ideal in $\mathbb{A} \cap K$. Then there exists an integral basis $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ for I of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= a_{11}\omega_1 \\ \alpha_2 &= a_{21}\omega_1 + a_{22}\omega_2 \\ &\vdots \\ \alpha_n &= a_{n1}\omega_1 + \cdots + a_{nn}\omega_n \end{aligned}$$

where the $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a_{ii} \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Proof: By Proposition 37 there exists a positive integer a in I . Thus $a\omega_i \in I$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. We choose α_1 to be the smallest positive multiple of ω_1 which is in I and denote it by $a_{11}\omega_1$. We then pick $\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots$ by choosing α_i to be $a_{i1}\omega_1 + \cdots + a_{ii}\omega_i$ where α_i is the integer linear combination of $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_i$ for which $a_{ii}\omega_i$ is such that a_{ii} is positive and minimal.

It remains to show that $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ is an integral basis for I . Since $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} and $\det \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \\ a_{n1} & & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$ we see that $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} .

It remains to show that if $\beta \in I$ then β is an integral linear combination of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$. Since $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$ is an integral basis for $\mathbb{A} \cap K$

$$\beta = b_1\omega_1 + \dots + b_n\omega_n \text{ with } b_i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Notice that $a_{nn} \mid b_n$ since otherwise, by the Division Algorithm, we would contradict the minimality of a_{nn} . Thus $a_{nn} \cdot q_n = b_n$ for some integer q_n . But then $\beta - q_n\alpha_n$ is an integral linear combination of $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n-1}$. We repeat the argument to find integers q_1, \dots, q_{n-1} so that

$$\beta = q_1\alpha_1 + \dots + q_n\alpha_n$$

as required.

Theorem 39: Let $[K : \mathbb{Q}] < \infty$. Then $\mathbb{A} \cap K$ is a Dedekind Domain.

Proof: By Theorem 38 every ideal in $\mathbb{A} \cap K$ is finitely generated.

Let P be a non-zero prime ideal in $\mathbb{A} \cap K$. We'll show that P is maximal.

First note that there is a positive integer a in P . Next note that since P is a prime ideal $\mathbb{A} \cap K/P$ is an integral domain.

Let $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$ be an integral basis for $\mathbb{A} \cap K$. Then $\mathbb{A} \cap K/P$ is made up of cosets of the form

$$a_1\omega_1 + \dots + a_n\omega_n + P$$

where the a_i s are integers of size at most a in absolute value. $\implies \mathbb{A} \cap K/P$ is finite.

But a finite integral domain is a field and so P is maximal.

Finally, let $\gamma = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{A} \cap K$, $\beta \neq 0$. Suppose that γ is integral over $\mathbb{A} \cap K$. Thus γ is the root of a polynomial $x^m + \alpha_{m-1}x^{m-1} + \dots + \alpha_0$ with $\alpha_{m-1}, \dots, \alpha_0$ in $\mathbb{A} \cap K$ (*). It remains to show that $\gamma \in \mathbb{A} \cap K$. Plainly $\gamma \in K$. It remains to show that $\gamma \in \mathbb{A}$.

We do so by considering the ring

$$S = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}, \gamma].$$

Plainly $\gamma \in S$. By Theorem 13 it suffices to show that S is finitely generated as an additive group. Let $\theta \in S$ then it is enough to show that θ is an integral linear combination of terms of the form

$$\alpha_0^{b_0} \dots \alpha_{m-1}^{b_{m-1}} \gamma^{b_m}$$

where $b_m < m$ and the b_i s for $i = 0, \dots, m-1$ are less than n .

It is enough to show that if θ is of the form $\alpha_0^{c_0} \dots \alpha_{m-1}^{c_{m-1}} \gamma^{c_m}$ with $c_0, \dots, c_m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ then this is true.

Start by using *, in other words

$$\gamma^m = -\alpha_{m-1}\gamma^{m-1} - \dots - \alpha_0,$$

to reduce c_m to an integer of size at most $m-1$.

PMATH 641 Lecture 19: March 4, 2013

Theorem 40: Let R be a commutative ring. The following are equivalent:

- (1) Every ideal in R is finitely generated.
- (2) Every increasing sequence of ideals in R is eventually constant.
- (3) Every non-empty set of ideals in R has a maximal element.

Proof: (1) \implies (2). Suppose that $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \dots$ with $I_i \in R$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Put

$$I = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n.$$

Then I is an ideal of R and so $I = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t)$. But notice that α_j is in I so there exists an integer n_j so that $\alpha_j \in I_{n_j}$ for $j = 1, \dots, t$. But then $I \subseteq I_b$ where $b = \max(n_1, \dots, n_t)$. Thus $I = I_b = I_{b+1} = \dots$.

(2) \implies (3). Let S be a non-empty set of ideals in R . Thus there exists I_1 in S . Either I_1 is maximal in S or there exists I_2 in S with $I_1 \subsetneq I_2$. Either I_2 is maximal in S or there exists I_3 in S with $I_2 \subsetneq I_3$. Eventually this process terminates by (2).

(3) \implies (1). Let I be an ideal of R . Let S be the set of finitely generated ideals of R in I . (0) is in I so S is non-empty. Let M be a maximal element of S . Then $M \subseteq I$. Suppose that $M \subsetneq I$.

Now M is finitely generated so $M = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t)$ say. Pick $\gamma \in I \setminus M$. Then the ideal $I_1 = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t, \gamma)$ is in I and so M is not a maximal element of S which is a contradiction. Thus $M = I$. \checkmark

Lemma 41: In a Dedekind domain every non-zero ideal contains a product of non-zero prime ideals. (Here the product may be a product of 1 element.)

Proof: Let S be the set of non-zero ideals in the Dedekind domain R which do not contain a product of non-zero prime ideals. Suppose that S is non-empty. Then by the definition of a Dedekind domain and Theorem 40 we see that S has a maximal element M . Note that M is not a prime ideal. Thus there exist $a, b \in R$ with $ab \in M$ and $a \notin M, b \notin M$. Therefore

$$(M + (a))(M + (b)) \subseteq M.$$

But $M \subsetneq M + (a)$ and $M \subsetneq M + (b)$. Since M is maximal both $M + (a)$ and $M + (b)$ contain a product of non-zero prime ideals. Then by $*$ so does M which is a contradiction.

Lemma 42: Let I be a prime ideal in a Dedekind domain R with field of fractions K . Then there is an element $\gamma \in K \setminus R$ such that $\gamma I \subseteq R$.

Proof: Let a be any non-zero element of I . Then $\frac{1}{a} \notin R$ since I is proper.

PMATH 641 Lecture 20: March 6, 2013

Lemma 42: Let I be a proper ideal in a Dedekind domain R with field of fractions K . There is an element γ in $K \setminus R$ for which

$$\gamma I \subseteq R.$$

Proof: Let a be a non-zero element in I . Since I is proper a is not a unit and so $\frac{1}{a} \in K \setminus R$. (a) contains a product of prime ideals $p_1 \cdots p_r$ by Lemma 41. Let us suppose that r is minimal.

Let S be the set of proper ideals in R which contains I . S is non-empty and so by Theorem 40, S contains a maximal element M . Observe that M is a maximal ideal. Since R is a Dedekind domain, M is a prime ideal. Next note that $(a) \subseteq I$ and also $p_1 \cdots p_r \subseteq (a) \subseteq I \subseteq M$.

We claim that $M \supseteq p_i$ for some i with $1 \leq i \leq r$. Suppose not. Then there is an element a_i in p_i and not in M for $i = 1, \dots, r$. But then $a_1 \cdots a_r \in M$ with $a_i \notin M$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$ contradicting the fact that M is a prime ideal. Thus $M \supseteq p_i$ for some i . Without loss of generality we may suppose $M \supseteq p_1$. Since M is a prime ideal $M = p_1$.

Recall $(a) \supseteq p_1 \cdots p_r$ with r minimal. If $r = 1$ then $p_1 \subseteq (a) \subseteq I \subseteq M$ so $p_1 = (a)$ and then with $\gamma = \frac{1}{a}$ we have

$$\gamma I = \frac{1}{a}(a) = R$$

as required.

