

Formal Verification - Inference Rules

$$\frac{}{\langle Q[E/x] \rangle D \quad x = E \quad \langle Q \rangle D}$$

assignment. We typically apply this rule from the end of a program forward.

"precondition strengthening"

$$\frac{\langle P \rightarrow P' \rangle \quad \langle P' \rangle D \quad C \quad \langle Q \rangle D}{\langle P \rangle D \quad C \quad \langle Q \rangle D}$$

↑ stronger ↓ weaker

implied requires a separate natural deduction proof.

$$\frac{\langle P \rangle D \quad C \quad \langle Q' \rangle D \quad \langle Q' \rightarrow Q \rangle}{\langle P \rangle D \quad C \quad \langle Q \rangle D}$$

↑ stronger ↓ weaker

"post condition weakening"

implied requires a separate natural deduction proof.

$$\frac{\langle P \rangle D \quad C_1 \quad \langle Q \rangle D \quad \langle Q \rangle D \quad C_2 \quad \langle R \rangle D}{\langle P \rangle D \quad C_1; C_2 \quad \langle R \rangle D}$$

composition. We only use this rule implicitly, and never cite it.

$$\frac{\langle P \wedge B \rangle D \quad C_1 \quad \langle Q \rangle D \quad \langle (P \wedge \neg B) \rangle D \quad C_2 \quad \langle Q \rangle D}{\langle P \rangle D \quad \text{if } (B) \text{ } C_1 \text{ else } C_2 \quad \langle Q \rangle D}$$

if-then-else

note this difference!

$$\frac{\langle P \wedge B \rangle D \quad C \quad \langle Q \rangle D \quad \langle (P \wedge \neg B) \rangle D \rightarrow Q}{\langle P \rangle D \quad \text{if } (B) \text{ } C \quad \langle Q \rangle D}$$

if-then

requires a separate proof

$$\frac{\langle I \wedge B \rangle D \quad C \quad \langle I \rangle D}{\langle I \rangle D \quad \text{while } (B) \text{ } C \quad \langle I \wedge \neg B \rangle D}$$

invariant,

partial-while

key: ① identify the invariant.

② show that the precondition implies the invariant

③ show that the invariant implies the postcondition

Assignments

Complete the following annotations

$$Q[E/x] = (2=2)$$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad (2=2) \text{D}$$

$$x=2; \text{ E is } 2.$$

$$(x=2) \text{D assignment}$$

$$Q \text{ is } (x=2)$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad (y=2) \text{D}$$

$$x=2;$$

$$(x=y) \text{D assignment}$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad (0=2) \text{D}$$

$$x=2;$$

$$(x=0) \text{D assignment}$$

$$Q[E/x] = Q[x+1/x] = (x+1=n+1)$$

$$\textcircled{4} \quad (x+1=n+1) \text{D}$$

$$x=x+1; \text{ E} = x+1$$

$$(x=n+1) \text{D assignment}$$

$$Q \text{ is } x=n+1.$$

$$Q[E/x] = [y/x] = (2 \cdot y = y + y)$$

$$\textcircled{5} \quad (2 \cdot y = y + y) \text{D}$$

$$x=y; \text{ E} = y.$$

$$(2 \cdot x = x + y) \text{D assignment}$$

$$Q \text{ is } (2 \cdot x = x + y).$$

The "assignment" inference rule

$(Q[E/x]) \text{D}$ then Q must be true when replacing every x by E

$$x=E;$$

$(Q) \text{D}$ if Q is true after assigning x to E ,

Notes

① E may contain x in it. Treat E as a whole expression and do not worry about what's inside.

② When writing down $Q[E/x]$, do NOT change the order of things in the formula and do NOT simplify it.

Assignments

Prove that the following program satisfies the given triple under partial correctness

① $\langle ((x = x_0) \wedge (y = y_0)) \rangle D$
 $\langle ((y = y_0) \wedge (x = x_0)) \rangle D$ implied
 $t = x;$
 $\langle ((y = y_0) \wedge (t = x_0)) \rangle D$ assignment
 $x = y;$
 $\langle ((x = y_0) \wedge (t = x_0)) \rangle D$ assignment
 $y = t;$
 $\langle ((x = y_0) \wedge (y = x_0)) \rangle D$ assignment

Steps:

- ① Push up assignments
- ② Prove any implied's.

Proof of implied: $\vdash ((x = x_0) \wedge (y = y_0)) \rightarrow ((y = y_0) \wedge (x = x_0))$

1.	$(x = x_0) \wedge (y = y_0)$	assumption	5.	$((x = x_0) \wedge (y = y_0))$
2.	$x = x_0$	$\wedge e: 1$		$\rightarrow ((y = y_0) \wedge (x = x_0))$
3.	$y = y_0$	$\wedge e: 1$		$\rightarrow i: 1-4$
4.	$(y = y_0) \wedge (x = x_0)$	$\wedge i: 2, 3$		

② $\langle \text{true} \rangle D$
 $\langle (x + y = x + y) \rangle D$ implied

$z = x;$
 $\langle (z + y = x + y) \rangle D$ assignment
 $z = z + y;$
 $\langle (z = x + y) \rangle D$ assignment
 $u = z;$
 $\langle (u = x + y) \rangle D$ assignment

Proof of implied: $\vdash (\text{true} \rightarrow (x + y = x + y))$

1.	true	assumption	
2.	$(x + y = x + y)$	EQ1 + $\forall e$.	
3.	$(\text{true} \rightarrow (x + y = x + y))$	$\rightarrow i: 1-2$	

