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Abstract

Given a set of unit-discs in the plane with union area \(A\), what fraction of \(A\) can be covered by selecting a pairwise disjoint subset of the discs? Rado conjectured \(1/4\) and proved \(1/4.41\). Motivated by the problem of channel-assignment for wireless access points, we consider a variant where the selected subset of discs must be 3-colourable, with discs of the same colour pairwise-disjoint. For this variant of the problem, we conjecture that it is always possible to cover at least \(1/1.41\) of the union area and prove \(1/2.77\). We also provide an \(O(n^2)\) algorithm to select a subset achieving our \(1/2.77\) bound, and a proof that this problem is 3SUM-hard, providing strong evidence that our algorithm is optimal.

1 Introduction

R. Rado studied the following problem: What is the largest \(c_1\) such that, given any arrangement of unit-discs \(D\) in the plane, we can always select a pairwise disjoint subset of discs that cover at least a fraction \(c_1\) of the area of the union of \(D\)? The best we can hope for is \(c_1 = 1/4\), corresponding to the case shown in Figure 1 where a large number of unit-discs share a very small intersection—the common intersection prevents us from selecting more than a single disc, while the union area of all discs approaches \(4\pi\). Rado proved a lower bound of \(c_1 = \frac{\pi}{8\sqrt{3}} \approx \frac{1}{4.41}\) [11] and conjectured the lower bound \(c_1 = \frac{1}{4}\).

In this paper, we study a variant of the above problem in which the disjointness constraint on the selected subset of discs is relaxed slightly. Given an arrangement of unit-discs \(D\) in the plane, we want to find the largest \(c_3\) such that we can always select and 3-colour a subset of the discs \(C\) such that their union area covers at least a fraction \(c_3\) of the union area of \(D\), under the constraint that same-coloured discs must be pairwise disjoint. We will prove that, for any given arrangement of unit-discs, we can always achieve greater than \(c_3 \approx \frac{1}{2.77}\). Our result is stated formally in Theorem 1 below.

Figure 1: Given a set of circles arranged in a circle with a very small mutual intersection, the most area we can cover is \(\frac{\pi}{8\sqrt{3}} \approx \frac{1}{4.41}\).

Note that it is not possible to achieve better than \(c_3 \approx \frac{1}{4.41}\), corresponding to selecting three minimally pairwise intersecting discs in the arrangement shown in Figure 1. We conjecture that this bound is achievable for any arrangement of unit-discs.

Theorem 1 Let \(D\) be a collection of unit-discs in the plane with union area \(A\). For \(C\) a 3-coloured subset of \(D\) with same-coloured discs pairwise disjoint, let \(A_C\) denote \(C\)'s union area. We can always select a \(C\) such that \(c_3 = \frac{A_C}{A} \geq \frac{1}{2.77}\).

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present our motivation for exploring this problem and discuss some related work. In Section 3 we review Rado’s proof and discuss some techniques that will make also make an appearance in our proof. In Sections 4 and 5 we present our proof of Theorem 1 and a supporting lemma. In Section 6 we present an \(O(n^2)\) algorithm to select a subset which achieves our proven bound, and prove that this problem is 3SUM-hard. Finally, Section 7 discusses bounds for \(k\)-colours.

2 Motivation and Related Work

Wi-Fi (IEEE 802.11) wireless networks are becoming a ubiquitous feature in modern businesses, universities, parks, etc. In a typical Wi-Fi deployment scenario, a set of candidate locations are determined for wireless access points (APs). A subset of the candidate locations must be chosen along with a channel assignment for each installed AP in order to maximize the area covered by the wireless network while minimizing interference. Interference occurs when two APs using the same channel are within range of one another, preventing users in range of both APs from communicating with either AP.
Supposing three channels are available, the wireless network deployment problem corresponds to the 3-coloured disc packing problem discussed in Section 1. Each disc represents the coverage area of a potential AP placed at the disc’s center, and a 3-coloured disc packing represents a deployment of APs with channel assignments. Moreover, a study by wireless hardware maker Cisco recommends that wireless network deployments only use three channels for networks with a high volume of users [6].

