A DATABASE OF PSYCHO-EDUCATIONAL TESTS

Marlene Jones, Ph.D.,
Dept. of Computer Science,
University of Waterloo,
Waterloo,
Ontario, CANADA.

Marilyn Loken, Martensville, Saskatchewan, CANADA.

> with assistance from Karen Manson, Paul Van Arragon, Barb Wasson

A Database of Psycho-Educational Diagnostic Tests

The contents of this technical report are a database of pyscho-educational diag-This database differs from previous databases concerning this type of material in the fact that this database has been designed and developed for inclusion within an expert system. An expert system is an automated consulting system (e.g. computer software) which is designed to give expert advice within a particular domain; for an introduction to the area of expert systems, see (Hayes-Roth, Waterman and Lenat, 1983). We are particularly interested in the development of an expert system to assist resource room teachers in diagnosing learning disabilities. In fact, an initial expert system for guiding a teacher (for example, a resource room teacher) through the diagnosis of reading difficulties has been developed (Colbourn, 1982). One limitation of this initial system was its limited knowledge of appropriate standardized tests for educational diagnosis; hence, the development of the enclosed database. For further information regarding the initial expert system, the reader should refer to (Colbourn, 1982, 1983; Colbourn and McLeod, 1984); regarding the potential and feasibility of such a system, see (Colbourn and McLeod, 1983); regarding the current project, refer to (McLeod and Jones, 1985).

As part of the development of this database, a series of programs were developed for maintaining the database (e.g. to handle additions, deletions and modifications). These programs were designed for use by non-computer scientists. The database is currently on-line at both the University of Waterloo and the University of Saskatchewan.

References

Colbourn (Jones), M.J., Computer-guided Diagnosis of Learning Disabilities: A Prototype, M.Ed. Thesis, Dept. for the Education of Exceptional Children, University of Saskatchewan, 1982; also available as ERIC Report No. ED 222 032.

Colbourn (Jones), M.J., "Computer-guided Diagnosis of Reading Difficulties", Australian Journal of Reading, 6 (4), (1983), 199-212.

Colbourn (Jones), M.J., and McLeod, J., "The Potential and Feasibility of an Expert System for Educational Diagnosis", Proceedings of the International Federation for Information Processing (IFIP) Conference, Paris, France, (1983), 891-896.

Colbourn (Jones), M.J., and McLeod, J., "Computer-Guided Educational Diagnosis: A Prototype Expert System", *Journal of Special Education Technology VI (1)*, (1984), 30-39.

Hayes-Roth, F., Waterman, D.A., & Lenat. D.B., Building Expert Systems, Addison-Wesley, 1983.

McLeod, J., and Jones, M., "The Development of an Expert System for Computer-Guided Diagnosis of Children's Learning Problems: Some Emerging Problems", Proceedings of International Conference on the Computer as an Aid for Those with Special Needs, Sheffield, England (1985), 68-78.

Acknowledgements

Many people provided assistance during the course of this project. We would particularly like to thank those people who made materials, such as diagnostic tests, available. We would also like to acknowledge funding from both the Canada/Saskatchewan Job Creation Program and the Saskatchewan School Trustees Association.

Descriptors

Because the test information contained within this document/database is designed for use within an expert system (e.g. a computer program), we need a precise means of representing the information. We have employed descriptors for this purpose; one can view a descriptor as a short-form notation for a particular piece of information. For each test and subtest, one needs to know exactly what the tests purports to measure (e.g. what is the purpose of the test). Hence, we have developed a set of purpose descriptors. Throughout this document, these descriptors are employed to describe the purpose of each subtest. The purpose descriptors are partitioned into several categories including readiness, phonics and decoding, sight reading, reading comprehension, vocabulary, visual and auditory perception, structural analysis, language, spelling, and mathematics.

In addition to knowing what skill the test or subtest measures, it is important to know the format in which the material is presented to the student and the type of response required. Again we require a precise, well-defined means of storing this information so that it can be employed by a computer program. Again, we have developed a set of descriptors to describe both the mode of presentation and the mode of response.

These set of descriptors can be expanded as needed; they are by no means a comprehensive list. For example, if one wanted to include all standardized mathematical tests, the set of descriptors would be inadequate, although new descriptors can easily be incorporated as required. The list of descriptors included herein is adequate for our purposes.

The following is a list of purpose descriptors (partitioned into the aforementioned categories), followed by descriptors for mode of presentation and descriptors for mode of response.

descriptor

explanation

Readiness Descriptors

counts-numbers

reciting-the-alphabet

recognize-letters

matching-letters-capitals

matching-letters-lower-case

matching-capitals-&-lower-case

matching-letter-series

matching-number-series

matching-figures

matching-pictures

matching-words

copying-letters-capitals

copying-letters-lower-case

copying-letter-sequences

copying-words copying-designs copying-block-designs

writing-letters-general

writing-the-alphabet

writing-numbers

writing-name identify-letter

identify-word

identify-word-within-word

tests ability to count orally from memory

or to count items

tests ability to recite the alphabet

from memory

tests ability to recognize a letter not by name or sound but simply as a letter tests ability to match capital letters

i.e. A with A

tests ability to match lowercase

letters i.e. b with b

tests ability to match capital

letters with lowercase letters i.e. A with

a or b with B

tests ability to match letter series

i.e. ADE with ADE

tests ability to match number series

i.e. 1586 with 1586

tests ability to match geometric figures or

random line drawings; also select one as different

tests ability to match pictures of

recognizable objects

tests ability to match written words to written

words

tests ability to copy capital letters

given visually

tests ability to copy lowercase

letters given visually

tests ability to copy letter sequences

given visually

tests ability to copy words given visually tests ability to copy geometric figures tests ability to copy a design using

coloured blocks; design may be a picture or also in blocks

tests ability to write upper or lower

case printing/writing; may be given visually or

auditorily

tests ability to print/write the alphabet

from memory

tests ability to print/write numbers from

memory

tests ability to write own name from memory

tests ability to identify a visual letter

when given an auditory letter

tests ability to identify a visual word when

given an auditory word

tests ability to see the small word

identify-different

draw-a-person

draw-a-picture solving-puzzles

solving-mazes

within a larger word i.e. taken - take or capital - cap

tests ability to identify an item as

different from the rest

tests ability to draw a person; including all

major body parts

tests ability to draw a picture

tests ability to assemble puzzle pieces to

make an object, picture or geometric shape; may or may not

know what is being assembled

tests ability to solve a maze; may be required to

find the shortest route or find the path

Phonics and Decoding Descriptors

number-names

letter-names-capitals-consonants

letter-names-capitals-vowels

letter-names-lower-case-consonants

letter-names-lower-case-vowels

letter-names-general

letter-sounds-capitals-consonants

letter-sounds-capitals-vowels

letter-sounds-lower-case-consonants

letter-sounds-lower-case-vowels

letter-sounds-general

identifying-letters

identifying-silent-letters

identifying-phonemes

identifying-initial-letters

tests ability to name numbers tests ability to name capital

consonants

tests ability to name capital vowels

tests ability to name lower

consonants

tests ability to name lower case

vowels

tests ability to name letters selected from upper

and lower case consonants and vowels

tests knowledge of sounds

associated with capital consonants; may

include consonant combinations

tests knowledge of sounds associated with

capital vowels; may include vowel

combinations

tests knowledge of sounds associated with lower case consonants; may

include consonant combinations tests knowledge of sounds associated

with lower case vowels; may include vowel

combinations

tests knowledge of sounds of letters selected from

upper and lower case consonants and vowels tests ability to identify certain letter by name, within a word - may be final, initial consonant or

