# Characteristic Words as Fixed Points of Homomorphisms

Jeffrey Shallit
Department of Computer Science
University of Waterloo
Waterloo, Ontario N2L 3G1
Canada
shallit@watdragon.waterloo.edu

Abstract.

With each real number  $\theta$ ,  $0 < \theta < 1$ , we can associate the so-called *characteristic* word  $w = w(\theta)$ , defined by

$$w_n = \lfloor (n+1)\theta \rfloor - \lfloor n\theta \rfloor,$$

for  $n \ge 1$ . We prove the following: if  $\theta$  has a purely periodic continued fraction expansion, then  $w(\theta)$  is a fixed point of a certain homomorphism  $\varphi = \varphi_{\theta}$ .

#### I. Introduction.

Let  $\theta$  be a real number,  $0 < \theta < 1$ . Many authors have studied the so-called *characteristic word*  $w = w(\theta)$ , the infinite word of 0's and 1's defined by

$$w_n = \lfloor (n+1)\theta \rfloor - \lfloor n\theta \rfloor \tag{1}$$

for  $n \geq 1$ . See, for example, Bernoulli [1772], Markoff [1882], Venkov [1970, pp. 65-68], Stolarsky [1976], Fraenkel, Mushkin, and Tassa [1978], and Porta and Stolarsky [1990]. An extensive bibliography of papers on the subject can be assembled by consulting the references of the last three papers.

For example, if  $\theta = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{5} - 1)$ , we find

$$w = w_1 w_2 w_3 \dots = 1011010110 \dots, \tag{2}$$

the so-called *Fibonacci* word.

It is well-known that the Fibonacci word is the unique fixed point of the homomorphism  $\varphi$ , where  $\varphi(0) = 1$ ,  $\varphi(1) = 10$ . For this and other properties see, for example, Berstel [1986].

In this note we generalize this characterization (fixed point of a homomorphism) of the Fibonacci word to the case where  $\theta$  has a purely periodic continued fraction expansion, i.e. when

$$\theta = [0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r, \dots].$$

We refer to the number r as the period length of  $\theta$ .

#### II. The Main Result.

First, we introduce some notation. Let  $\theta$  be an irrational number,  $0 < \theta < 1$ . Write

$$\theta = [0, a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots].$$

We define

$$\frac{p_n}{q_n} = [0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n].$$

Note that  $q_0 = 1$ ,  $q_1 = a_1$ , and for  $n \ge 2$  we have

$$q_n = a_n q_{n-1} + q_{n-2}. (3)$$

Let  $w = w(\theta)$  be the characteristic word of  $\theta$  as defined in (1) above.

We now define a sequence of strings  $(X_i)_{i>0}$ . We set  $X_0=0$ , a string of length 1, and

$$X_i = w_1 w_2 w_3 \cdots w_{a_i}$$

for  $i \geq 1$ . Thus for  $i \geq 1$ ,  $X_i$  consists of the first  $q_i$  symbols in the infinite word w. It is easy to see that  $X_1 = 0^{a_1-1}1$ .

The following result essentially appears in the paper of Fraenkel, Mushkin and Tassa [1978]. Since it is crucial to our proof, and since it does not seem to have been explicitly stated before, we give it the status of a lemma:

### Lemma 1.

For  $i \geq 2$  we have

$$X_i = X_{i-1}^{a_i} X_{i-2}.$$

### Proof.

Let us borrow a notation from the programming language APL. If  $x = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_s$  is a finite string, and n is a non-negative integer, we define

$$n\rho x = x^q x_1 x_2 \cdots x_r,$$

where n = qs + r,  $0 \le r < s$ . (In other words, the elements of x are used cyclically to fill in a string of length n.)

Fraenkel, Mushkin, and Tassa [1978] proved that

$$X_i = q_i \rho X_{i-1}$$

for  $i \geq 2$ , if  $a_1 > 1$ , and for  $i \geq 3$  if  $a_1 = 1$ .

From this, the lemma follows immediately, since by (3) we have  $q_i = a_i q_{i-1} + q_{i-2}$  for  $i \geq 2$ , and  $X_{i-2}$  is a prefix of  $X_{i-1}$  (for  $i \geq 2$  if  $a_1 > 1$  and for  $i \geq 3$  if  $a_1 = 1$ ).

We can now state the main result:

### Theorem 2.

Let  $\theta$  have a purely periodic continued fraction expansion; i.e.

$$\theta = [0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r, \dots].$$

Define the homomorphism  $\varphi$  by  $\varphi(0) = X_r$ ,  $\varphi(1) = X_r X_{r-1}$ . Then

$$\varphi^n(X_i) = X_{rn+i}$$

for all integers  $i, n \geq 0$ .