A more sophisticated formalization of the deployment problem allows discs assigned to the same channel to overlap but only counts the area where there is no interference—i.e. the area of the set of points covered by only one disc on some channel. In terms of colouring, the problem is to colour a subset of the given discs to maximize the area of 
\[ \alpha \] points in the union area. A straightforward substitution \( \frac{4\sqrt{3}}{3} \) yields Rado’s result of 
\[ 1 \] if any given set of discs, we immediately obtain a lower bound of \( c_1 = \pi k \) for Rado’s version of the problem. Such a lower bound on \( k \) is given by Rado in [11], proving that given a set of geometric objects in the plane with union area \( A \), and a triangular lattice in which each triangle has area \( \alpha \), we can always find a translation of the lattice such that it intersects \( \frac{A}{2\alpha} \) points in the union area. A straightforward substitution of \( \alpha = 4\sqrt{3} \) (the area of an equilateral triangle with side length 4) yields Rado’s result of 
\[ c_1 = \frac{\pi}{8\sqrt{3}}. \]
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\[ 1 \] if any given set of discs, we immediately obtain a lower bound of \( c_1 = \pi k \) for Rado’s version of the problem. Such a lower bound on \( k \) is given by Rado in [11], proving that given a set of geometric objects in the plane with union area \( A \), and a triangular lattice in which each triangle has area \( \alpha \), we can always find a translation of the lattice such that it intersects \( \frac{A}{2\alpha} \) points in the union area. A straightforward substitution of \( \alpha = 4\sqrt{3} \) (the area of an equilateral triangle with side length 4) yields Rado’s result of 
\[ c_1 = \frac{\pi}{8\sqrt{3}}. \]

A more sophisticated formalization of the deployment problem allows discs assigned to the same channel to overlap but only counts the area where there is no interference—i.e. the area of the set of points covered by only one disc on some channel. In terms of colouring, the problem is to colour a subset of the given discs to maximize the area of \( \alpha \) points in the union area. A straightforward substitution \( \frac{4\sqrt{3}}{3} \) yields Rado’s result of 
\[ 1 \] if any given set of discs, we immediately obtain a lower bound of \( c_1 = \pi k \) for Rado’s version of the problem. Such a lower bound on \( k \) is given by Rado in [11], proving that given a set of geometric objects in the plane with union area \( A \), and a triangular lattice in which each triangle has area \( \alpha \), we can always find a translation of the lattice such that it intersects \( \frac{A}{2\alpha} \) points in the union area. A straightforward substitution of \( \alpha = 4\sqrt{3} \) (the area of an equilateral triangle with side length 4) yields Rado’s result of 
\[ c_1 = \frac{\pi}{8\sqrt{3}}. \]

Another well-explored problem is conflict-free colouring of regions other than discs [10].

### 3 Preliminaries

The basic idea behind our proof is similar to that used by Rado [11]. His idea was to impose a regular triangular lattice of side length 4 over the set of discs \( D \) and, for each point in the union of \( D \), to select one disc containing that lattice point. The side length of the lattice guarantees that discs selected in this manner will be pairwise disjoint (see Figure 2). Thus, supposing we can prove a lower bound of \( k \) on the number of lattice points which intersect any given set of discs, we immediately obtain a lower bound of \( c_1 = \pi k \) for Rado’s version of the problem. Such a lower bound on \( k \) is given by Rado in [11], proving that given a set of geometric objects in the plane with union area \( A \), and a triangular lattice in which each triangle has area \( \alpha \), we can always find a translation of the lattice such that it intersects \( \frac{A}{2\alpha} \) points in the union area. A straightforward substitution of \( \alpha = 4\sqrt{3} \) (the area of an equilateral triangle with side length 4) yields Rado’s result of 
\[ c_1 = \frac{\pi}{8\sqrt{3}}. \]

Another well-explored problem is conflict-free colouring of regions other than discs [10].

### 4 Proof of Theorem 1

**Proof.** To solve our variation of the problem we use a finer triangular lattice with side length \( \frac{4\sqrt{3}}{3} \). The points of the lattice are 3-coloured such that no two lattice points of the same colour are adjacent. For any placement of the lattice, select a subset \( C \) of \( D \) as follows: for each lattice point \( p \) in the union of \( D \), select a disc containing \( p \) and assign the disc the colour of \( p \). The side length of the lattice ensures that no disc contains two lattice points so the selection and colouring are well-defined. It also ensures that discs assigned the same colour are pairwise disjoint (see Figure 3).

Also observe that, by the result of Rado in [11], we can position the lattice to intersect the union area of \( D \) in at least \( \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \) points, so \( |C| \geq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \). While same-coloured discs in \( C \) are pairwise disjoint, differently coloured discs may not be, so \( |C| \pi \) is only an upper bound on \( AC \).