vowel

tests ability to identify a letter within

a word as a silent letter

tests ability to identify sounds/phonemes asked for in a word/nonsense word; not just at beginning

of a word given orally

(implies entire word is being presented) tests ability to identify the initial letter

within a word; may give sound, name of letter or select visually presented word or picture

with same letter

identifying-initial-phonemes tests ability to identify initial phoneme within a word /nonsense word: may give sound or select visually presented word or picture with same phoneme identifying-consonant tests ability to identify the consonant in any position within a word/nonsense word; may give sound, name of letter or select visually presented word or picture with same consonant identifying-initial-consonant tests ability to identify the initial consonant within a word/nonsense word; may give sound, name of letter or select visually presented word or picture with same consonant identifying-initial-consonant-combinations tests ability to identify the initial consonant combinations within a word/ nonsense word; may give sound. name of letter or select visually presented word or picture with same consonant combination tests ability to identify final phoneme of identifying-final-phoneme a word/nonsense word; may give sound, name of letter or select visually presented word or picture with same phoneme identifying-final-consonant tests ability to identify final consonants within a word/nonsense word; may give sound, name of letter or select visually presented word or picture with same consonant identifying-final-consonant-combination tests ability to identify final consonant combinations within a word or nonsense word; may give sound, names of letters or select visually presented word or picture with same consonant combination identifying-vowel tests ability to identify vowel within a word or nonsense word; may give sound, name of letter or select visually presented word or picture with same vowel identifying-short-vowel tests ability to identify a short vowel within a word or nonsense word identifying-long-vowel tests ability to identify a long vowel within a word or nonsense word identifying-vowel-combination tests ability to identify vowel combinations within a word or nonsense identifying-words-with-silent-letters tests ability to identify word as having a silent letter, without

naming silent letter

tests ability to produce sound of phoneme given visually

tests ability to read isolated words (untimed); this descriptor with other specific descriptors means that the words are real; used alone when no specific type of words

reading-phoneme

reading-words

reading-words-initial-consonant
reading-nonsense-words

reading-words-initial-consonant-combination

reading-words-one-syllable

reading-words-final-consonant reading-words-multi-syllable

reading-words-single-consonant

reading-words-consonant-combination

reading-words-single-vowel

reading-words-vowel-combinations

reading-words-y-vowel

reading-words-silent-consonants

reading-words-phonetically-regular

reading-words-phonetically-irregular

reading-phrases substitution-letters

substitution-sounds

reading-words-final-consonant-combinations

substitution-initial-consonants

reading-words-vowel-with-R

substitution-final-consonants

are read

tests ability to read words with emphasis on the initial consonant tests ability to read isolated nonsense words (untimed); this descriptor is listed v

words (untimed); this descriptor is listed with other specific descriptors when nonsense words are used; used alone when no specific type of

nonsense word read

tests ability to read words with emphasis on initial consonant combination

tests ability to read one-syllable words

- implies words are simple and mostly CVC or

CVCE forms.

tests ability to read words with emphasis

on the final consonant

tests ability to read multi-syllable words; implies that child must be able to break words into syllables or parts in order to

read them

tests ability to read words with emphasis

on a single consonant

tests ability to read isolated words

with emphasis on consonant combinations tests ability to read words with emphasis on

single vowels

tests ability to read words with emphasis

on vowel combinations

tests ability to read words with emphasis on the

y vowel

tests ability to read words with

silent consonants

tests ability to read phonetically

regular words

tests ability to read phonetically

irregular words

tests ability to read phrases

tests ability to substitute a letter for another

given letter and say new word; new word is not given

tests ability to substitute one given sound for

another and say new word; new word is not given

tests ability to read words

with emphasis on final

consonant combinations

tests ability to substitute one initial

consonant for another; may verbalize new word or select picture of new word; new

word not given

tests ability to read words with emphasis on

vowels followed by R

tests ability to substitute one final

consonant for another; may verbalize the new word or select picture of new word;

new word not given

substitution-initial-phoneme

substitution-vowel

syllabication-concept counting-syllables

breaking-into-word-parts

breaking-into-syllables syllabication-identify-correct

blending-letters

blending-word-parts->words

blending-word-parts->nonsense-words

combining-word-parts->words

rhyming-words

tests ability to substitute one initial phoneme for another; may verbalize the new word or select picture of new word;

new word not given

tests ability to substitute one vowel for another; may verbalize the new word or select picture of new

word; new word not given

tests ability to give reasons for syllabication tests ability to count the number of syllables in a word; not necessary to break it up but just to

tell or select number of syllables

tests ability to break words into phonemes;

affixes and root, etc.

tests ability to break a word into syllables tests ability to identify a syllabilized word as correct; may select correct word

or correct/incorrect

tests ability to blend letters together

i.e. /c//a//t/-> cat

tests ability to blend parts into words i.e. /gl/ /eam/ -> gleam

-not necessarily syllables tests ability to blend parts into nonsense words i.e. /j//eam/->

jeam

tests ability to decide which word parts to

combine and/or what order

tests ability to give or choose a rhyming word or sound

Descriptors For Sight Reading

sight-words

sight-phrases

tests sight vocabulary i.e. test has child read common real words in isolation; timed (less than 2 secs.) tests sight vocabulary using common phrases or sight words within short context; timed (less than 2 secs.)

