

# Predicate Logic: Semantic Entailment

Alice Gao  
Lecture 14

Based on work by J. Buss, L. Kari, A. Lubiw, B. Bonakdarpour, D. Maftuleac, C. Roberts, R. Trefler, and P. Van Beek

# Outline

Predicate Logic: Semantic Entailment

The Learning Goals

Definition of Entailment

Proving/Disproving an entailment

Revisiting the Learning Goals

# Learning goals

By the end of this lecture, you should be able to:

- ▶ Define semantic entailment for predicate logic.
- ▶ Prove that a semantic entailment holds.
- ▶ Prove that a semantic entailment does not hold.

# Definition of Semantic Entailment

For propositional logic:

Let  $\Sigma$  be the set of propositional formulas. Let  $\varphi$  be a propositional formula.

$\Sigma \models \varphi$  iff for every truth valuation  $t$ , if  $\Sigma^t = \top$  ( $t$  satisfies  $\Sigma$ ), then  $\varphi^t = \top$ .

For predicate logic:

Let  $\Sigma$  be the set of predicate formulas. Let  $\varphi$  be a predicate formula.

$\Sigma \models \varphi$  iff for every interpretation  $I$  and environment  $E$ , if  $I \models_E \Sigma$ , then  $I \models_E \varphi$ .

## Prove an entailment

Consider the entailment  $\Sigma \vDash \varphi$ .

To prove that the entailment holds, we need to consider

- (A) Every  $(I, E)$  such that  $I \vDash_E \Sigma$ .
- (B) Every  $(I, E)$  such that  $I \not\vDash_E \Sigma$ .
- (C) One  $(I, E)$  such that  $I \vDash_E \Sigma$ .
- (D) One  $(I, E)$  such that  $I \not\vDash_E \Sigma$ .

## Disprove an entailment

Consider the entailment  $\Sigma \vDash \varphi$ .

To prove that the entailment does NOT hold, we need to consider

- (A) Every  $(I, E)$  such that  $I \vDash_E \Sigma$  and  $I \vDash_E \varphi$ .
- (B) Every  $(I, E)$  such that  $I \vDash_E \Sigma$  and  $I \not\vDash_E \varphi$ .
- (C) One  $(I, E)$  such that  $I \vDash_E \Sigma$  and  $I \vDash_E \varphi$ .
- (D) One  $(I, E)$  such that  $I \vDash_E \Sigma$  and  $I \not\vDash_E \varphi$ .

## Disproving propositional entailment

A student is trying to prove that  $\{(\alpha \rightarrow \beta)\} \models (\beta \rightarrow \alpha)$  where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are well-formed propositional formulas. The student starts the proof by writing down the following sentence.

*There exists a truth valuation  $t$  such that  $\beta^t = T$  and  $\alpha^t = F$ .*

Is the above sentence true (a valid claim)?

- (A) Yes, it is true.
- (B) No, it is false.
- (C) There is not enough information to tell.

# Revisiting the learning goals

By the end of this lecture, you should be able to:

- ▶ Define semantic entailment for predicate logic.
- ▶ Prove that a semantic entailment holds.
- ▶ Prove that a semantic entailment does not hold.