To derive a lower bound we will partition the union of \( C \) using the lattice’s Voronoi tessellation which has regular hexagonal cells of side length \( \frac{4\sqrt{3}}{3} \) (see Figure 4). Suppose disc \( d \in C \) contains lattice point \( p \) which lies in hexagonal cell \( h \). If we count only the area of \( d \cap h \), and sum over all \( d \), this gives a lower bound on \( AC \). Thus if we establish a lower bound \( \Delta \) on the minimum possible area of \( d \cap h \) then \( AC \geq |C|\Delta \geq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}\Delta \). In Lemma 2, which we will prove in Section 5, we show that \( \Delta \approx 1.6645 \). From the lower bound on \( AC \) we reach our intended lower bound on \( c_3 \) of
\[ c_3 = \frac{AC}{A} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{8}\Delta \approx \frac{A}{2.77}. \]
mean that our bound on the minimum area $\Delta$. However, note that this does not by the hexagons and each disc intersects its hexagon in Figure 5 where the union of

\[ A \]

$\geq |C|\Delta$ is tight, as shown by the example in Figure 5 where the union of $C$ is exactly partitioned by the hexagons and each disc intersects its hexagon in the minimum area $\Delta$. However, note that this does not mean that our bound on $c_3$ is tight.

![Figure 4: The Voronoi tessellation of the triangular lattice points forms a grid of regular hexagonal cells.](image)

5 Minimum Disc Hexagon Intersection

**Lemma 2** Given a regular hexagon $h$ with center point $X$ and side length $\frac{a}{3}$, and any unit-disc $d$ intersecting point $X$, the minimum area of intersection $\Delta$ between $h$ and $d$ is approximately 1.6645, or more precisely

\[
\Delta = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{36} + \frac{\sqrt{11}}{12} + \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \arctan \left( \frac{5\sqrt{3} - \sqrt{11}}{5 + \sqrt{11}\sqrt{3}} \right)
\]

**Proof.** We use elementary geometry to argue that the minimum area of intersection is achieved by a disc $d$ with $X$ on its boundary. Then, parameterizing by the angle $\theta$ between the horizontal axis and the ray from $X$ to the center of $d$, we use the symbolic geometry package Geometry Expressions to give a formula for the area of intersection and use Maple to compute 0’s of the first derivative, finding that the minimum is as stated above, and occurs in the configuration shown in Figure 5. Further details are included in the online version of the paper.

Our proof of Lemma 2 also shows that the lower bound $A_C \geq |C|\Delta$ is tight, as shown by the example in Figure 5 where the union of $C$ is exactly partitioned by the hexagons and each disc intersects its hexagon in the minimum area $\Delta$. However, note that this does not mean that our bound on $c_3$ is tight.

![Figure 5: In this arrangement of selected discs, the lower bound on the contribution of each disc is realized.](image)

6 Algorithm

In this section we give an $O(n^2)$ time algorithm to select and 3-colour a subset $C$ of a set $D$ of unit-discs so that the area bound given in Theorem 1 is realized. The proof of the theorem is constructive, and the only algorithmic issue is positioning the lattice so that at least $\frac{2\sqrt{3}}{\pi}$ lattice points are in $\cup D$ (the union of all discs in $D$). We give an $O(n^2)$ time algorithm for this lattice positioning problem. We also prove that the lattice positioning problem is 3SUM-hard, providing evidence that an $O(n^2)$ time algorithm is the best we can expect.

The idea, which comes from Rado’s paper [11], uses the concept of the “fundamental cell” of a lattice—for this lattice the fundamental cell $F$ consists of a pair of adjacent triangles (see Figure 3). Given an arbitrary placement of the lattice, each triangle of the lattice can be translated to $F$ along with whatever part of $\cup D$ is contained in the triangle. The translated parts of $\cup D$ will overlap in $F$, and we want a point $p$ of maximum depth $k$. Positioning the lattice with a lattice point at $p$ ensures that $k$ lattice points fall in $\cup D$. (This is how Rado obtains the bound $k \geq \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{3}}$ where $a$ is the area of one triangle.)

The one remaining detail is how to capture the translated portions of $\cup D$ so that we can compute a point of maximum depth. Our basic idea involves translating all the discs and then computing and traversing their arrangement. Each disc $d$ intersects at most 4 translates of $F$. We make 4 translated copies of $d$, and record which translate of $F$ they come from. Computing this set of translated discs $D'$ takes $O(n)$ time. Computing the arrangement of $D'$, $A(D')$, takes $O(n^2)$ time using the incremental insertion algorithm of Chazelle and Lee [4].