If $r > 1$ then we consider $p_2 \cdots p_r$. Note that $p_2 \cdots p_r$ is non-empty and not contained in (a) . Thus there exists an element b in $p_2 \cdots p_r$ which is not in (a) . We now take $\gamma = \frac{b}{a}$. Observe that $\gamma \in K \setminus R$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma I &= \frac{b}{a} I \\ &\subseteq \frac{b}{a} p_1 \\ &\subseteq \frac{(b)p_1}{a} \\ &\subseteq \frac{1}{a} p_1 \cdots p_r \\ &\subseteq \frac{1}{a} (a) \\ &= R, \end{aligned}$$

as required.

Theorem 43: Let R be a Dedekind domain and let I be an ideal of R . Then there is an ideal J of R for which

$$IJ \text{ is a principal ideal of } R.$$

Proof: If $I = (0)$ the result is immediate so suppose that I is not (0) . Let α be a non-zero element of I .

Define J to be the following set in R :

$$J = \{ \beta \in R : \beta I \subseteq (\alpha) \}.$$

Note that J is an ideal of R and

$$IJ \subseteq (\alpha).$$

We want to show that in fact $IJ = (\alpha)$. Put $B = \frac{1}{\alpha} IJ$ and note B is an ideal of R . If $B = R$ we are done since then $IJ = (\alpha)$.

Suppose then that B is a proper ideal of R . Then by Lemma 42 there exists a $\gamma \in K \setminus R$ for which $\gamma B \subseteq R$; here K is the field of fractions of R . Since $\alpha \in I$ we have that $J \subseteq \frac{1}{\alpha} IJ = B$. Thus

$$\gamma J \subseteq \gamma B \subseteq R.$$

Thus $\gamma JI \subseteq (\alpha)$ and so by the definition of J , $\gamma J \subseteq J$. But J is a finitely generated additive subgroup of the field of fractions of the Dedekind domain R .

By Theorem 13 with \mathbb{C} replaced by the field of fractions of a Dedekind domain we see that γ is the root of a monic polynomial with coefficients in R . Since R is a Dedekind domain it is integrally closed in its field of fractions. Thus $\gamma \in R$ which is a contradiction.

PMATH 641 Lecture 21: March 8, 2013

Theorem 43

$$\begin{aligned} &\vdots \\ &\gamma J \subset J \end{aligned}$$

J is a finitely generated ideal in R so $J = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$.

Then there exist m_{ij} in R so that

$$\gamma a_i = m_{i1} a_1 + \cdots + m_{in} a_n$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then

$$(\gamma I_n - M) \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $M = (m_{ij})$. $J \neq (0)$ so $\begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \implies \det(\gamma I_n - M) = 0$. Thus γ is the root of a monic polynomial with entries in R . But R is a Dedekind domain so R is integrally closed in its field of fractions K . Since $\gamma \in K$ we see that $\gamma \in R$. This is a contradiction.

Corollary 44: Let A, B and C be non-zero ideals in a Dedekind domain R with $AC = BC$ then $A = B$.

Proof: There exists an ideal J in R so that CJ is principal. Say $CJ = (\alpha)$ with $\alpha \in R$. Note that

$$ACJ = BCJ$$

so $A(\alpha) = B(\alpha)$.

$$\implies A\alpha = B\alpha$$

$\implies A = B$ since $\alpha \neq 0$.

Corollary 45: Let A and B be non-zero ideal in a Dedekind domain R .

$$A \mid B \iff B \subseteq A.$$

Proof: \implies Since $A \mid B$ there exists an ideal C in R with $AC = B$. Then immediately $B \subseteq A$.

\Leftarrow By Theorem 43 there exists a non-zero element α in R and an ideal J of R such that $AJ = (\alpha)$. Consider $\frac{1}{\alpha}BJ$. Note that $\frac{1}{\alpha}BJ$ is an ideal of R since $B \subseteq A$. Further $A(\frac{1}{\alpha}BJ) = B(\frac{1}{\alpha}AJ) = B(\frac{1}{\alpha}(\alpha)) = B$.

Theorem 46: Every non-zero proper ideal in a Dedekind domain R can be written as a product of prime ideals of R and this representation as a product is unique up to ordering.

Proof: We first prove existence.

Let S be the set of non-zero proper ideals which cannot be written as a product of prime ideals. Since R is a Dedekind domain S has a maximal element M . Note that M is contained in a maximal ideal of R which, since R is a Dedekind domain, is a prime ideal of R , say P .

Thus $M \subseteq P$. Note $M \neq P$ since M is in S . Thus $M \subsetneq P$. Therefore by Corollary 45 there exists an ideal A such that

$$M = PA.$$

Further $M \subsetneq A$. But A is not a product of prime ideals since otherwise by $*$ M is a product of prime ideals. But then $A \in S$ and M is not maximal in S which is a contradiction. Therefore S is empty as required.

“Uniqueness”

Suppose that p_1, \dots, p_r and q_1, \dots, q_s are prime ideals with

$$p_1 \cdots p_r = q_1 \cdots q_s.$$

Note that $p_1 \mid q_1 \cdots q_s$. Thus by Corollary 45, $p_1 \supseteq q_1 \cdots q_s$. Since p_1 is a prime ideal $p_1 \supseteq q_i$ for some i . Without loss of generality we may suppose $p_1 \supseteq q_1$. Prime ideals are maximal ideals in R so $p_1 = q_1$. By Corollary 44, $p_2 \cdots p_r = q_2 \cdots q_s$. Repeating this argument the result follows.

Remark: Let $[K : \mathbb{Q}] < \infty$. Then $\mathbb{A} \cap K$ is a Dedekind domain and so we have unique factorization into prime ideals, up to ordering, in $\mathbb{A} \cap K$.

Definition: Let R be a commutative ring with identity. An element c of R is said to be irreducible of R if

- (1) $c \neq 0$ and c is not a unit of R .

(2) If $c = ab$ with a, b in R then a is a unit or b is a unit.

An element c of R is said to be a prime of R if

(1) $c \neq 0$ and c is not a unit of R

(2) If $c \mid ab$ with a, b in R then $c \mid a$ or $c \mid b$.

Note in UFDs the concepts are the same.

PMATH 641 Lecture 22: March 11, 2013

Theorem 47: Let $[K : \mathbb{Q}] < \infty$. The factorization of elements of $\mathbb{A} \cap K$ into irreducibles is unique up to reordering and units if and only if every ideal in $\mathbb{A} \cap K$ is principal.

Proof: \Leftarrow It is enough to show that every non-zero prime ideal P in $\mathbb{A} \cap K$ is principal. By Proposition 37 there is an integer a with $a > 1$ in P . Let $a = \pi_1 \cdots \pi_t$ be the decomposition of a into irreducibles in $\mathbb{A} \cap K$.

Then $a \in P$ so $P \supseteq (a) = (\pi_1) \cdots (\pi_t)$. Thus $P \mid (\pi_1) \cdots (\pi_t)$ so $P \mid (\pi_i)$ for some i with $1 \leq i \leq t$. Without loss of generality we may suppose that $P \mid (\pi_1)$ so $P \supseteq (\pi_1)$.

Notice that $P = (\pi_1)$ since (π_1) is a prime ideal. This follows since otherwise $(\pi_1)\delta = \beta\gamma$ with β and γ not in (π_1) . But π_1 is irreducible so $\pi_1 \mid \beta$ or $\pi_1 \mid \gamma$ by unique factorization which is a contradiction.

\Rightarrow Suppose that

$$\pi_1 \cdots \pi_r = \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_s$$

where the π_i and λ_j are irreducibles in $\mathbb{A} \cap K$. Notice that then

$$(\pi_1) \cdots (\pi_r) = (\lambda_1) \cdots (\lambda_s).$$

Therefore it suffices to show that if π is an irreducible of $\mathbb{A} \cap K$ then (π) is a prime ideal. We have unique factorization into prime ideals of $\mathbb{A} \cap K$ so if (π) is not a prime ideal then $(\pi) = AB$ with A and B proper non-zero ideals of $\mathbb{A} \cap K$.