Descriptors For Reading Comprehension

oral-reading-sentences

oral-reading-paragraphs

oral-comprehension

silent-comprehension

picture-comprehension

tests ability to read sentences aloud - types of

errors are analyzed

tests ability to read paragraphs aloud - types

of errors are analyzed

tests ability to comprehend material which was read

aloud by child

tests ability to comprehend material which was

read silently by child

tests ability to comprehend the meaning of a picture; may be asked to answer questions about story or to tell story in own words (not used when

word is chosen as in word meaning 405)

listening-comprehension

tests ability to comprehend paragraphs which was read aloud to the child, may recall main point or be asked questions, may be asked to select applicable picture

story-arrangement

tests ability to arrange a series of pictures to make

a story

Descriptors For Vocabulary

word-meanings

meaning-of-compound-words

synonyms antonyms homonyms multi-meaning

meaning-of-root-word meaning-of-affixes word-classification

sentence-classification

special-vocabulary

language-analogies

context

tests knowledge of simple words i.e. meaning - may require child to use the word appropriately, or select picture related to word, or select meaning, or give name of picture

tests knowledge of meaning of compound words

tests knowledge of synonyms tests knowledge of antonyms tests knowledge of homonyms

tests knowledge of words with more than one meaning

tests knowledge of meanings of root words tests knowledge of meaning of affixes tests ability to classify word as noun, verb,

adjective, etc. (parts of speech)

tests ability to classify sentences as to type

i.e. question, imperative, etc.

tests knowledge of special vocabulary for science/

math/social science etc.

tests ability to use or complete language analogies

tests ability to use context clues to determine vocabulary or

missing word etc.; (405 word-meaning is not used with this descriptor

but is implied)

Descriptors For Visual & auditory Perception

auditory-visual-association

auditory-memory-reversed

auditory-memory-delayed

tests ability to pair visual symbol with a particular sound i.e. nonsense symbols with

figures

auditory-memory

tests ability to recall items presented orally; may repeat verbatem or select item; may be numbers,words, nonsense words, or sentences; (when meaning of paragraph

use 325 listening-comprehension)

tests ability to recall items presented orally

and reversed for response

tests ability to recall items presented orally

at least one hour before response

verbal-association

tests ability to know which words or sentences given orally go together; or belong in the same category i.e. bat ball; may include which one

does not belong

auditory-discrimination

tests ability to discriminate between words or sounds presented orally; may be pair of similar or identical words or words with sounds in auditory-discrimination-initial-phoneme

common; usually select as same or different tests ability to discriminate between initial phoneme of 2 or more words presented orally; usually which words start with the same sounds

auditory-discrimination-middle-phoneme

tests ability to discriminate between the middle phoneme of 2 or more words presented orally; which words have the same middle phoneme

auditory-discrimination-final-phoneme

tests ability to discriminate between the final phoneme of 2 or more words presented orally; which words have the same final phoneme tests ability to "hear" parts of words that are missing i.e. c_t

auditory-closure

tests ability to hear words masked by a

auditory-selective-attention

background noise

processing-auditory-directions

tests ability to understand and carry out directions given orally i.e. Put the pen on the table.; used only for tests specifically on ability to carry out directions

visual-memory

tests ability to recall items presented visually -

stimulus item is removed; may be select item, or name item or items orally; may be figures, pictures, numbers, words, nonsense words or sentences

memory-for-coding tests ability to remember coded items; stimulus not necessarily removed but as test is timed memory is necessary

tests ability to know which items presented visually visual-association go together or belong in the same category i.e. ball bat (may be figures or pictures); may include which one does not belong

tests ability to recognize a picture or matrix rule and supply the missing part

processing-visual-directions tests ability to understand and carry out directions given visually; i.e. Put the pen on the table.; used only for tests

specifically on ability to carry out

directions

memory-taught tests ability to recall material taught to student for testing purposes; i.e. auditory nonsense words paired

> with visual symbols; or new words taught tests ability to recall items presented by visual

and/or oral means; material consists of nonsense words and/or non-meaningful symbols; may be used with other descriptors to show that materials are not meaningful

visual-closure

non-meaning-memory

Descriptors For Structural Analysis

identifying-root word-endings prefixes suffixes plurals contractions accent

forming-compounds

tests ability to identify the root of a given word tests ability to use common word endings tests ability to identify and use prefixes tests ability to identify and use suffixes tests ability to identify and use plurals tests ability to identify and use contractions tests ability to correctly accentuate syllables within words or words within sentences tests ability to form compound words

Descriptors For Language

articulation

articulation-single-consonants

articulation-consonant-combinations

articulation-vowels language-usage

ordering-sentences

paragraph-developmental

sentence-structure

arranging-a-sentence

punctuation

capitalization

syntax-matching

syntax-matching-word-selection

thought-units

handwriting

verbal-expression

written-expression

tests ability to say specific phonemes correctly; may be given as words or nonsense words or phonemes; detailed analysis; note

specifically check pronounciation e.g. McLeod Phonics

tests ability to articulate a consonant in any position; often two or three

positions are given

tests ability to articulate a

consonant combination in any position

tests ability to articulate a vowel in any position

tests ability to use specific words - tense,

plural, verb agreement

tests ability to best organize given sentences or ideas

into a paragraph

tests ability to expand topic sentences into a

paragraph; or to create a concluding sentence for

a paragraph

tests ability to identify sentences which are

structurally correct; may require the child to select

the most appropriate or best sentence;

correct/incorrect

tests ability to arrange given words into a

meaningful sentence

tests ability to use punctuation correctly i.e. recognizing

correct version, doing corrections, or filling in blanks

tests ability to use capitals correctly i.e.

recognizing correct version, doing corrections, or

filling in blanks

tests ability to select sentences which most

nearly mean the same

tests ability to selct word asked for

out of a visual sentence read auditorily

by examiner

tests ability to create appropriate units of thought;

in spontaneous writing or verbal language

tests ability to produce correctly formed letters

using graded examples as guide

tests ability to express an idea or meaning using

verbal language

tests ability to express an idea or meaning using

descriptive-expression

manual-expression

productivity

written language

tests ability to use descriptive language

verbally or written; note

tests ability to express an idea or meaning using

manual gestures and pantomime

scores work by number of words in a sentence, or

number of sentences in a paragraph, or number of words

said per minute

Descriptors For Spelling

spelling-sight-words

spelling-decoded-words spelling-unfamiliar-words spelling-phonetically-regular-words

spelling-phonetically-regular-nonsense-words

spelling-phonetically-irregular-words

spelling-common-words

spelling-general

spelling-identify-correct

spelling-homonyms

tests ability to spell words known to be in child's sight vocabulary; usually words are given first in

a reading list

tests ability to spell words he has already read tests ability to spell words he can not decode

tests ability to spell words that

conform to rules tests ability to spell

nonsense words conforming

to rules

tests ability to spell words which do not conform to rules and must

be learned basically by

memorization

tests ability to spell commonly known words tests general spelling ability i.e. covers a variety of

aspects of spelling

tests ability to recognize correct spelling; may choose correct/incorrect or which word is

spelt right

tests ability to spell homonyms in conjunction with

the meaning

Descriptors For Miscellaneous Items

general-knowledge

knowledge-of-body-parts

detecting-absurdities

social-judgment

generalizing-concepts

tests knowledge of generally known facts; what has

been learned

tests ability to name body parts; may be point

to or name body parts, or draw missing

parts, etc.