Solutions

②

Conditional Statement (if-then) & (if-then-else)

① "if-then"

$\Delta P \Delta$

if (B) {

$\Delta (P \wedge B) \Delta$

$\Delta P' \Delta$

C

$\Delta Q \Delta$

}

$\Delta Q \Delta$

if-then ①

implied (a) ②

[justify based on C] ②

if-then

implied (b) $((P \wedge (\neg B)) \rightarrow Q)$ ①

Steps:

- ③ { proof of implied (a)
- proof of implied (b)

- ① Annotate the if-then's
- ② Push up assignments
- ③ Prove any implied's

② "if-then-else"

$\Delta P \Delta$

if (B) {

$\Delta (P \wedge B) \Delta$

$\Delta P_1 \Delta$

C_1

$\Delta Q \Delta$

} else {

$\Delta (P \wedge (\neg B)) \Delta$

$\Delta P_2 \Delta$

C_2

$\Delta Q \Delta$

}

$\Delta Q \Delta$

if-then-else ①

implied (a) ②

[justify based on C_1] ②

if-then-else ①

implied (b) ②

[justify based on C_2] ②

if-then-else ①

③ proofs of implied (a) and (b)
solutions

Common Questions: about if-then:

① Why did you annotate the last line with "implied" and the implication $((P \wedge (\neg B)) \rightarrow Q)$?

For an if-then statement, there are 2 cases to consider.

If B is true, then we go inside the if-block and execute C.

If B is false, we skip the if-block. The last "implied" annotation takes care of the second case. Even when we skip the if-block, we still need to show that Q is satisfied.

This corresponds to proving the implication $((P \wedge (\neg B)) \rightarrow Q)$.

② How did you get P' and why do you know that $(P \wedge B)$ implies P'?

I derived P' by looking at C and Q and figuring out what precondition needs to be satisfied if Q is true after executing C. For example, if C consists of assignments only, then P' is the result of pushing up Q through C.

I don't know $(P \wedge B)$ implies P'. By writing down P' and "implied" as the justification, I am saying that: "if this program satisfies my specification, then I need to prove that $(P \wedge B)$ implies P'."

Conditional Statements (If-Then)

Prove that the following program satisfies the given triple under partial correctness

About line 3: you can immediately simplify it and write down $(\max < x)$ instead

1 $\{ \text{true} \}$

2 $\text{if } (\max < x) \{$

3 $\{ (\text{true} \wedge (\max < x)) \}$ if-then

4 $\{ (x \geq x) \}$ implied (a)

5 $\max = x;$

6 $\{ (\max \geq x) \}$ assignment

7 $\}$

8 $\{ (\max \geq x) \}$ if-then
implied (b) $(\text{true} \wedge (\neg(\max < x)))$
 $\rightarrow (\max \geq x)$

① Proof of implied (a): $\vdash ((\text{true} \wedge (\max < x)) \rightarrow (x \geq x))$

(This is an informal proof. See a formal proof on the next page.)

$(x \geq x)$ is a tautology. Thus the implication holds.

② Proof of implied (b): $\vdash ((\text{true} \wedge (\neg(\max < x))) \rightarrow (\max \geq x))$

1.	$(\text{true} \wedge (\neg(\max < x)))$	assumption
2.	$\neg(\max < x)$	$\wedge e: 1$
3.	$(\max \geq x)$	def. of \geq .
4.	$((\text{true} \wedge (\neg(\max < x))) \rightarrow (\max \geq x))$	$\rightarrow i: 1-3$

Proof of implied (a): $\vdash ((\text{true} \wedge (\text{max} < x)) \rightarrow (x \leq x))$

- | | | |
|----|---|-------------------|
| 1. | $(\text{true} \wedge (\text{max} < x))$ | assumption |
| 2. | $(x + 0 = x)$ | PA3 + $\forall e$ |
| 3. | $\exists z (x + z = x)$ | $\exists i: 2$ |
| 4. | $(x \leq x)$ | def. of \leq |
| 5. | $((\text{true} \wedge (\text{max} < x)) \rightarrow (x \leq x)) \rightarrow i: 1-4$ | |

Conditional Statements (If-Then-Else)

Prove that the following program satisfies the given triple under partial correctness.

$\{ \text{true} \} D$

$\{ \text{if } (x > y) \{$

$\{ \text{true} \wedge (x > y) \} D$

$\{ ((x > y) \wedge (x = x)) \vee ((x \leq y) \wedge (x = y)) \} D$

$\text{max} = x;$

if-then-else
implied (a)

$\{ ((x > y) \wedge (\text{max} = x)) \vee ((x \leq y) \wedge (\text{max} = y)) \} D$ assignment.

$\{ \text{else } \{$

$\{ \text{true} \wedge (\neg(x > y)) \} D$

$\{ ((x > y) \wedge (y = x)) \vee ((x \leq y) \wedge (y = y)) \} D$

$\text{max} = y;$

if-then-else
implied (b).

$\{ ((x > y) \wedge (\text{max} = x)) \vee ((x \leq y) \wedge (\text{max} = y)) \} D$ assignment.

$\{$

$\{ ((x > y) \wedge (\text{max} = x)) \vee ((x \leq y) \wedge (\text{max} = y)) \} D$ if-then-else

Proof of implied (a):

- $(x > y)$ premise.
- $(x = x)$ EQ1 + $\forall e$
- $((x > y) \wedge (x = x))$ $\wedge i: 1, 2$
- $((x > y) \wedge (x = x)) \vee ((x \leq y) \wedge (x = y))$ $\vee i: 3$