Since every ideal in $\mathbb{A} \cap K$ is principal there exists $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{A} \cap K$ with $A = (\alpha)$ and $B = (\beta)$. Then $(\pi) = (\alpha)(\beta)$. Thus there exists $\delta, \gamma \in \mathbb{A} \cap K$ such that $\pi = \{\alpha\delta\} \cdot \{\beta\gamma\}$. But π is irreducible so either $\alpha\delta$ is a unit in which case α is a unit or $\beta\gamma$ is a unit in which case β is a unit. This contradicts the fact that A and B are proper ideals.

The only rings $\mathbb{A} \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$ which have unique factorization into irreducibles with $D > 0$ are those with

$$D = 1, 2, 3, 7, 11, 19, 43, 67, 163.$$

Given a prime ideal P in $\mathbb{A} \cap K$ with $[K : \mathbb{Q}] < \infty$ we can find an integer $a > 1$ with $a \in P$. Let $a = p_1 \cdots p_t$ be a factorization of a into primes in \mathbb{Z} . Then $P \supseteq (a)$ so $P \mid (p_1) \cdots (p_t)$ hence $P \mid (p_i)$ for some prime p_i in \mathbb{Z} .

Suppose $P \mid (p)$ are $P \mid (q)$ for two distinct primes p, q in \mathbb{Z} . Then since there exist integers r and s with

$$rp + sq = 1$$

we see that

$$(r)(p) + (s)(q) = (1)$$

and so

$$P \mid (1)$$

which is a contradiction. Thus to each prime ideal P in $\mathbb{A} \cap K$ there is a unique prime p in \mathbb{Z} associated to it with $P \mid (p)$.

Definition: Let $[K : \mathbb{Q}] < \infty$ and let p be a prime in \mathbb{Z} . We say that p ramifies in $\mathbb{A} \cap K$ if there exists a prime ideal P in $\mathbb{A} \cap K$ such that $P^2 \mid (p)$.

Dedekind proved that the primes p that ramify are exactly the primes that divide the discriminant D .

PMATH 641 Lecture 23: March 13, 2013

Theorem 48: Let $[K : \mathbb{Q}] < \infty$. Let D be the discriminant of K . If p is a prime which does not divide D then p is unramified in $\mathbb{A} \cap K$.

Proof: We'll prove the contrapositive.

Suppose that P is a prime ideal and $P^2 \mid (p)$. We'll show that then $p \mid D$.

Since $P^2 \mid (p)$ there is an ideal Q with $P^2Q = (p)$. Then there exists an $\alpha \in \mathbb{A} \cap K$ with $\alpha \in PQ$ but $\alpha \notin P^2Q$.

But then $\alpha^2 \in P^2Q^2$ and so $\alpha^2 \in (p)$ hence $\alpha^2/p \in \mathbb{A} \cap K$. Thus $\alpha^p/p \in \mathbb{A} \cap K$ and so for each $\beta \in \mathbb{A} \cap K$, $(\alpha\beta)^p/p \in \mathbb{A} \cap K$. Notice then that $T_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha\beta)^p = T_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(p(\alpha\beta)^p/p) = pT_{\mathbb{Q}}^K((\alpha\beta)^p/p)$. Since $T_{\mathbb{Q}}^K((\alpha\beta)^p/p)$ is an integer we see that $p \mid T_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha\beta)^p$. But

$$(T_{\mathbb{Q}}^K \alpha\beta)^p = \left(\sum_{\sigma} \sigma(\alpha\beta) \right)^p = \sum_{\sigma} \sigma(\alpha\beta)^p + p\gamma$$

where γ is an integer by the multinomial expansion so

$$(T_{\mathbb{Q}}^K \alpha\beta)^p = T_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha\beta)^p + p\gamma$$

and since $p \mid T_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha\beta)^p$ we see that $p \mid (T_{\mathbb{Q}}^K \alpha\beta)^p$. Since p is a prime we see that $p \mid T_{\mathbb{Q}}^K \alpha\beta$.

Let $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$ be an integral basis for $\mathbb{A} \cap K$. Then for $i = 1, \dots, n$ we have $T_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha\omega_i)$ is divisible by p . We have

$$\alpha = a_1\omega_1 + \dots + a_n\omega_n$$

with a_1, \dots, a_n integers. Since $\alpha \notin (p)$ hence $\alpha/p \notin \mathbb{A} \cap K$ we see that at least one of a_1, \dots, a_n is not divisible by p without loss of generality suppose $p \nmid a_1$.

Observe that since $p \mid T_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha\omega_i)$ we see that p divides

$$T_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha_1\omega_1 + \dots + \alpha_n\omega_n)\omega_i = a_1T_{\mathbb{Q}}^K\omega_1\omega_i + a_2T_{\mathbb{Q}}^K\omega_2\omega_i + \dots + a_nT_{\mathbb{Q}}^K\omega_n\omega_i.$$

By Theorem 25 we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_1D &= \det \begin{pmatrix} a_1T_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\omega_1\omega_1) & \dots & a_1T_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\omega_1\omega_n) \\ T_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\omega_2\omega_1) & \dots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ T_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\omega_n\omega_1) & \dots & T_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\omega_n\omega_n) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \det \begin{pmatrix} a_1T_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\omega_1\omega_1) + a_2T_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\omega_2\omega_1) + \dots + a_nT_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\omega_n\omega_1) & \dots & a_1T_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\omega_1\omega_n) + \dots + a_nT_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\omega_n\omega_n) \\ T_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\omega_2\omega_1) & \dots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ T_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\omega_n\omega_1) & \dots & T_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\omega_n\omega_n) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Since p divides each integer in the top row of the matrix we see that $p \mid a_1D$. But $p \nmid a_1$ hence $p \mid D$ as required.

Let $[K : \mathbb{Q}] < \infty$. We define the norm of an ideal I of $\mathbb{A} \cap K$, denoted by NI ,

$$NI = |\mathbb{A} \cap K/I|.$$

Thus NI is the number of residue classes modulo I . NI is also denoted by $N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(I)$.

Theorem 49: Let $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = n$. Let I be a non-zero ideal of $\mathbb{A} \cap K$ and let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be an integral basis for I . Then

$$NI = \left| \frac{\text{disc}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)}{D} \right|^{1/2},$$

where D is the discriminant of K .

Proof: We first remark that all integral bases for I have the same discriminant. This follows just as for the discriminant of K .

Let $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ be an integral basis for K . Then we can find an integral basis $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ of I of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= a_{11}\omega_1 \\ \alpha_2 &= a_{21}\omega_1 + a_{22}\omega_2 \\ &\vdots \\ \alpha_n &= a_{n1}\omega_1 + \dots + a_{nn}\omega_n \end{aligned}$$

with $a_{ii} \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, by Theorem 38. Since

$$\text{disc}\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} = \left(\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \\ a_{n1} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \right)^2 D$$

we see that it suffices to show that

$$NI = a_{11} \cdots a_{nn}.$$

Suppose that

$$r_1\omega_1 + \dots + r_n\omega_n \equiv s_1\omega_1 + \dots + s_n\omega_n \pmod{I}$$

with $0 \leq r_i < a_{ii}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and with $0 \leq s_i < a_{ii} \dots$

$$\begin{aligned} \implies (r_1 - s_1)\omega_1 + \dots + (r_n - s_n)\omega_n &\in I \\ \implies (s_1 - r_1)\omega_1 + \dots + (s_n - r_n)\omega_n &\in I \end{aligned}$$

Recall from the proof of Theorem 38 that a_{nn} is chosen to be minimal and positive.

$$\implies a_{nn} \mid r_n - s_n \implies r_n = s_n \text{ since } 0 \leq |r_n - s_n| < a_{nn}$$

Similarly $r_{n-1} = s_{n-1}, \dots, r_1 = s_1$.

Thus $NI \geq a_{11} \cdots a_{nn}$.