tests ability to detect the absurd in a sentence,

paragraph or picture; used with listening, silent or

picture comprehension

tests ability to comprehend social customs; includes

finding reasons, or asks what action the student would

take in a specific situation

tests ability to understand a concept and

generalize it i.e. Proverbs, Reconcikliation of

Opposites

non-verbal-reasoning tests ability to understand logical relations in

non-verbal situations; this descriptor used when a

more specific descriptor is not available tests ability to discover the rule of a figure

sequence and to continue the sequence; may select next

figure or draw next figure

non-verbal-analogies tests ability to complete non-verbal analogies;

i.e. figure A is to B as C is to D

figure-synthesis tests ability to mentally examine an item and solve a

problem involving this item i.e. count blocks in a pile (picture) and do these shapes cover this shape (picture

only)

reference-skills dictionary and reference material (maps,graphs,tables)

decoding tests ability to break a code from an example and use it to

write something else

induction tests ability to induce a governing principal from a series

of examples

aesthetic-comparisons tests ability to select the prettier item

Descriptors For Mathematics

figure-sequencing

math-general tests general mathematical ability; may not have enough

items to show strengths and weaknesses
2 number-sequences tests ability to discover the rule of a number

sequence and continue the sequence

equation-building tests ability to use given numbers and math symbols

to write a specific equation to obtain a specific

answer

math-readiness tests general knowledge of basic math concepts such as

number names, counting, reading and writing numbers,

place values, etc.

math-symbols tests ability to name and understand meaning of math

symbols

computation-whole-numbers tests ability to understand concept of whole

number; may include the computations addition,

subtraction, multiplication and division

computation-whole-numbers-addition tests ability to do whole number

additions

computation-whole-numbers-subtraction tests ability to do whole number

subtractions

computation-whole-numbers-multiplication tests ability to do whole

number multiplication

computation-whole-numbers-division tests ability to do whole number

divisions

computation-fractions tests ability to understand concept of fractions;

may include addition, subtraction, multiplication,

and division

computation-fractions-addition tests ability to do additions with

fractions

computation-fractions-subtraction tests ability to do subtractions

with fractions

computation-fractions-multiplication tests ability to do multiplication

with fractions

computation-fractions-division tests ability to do divisions with

fractions

computation-decimals tests ability to understand concept of decimals;

may include addition, subtraction, multiplication,

and division

computation-decimals-addition tests ability to do additions with

decimal numbers

computation-decimals-subtraction tests ability to do subtractions with

decimal numbers

computation-decimals-multiplication tests ability to do multiplications

with decimal numbers

computation-decimals-division tests ability to do divisions with

decimal numbers

problem-solving tests ability to solve math problems presented in

story form; may be presented with time, money or measurement descriptors meaning all or some of the

problems are concerned with these subjects

geometry tests ability to name advanced geometric shapes, work with

angles, parallel and perpendicular lines, solve problems of

area and volume

time tests ability to use and understand time; clocks, calendars, etc.
money tests ability to use and understand money; name bills and coins

tests ability to use and understand money; name bills and coins, read and write monetary symbols, make change and computations

with money

measurement tests ability to use and understand measurement; length,

weights, temperatures, read scales and do graphs

higher-order-computations tests ability to work with advanced math

concepts such as logarithms, square root, quadratic equations, powers, factorial, and

function graphs

Descriptors For Mode of Presentation

not-available

tape-recorded-presentation presentation is tape-recorded

timed subtest is timed or each item is timed

visual-table table of contents, index

object a solid real object or a toy object is presented

visual-graphs a line or circle or bar graph

visual-figure present geometric figure or line drawing visual-map a picture of real or imaginary place

visual-picture present recognizable object or scene (one or more) visual-picture-puzzle recognizable object or scene made into a puzzle

visual-number present isolated number visual-computations may be equations or columns

visual-letter present isolated letter

visual-several-letters present several letters; for selection, or in a

series to be remembered or matched

visual-phoneme present phoneme eg. consonant, consonant combination, vowel

or vowel combination

visual-several-numbers

visual-word-parts visual-several-figures

visual-word visual-number-sequences visual-word-pairs

visual-maze visual-nonsense-word visual-several-nonsense-words

visual-several-words visual-phrases visual-math-symbol

visual-sentence visual-several-sentences visual-paragraph

visual-reference-article

visual-question visual-dictionary-entry visual-directions visual-punctuation-mark

auditory-number auditory-computations auditory-letter-name auditory-several-numbers

auditory-letter-sound auditory-number-sequence auditory-phoneme

auditory-word-parts auditory-word auditory-word-pairs

auditory-nonsense-words

auditory-several-words auditory-phrases auditory-sentence auditory-several-sentences auditory-paragraph auditory-question auditory-directions

repeated-auditory-instructions

present several numbers; for selection or in a series to be remembered or matched present common parts of words i.e. str eam present two or more geometric figures or line drawings

present real words

present real words
present a sequence of related numbers
present two words usually for identification or
discrimination

presents a visual maze to be solved present phonetically regular nonsense words present several nonsense words for

selection present three or more words; choices in a list present phrases e.g. not complete sentences usually presented for selection or in building

equations

present a complete sentence

present 2 or more separate sentences

present 2 or more sentences in a paragraph form or a

short story

present article as found in encyclopedia or

magazine

present question to be answered

present real or made up dictionary entries

present directions to be followed

present punctuation marks to be selected for

item or named

orally present number

orally present a mathematical computation

orally present letter-name

orally present several numbers; for selection or in a series to be remembered or matched

orally present letter-sound

orally present a sequence of related numbers orally present phoneme e.g. consonant, consonant

combination, vowel or vowel combination or ally present common word parts

orany present common wo

orally present real words

orally present 2 words for identification or

discrimination

orally present phonetically regular nonsense

words

orally present 3 or more words

orally present a phrase e.g. not a complete sentence

orally present a complete sentence

orally present several unrelated sentences

orally present 2 or more sentences or a short story

orally present a question to be answered orally present directions to be followed; as in

auditory direction test or tests which ask a child to

point to their nose etc.