It is easy to traverse $A(D')$ to compute maximum depth in $D'$—the depth increases when we enter a disc and decreases when we exit. However, this is not quite what we want; we want depth with respect to $\cup D$ translated to $F$, which is different from depth in $D'$ due to discs that overlap originally in $D$. Our solution is to traverse $A(D')$ maintaining the depth $c_i$ in each translate $i$ of $F$. Note that there are $O(n)$ translates of $F$ that intersect $\cup D$. We also maintain a count $c$ of the number of non-zero $c_i$’s. The maximum value of $c$ over cells of $A(D')$ gives us what we want.

We now prove that the lattice positioning problem discussed above is 3SUM-hard. A problem is 3SUM-hard if it is harder than the problem of determining whether a set $S$ of $n$ integers contains three elements $a, b, c \in S$ such that $a + b + c = 0$. The best known algorithms for this problem take $O(n^2)$ and it is an open problem to do better [9].

**Theorem 3** The following problem is 3SUM-hard: Given an integer $k$, real number $s$, and a set $D$ of $n$ unit-discs in the plane, determine whether a triangular lattice of side length $s$ can be positioned such that it intersects the union area of $D$ in at least $k$ points.

**Proof.** We show that our problem is harder than the known 3SUM-hard problem of determining whether
there is a point of depth $k$ in a set of unit radius discs in the plane. The more general problem for variable radius discs is proved 3SUM-hard in [2] and the reduction is easily modified to produce unit radius discs.

Our reduction is as follows. Given a set $D$ of unit radius discs in the plane, place an equilateral triangle $T$ large enough to contain all of $D$. Expand $T$ to a triangular lattice, and translate each disc of $D$ to a different cell in the lattice. Let the translated set of discs be $D'$. Then there is a point of depth $k$ in $D$ iff the lattice can be translated to intersect $D'$ in $k$ points. This reduction takes linear time.

7 Extension to $k$-Colours and Future Work

While it is fortuitous that our proof technique can handle 3 colours, since that is relevant for channel assignment in wireless networks, it is interesting to see what general bound can be derived for $k$-colours. Theorem 4 presents some preliminary results, demonstrating a bound for all $k$ such that $k \in \{i^2 + ij + j^2 \mid i, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$. The number of such $k$ lower than a given $x \in \mathbb{N}$ is given by $\Theta(\frac{x}{\sqrt{x+1}})$, so the set of such $k$ is thin (density 0).

Theorem 4 Given $k$ colours, where $k \in \{i^2 + ij + j^2 \mid i, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ we can select and colour a subset of discs such that same-coloured discs are disjoint and their union area covers at least $A(1+\delta_k)^2$ where $\delta_k = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{k} - \frac{2}{3}}\right)$.

Proof. For all $k \in \{i^2 + ij + j^2 \mid i, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$, distance $\sqrt{k}$ occurs in the unit triangular lattice $L$, and by $\frac{2\pi}{3}$ rotational symmetry, an entire sublattice with side length $\sqrt{k}$ exists. Thus we can partition $L$ into $k$ triangular lattices of side length $\sqrt{k}$ and assign each a unique colour. We then scale $L$ such that distance 2 separates the enclosing discs of Voronoi cells of same-coloured lattice points by applying a scaling factor of $\alpha_k = \frac{2}{\sqrt{k} - \frac{2}{3}}$.

Now, each disc in $D$ is assigned to the Voronoi cell containing its center. We select from each Voronoi cell one associated disc (if there are any) and colour it to match the Voronoi cell’s lattice point. Note that by our scaling, same-coloured selected discs cannot intersect.

If a point $p$ is in $\cup D$ but is not in any selected disc, then the disc covering $p$ intersects another disc with center in the same Voronoi cell, and the distance between their center points is less than the diameter of the Voronoi cell $\delta_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\sqrt{k})$. Now, if all selected discs were blown up by a factor of $1 + \delta_k$, $p$ would be covered by some selected disc and the union of selected discs would cover at most $A(1+\delta_k)^2$. Thus, if we allow $k$ colours, we can cover at least $A(1+\delta_k)^2$.

We are also continuing work on the 3-colour version of the problem, and have recently improved our bound to approximately $A/2.09$.

Acknowledgements

This problem was introduced to us by Keshav, University of Waterloo, and the work was initiated at the 5th McGill-INRIA Workshop on Computational Geometry in Computer Graphics at McGill’s Bellairs Research Institute in 2006. The workshop was organized by Hazel Everett, Sylvain Lazard, and Sue Whitesides. We thank Keshav and the participants of the workshop for fruitful discussions.

References