PMATH 641 Lecture 24: March 15, 2013

Theorem 44 ...

$\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ a basis for I

$$\begin{aligned} \text{disc}\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} &= \left(\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \\ a_{n1} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \right)^2 D \\ &= (a_{11} \cdots a_{nn})^2 D \end{aligned}$$

We showed that $NI \geq a_{11} \cdots a_{nn}$.

To conclude suppose $\gamma \in \mathbb{A} \cap K$. Then $\gamma = b_1\omega_1 + \cdots + b_n\omega_n$ with $b_i \in \mathbb{Z}$; here $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$ is an integral basis for $\mathbb{A} \cap K$. Note that, by the Division Algorithm, $b_n = q_n a_{nn} + r_n$ with $0 \leq r_n < a_{nn}$ and then $\gamma - q_n \alpha_n = d_1\omega_1 + \cdots + d_{n-1}\omega_{n-1} + r_n\omega_n$.

Repeating this $n - 1$ times we find that there exist integers q_1, \dots, q_{n-1} so that

$$\gamma - q_n \alpha_n - q_{n-1} \alpha_{n-1} + \cdots + q_1 \alpha_1 = r_1 \omega_1 + \cdots + r_n \omega_n$$

with $0 \leq r_i < a_{ii}$. Thus

$$NI \leq a_{11} \cdots a_{nn} \implies NI = a_{11} \cdots a_{nn}.$$

Corollary 50: $[K : \mathbb{Q}] < \infty$. Let α be a non-zero element of $\mathbb{A} \cap K$. Then $N(\alpha) = |N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha)|$.

Proof: Let $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$ be an integral basis for $\mathbb{A} \cap K$. Then the principal ideal (α) has $\{\alpha\omega_1, \dots, \alpha\omega_n\}$ as an integral basis.

Let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ be the embeddings of K in \mathbb{C} which fix \mathbb{Q} . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{disc}\{\alpha\omega_1, \dots, \alpha\omega_n\} &= (\det(\sigma_i(\alpha\omega_j)))^2 \\ D &= \text{disc}\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\} = (\det(\sigma_i(\omega_j)))^2 \end{aligned}$$

But we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{disc}\{\alpha\omega_1, \dots, \alpha\omega_n\} &= \left(\det \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(\alpha) & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \sigma_n(\alpha) \end{pmatrix} \right)^2 \cdot D \\ &= (N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha))^2 \cdot D. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 49 $\implies (N(\alpha))^2 = (N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha))^2$. Thus $N(\alpha) = |N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha)|$ since $N(\alpha)$ is a non-negative integer.

Theorem 51: (Fermat's Theorem) Let $[K : \mathbb{Q}] < \infty$ and let P be a prime ideal of $\mathbb{A} \cap K$. Let α be an element of $\mathbb{A} \cap K$ with $P \nmid (\alpha)$ then

$$\alpha^{NP-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{P}.$$

Proof: Let $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{NP}$ be a complete set of representatives for the cosets $\mathbb{A} \cap K/P$ (in $\mathbb{A} \cap K$ modulo P). We may suppose β_{NP} is congruent to 0 mod P . Then since $P \nmid (\alpha)$ we see that

$$\alpha\beta_1, \dots, \alpha\beta_{NP}$$

is again a complete set of representatives mod P with $\alpha\beta_{NP}$ congruent to 0 modulo P . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha\beta_1 \cdots \alpha\beta_{NP-1} &\equiv \beta_1 \cdots \beta_{NP-1} \pmod{P} \\ \implies \alpha^{NP-1} &\equiv 1 \pmod{P} \end{aligned}$$

as required.

Proposition 52: Let $[K : \mathbb{Q}] < \infty$. Let A be a non-zero ideal of $\mathbb{A} \cap K$. Then $NA \in A$.

Proof: Let $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{NA}$ be a complete set of representatives modulo A . Then

$$1 + \beta_1, \dots, 1 + \beta_{NA}$$

is also a complete set of representatives modulo A .

$$\begin{aligned} \implies \beta_1 + \cdots + \beta_{NA} &\equiv (1 + \beta_1) + \cdots + (1 + \beta_{NA}) \pmod{A} \\ 0 &\equiv NA \pmod{A} \end{aligned}$$

Notice that for any positive integer t there are only finitely many ideals A of $\mathbb{A} \cap K$ with $NA = t$.

Still to show: The norm map on ideals is multiplicative, i.e., for A, B ideals in $\mathbb{A} \cap K$

$$NAB = NA \cdot NB.$$

If we have this and

$$NA = p \text{ with } p \text{ a prime}$$

then A is a prime ideal. Further if p is a prime in \mathbb{Z} then

$$N(p) = |N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K p| = p^n \text{ where } n = [K : \mathbb{Q}].$$

Every prime ideal P of $\mathbb{A} \cap K$ divides (p) for exactly one prime.

$$\implies NP = p^f$$

for some integer f with $1 \leq f \leq n$.

PMATH 641 Lecture 25: March 18, 2013

Let $[K : \mathbb{Q}] < \infty$. Let A and B be ideals of $\mathbb{A} \cap K$. We say that an ideal C of $\mathbb{A} \cap K$ is a greatest common divisor of A and B if it is a common divisor of A and B and all other common divisors of A and B divide it.

In fact there can be at most 1 greatest common divisor of A and B since if C and D are greatest common divisors of A and B then $C \mid D$ and $D \mid C$ hence $C \supseteq D$ and $D \supseteq C$ so $D = C$.

In fact there is one since if $A = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ and $B = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s)$ then we may take $C = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s)$. Certainly $A \subseteq C$ and $B \subseteq C$ hence $C \mid A$ and $C \mid B$. Further if $D \mid A$ and $D \mid B$ then $D \supseteq A$ and $D \supseteq B$ hence $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ and β_1, \dots, β_s are in D so $D \supseteq C = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s)$. Thus $D \mid C$. Therefore there is a unique greatest common divisor of A and B and we denote it by $\gcd(A, B)$.

$\gcd(A, B) = (1)$ is equivalent to A and B being coprime.

Since we have unique factorization into prime ideals in $\mathbb{A} \cap K$ if

$$A = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r}$$

and

$$B = p_1^{b_1} \cdots p_r^{b_r}$$

with p_1, \dots, p_r distinct prime ideals and $a_1, \dots, a_r, b_1, \dots, b_r$ non-negative integers then

$$\gcd(A, B) = p_1^{c_1} \cdots p_r^{c_r}$$

where

$$c_i = \min(a_i, b_i) \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, r.$$

Lemma 53: Let $[K : \mathbb{Q}] < \infty$. Let A and B be non-zero ideals of $\mathbb{A} \cap K$. Then there exists an element $\alpha \in A$ for which $\gcd(\frac{(\alpha)}{A}, B) = (1)$.

Proof: If $B = (1)$ the result is immediate. Suppose then that there are exactly r distinct prime ideals p_1, \dots, p_r which divide B . We'll prove the result by induction on r .

First suppose that $r = 1$.

Choose α so that α is in A but not in Ap_1 . This is possible since $A \neq Ap_1$. But then $\gcd((\alpha)/A, p_1)$ is a divisor of p_1 . Since p_1 is a prime ideal it is either p_1 or (1) . If it is p_1 so $\gcd((\alpha)/A, p_1) = p_1$ then $\gcd((\alpha), Ap_1) = Ap_1$. Thus $Ap_1 \mid (\alpha)$ hence $(\alpha) \subseteq Ap_1$ and so $\alpha \in Ap_1$ which is a contradiction.

Now suppose $r > 1$. Let

$$A_m = A \frac{P_1 \cdots P_r}{P_m}, \text{ for } m = 1, \dots, r.$$

Choose α_m in A_m , by the case $r = 1$, so that

$$\gcd\left(\frac{(\alpha_m)}{A_m}, P_m\right) = (1), \text{ for } m = 1, \dots, r.$$

We now put

$$\alpha = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_r.$$

Since $\alpha_1 \in A_i$ and $A \mid A_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$ we see that $\alpha_i \in A$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$ we see that $\alpha_i \in A$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Thus $\alpha \in A$.