orally presents instructions before each item; common on tests for young children

auditory-background-noise present various noises as background to given

words

auditory-conversation two-way conversation; examiner tries to stimulate

a student in order to obtain a language sample examiner plays with objects with the child examiner demonstrates a motion or task

drawing a geometric figure or design draws-figure draws-picture

drawing a recognizable object or scene

Descriptors For Mode of Response

not-available

manual-manipulate-object

demonstrates-movement

taped-recorded-responses response is tape-recorded

oral-number responds with oral number

oral-computations responds with an oral computation oral-letter-name responds with an oral letter name oral-several-numbers responds with a series of oral numbers oral-letter-sound responds with an oral letter sound

oral-phoneme responds with an oral phoneme

oral-word-parts responds orally with common parts of a word

oral-word responds with an oral word oral-word-pairs responds with an oral word pair oral-nonsense-words responds with an oral nonsense word

oral-several-words responds oarlly with a series of words or more than

one one-word answer

oral-phrases responds with an oral phrase; a complete thought unit

oral-sentence responds by reading a sentence

oral-paragraph responds by reading a paragraph or recalling a short story oral-question responds by reading the question aloud before answering it

oral-spelling responds by orally spelling a word

oral-answer responds with oral answer; within the same subtest answers

range from one word to whole sentences; may also include

numbers

oral-conversation spontaneous two-way conversation drawn-picture draws a recognizable object or scene draws-figure draws a geometric figure or design

write-math-symbols draws appropriate math symbols; i.e. + =

write-number responds with a written number write-computations responds with a written computation

write-letter responds with a written letter

write-punctuation-marks responds with a written punctuation mark

write-phoneme responds with a written phoneme write-word-parts responds with a written word-part write-word responds with a written word

write-nonsense-word

write-several-words responds with written answers; more than one

one-word answer; or a series of words

write-phrases responds with a written phrase write-sentence responds by writing a sentence

write-paragraph responds by writing a paragraph; two or more sentences or a short story

write-answer within the same subtest answers range from one word to whole

sentences

write-syllables

Select

responds by writing syllables

may be manually select as in circle or mark choice or point to choice

as in individual testing

select-math-symbols

select-figure

select-punctuation-mark

select-picture select-graph select-number select-computation

select-letter

select-several-letters select-phoneme

select-several-numbers

select-word-parts select-word

select-word-pairs

select-nonsense-word

select-phrases

select-several-words

select-sentence

select-several-sentences

select-paragraph

select-answer

select-error

select-position-in-word

select-object select-true-false select-order

select-category select-meaning

manual-manipulate-objects

manual-hand-gestures

orally-select-word orally-select-true-false

physical-movement

responds by selecting from given math symbols responds by selecting from given figures

responds by selecting from given punctuation marks

responds by selecting from given pictures responds by selecting from given graphs responds by selecting from given numbers responds by selecting from given computations responds by selecting from given letters

responds by selecting several of the given letters responds by selecting from given phonemes

responds by selecting several of the given numbers responds by selecting from the given word-parts responds by selecting from the given words

responds by selecting word-pairs

responds by selecting from given nonsense words

responds by selecting from given phrases

responds by selecting several of the given words; more than one one-word answer; or a series of words

responds by selecting from given sentences responds by selecting 2 or more sentences from

given sentences

responds by selecting from given paragraphs; two or more

sentences or a short story

responds by selecting from given answers; within the same subtest may range from one word to whole sentences

responds by selecting answer with error; or select no error choose whether the sound or letter asked for is

in the beginning, middle, or end of the word responds by selecting from given objects yes,no; correct-incorrect;same-different

maybe order of sentences to make paragraphs; or order of words to make sentence; or order of items given for memory classify words or sentences given under general category

definitions given and correct one selected

responds by moving or picking up object presented,

building objects, or putting together puzzle

responds by pantomime such as pretending to hammer;

touching parts of body; clapping hands, etc.

responds by orally selecting words given auditorily responds by orally selecting true-false, yes-no, correct-

incorrect, same or different

responds by jumping, throwing ball, etc.

Reliability and Validity

The following is a brief explanation of reliability and validity. The definitions presented here are those which we employ throughout this document.

Reliability

Reliability, also called consistency or stability, is a measure of the test's consistency over time or from one situation to another. Does the test measure the same thing each time it is administered? A reliability measure is obtained by correlating two sets of test scores from the same instrument. Hence, it is expressed as a correlation coefficient e.g. a number between -1.00 and 1.00. Either extreme is impossible due to error. A correlation coefficient of zero implies that there is no relationship between the two sets of scores.

When reporting the reliability coefficient for a single grade, it should be in the .70s or .80s if the test is used to discriminate between individuals (Spache, 1981, p.167). For a several-grade range, the reliability should be in the high .80s or above .90 (Spache, 1981, p.167). Reliability coefficients tend to be lower when testing very young children.

The common measures of a test's reliability are test-retest, equivalent forms, split-form, or Kuder-Richardson.

(I) Test-Retest

This involves two separate administrations of the same test to the same group of students. There must be a reasonable time interval between the two administrations; two weeks is common. The two sets of scores are then correlated.

(II) Equivalent or Parallel Forms

Two different forms of the same test are administered to the same group of students; the two sets of scores are then correlated. The time interval between the two administrations must be short. Many authors will combine test-retest with equivalent forms reliability (e.g. administer form A, time interval of several weeks, administer form B). This practice is generally frowned upon. If this technique is employed it is categorized as "equivalent form" reliability.

(III) Split-Half Reliability

The test is administered only once to one group of students. The test is then split into two sets of scores. For example, the odd-numbered items might be considered separately from the even-numbered items. The two sets of scores are then correlated. The most usual method of computing split-half reliability is through the Spearman-Brown formula.

(IV) Kuder-Richardson

This procedure is similar to the split-half technique; it requires only one test administration. The test results are divided into two halves. Then a statistical formula is applied based upon the number of correct responses. If not all test items are attempted, the correlation coefficient will be significantly inflated. Hence, this technique is inappropriate for tests in which speed of response is an essential factor (Wallace & Larsen, 1979,p.46).

Validity

Validity is concerned with what the test measures and how well it does so. In other words, does the test really measure the underlying skill it is supposed to measure? As with reliability, validity is usually expressed as a correlation coefficient e.g. a number between -1.00 and 1.00. There are several different types of validity: predictive, concurrent, content, and construct.

(I) Predictive

This is a measure of how well a test score predicts the student's future performance on some significant non-test variable. For example, for an IQ test, one may later administer an achievement test and then correlate the two sets of test scores. This measure of validity is particularly important for readiness or screening tests.

(II) Concurrent

Concurrent validity is a measure of how well a test agrees with other measures of the same trait or ability. A group of students are administered both tests (i.e. the test in question and another which is felt to be a good measure of the same ability) and the results are then correlated. It is important that the tests be administered within a relatively short time span. This is the most commonly reported validity measure.