## Proof.

By induction on rn + i.

If rn + i = 0, then n = 0 and i = 0. Clearly  $\varphi^0(X_0) = X_0$ .

If rn + i = 1, then either n = 0, i = 1, or r = 1, n = 1, and i = 0. In the former case we have  $\varphi^0(X_1) = X_1$ . In the latter case we have  $\varphi(X_0) = \varphi(0) = X_1$  by definition of  $\varphi$ .

Now assume the result is true for all n', i' with rn' + i' < s, and  $s \ge 2$ . We prove it for rn + i = s.

Case I:  $i \geq 2$ . We find

$$\varphi^{n}(X_{i}) = \varphi^{n}(X_{i-1}^{a_{i}}X_{i-2}) \quad \text{(by Lemma 1)}$$

$$= \varphi^{n}(X_{i-1}^{a_{i}})\varphi^{n}(X_{i-2})$$

$$= \varphi^{n}(X_{i-1})^{a_{i}}\varphi^{n}(X_{i-2})$$

$$= X_{rn+i-1}^{a_{i}}X_{rn+i-2} \quad \text{(by induction)}$$

$$= X_{rn+i} \quad \text{(by Lemma 1)}.$$

Case II:  $i = 1, n \ge 1$ . We find

$$\varphi^{n}(X_{1}) = \varphi^{n-1}(\varphi(X_{1})) 
= \varphi^{n-1}(\varphi(0^{a_{1}-1}1)) 
= \varphi^{n-1}(\varphi(0)^{a_{1}-1}\varphi(1)) 
= \varphi^{n-1}(X_{r}^{a_{1}-1}X_{r}X_{r-1}) 
= \varphi^{n-1}(X_{r}^{a_{1}}X_{r-1}) 
= \varphi^{n-1}(X_{r})^{a_{1}}\varphi^{n-1}(X_{r-1}) 
= X_{rn}^{a_{1}}X_{rn-1}$$
 (by induction)   
=  $X_{rn+1}$  (by Lemma 1).

Case III:  $i = 0, n \ge 1, r \ge 2$ . We find

$$\varphi^{n}(X_{0}) = \varphi^{n-1}(\varphi(X_{0}))$$

$$= \varphi^{n-1}(X_{r})$$

$$= \varphi^{n-1}(X_{r-1}^{a_{r}}X_{r-2}) \text{ (by Lemma 1)}$$

$$= \varphi^{n-1}(X_{r-1})^{a_{r}}\varphi^{n-1}(X_{r-2})$$

$$= X_{rn-1}^{a_{r}}X_{rn-2} \text{ (by induction)}$$

$$= X_{rn} \text{ (by Lemma 1)}.$$

Case IV:  $i = 0, n \ge 2, r = 1$ . We find

$$\varphi^{n}(X_{0}) = \varphi^{n-2}(\varphi^{2}(X_{0})) 
= \varphi^{n-2}(\varphi(X_{1})) 
= \varphi^{n-2}(\varphi(\mathbf{0}^{a_{1}-1}\mathbf{1})) 
= \varphi^{n-2}(X_{1})^{a_{1}-1}\varphi^{n-2}(X_{1}X_{0}) 
= X_{n-1}^{a_{1}-1}X_{n-1}X_{n-2} \text{ (by induction)} 
= X_{n} \text{ (by Lemma 1)}.$$

This completes the proof.

Since in particular  $X_{rn} = \varphi^n(X_0)$ , we find

# Corollary 3.

The infinite word w is a fixed point of the homomorphism  $\varphi$  defined above.

## III. Some examples.

Example 1.

Let  $\theta = [0, a, a, a, \ldots] = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{a^2 + 4} - a)$ . Thus r = 1; we find  $p_1/q_1 = 1/a$ . Then we find  $X_0 = 0$  and  $X_1 = 0^{a-1}\mathbf{1}$ . Thus  $w(\theta)$  is a fixed point of the homomorphism  $\varphi$ , where  $\varphi(0) = 0^{a-1}\mathbf{1}$ ,  $\varphi(1) = 0^{a-1}\mathbf{1}\mathbf{0}$ . For a = 1 this gives the classical Fibonacci word, mentioned in Section I.

Note that  $\varphi$  satisfies the equation

$$\varphi^2(0) = \varphi(0)^a 0,$$

and so is an "algebraic" homomorphism; see Shallit [1988].