Note that $\alpha \notin AP_m$ for $m = 1, \dots, r$. To see this observe first that $AP_m \mid A_i$ whenever $i \neq m$. Therefore α_i is in AP_m for $i \neq m$. But $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_r$ so if α is in AP_m for some m with $1 \leq m \leq r$ then α_m is in AP_m . But $\gcd((\alpha_m)/A_m, P_m) = (1)$.

Since P_1, \dots, P_r are distinct prime ideals

$$\begin{aligned} \gcd\left(\frac{(\alpha_m)}{A}, P_m\right) &= (1). \\ \implies \gcd((\alpha_m), AP_m) &= A. \end{aligned} \tag{*}$$

But $\alpha_m \in AP_m$ so $(\alpha_m) \subseteq AP_m$ hence $AP_m \mid (\alpha_m)$. Thus $P_m \mid \frac{(\alpha_m)}{A}$ and this contradicts $*$.

We now show that $\gcd((\alpha)/A, B) = 1$. Suppose otherwise. Then $\gcd((\alpha)/A, B)$ is divisible by P_m for some integer m with $1 \leq m \leq r$. Then P_m divides $(\alpha)/A$ so AP_m divides (α) . In particular $\alpha \in AP_m$ which is a contradiction.

PMATH 641 Lecture 26: March 20, 2013

Theorem 54: $[K : \mathbb{Q}] < \infty$. Let A and B be non-zero ideals of $\mathbb{A} \cap K$. Then

$$NAB = NA \cdot NB.$$

Proof: Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{NA}$ be a complete set of representatives modulo A . Similarly let $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{NB}$ be a complete set of representatives modulo B .

By Lemma 53 there exists γ in A for which $\gcd((\gamma)/A, B) = (1) \implies \gcd((\gamma), AB) = A$.

Consider the terms $\alpha_i + \gamma\beta_j$ with $1 \leq i \leq NA$ and $1 \leq j \leq NB$. These terms are all distinct mod AB since otherwise there exists i, j, k, l with $1 \leq i \leq NA, 1 \leq j \leq NB, 1 \leq k \leq NA, 1 \leq l \leq NB$ for which

$$\alpha_i + \gamma\beta_j \equiv \alpha_k + \gamma\beta_l \pmod{AB}.$$

Then

$$\alpha_i - \alpha_k \equiv \gamma(\beta_j - \beta_l) \pmod{AB}.$$

Since γ is in A we see that $\alpha_i - \alpha_k \equiv 0 \pmod{A}$ hence $i = k$. But then

$$\gamma(\beta_j - \beta_l) \equiv 0 \pmod{AB}.$$

Thus $AB \mid (\gamma)(\beta_j - \beta_l)$

$$\begin{aligned} \implies B \mid \frac{(\gamma)}{A}(\beta_j - \beta_l) \\ \implies B \mid (\beta_j - \beta_l) \\ \implies \beta_j \equiv \beta_l \pmod{B} \implies j = l \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$NAB \geq NANB.$$

Suppose $\alpha \in \mathbb{A} \cap K$. Then $\alpha \equiv \alpha_i \pmod{A}$ for some i with $1 \leq i \leq NA$. Recall by $*$ $\gcd((\gamma), AB) = A$. Thus

$$\alpha - \alpha_i = \gamma \cdot \lambda + \delta$$

with $\lambda \in \mathbb{A} \cap K$ and $\delta \in AB$. Then $\lambda \equiv \beta_j \pmod{B}$ for some j with $1 \leq j \leq NB$. Therefore $\alpha = \alpha_i + \gamma\beta_j + \gamma(\lambda - \beta_j) + \delta$. Now since $\gamma \in A$ and $\lambda - \beta_j$ is in B we see that

$$\alpha \equiv \alpha_i + \gamma\beta_j \pmod{AB}.$$

Thus $NAB \leq NA \cdot NB$ and so $NAB = N \cdot ANB$.

Let $[K : \mathbb{Q}] < \infty$. We define a notation \sim on the non-zero ideals of $\mathbb{A} \cap K$ by $A \sim B$ if and only if there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{A} \cap K$ with $\alpha\beta \neq 0$ so that

$$(\alpha)A = (\beta)B.$$

This is an equivalence relation

$$(1) A \sim A \quad \alpha = \beta = 1 \quad \checkmark$$

$$(2) A \sim B \iff B \sim A \quad \checkmark$$

(3) If $A \sim B$ and $B \sim C$ then there exist $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ in $\mathbb{A} \cap K \setminus \{0\}$ such that $(\alpha)A = (\beta)B$ and $(\gamma)B = (\delta)C$ so then

$$(\alpha\gamma)A = (\alpha)(\gamma)A = (\gamma)(\beta)B = (\delta)(\beta)C = (\delta\beta)C.$$

Thus $A \sim C$.

The equivalence classes under the relation \sim are known as the ideal classes of $\mathbb{A} \cap K$. Note that if we have just one equivalence class then all of the ideals are principal. The number of ideal classes is known as the class number of K and it is denoted by h or h_K .

Let $\mathcal{C} = \{[A] : A \text{ is an ideal of } \mathbb{A} \cap K\}$; here $[A]$ denotes the ideal class of which A is a representative.

We define a multiplication on \mathcal{C} by

$$[A] \cdot [B] = [AB].$$

Note that this definition does not depend on the representatives chosen since if $A \sim C$ and $B \sim D$ then $AB \sim CD$.

Observe that \mathcal{C} is an abelian group under multiplication. To see this note that multiplication is associative since

$$[A] \cdot ([B] \cdot [C]) = [A] \cdot [BC] = [A(BC)] = [(AB)C] = [AB] \cdot [C] = ([A] \cdot [B]) \cdot [C].$$

The principal ideal class is the identity element of the group since $[(1)] \cdot [B] = [B] = [B] \cdot [(1)]$. Plainly also $[A] \cdot [B] = [B] \cdot [A]$.

Further $[A]$ has an inverse. To see this note that there is a positive integer a in A (take $\alpha \in A \dots$) since A is not (0) .

Thus $(a) \subseteq A$ hence $A \mid (a)$. Therefore there exists an ideal B with $AB = (a)$. Thus $[A] \cdot [B] = [(a)] = [(1)]$ and so

$$[B] = [A]^{-1}.$$

Therefore \mathcal{C} is an abelian group under \cdot .

PMATH 641 Lecture 27: March 22, 2013

h : class number of K

$[K : \mathbb{Q}] < \infty$. h is *finite* as we'll show.

Another important invariant of K is the regulator R . It often arises together with h .

Suppose that $[K : \mathbb{Q}] < n$ and there exist r_1 real embeddings of K in \mathbb{C} and $2r_2$ embeddings which are not into \mathbb{R} . Let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{r_1}$ be the real embeddings and let $\sigma_{r_1+1}, \dots, \sigma_{r_1+2r_2}$ be the other embeddings where we arrange that

$$\sigma_{r_1+i} = \overline{\sigma_{r_1+r_2+i}} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, r_2.$$

Thus $r_1 + 2r_2 = n$. Put

$$r = r_1 + r_2 - 1.$$

Let $U(K)$ be the group of units in $\mathbb{A} \cap K$. Dirichlet proved that

$$U(K) \approx \text{Tor} \times \mathbb{Z}^r$$

where Tor is a finite group corresponding to the roots of unity in K .

In particular there exist a system of fundamental units $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_r$ such that if ϵ is in $U(K)$ then there exists a root of unity ζ and integers a_1, \dots, a_r such that

$$\epsilon = \zeta \epsilon_1^{a_1} \cdots \epsilon_r^{a_r}.$$

Note that if (a_{ij}) is an $r \times r$ matrix with integer entries which has an inverse with integer entries then

$$\{\epsilon_1^{a_{11}} \cdots \epsilon_r^{a_{1r}}, \dots, \epsilon_1^{a_{r1}}, \dots, \epsilon_r^{a_{rr}}\}$$

is again a fundamental system of units.

