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Introduction
**G-Algebras**

**Definition**

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ consider the units $c_{ij} \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and polynomials $d_{ij} \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. Suppose, that there exists a monomial total well-ordering $\prec$ on $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, such that for any $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ either $d_{ij} = 0$ or the leading monomial of $d_{ij}$ is smaller than $x_i x_j$ with respect to $\prec$. The $\mathbb{K}$-algebra $A := \mathbb{K}\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \mid \{x_j x_i = c_{ij} x_i x_j + d_{ij} : 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}\rangle$ is called a **$G$-algebra**, if $\{x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_n^{\alpha_n} : \alpha_i \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ is a $\mathbb{K}$-basis of $A$.

**Remark**

- Also known as “algebras of solvable type” and “PBW (Poincaré Birkhoff Witt) Algebras”

**Definition**

If $c_{ij} = 1$ for all $i, j$ in the definition above, then we call the resulting $\mathbb{K}$ algebra a **$G$-algebra of Lie type**.
Examples for $G$-Algebras

- Weyl algebras ($K\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n, \partial_1, \ldots, \partial_n \mid \forall i: \partial_i x_i = x_i \partial_i + 1 \rangle$)
- Shift algebras ($K\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n, s_1, \ldots, s_n \mid \forall i: s_i x_i = (x_i + 1)s_i \rangle$)
- $q$-Weyl algebras
  ($K\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n, \partial_1, \ldots, \partial_n \mid \forall i: \exists q_i \in K^* : \partial_i x_i = q_i x_i \partial_i + 1 \rangle$)
- $q$-Shift algebras
  ($K\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n, s_1, \ldots, s_n \mid \forall i: \exists q_i \in K^* : s_i x_i = q_i x_i s_i \rangle$)
- Universal enveloping algebras of finite dimensional Lie algebras.
- ...
Available Software for $G$-Algebras

- **SAGE** (package ore_algebra, Kauers et al. (2014)): Any $G$-algebra (and more) can be defined. (depending on SAGE version; no factorization algorithm provided)

- **SINGULAR:Plural** (Greuel et al. (2010)): Any $G$-algebra can be defined (factorization functionality via ncfactor.lib).

- **REDUCE** (package NCPOLY, Melenk and Apel (1994)): Supports $G$-algebras of Lie type (factorization algorithm provided).

- **MAPLE**:
  - Package OreTools (Abramov et al. (2003)): Single Ore-extensions
  - Package Ore_algebra: Defining non-commutative rings using pairs of non-commuting variables.
  - Factorization algorithm only for Weyl algebras (via the package DETools, van Hoeij (1997)).
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Development History of ncfactor.lib

- In the beginning: First Weyl algebra, first shift algebra. Main ideas:
  - Shift algebra can be embedded in Weyl algebra.
  - \( \mathbb{Z} \)-graded structure on Weyl algebra utilized (weight vector \([-1, 1]\) for \(x, \partial\)).
  - Factorization of homogeneous elements \(\rightarrow\) factorization in \(K[\theta]\) (+minor combinatorics).
  - Factorization of general polynomials \(\rightarrow\) by ansatz method (knowledge needed: only finitely many factorizations possible (Tsarev, 1996)).
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  ▶ Need for proof that $n^{\text{th}}$ Weyl and shift algebras only have finitely many possible factorizations.
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Finite Factorization Domain

Definition (Non-Commutative FFD, cf. (Bell et al., 2014))
Let $A$ be a (not necessarily commutative) domain. We say that $A$ is a \textbf{finite factorization domain} (FFD, for short), if every nonzero, non-unit element of $A$ has at least one factorization into irreducible elements and there are at most finitely many distinct factorizations into irreducible elements up to multiplication of the irreducible factors by central units in $A$.

