

## IMPORTANT NOTICE TO STUDENTS

These slides are **NOT** to be used as a replacement for student notes.  
These **slides** are sometimes **vague and incomplete on purpose** to spark class discussions

# Gang of Four (GoF) OO Design Patterns

*CS 446 / 646 ECE452  
May 11<sup>th</sup>, 2011*

# Motivation

## Object Oriented Analysis (OOA)

- domain problem **designed** as (domain) objects
  - addresses the **functional challenges**
  - what a system does
  - provides guidance for implementation

## Object Oriented Design (OOD)

- domain problem **solved** as (implementation) objects
  - addresses the implementation challenges
  - how a system realizes OOA

# Motivation

## How can we improve OOD

- identify common characteristics
  - creation, structure, behaviour & interactions
- design patterns (design reuse)
  - generic blueprints (*micro architecture*)
  - language and implementation independent
  - two main catalogues
    - **GoF**: Gang of Four (Gamma, Helm, Johnson, Vlissides, 1995)
    - **POSA**: Pattern Oriented Software Architecture (Buschmann, et al.; Wiley, 1996)

# Motivation


## What is a Design Pattern

- common solution to a reoccurring problem in design


## Anatomy

- name
- problem/motivation
- solution
- consequences & tradeoffs
- which ones are important for us?

# Design Patterns Classification



# Design Patterns Classification



# Design Patterns Classification

## “Purpose” based classification

- **creational:**
  - concerns with creation process of objects & classes
- **structural**
  - composition of classes & objects
- **behavioral**
  - characterizes interaction & responsibility of objects & classes

# Design Patterns Classification

“Scope” based classification

- decided if the pattern applies to mainly classes or objects

Two categories

- class scope
  - relationship between classes & subclasses
  - statically defined at run-time
- object scope
  - object relationships (*what type?*)
  - can be manipulated at runtime (*so what?*)



# Design Patterns Classification

## Creational class

- defers object creation to sub-classes (*factory method*)

## Structural class

- inheritance to compose classes (*adapter*)

## Behavioral class

- uses inheritance to describe flow of control, algorithms (*template*)

## Creational object

- defers object creation to other objects (*abstract factory*)

## Structural object

- deals with object assembly (*adapter*)

## Behavioral object

- group of objects working together to carry out a task (*iterator*)

# Singleton

## Intent

- *“ensure a class only has one instance, and provide a global point of access to it.”*

## Construction

Singleton
- <u>singleton : Singleton</u>
- Singleton()
+ <u>getInstance() : Singleton</u>

# Singleton

## Intent

- “ensure a class only has one instance, and provide a global point of access to it.”

## Construction


```
public class Singleton {  
    private static final Singleton INSTANCE = new Singleton();  
  
    // Private constructor prevents  
    // instantiation from other classes  
    private Singleton() {}  
  
    public static Singleton getInstance() {  
        return INSTANCE;  
    }  
}
```

Singleton
- <u>singleton : Singleton</u>
- Singleton()
+ <u>getInstance() : Singleton</u>

# Singleton

## Advantages

- controlled access to the class instance(s)
  - can dictate who, and when a client can access
- refinement of functionality
  - via inheritance/subclass



# Singleton

## Advantages

- variable number of instances
  - the *getInstance()* method needs modification
  - *what else needs to change?*

# Singleton

## A closer look at Singleton

- reuse
- separation of concerns
- global presence
- stateful vs. stateless
- multiple instances
- life cycle

# Singleton – A Closer Look

## Reuse

- coupling
  - results in tighter coupling
  - couples with the exact type of the singleton object
  - pass by reference to reduce coupling?

# Singleton – A Closer Look

## Reuse

- coupling
  - results in tighter coupling
  - couples with the exact type of the singleton object
  - pass by reference to reduce coupling?

```
public void doSomething() {  
    Worker worker = Worker.getInstance();  
    worker.perform();  
}
```

---

```
public void doSomething(Worker worker) {  
    worker.perform();  
}
```



# Singleton – A Closer Look

## Reuse

- inheritance
  - easy to extend functionality in subclasses
  - not easy to override the object instance in subclasses

# Singleton – A Closer Look

## Separation of concerns

- singleton class responsible for creation
  - acts as a builder/factory
- what if we were to separate the two concerns
  - example
    - database connection as a singleton
    - system 1 uses a singleton to ensure only a single database connection
    - system 2 needs to connection pool of 10 databases connections