Let $L: K^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{r_1+r_2}$ be the logarithmic embedding of K^* in $\mathbb{R}^{r_1+r_2}$ given by

$$L(\alpha) = (\log|\sigma_1(\alpha)|, \dots, \log|\sigma_{r_1}(\alpha)|, 2\log|\sigma_{r_1+1}(\alpha)|, \dots, 2\log|\sigma_{r_1+2r_2}(\alpha)|).$$

The kernel of L consists of the roots of unity of K . Further if $\alpha \in K$ with $\alpha \neq 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \log|N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha)| &= \log|\sigma_1(\alpha)| + \cdots + \log|\sigma_{r_1+2r_2}(\alpha)| \\ &= \log|\sigma_1(\alpha)| + \cdots + \log|\sigma_{r_1}(\alpha)| + 2\log|\sigma_{r_1+1}(\alpha)| + \cdots + 2\log|\sigma_{r_1+2r_2}(\alpha)| \end{aligned}$$

Notice that if $\alpha \in U(K)$ then $L(\alpha)$ lies in the subgroup of $\mathbb{R}^{r_1+r_2}$ given by $x_1 + \cdots + x_{r_1+2r_2} = 0$. In fact they determine a lattice of rank $r_1 + r_2 - 1$. We can ask for the volume of a fundamental region of the lattice. This is called the regulator R . Equivalently

$$R = \left| \det(e_i \log|\sigma_i(\epsilon_j)|)_{\substack{i=1, \dots, r \\ j=1, \dots, r}} \right|$$

where $e_i = 1$ if $1 \leq i \leq r_1$ and $e_i = 2$ otherwise.

For $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$ with K real quadratic then $R = \log \epsilon$ where ϵ is the fundamental unit larger than 1. If K is imaginary quadratic take

$$R = 1.$$

Let $M_K(x)$ be the number of ideals of $\mathbb{A} \cap K$ with norm at most x . One can prove

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M_K(x)}{x} = 2^{r_1} (2\pi)^{r_2} \frac{hR}{W\sqrt{|d|}}$$

where W is the number of roots of unity in K . The number of integers up to x is $x + O(1)$. The number of primes $\pi(x)$ up to x satisfies

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi(x)}{x/\log x} = 1.$$

Let $\pi_K(x)$ denote the number of prime ideals up to x . Landau proved that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi_K(x)}{x / \log x} = 1.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(s) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \\ &= \prod_p \left(\frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{p^s}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

PMATH 641 Lecture 28: March 25, 2013

Corrections to Question 4 on the assignment. Replace “Let d be the discriminant of $K \dots$ ” by “Let d be the discriminant of $\theta \dots$ ”. Also “... of the form

$$\frac{1}{d}(a_0 + a_1\theta + \dots + a_{i-1}\theta^{i-1})$$

with a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{i-1} integers and $a_{i-1} \dots$ ”

Theorem 55: Let $[K : \mathbb{Q}] < \infty$. There exists a positive number C_0 which depends on K such that if A is a non-zero ideal of $\mathbb{A} \cap K$ then there exists a non-zero element α of A for which

$$|N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha)| \leq C_0 NA.$$

Proof: Let $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ be an integral basis for K . Next put

$$t = [(NA)^{1/n}]$$

and consider the elements β in $\mathbb{A} \cap K$ of the form

$$a_1\omega_1 + \dots + a_n\omega_n \tag{*}$$

with $0 \leq a_i \leq t$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. There are $(t+1)^n$ such elements and since $(t+1)^n > NA$ there exist β_1, β_2 of the form * which are equivalent modulo A . In particular $\alpha = \beta_1 - \beta_2 = b_1\omega_1 + \dots + b_n\omega_n$ where $0 \leq |b_i| \leq t$.

Then let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ be the embeddings of K in \mathbb{C} which fix \mathbb{Q} . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha)| &= \prod_{i=1}^n |\sigma_i(b_1\omega_1 + \dots + b_n\omega_n)| \\ &\leq t^n \left(\prod_{i=1}^n n \left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |\sigma_i(\omega_j)| \right) \right) \\ &\leq NA \cdot C_0^4 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 56: Let $[K : \mathbb{Q}] < \infty$. The class number of K is finite.

Proof: We'll show that every non-zero ideal of $\mathbb{A} \cap K$ is equivalent to an ideal of norm at most C_0 , where C_0 is from Theorem 55. Since there are only finitely many ideals of norm at most C_0 the result then follows.

Let I be a non-zero ideal of $\mathbb{A} \cap K$. Then there exists an ideal A such that $AI \sim (1)$.

By Theorem 55 there exists a non-zero α in A for which

$$|N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha)| \leq C_0 NA.$$

⁴⁾where C_0 is above quantity

Note that $\alpha \in A \implies (\alpha) \subseteq A$ so $A \mid (\alpha)$ hence there exists B such that $AB = (\alpha)$. But

$$NA \cdot NB = NAB = N(\alpha) = |N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha)| \leq C_0 NA.$$

Thus $NB \leq C_0$.

Further $AB \sim (1)$ and since $AI \sim (1) \implies B \sim I$. Thus I is equivalent to an ideal of norm at most C_0 .

If h is the class number of K then by Lagrange's Theorem for any non-zero ideal A of $\mathbb{A} \cap K$ we have

$$[A]^h = [(1)].$$

Equivalently A^h is principal for any ideal A .

Suppose q is a positive integer coprime with h and $A^q \sim B^q$ then $A \sim B$. To see this note that if $\gcd(q, h) = 1$ then there exists r, s with $rq + sh = 1$ and then

$$A^{rq} \sim B^{rq} \text{ so } A^{1-sh} \sim B^{1-sh} \implies A \sim B.$$

It can be shown that we can take $C_0 = \sqrt{|d|}$ where d is the discriminant of K .

Example: Consider $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5})$. We have $d = -20$ so $C_0 = \sqrt{20}$. Therefore we need only consider ideals of norm at most $\sqrt{20}$ hence at most 4 we must check how (2) and (3) decompose into prime ideals in $\mathbb{A} \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5})$.

$$\begin{aligned} (2) &= (2, 1 + \sqrt{-5})(2, 1 - \sqrt{-5}) \\ &= (4, 2 - 2\sqrt{-5}, 2 + 2\sqrt{-5}, 6) \\ &= (2, 2(1 + \sqrt{-5})) \\ &= (2) \end{aligned}$$

PMATH 641 Lecture 29: March 27, 2013

Class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5})$. It suffices to consider ideals of norm at most 4. Note that

$$(2, 1 + \sqrt{-5}) \cdot (2, 1 - \sqrt{-5}) = (4, 2(1 + \sqrt{-5}), 2(1 - \sqrt{-5}), 6) = (2).$$

Also observe that

$$2 - (1 + \sqrt{-5}) = 1 - \sqrt{-5}$$

and so

$$(2, 1 + \sqrt{-5}) = (2, 1 - \sqrt{-5}).$$

Put $\mathcal{P} = (2, 1 + \sqrt{-5})$. Thus $(2) = \mathcal{P}^2$. Also note that

$$(3, 1 + \sqrt{-5})(3, 1 - \sqrt{-5}) = (9, 3(1 + \sqrt{-5}), 3(1 - \sqrt{-5}), 6) = (3).$$

Put $\mathcal{Q} = (3, 1 + \sqrt{-5})$ and $\mathcal{Q}' = (3, 1 - \sqrt{-5})$. We have $N\mathcal{Q}N\mathcal{Q}' = 9$.

Could we have $N\mathcal{Q} = 1$? Then $\mathcal{Q} = (1)$. In particular $1 \in \mathcal{Q}$ hence there exist $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ with

$$3(a + b\sqrt{-5}) + (1 + \sqrt{-5})(c + d\sqrt{-5}) = 1.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \implies 3a + c - 5d &= 1 \\ 3b + c + d &= 0 \\ \hline 3a - 3b - 6d &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

and since $3 \nmid 1$. #

Similarly $NQ' \neq 1$ hence $NQ = NQ' = 3$ and Q and Q' are prime ideals. Thus (1) , \mathcal{P} , \mathcal{P}^2 , Q , and Q' are the ideals of norm at most 4. Since \mathcal{P}^2 is principal

$$\mathcal{P}^2 \sim (1)$$

and so we need to consider only the ideal classes of (1) , \mathcal{P} , Q , and Q' .