Remark
\textit{Classically, different factorizations in non-commutative rings are studied with respect to similarity}: For a ring $R$, two elements $a, b \in R$ are said to be \textbf{similar}, if $R/aR$ and $R/bR$ are isomorphic as left $R$-modules.
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Remark

Classically, different factorizations in non-commutative rings are studied with respect to similarity: For a ring $R$, two elements $a, b \in R$ are said to be similar, if $R/aR$ and $R/bR$ are isomorphic as left $R$-modules. However, it is a very weak property, as one can e.g. see in (Giesbrecht and Heinle, 2012).
Theorem (cf. (Bell et al., 2014))

Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a field. Then $G$-algebras over $\mathbb{K}$ and their subalgebras are finite factorization domains.
Consequences

- We have now more than just the similarity property to characterize factorizations in $G$-algebras.
- New algorithmic problem: Calculate all factorizations of an element in a given $G$-algebra.
- With this knowledge, study how algorithms from commutative algebra can be generalized to certain non-commutative algebras.
The New Powers of ncfactor.lib
What \texttt{ncfactor.lib} can do...

- Factor elements in all $G$-algebras, with the following assumption on the underlying field $\mathbb{K}$:
  - Factorization must be implemented in \texttt{SINGULAR} for $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$.

- Currently, this only excludes fields represented by floating point numbers and finite fields that are not prime (i.e. those of order $p^k$ with $p$ prime and $k > 1$).

- Practical examples of underlying fields where we can factor:
  - $\mathbb{Q}$, and any field extension of $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ with some algebraic $\alpha$.
  - $\mathbb{K}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ for $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ being transcendental, and $\mathbb{K}$ an already supported field.

- Calling the function \texttt{ncfactor} is enough. As a preprocessing, it will check if a better algorithm for this specific algebra is available and forward the input there.
What `ncfactor.lib` cannot do...

- Whatever non-commutative ring cannot be directly defined in `SINGULAR:Plural`:
  - Ore extensions of the form $\mathbb{K}[x; \sigma, \delta]$, where $\sigma$ and $\delta$ map elements in $\mathbb{K}$ (Caruso and Borgne (2012) have a good implementation for that, with implementation of factorization algorithm by Giesbrecht (1998)).
  - Factorize elements in factor rings of $G$-algebras with respect to two-sided ideals.
  - Non-commutative rings with zero-divisors (like the integro-differential operators).
- $G$-algebras over a field $\mathbb{K}$, for which elements in $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ cannot be factored in `SINGULAR:Plural`.
- Factor elements in free algebras
- Generally scale to larger powers for arbitrary $G$-algebras.
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  - Non-commutative rings with zero-divisors (like the integro-differential operators).
- \( G \)-algebras over a field \( \mathbb{K} \), for which elements in \( \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \) cannot be factored in Singular:Plural.
- Factor elements in free algebras yet.
- Generally scale to larger powers for arbitrary \( G \)-algebras.
Functions Overview

- **facWeyl**: Returns all factorizations of elements in Weyl algebras using the algorithm described in (Giesbrecht et al., 2015).
- **facShift**: Returns all factorizations of elements in shift algebras via embedding in Weyl algebras.
- **facSubWeyl**: Returns all factorizations of elements in Weyl algebras which are embedded in a larger ring (comfort function).
- **homogFacNthQWeyl[all]**: Returns one (resp. all) factorization of a $\mathbb{Z}^n$ homogeneous element in the $n^{th}$ $q$-Weyl algebra.
- **ncfactor**: Returns all factorizations of elements in any supported $G$-algebra. Automatically chooses a more specified algorithm when available (like e.g. for Weyl algebras).

For legacy reasons, we still have **facFirstWeyl**, **facFirstShift**, **homogFacFirstQWeyl[all]**. They just call their bigger siblings, i.e. they can be ignored.
Software Demonstration
Some New Applications
Factorized Gröbner bases – Commutative

- The factorized Gröbner approach has been studied extensively for the commutative case (Czapor, 1989b,a; Davenport, 1987; Gräbe, 1995a,b).
- Application: Obtaining triangular sets.
- Possible extension: Allowing constraints on the solutions.
- Implementations: e.g. in Singular and Reduce.
- Idea: For each factor $\tilde{g}$ of a reducible element $g$ during a Gröbner computation, recursively call algorithm on the same generator set, with $g$ being replaced by $\tilde{g}$. 
Generalization to Non-Commutative Rings