(III) Content

Content validity refers to how adequately a test covers the area it is supposed to be testing. The test must contain sufficient items so that it is truly representative of the topics in the area it claims to be testing. Content validity is usually established by a thorough and systematic evaluation of the test items.

(IV) Construct

Construct validity refers to the extent to which a test measures a psychological quality. "A construct is an abstraction or an idea used to explain a facet of behaviour. Examples of constructs are intelligence, perception, aptitude, reasoning ability, and cognition." (Wallace & Larsen, 1979,p.49). In many cases, researchers have proposed detailed models of such constructs. If a test purports to be based on such a model, one must determine the extent to which it measures the construct in question as specified by the model. Therefore, construct validity is important for tests such as the I.PPA. Construct validity is often determined by the use of factor analysis.

Full Test Name

Abbreviation

Assessment of Basic Competencies	ABC
Assessment of Children's Language Comprehension	ACLC
Basic Achievement Skills Individual Screener	BASIS
Bankson Language Screening Test	BLST
Boder Test of Reading-Spelling Patterns	Boder
Botel Reading Inventory	Botel
Brigance Diagnostic Inventory of Basic Skills	Brigance
Boehm Test of Basic Concepts	BTBC
Canadian Achievement Test	CAT
Canadian Cognitive Abilities Test - Multi Level Ed	CCAT-M
Canadian Cognitive Abilities Test - Primary Ed	CCAT-P
Clinical Evaluation of Language Function - Diagnostic Battery	CELF-D
Clinical Evaluation of Language Function - Screening Test	CELF-S
Carrow Elicited Language Inventory	CELI
Classroom Reading Inventories	CRI
Canadian Test of Basic Skills - Multi Level	CTBS-M
Canadian Test of Basic Skills - Primary Battery	CTBS-P
Decoding Skills Test	Decoding
Durrell Listening Reading Series	DLRS
Diagnostic Reading Scales	DRS
Developmental Sentence Scoring	DSS
Developmental Sentence Types	DST
Durrell Analysis of Reading Difficulty	Durrell
Diagnostic Word Patterns	DWP
Ekwall Reading Inventory	ERI
Fluharty Preschool Speech and Language Screening Test	Fluharty
Gap Reading Comprehension Test	Gap
Gates-MacGinitie Reading Test	Gates-MacGinitie
Goldman-Fristoe Test of Articulation	GFTA
Goldman-Fristoe-Woodcock Auditory Memory Tests	GFW-AMT
Goldman-Fristoe-Woodcock Auditory Selective Attention Test	GFW-ASAT
Goldman-Fristoe-Woodcock Diagnostic Auditory Discrimination Test	GFW-DADT
Goldman-Fristoe-Woodcock Sound Symbol Tests	GFW-SST
Goldman-Fristoe-Woodcock Test of Auditory Discrimination	GFW-TAD
Gates-McKillop-Horowitz Reading Diagnostic Test	GMH
Gates-MacGinitie Reading Test - Canadian Ed	GMRT-C
Gilmore Oral Reading Test	GORT
Gray Oral Reading Test	Gray
Iowa Silent Reading Test	ISRT
Illinois Test of Psycholinguistic Abilities - Revised Ed	ITPA
KeyMath Diagnostic Arithmetic Test - Canadian Ed	KeyMath
Kindergarten Language Screening Test	KLST
Language Sampling Analysis and Training	LSAT
McCarthy Scales of Children's Abilities	McCarthy

McLeod Phonics Test	McLeod
Merrill Language Screening Test	MLST
Metropolitan Readiness Test	MRT
Neale Analysis of Reading Ability	Neale
Northwestern Syntax Screening Test	NSST
OISE Achievement Tests in Silent Reading	OISE
Peabody Individual Achievement Test	PIAT
Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test - Revised	PPVT-R
Sucher-Allred Reading Placement Inventory	SARPI
Stanford-Binet Intelligence Scale	S-B
Schonell Reading Test R1	Schonell
San Diego Quick Assessment	SDQA
Stanford Diagnostic Reading Test	SDRT
Slosson Oral Reading Test	SORT
Silent Reading Diagnostic Tests	SRDT
Schonell Spelling Test S1	S-S1
Sipay Word Analysis Tests	SWAT
Test for Auditory Comprehension of Language	TACL
Templin-Darley Test of Articulation	TDTA
Test of Early Language Development	TELD
Test of Early Reading Ability	TERA
Token Test for Children	Token
Test of Language Development-Primary	TOLD-P
Test of Reading Comprehension	TORC
Test of Written Language	TOWL
Test of Written Spelling	TWS
Wepman Auditory Discrimination Test	Wepman
Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children - Revised	WISC-R
Wide Range Achievement Test	WRAT
Woodcock Reading Mastery Tests	WRMT

Assessment of Basic Competencies (ABC)

Jwalla Somwaru

Minnesota Department of Education

Publisher

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

Scholastic Testing Services

1980

\$414

general achievement

group use

both norm and criterion referenced

requires some training requires some training

No Scores

Available levels

There is only one level.

Range

Ages 3 - 15

Grades P - 9

Equivalent forms

 $\mathbf{2}$

Administration Time

120 - 180 minutes

Subtests

Information Processing-Observing Skills Information Processing-Organizing Skills Information Processing-Relating Skills

Language Skills-Understanding Words Language Skills-Comprehending Expressions Language Skills-Producing Expressions Language Skills-Reading for Meaning