We have

$$(3, 1 + \sqrt{-5})(2, 1 + \sqrt{-5}) = (6, 2(1 + \sqrt{-5}), 3(1 + \sqrt{-5}), (1 + \sqrt{-5})^2) = (1 + \sqrt{-5}).$$

$$Q\mathcal{P} \sim (1).$$

$$(3, 1 - \sqrt{-5})(2, 1 + \sqrt{-5}) = (1 - \sqrt{-5})$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} Q'\mathcal{P} \sim (1) \\ Q\mathcal{P} \sim (1) \end{array} \right\} \implies Q \sim Q'$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} QQ' \sim (1) \\ Q\mathcal{P} \sim (1) \end{array} \right\} \implies Q' \sim \mathcal{P}$$

Thus

$$\mathcal{C} = \{(1), [\mathcal{P}]\}.$$

Could we have $\mathcal{P} \sim (1)$, so \mathcal{P} principal? Then $\mathcal{P} = (a + b\sqrt{-5})$ and since $N\mathcal{P} = 2$

$$a^2 - 5b^2 = 2 \implies a^2 \equiv 2 \pmod{5} \quad \#.$$

Therefore $h = 2$.

Suppose $[K : \mathbb{Q}] < \infty$.

There is an extension E of K which is Galois over K and has the property that the Galois group of E over K is isomorphic to the ideal class group of K . Also every ideal of $\mathbb{A} \cap K$ becomes principal in E .

E is the Hilbert class field of K .

PMATH 641 Lecture 30: April 1, 2013

Lattices, Λ in \mathbb{R}^n

Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be linearly independent vectors over \mathbb{R} in \mathbb{R}^n . The set of points

$$\Lambda = \{m_1\alpha_1 + \dots + m_n\alpha_n : m_i \in \mathbb{Z}, i = 1, \dots, n\},$$

is known as a lattice. The lattice is said to be generated by $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$. Notice that if (v_{ij}) is a matrix with integer entries and $\det(v_{ij}) = \pm 1$ and we put

$$\alpha'_i = \sum_{j=1}^n v_{ij}\alpha_j$$

then $\alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_n$ is also a basis for Λ .

Put $d(\Lambda) = |\det(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)|$. Then $d(\Lambda)$ does not depend on the choice of generators $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ for Λ since

$$\det(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \pm \det(\alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_n)$$

whenever $\alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_n$ also generate Λ .

For generators $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ of Λ we can define an associated fundamental parallelogram P in \mathbb{R}^n given by

$$P = \{ \theta_1 \alpha_1 + \dots + \theta_n \alpha_n : 0 \leq \theta_i < 1 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n \}.$$

Notice that every element β in \mathbb{R}^n has a unique representation in the form

$$\beta = \lambda + \gamma,$$

with $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $\gamma \in P$.

Note also that $\mu(P)$ the Lebesgue measure or volume of P is just

$$\mu(P) = d(\Lambda).$$

Remark: Since $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{R} , $d(\Lambda) > 0$.

Example: Let Λ be the lattice in \mathbb{R}^n generated by e_1, \dots, e_n where

$$e_j = (0, \dots, \overset{j\text{th position}}{0, 1, 0, \dots}, 0)$$

$$\Lambda_0 = \{ (m_1, \dots, m_n) : m_i \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n \}.$$

$$d(\Lambda_0) = 1$$

Theorem 57: (Blichfeldt's Theorem) Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Let Λ be a lattice in \mathbb{R}^n . Let S be a set in \mathbb{R}^n with Lebesgue measure $\mu(S)$. Suppose that either $\mu(S) > md(\Lambda)$ or S is compact and

$$\mu(S) \geq md(\Lambda)$$

then there exist distinct points x_1, \dots, x_{m+1} in S with $x_i - x_j \in \Lambda$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq m$.

Proof: Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ generate Λ and let P be the fundamental parallelogram associated with $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$.

For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ we define $R(\lambda)$ to be the set of points $v \in P$ such that

$$\lambda + v \in S.$$

We then have

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mu(R(\lambda)) = \mu(S) > md(\Lambda) = m\mu(P).$$

Therefore there is a point $v_0 \in S$ which is associated with $m + 1$ distinct lattice points $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{m+1}$. We now take $x_i = v_0 + \lambda_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, m + 1$. But then

$$x_i - x_j = \lambda_i - \lambda_j \in \Lambda$$

as required.

Suppose now that S is compact and

$$\mu(S) = md(\Lambda).$$

Let $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots$ be a sequence of *positive* real numbers with $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_r = 0$. Then

$$\mu((1 + \epsilon_r)S) > \mu(S) = md(\Lambda).$$

Thus there exist points $x_{1,r}, \dots, x_{m+1,r}$ in $(1 + \epsilon_r)S$ for which

$$u_r(i, j) = x_{i,r} - x_{j,r} \in \Lambda \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i, j \leq m + 1.$$

Since S is compact we can extract a subsequence and so suppose that $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} x_{i,r} = x'_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, m + 1$ with $x'_i \in S$. Notice that since Λ is discrete the $u_r(i, j)$'s are all the same for r sufficiently large. Therefore x'_1, \dots, x'_{m+1} are in S and

$$x'_i - x'_j \in \Lambda \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i, j \leq m + 1.$$

PMATH 641 Lecture 31: April 3, 2013

? from last class: Note that

$$\frac{1}{1 + \epsilon_r} x_{i,r} \in S.$$

Definition: Let S be a subset of \mathbb{R}^n . We say that S is symmetric about the origin if whenever $x \in S$ then $-x \in S$. We say that S is convex if whenever x, y are in S then $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in S$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ with $0 \leq \lambda < 1$.

Theorem 58: (Minkowski's Theorem).

Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Let S be a subset of \mathbb{R}^n which is symmetric about the origin and convex of Lebesgue measure $\mu(S)$. Let Λ be a lattice in \mathbb{R}^n . If either

$$\mu(S) > m2^n d(\Lambda)$$

or

$$\mu(S) \geq m2^n d(\Lambda)$$

and S is compact then there exist m pairs of non-zero points $\pm\lambda_1, \pm\lambda_2, \dots, \pm\lambda_m$ from Λ and in S .

Proof: We apply Theorem 57 to $\frac{1}{2}S$. Note that $\mu(\frac{1}{2}S) = \frac{1}{2^n}\mu(S)$. Therefore there exist distinct non-zero points $\frac{1}{2}x_1, \dots, \frac{1}{2}x_m$ in $\frac{1}{2}S$ which have the property that

$$\frac{1}{2}x_i - \frac{1}{2}x_j \in \Lambda \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i, j \leq m.$$

Let us suppose without loss of generality that

$$x_1 \succ x_2 \succ \dots \succ x_m$$

where \succ indicates that the first non-zero coordinate in $x_i - x_{i+1}$ is positive for $i = 1, \dots, m - 1$. We now take

$$\lambda_j = \frac{1}{2}x_j - \frac{1}{2}x_{m+1} \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, m.$$

Note that since S is symmetric about $\mathbf{0}$ we see that $-x_{m+1}$ is in S . Since S is convex

$$\frac{1}{2}x_j + \frac{1}{2}(-x_{m+1}) = \frac{1}{2}x_j - \frac{1}{2}x_{m+1} = \lambda_j$$

is in S .

$\implies \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ are non-zero and distinct with first non-zero coordinate positive. Also $-\lambda_1, \dots, -\lambda_m$ are in S , by symmetry, and in Λ . The result follows.

Observe that the lower bounds in the theorem can't be improved. Take

$$S = \{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x_1| < m \text{ and } |x_2| < 1, \dots, |x_n| < 1 \}.$$

$\mu(S) = m2^n$. S is convex and symmetric about $\mathbf{0}$. Take the lattice Λ_0 with $d(\Lambda_0) = 1$. The points of Λ_0 in S are $(\pm j, 0, \dots, 0)$ for $j = 0, \dots, m - 1$.