- Ideals in commutative ring $\leftrightarrow$ Varieties
- Ideals in Non-Commutative ring $\leftrightarrow$ Solutions
- Formal notion of solutions: Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a left $A$-module for a $\mathbb{K}$-algebra $A$ (space of solutions). Let a left $A$-module $M$ be finitely presented by an $n \times m$ matrix $P$. Then

$$\text{Sol}_A(P, \mathcal{F}) = \{ f \in \mathcal{F}^m : Pf = 0 \}$$

- Divisors for commutative rings $\leftrightarrow$ Right divisors for non-commutative rings.
Picking the Right Right Divisors

There are different strategies:

- Split Gröbner computation with respect to different irreducible right divisors.

Remark
This methodology also appears in the context of semifirs, where the concept of so called block factorizations or cleavages has been introduced to study the reducibility of a principal ideal (Cohn, 2006, Chapter 3.5).
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In the commutative case, for an ideal $I$ and the output $B_1, \ldots, B_m$ of the factorized Gröbner basis algorithm, one has

$$\sqrt{I} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{m} \sqrt{B_i}.$$ 

We would like to have something similar for the non-commutative case. However, as the next example depicts, we do not have it.
Example I

Let

\[ p = (x^6 + 2x^4 - 3x^2)\partial^2 - (4x^5 - 4x^4 - 12x^2 - 12x)\partial \\
+ (6x^4 - 12x^3 - 6x^2 - 24x - 12) \]

in the polynomial first Weyl algebra. This polynomial appears in (Tsai, 2000, Example 5.7) and has two different factorizations, namely

\[ p = (x^4\partial - x^3\partial - 3x^3 + 3x^2\partial + 6x^2 - 3x\partial - 3x + 12) \cdot \\
(x^2\partial + x\partial - 3x - 1) \]
\[ = (x^4\partial + x^3\partial - 4x^3 + 3x^2\partial - 3x^2 + 3x\partial - 6x - 3) \cdot \\
(x^2\partial - x\partial - 2x + 4). \]
Example II

A reduced Gröbner basis of
\[ \langle x^2 \partial + x \partial - 3x - 1 \rangle \cap \langle x^2 \partial - x \partial - 2x + 4 \rangle, \]
computed with Singular, is given by

\[
\{3x^5 \partial^2 + 2x^4 \partial^3 - x^4 \partial^2 - 12x^4 \partial + x^3 \partial^2 - 2x^2 \partial^3 + 16x^3 \partial \\
+ 9x^2 \partial^2 + 18x^3 + 4x^2 \partial + 4x \partial^2 - 42x^2 - 4x \partial - 12x - 12, \\
2x^4 \partial^4 - 2x^4 \partial^3 + 11x^4 \partial^2 + 12x^3 \partial^3 - 2x^2 \partial^4 - 2x^3 \partial^2 \\
+ 10x^2 \partial^3 - 44x^3 \partial - 17x^2 \partial^2 + 64x^2 \partial + 12x \partial^2 + 66x^2 \\
+ 52x \partial + 4 \partial^2 - 168x - 16 \partial - 60\}.
\]

Remark

The space of holomorphic solutions of the differential equation associated to \( p \) in fact coincides with the union of the solution spaces of the two generators of the intersection.
Conclusion and Future Work
Future Work

- Latest `ncfactor.lib` can be found in the `SINGULAR` GitHub repository\(^1\).
- More efficient algorithms and implementations to factor (certain) $G$-algebras.
- Categorization of rings with respect to the factorization properties of their elements (as e.g. done for commutative integral domains (Anderson et al., 1990; Anderson and Anderson, 1992; Anderson and Mullins, 1996; Anderson, 1997)).
- Study the output of non-commutative factorized Gröbner basis algorithm. What does it say about the ideal structure? What is the connection to the solution space?

\(^1\)https://github.com/Singular/Sources/blob/spielwiese/Singular/LIB/ncfactor.lib