Language Skills-Decoding Skills

Math Reasoning Skills-Knowing Number and Operations

Math Reasoning Skills

Math Reasoning Skills-Solving Problems

1. Information Processing-Observing Skills

auditory-memory visual-memory

visual-closure

Mode of Presentation

not-available

Mode of Response

not-available

Scoring- Normed

Age Equivalent Grade Equivalent

Percentiles

2. Information Processing-Organizing Skills

Purpose Descriptors

verbal-association visual-association

identify-different figure-sequencing

Mode of Presentation

not-available

Mode of Response

not-available

Scoring- Normed

Age Equivalent

Grade Equivalent

Percentiles

3. Information Processing-Relating Skills

Purpose Descriptors

induction

language-analogies non-verbal-analogies

problem-solving

Mode of Presentation

not-available

Mode of Response

not-available

Scoring- Normed

Age Equivalent Grade Equivalent

Percentiles

4. Language Skills-Understanding Words

word-meanings

context

verbal-association

Mode of Presentation

not-available

Mode of Response

not-available

Scoring- Normed

Age Equivalent Grade Equivalent

Percentiles

5. Language Skills-Comprehending Expressions

Purpose Descriptors

written-expression

language-usage

Mode of Presentation

not-available

Mode of Response

not-available

Scoring- Normed

Age Equivalent

Grade Equivalent

Percentiles

6. Language Skills-Producing Expressions

Purpose Descriptors

written-expression

language-usage

Mode of Presentation

not-available

Mode of Response

not-available

Scoring- Normed

Age Equivalent

Grade Equivalent

Percentiles

7. Language Skills-Reading for Meaning

silent-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

not-available

Mode of Response

not-available

Scoring- Normed

Age Equivalent Grade Equivalent

Percentiles

8. Language Skills-Decoding Skills

Purpose Descriptors

letter-names-general

letter-sounds-general auditory-discrimination

reading-words

Mode of Presentation

not-available

Mode of Response

not-available

Scoring- Normed

Age Equivalent Grade Equivalent

Percentiles

9. Math Reasoning Skills-Knowing Number and Operations

Purpose Descriptors

math-readiness

computation-whole-numbers

computation-fractions computation-decimals

Mode of Presentation

not-available

Mode of Response

not-available

Scoring- Normed

Age Equivalent

Grade Equivalent

Percentiles

10. Math Reasoning Skills

math-symbols

measurement

money geometry

Mode of Presentation

not-available

Mode of Response

not-available

Scoring- Normed

Age Equivalent Grade Equivalent

Percentiles

11. Math Reasoning Skills-Solving Problems

Purpose Descriptors

problem-solving

Mode of Presentation

not-available

Mode of Response

not-available

Scoring- Normed

Age Equivalent Grade Equivalent

Percentiles

Norming Information

Norming date

Not Available

Sample size Place normed 20 Canada

USA

Sample Range

Ages 3 - 15

Grades P - 9

Sample similar to

national population

Unknown

Norming info in manual?

Unknown

Reliability Information

1. Reliability information in manual? - Unknown

Validity Information

1. Information regarding content validity in manual?- Unknown

Reviews

[1] J. Somwaru, Testing for Basic Competencies Instead of Intelligence, Special Education in Canada, 1981, 56:1,5-10.

The ABC was designed for use in both Canada and USA. Items that require specific knowledge about either country are not used. There are paste on pictures for many items which show Canadian currency etc. Math items have a metric equivalent. Originally, it was hoped to have separate Canada and USA norms, but due to small numbers of Canadian subjects, the norms were combined. There are two versions of the ABC. The Diagnostic Version has the items grouped into instructional clusters, this shows a student's strengths and weaknesses at a glance. The Developmental Version has the items arranged in ascending order of difficulty regardless of the clusters to which they belong. The summary page of both versions is the same, and the scoring procedures are the same.

Assessment of Children's Language Comprehension (ACLC)

Rochana Foster

Jane J. Gidden

Joel Stark

Queens University

Publisher

Edition

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

easy easy

Scoring aids available

Consulting Psychologists Press Incorporated

Global Scores

No Scores

1973

language individual use norm-referenced

\$12

Available levels

There is only one level.

Range

Equivalent forms Administration Time

Subtests

Ages 3 - 7

only one form

10 - 20 minutes

Core Vocabulary Developement

Two Critical Elements Three Critical Elements Four Critical Elements

1. Core Vocabulary Developement

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word visual-picture

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

2. Two Critical Elements

Purpose Descriptors

listening-comprehension

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

auditory-phrases

visual-picture

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

3. Three Critical Elements

Purpose Descriptors

listening-comprehension

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

auditory-phrases

visual-picture

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

4. Four Critical Elements

Purpose Descriptors

listening-comprehension

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

auditory-phrases

visual-picture

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

Norming Information

Norming date 1974
Sample size 311
Place normed USA

Sample Range Ages 3 - 7 Sample similar to

national population No

Norming info in manual? No

Reliability Information

1. Reliability information in manual? - No

Validity Information

1. Information regarding content validity in manual?No

Reviews

- [1] O.K. Buros editor, The Eighth Mental Measurement Yearbook(2 vol.), Highland Park, N.J., Gryphon Press, 1978, 608-609.
 - 1. J.A. Till: The ACLC was developed as an assessment tool which would reveal levels of receptive difficulty in children with language problems. The biggest weakness is the lack of normative data. Perhaps, because of the small sample, there are 3 instances in which mean scores do not become progressively larger from one age to the next. There is a group form of the test, without norms, made using some items from this test and a multiple choice format.
- [2] C. Compton, A Guide to 65 Tests for Special Education, Belmont, California, Fearon Education: a division of Pitman Learning Inc., 1980, 154-155.

The ACLC is an inexpensive test that is easy to give and score. The analysis of error patterns yields good information for planning therapy. The normative data is considered tentative and was based on an earlier form. Only percentage scores are given. Without the aid of standard deviations, it is difficult to interpret borderline scores accurately.

[3] E. LaMonte Ohlson, Identification of Specific Learning Disabilities, Champaign, Illinois, Research Press Company, 1978, 53.

The ACLC was not intended to derive a developmental age for language comprehension. The test can be used to identify memory problems for certain grammatic forms, and as a guideline for beginning therapy. The ACLC is useful for very young children who are apt to have comprehension and memory problems. The test is appropriate for children with learning disorders because lexical items are presented in units, making possible the identication of the level at which a child is unable to process.

[4] E.H. Wiig, and E.M. Semel, Language Assessment and Intervention, Columbus, Ohio, Charles E. Merrill Publishing Company, 1980, 104-105.

The ACLC was designed to assess basic receptive language skills. The purpose of the test is to assist the clinical-educator in determining the appropriate length of the syntactic sequence to teach in language intervention. One of the assets of the test is that there is a pre-test of the vocabulary used in the test. The manual contains suggestions for intervention procedures according to the child's assessed level. The manual does not provide reliability or validity.

Basic Achievement Skills Individual Screener (BASIS)

The Psychological Corporation

Publisher

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

The Psychological Corporation

1983

\$39

general achievement

individual use

both norm and criterion referenced

easy easy

Standard Score
Age Equivalent
Grade Equivalent
Stanine Score
Percentiles

Available levels

There is only one level.

Range

Equivalent forms
Administration Time

Subtests

Grades 1 - 13

only one form 60 minutes

Reading

Mathematics-Dictated Word Problems

Mathematics-Printed Computational Exercises

Spelling

Optional Writing Exercise

1. Reading

oral-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

visual-paragraph auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-sentence oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

2. Mathematics-Dictated Word Problems

Purpose Descriptors

problem-solving

Mode of Presentation

auditory-paragraph

auditory-question

Mode of Response

write-number write-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

3. Mathematics-Printed Computational Exercises

Purpose Descriptors

computation-whole-numbers

computation-fractions computation-decimals higher-order-computations

Mode of Presentation

visual-computations

Mode of Response

write-number

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

4. Spelling

Purpose Descriptors

spelling-general

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

write-word

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

5. Optional Writing Exercise

written-expression

Mode of Presentation

not-available

Mode of Response

write-paragraph

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

Norming Information

Norming date Sample size

Place normed Sample Range

Sample similar to

national population

Not Available

Not Available Not Available

Not Available

Yes

Norming info in manual?