# Singleton – A Closer Look

## Global presence

- provides a global access point to a service
  - aren't global variables bad?
  - can be accessed from anywhere
    - violation of layered access
- not part of method signature
  - dependency is not obvious
  - requires code inspection
- a large system may require many singletons
  - use a registry/repository

# Singleton – A Closer Look

## Stateful singleton

- same as a global variable in principle
  - aren't global variables bad?
- access concerns
  - synchronization
  - concurrency – multiple threaded using a singleton
- mutable vs. immutable state

## Stateless singleton

- better than stateful
- can we have a stateless singleton?

# Singleton – A Closer Look

## Multiple instances

- distributed systems
  - is it possible to have a true singleton in a distributed system?
  - global registries/repositories
- language (Java) specific concerns
  - initialization – has to be thread safe
  - serialization
  - class loaders

# Singleton – A Closer Look

## Life-cycle & life span

- creation
  - lazy initialization
- singletons are long lived
  - as long as an application's life span
  - registries can outlive applications
  - unit testing requires short lived state
- language (Java) specific concern
  - reloading singleton class (servlets)
  - loss of state

# Singleton

When can I use a singleton

- considerations[1]
  - will every user use this class exactly the same way?
  - will every applications ever need only one instance?
  - should the clients be unaware of the application
- examples
  - Java Math class (stateless – static class)
  - top level GUI (window/frame)
  - logging

[1] <http://www.ibm.com/developerworks/library/co-single.html>


# Adapter

## Intent

- “convert the interface of a class into another interface... Adapter lets classes work together that couldn't otherwise because of incompatible interface”
- also known as “wrapper”
- boolean values can be represented by
  - {1,0}, {true, false}, {yes, no}
  - does this qualify as an adapter?




# Motivation




Need to add “Text” capability to our drawing editor.

Consider an off the shelf **TextView** component



# Motivation




## Observations

- can be done in two ways
  - object composition (shown above)
  - inheritance
    - Shape provides “interface” and TextView provides an implementation
    - Lets try to draw this?

# Adapter - Class

## Requirement

- requires multiple inheritance




what about implementations that do not support multiple inheritance (Java)?

# Adapter – Object

## Requirement

- via object composition



# Adapter – Class vs. Object


## Class

- commitment to a **concrete** adaptee class
  - not to its subclasses (class hierarchy)
- allows for specialization
  - how?
- static in nature

## Object

- can use many adaptees
  - including sub-classes
- harder to override the adaptee behavior
  - why?


# Adapter - Class vs. Object




# Adapter & Dependency Inversion

## Dependency Inversion (DI)

- decouple high level layer from lower level layer(s)



Simple Layers




Abstract Layers

# Dependency Inversion Example

## Implications

- Button implementation relies on Lamp
- any changes to Lamp will impact Button
- what if we want to reuse Button class with a different component
  - such as a motor






# Dependency Inversion Example

## Dependency Inversion to Rescue


- looks good (?)
- still a dependency left



# Dependency Inversion Example

## Observation

- adapter enhanced the design
  - increased re-usability at the price of complexity



# Adapter


How much adaptation is reasonable?

# Bridge

## Intent


- “*decouples an abstraction from its implementation so the two can vary independently*”
- does this not sounds like an adapter?
  - will take a closer look later

# Bridge




# Bridge

Bridge



# Bridge Example


## Problem



## Solution via inheritance


**problem1:** what if we have to support another platform?

**problem2:** client code is tied to an implementation.  
For portable code, the client should not refer to an implementation



# Bridge Example


Solution: Use bridge pattern to place abstraction and implementation in two different hierarchies





# Bridge Example

Solution: Use bridge pattern to place abstraction and implementation in two different hierarchies



# Bridge

## Features

- flexible binding between abstraction & implementation
- two class hierarchies
- clients are decoupled

# Adapter & Bridge

## Common Elements

- flexibility via indirection
- request forwarding

# Adapter & Bridge

## Difference in intent

- adapter
  - resolves incompatibilities between two existing interfaces
  - two interfaces are independent and can evolve separately
  - coupling is unforeseen
  - adapts components after they have been designed
- bridge
  - connects an abstraction and its many implementations
  - evolution is in accordance with the base abstraction
  - coupling between the abstraction and the implementations are known