Example 2.

Let  $\theta = [0, a, b, a, b, \ldots] = (\sqrt{ab(ab+4)} - ab)/2a$ . Thus r = 2; we find  $p_1/q_1 = 1/a$  and  $p_2/q_2 = b/(ab+1)$ . Thus  $X_0 = 0$ ,  $X_1 = 0^{a-1}1$ , and  $X_2 = (0^{a-1}1)^b0$ . From this, we see that  $w(\theta)$  is a fixed point of the homomorphism  $\varphi$ , where  $\varphi(0) = (0^{a-1}1)^b0$ ,  $\varphi(1) = (0^{a-1}1)^b0^a1$ .

#### References

Bernoulli [1772]

J. Bernoulli, *Recueil pour les Astronomes*, Volume I, Sur une nouvelle espece de calcul, Berlin, 1772, pp. 255-284.

Berstel [1986]

J. Berstel, Fibonacci words—a survey, in *The Book of L*, G. Rozenberg and A. Salomaa, eds., Springer-Verlag, 1986, pp. 13–27.

Fraenkel, Mushkin, and Tassa [1978]

A. S. Fraenkel, M. Mushkin, and U. Tassa, Determination of  $[n\theta]$  by its sequence of differences, Canad. Math. Bull. **21** (1978), 441–446.

Markoff [1882]

A. A. Markoff, Sur une question de Jean Bernoulli, Math. Ann. 19 (1882), 27–36.

Porta and Stolarsky [1990]

H. Porta and K. B. Stolarsky, Half-silvered mirrors and Wythoff's game, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **33** (1990), 119–125.

Shallit [1988]

J. Shallit, A generalization of automatic sequences, Theor. Comput. Sci. 61 (1988), 1–16.

Stolarsky [1976]

K. B. Stolarsky, Beatty sequences, continued fractions, and certain shift operators, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **19** (1976), 473–482.

Venkov [1970]

B. A. Venkov, Elementary Number Theory, Wolters-Noordhoff, Groningen, 1970.

First version: December 30, 1990

Last revised: January 3, 1991

## Postscript. (December 13 1991)

In what must be one of the more remarkable instances of simultaneous discovery of the same theorem, after this manuscript was completed, I learned from J.-P. Allouche of the work of T. C. Brown [1990] and J.-P. Borel and F. Laubie [1990]. These papers contain essentially the same result as I reported above in Theorem 2, and more. (However, I believe my proof of Theorem 2 to be simpler than Brown's.)

Furthemore, Allouche later discovered the paper of Ito and Yasutomi [1990], in which the same result appears. Then, in April 1991, at the "Thémate" Conference, I was given a preprint of Nishioka, Shiokawa, and Tamura [1991], in which the result appears once again!

In May 1991, in conversations with A. D. Pollington, I learned that some of these results can be found, in a somewhat concealed fashion, in a little-known paper of Cohn [1974]. Pollington himself has a paper [1991] on this topic!

I also discovered that Lemma 1 essentially already appeared in an little-known paper of H. J. S. Smith [1876].

Finally, Theorem 2 can be used to greatly simplify the proof of one direction of a beautiful theorem of F. Mignosi [1989].

#### Additional References

Borel and Laubie [1991]

J.-P. Borel and F. Laubie, Construction de mots de Christofell [sic], to appear, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 1991.

Brown [1991]

T. Brown, A characterization of the quadratic irrationals, to appear, Canad. Math. Bull., 1991.

## Cohn [1974]

H. Cohn, Some direct limits of primitive homotopy words and of Markoff geodesics, in *Discontinuous Groups and Riemann Surfaces*, Ann. of Math. Studies **79**, Princeton University Press, 1974, pp. 81-98.

## Ito and Yasutomi [1990]

S. Ito and S. Yasutomi, On continued fractions, substitutions and characteristic sequences [nx + y] - [(n - 1)x + y], Japan J. Math. **16** (1990), 287-306.

## Mignosi [1989]

F. Mignosi, Infinite words with linear subword complexity, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **65** (1989), 221-242.

## Nishioka, Shiokawa, and Tamura [1991]

K. Nishioka, I. Shiokawa, and J. Tamura, Arithmetical properties of a certain power series, manuscript, April (?) 1991.

## Pollington [1991]

A. D. Pollington, Substitution Invariant Cutting Sequences, manuscript, October 1991.

## Smith [1876]

H. J. S. Smith, Note on continued fractions, Messenger of Math. 6 (1876), 1-14.