Suppose $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = n$ and let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$. Suppose $\theta = \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ are the conjugates of θ over \mathbb{Q} . Suppose that $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ are the embeddings of K in \mathbb{C} which fix \mathbb{Q} . Let r_1 be the number of embeddings in \mathbb{R} , equivalently the number of $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ which are in \mathbb{R} . Let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{r_1}$ be the real embeddings and $\sigma_{r_1+1}, \dots, \sigma_{r_1+2r_2}$ be the other embeddings, with $\sigma_{r_1+j} = \overline{\sigma_{r_1+r_2+j}}$ for $j = 1, \dots, r_2$.

Let $\tilde{\sigma} : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{r_1} \times \mathbb{C}^{r_2}$ be given by

$$\tilde{\sigma}(x) = (\sigma_1(x), \dots, \sigma_{r_1}(x), \sigma_{r_1+1}(x), \dots, \sigma_{r_1+r_2}(x)).$$

$\tilde{\sigma}$ is an injective ring homomorphism. We may identify \mathbb{C} with \mathbb{R}^2 by considering real and imaginary parts. Let us define

$$\sigma: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$$

by

$$\sigma(x) = (\sigma_1(x), \dots, \sigma_{r_1}(x), \Re(\sigma_{r_1+1}(x)), \Im(\sigma_{r_1+1}(x)), \dots, \Re(\sigma_{r_1+r_2}(x)), \Im(\sigma_{r_1+r_2}(x))).$$

Lemma 59: $[K : \mathbb{Q}] < \infty$. A a non-zero ideal in $\mathbb{A} \cap K$. Then $\sigma(A)$ is a lattice in \mathbb{R}^n with

$$d(A) = 2^{-r_2} |D|^{1/2} NA$$

where D is the discriminant of K .

PMATH 641 Lecture 32: April 5, 2013

Recall our map $\sigma: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ given by

$$\sigma(x) = (\sigma_1(x), \dots, \sigma_{r_1}(x), \Re(\sigma_{r_1+1}(x)), \Im(\sigma_{r_1+1}(x)), \dots, \Re(\sigma_{r_1+r_2}(x)), \Im(\sigma_{r_1+r_2}(x))).$$

Lemma 59: Let A be a non-zero ideal in $\mathbb{A} \cap K$. Then $\sigma(A)$ is a lattice Λ in \mathbb{R}^n with

$$d(\Lambda) = 2^{-r_2} |D|^{1/2} NA,$$

where D is the discriminant of K .

Proof: Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be an integral basis for A . The coordinates of $\sigma(\alpha_i)$ in \mathbb{R}^n are

$$(\sigma_1(\alpha_i), \dots, \sigma_{r_1}(\alpha_i), \dots, \Re(\sigma_{r_1+r_2}(\alpha_i)), \Im(\sigma_{r_1+r_2}(\alpha_i))). \quad (*)$$

Note that for $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $\Re(z) = \frac{z+\bar{z}}{2}$ and $\Im(z) = -\frac{z-\bar{z}}{2i} = -\frac{1}{i}(\bar{z} - (\frac{z+\bar{z}}{2}))$. Thus

$$D = \det(\sigma_i(\alpha_j)) = \left(\frac{1}{-2i}\right)^{r_2} d(\Lambda)$$

where $d(\Lambda)$ is the determinant of the matrix whose i th row is $\sigma_i(\alpha_j)$. Since $D \neq 0$ we see that $d(\Lambda)$ is not 0 and so $\sigma(A) = \Lambda$ is a lattice in \mathbb{R}^n . Now by Theorem 49 our result follows.

Theorem 60: Suppose $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = n$ with $n = r_1 + 2r_2$ where r_1 is the number of real embeddings of K in \mathbb{C} and $2r_2$ is the number of other embeddings. Let A be a non-zero ideal in $\mathbb{A} \cap K$. Then there exists a non-zero α in A for which

$$|N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha)| \leq \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{r_2} \sqrt{|D|} NA.$$

Proof: Let $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and let S_t be the set of (x_1, \dots, x_n) in \mathbb{R}^n for which $|x_i| \leq t$ for $i = 1, \dots, r_1$ and for which $x_{r_1+j}^2 + x_{r_1+1+j}^2 \leq t^2$ for $j = 1, 3, 5, \dots, 2r_2 - 1$.

Note that S_t is compact, convex and symmetric about the origin $\mathbf{0}$. Further

$$\mu(S_t) = (2t)^{r_1} (\pi t^2)^{r_2} = 2^{r_1} \pi^{r_2} t^n.$$

We now take

$$t = \left(\frac{2^n}{2^{r_1+r_2} \pi^{r_2} |D|^{1/2} NA}\right)^{1/n}.$$

Then

$$\mu(S_t) = 2^n \left(\frac{|D|^{1/2} NA}{2^{r_2}}\right) = 2^n d(\Lambda),$$

where Λ is the lattice associated with the ideal A . By Minkowski's Theorem there is a non-zero lattice point of Λ in S_t . Let α be the associated element of A . Then, let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ be the embeddings of K in \mathbb{C} which fix \mathbb{Q} ,

$$\begin{aligned} |N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha)| &= \prod_{i=1}^n |\sigma_i(\alpha)| = \prod_{i=1}^{r_1} |\sigma_i(\alpha)| \prod_{i=r_1+1}^{r_1+r_2} |\sigma_i(\alpha)\overline{\sigma_i(\alpha)}| \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{r_1} |\sigma_i(\alpha)| \prod_{i=r_1+1}^{r_1+r_2} (\Re(\sigma_i(\alpha))^2 + \Im(\sigma_i(\alpha))^2) \\ &\leq t^{r_1} \cdot t^{2r_2} = t^n = \frac{2^n}{2^{r_1+r_2} \pi^{r_2}} |D|^{1/2} N A \\ &= \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{r_2} |D|^{1/2} N A. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = n$. Let θ be in $\mathbb{A} \cap K$ and such that $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$. Let f be the minimal polynomial of θ . Let t be the index of $\mathbb{Z}[\theta]$ in $\mathbb{A} \cap K$. Let p be a prime in \mathbb{Z} .

? How does (p) decompose in $\mathbb{A} \cap K$? Consider f in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ where \mathbb{F}_p is the finite field of p elements. Identify \mathbb{F}_p with $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Suppose $p \nmid t$. In $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$,

$$f(x) = f_1(x)^{e_1} \cdots f_g(x)^{e_g}$$

where f_i is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ of degree d_i . We have

$$(p) = P_1^{e_1} \cdots P_g^{e_g}$$

where P_i is a prime ideal in $\mathbb{A} \cap K$. In fact

$$P_i = (p, f_i(\theta)).$$

If also $p \nmid D$ then $e_1 = \cdots = e_g = 1$. Thus

$$n = d_1 + \cdots + d_g \tag{*}$$

and so is a partition of n .

Let $\theta = \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ be the conjugates of θ over \mathbb{Q} and put $L = \mathbb{Q}(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$. Let $G = \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$ be the Galois group of L over \mathbb{Q} . If σ is in $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$ then σ induces a permutation of $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ and so an element $\tilde{\sigma}$ of S_n . We can decompose $\tilde{\sigma}$ as a product of cycles say $\tilde{\sigma} = c_1 \cdots c_l$ and then

$$n = |c_1| + \cdots + |c_l| \tag{**}$$

where $|c_i|$ is the length of the cycle c_i . ** is another partition of n .

1880 Frobenius

$$\frac{\# \text{ of primes up to } x \text{ with a given partition } *}{\# \text{ of primes up to } x} \rightarrow \text{tends to a limit.}$$

and the limit is the proportion of elements σ of G with the same partition of n in **.

Office Hours

Mon Apr 8 2:40–3:40

Wed Apr 10 2:00–3:00

Thurs Apr 11 2:00–3:00