Unknown

Reliability Information

1. Reliability information in manual? - Unknown

Validity Information

Information regarding content validity in manual?
 Unknown

Bankson Language Screening Test (BLST)

Nicholas W. Bankson Boston University

Publisher

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

University Park Press

1977

\$29

language

individual use norm-referenced

easy

easy

Scoring aids available

Percentiles

Available levels

There is only one level.

Range

Equivalent forms

Administration Time

Subtests

Ages 4 - 8

only one form

25 minutes

Semantic Knowledge Morphological Rules Syntactic Rules

Visual Perception Auditory Perception

1. Semantic Knowledge

knowledge-of-body-parts

general-knowledge word-meanings

antonyms

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture auditory-word

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

oral-word select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

2. Morphological Rules

Purpose Descriptors

language-usage

context

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

3. Syntactic Rules

Purpose Descriptors

language-usage auditory-memory sentence-structure

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

oral-word

 $or al\hbox{-}sentence$

 ${\bf or ally-select-true-false}$

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

4. Visual Perception

matching-pictures visual-association visual-memory

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

Mode of Response

select-picture select-order

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

5. Auditory Perception

Purpose Descriptors

auditory-memory

word-meanings

listening-comprehension processing-auditory-directions

Mode of Presentation

auditory-several-words auditory-sentence

auditory-paragraph auditory-word visual-picture auditory-directions

Mode of Response

oral-several-words oral-sentence

oral-paragraph select-picture

manual-hand-gestures

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

Norming Information

Norming date

Not Available

Sample size Place normed 637 **USA**

Sample Range

Ages 4.1 - 8.0

Sample similar to

national population

No

Norming info in manual?

Yes

Reliability Information

1. Test-retest reliability: 0.94

2. Kuder-Richardson reliability: 0.96

3. Reliability information in manual? - Yes

Validity Information

- 1. Concurrence validity
 - a. PPVT

i. Year: 0

ii. Range of correlations: 0.54

iii. Information in manual? - Yes

b. BTBC

i. Year: 0

ii. Range of correlations: 0.62

iii. Information in manual? - Yes

c. TACL

i. Year: dk

ii. Range of correlations: 0.64

iii. Information in manual? - Yes

2. Information regarding content validity in manual?

- Yes

Reviews

[1] N.W. Bankson, Bankson Language Screening Test, Baltimore, Maryland, University Park Press, 1977, .

The mean and standard deviations are supplied for each subtest. The test can be used as a quick screening device using 38 items from the original version. The item numbers are given in the manual.

The Boder Test of Reading-Spelling Patterns (Boder)

Elena Boder

University of California

Sylvia Jarrico

Research Psychologist Los Angeles, California

Publisher

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Grune and Stratton Incorporated

1982

\$75

reading

spelling

individual use

criterion-referenced

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

easy easy

Scoring aids available

Global Scores

No Scores

Available levels

There is only one level.

Range

Equivalent forms

Administration Time

Subtests

Grades P - 13

only one form

0 minutes

Reading

Spelling

Spelling-Unknown Words

Supplementary-Alphabet Tasks for Prereading Screening

Supplementary-Syllabicating Tasks

Supplementary-Drawing the Face of a Clock

1. Reading

sight-words

reading-words

reading-words-phonetically-regular reading-words-phonetically-irregular

Mode of Presentation

visual-word

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring- Normed

Quotient Score Age Equivalent

2. Spelling

Purpose Descriptors

spelling-sight-words

spelling-phonetically-regular-words spelling-phonetically-irregular-words

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

write-word

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

3. Spelling-Unknown Words

Purpose Descriptors

spelling-unfamiliar-words

spelling-phonetically-regular-words spelling-phonetically-irregular-words

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word

Mode of Response

oral-word write-word

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

4. Supplementary-Alphabet Tasks for Prereading Screening

reciting-the-alphabet writing-the-alphabet letter-names-general letter-sounds-general

Mode of Presentation

visual-letter

auditory-directions

Mode of Response

oral-letter-name oral-letter-sound write-letter

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

5. Supplementary-Syllabicating Tasks

Purpose Descriptors

reading-phoneme

reading-words

blending-word-parts->words substitution-initial-phoneme

Mode of Presentation

visual-word

visual-word-parts

Mode of Response

oral-phrases oral-word-parts oral-number

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

6. Supplementary-Drawing the Face of a Clock

Purpose Descriptors

draw-a-picture

knowledge-of-body-parts

math-readiness

Mode of Presentation

auditory-directions

Mode of Response

drawn-picture

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

Reliability Information

- Test-retest reliability: 0.81 0.97
 Split-half reliability: 0.97 0.99
- 3. Reliability information in manual? Yes

Validity Information

1. Information regarding content validity in manual? - Yes

Botel Reading Inventory (Botel)

Morton Botel

Publisher

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

Follett Publishing Company

1978 \$31

reading

either individual or group use

criterion-referenced

easy

easy

Scoring aids available

No Scores

Available levels

There is only one level.

Range

Equivalent forms

Administration Time

Subtests

Grades 1 - 8

30 - 55 minutes

Word Recognition Test

Word Opposite Test Spelling Placement Test Decoding-Letter Names

Decoding-Beginning Consonant Sound

Decoding -Rhyme Sounds

Decoding-Syllable Spelling Patterns

1. Word Recognition Test

reading-words

Mode of Presentation

visual-word

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring - Criterion

Grade Equivalents

2. Word Opposite Test

Purpose Descriptors

antonyms

Mode of Presentation

visual-word

visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring - Criterion

Grade Equivalents

3. Spelling Placement Test

Purpose Descriptors

spelling-general

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

write-word

Scoring - Criterion

Grade Equivalents

4. Decoding-Letter Names

Purpose Descriptors

letter-names-capitals-consonants letter-names-capitals-vowels

Mode of Presentation

auditory-letter-name visual-several-letters

Mode of Response

select-letter

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

5. Decoding-Beginning Consonant Sound

identifying-initial-consonant

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word-pairs visual-several-letters

Mode of Response

select-letter

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

6. Decoding -Rhyme Sounds

Purpose Descriptors

rhyming-words

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word-pairs visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

7. Decoding-Syllable Spelling Patterns

Purpose Descriptors

 ${\bf reading\text{-}words\text{-}multi\text{-}syllable}$

reading-nonsense-words

Mode of Presentation

visual-word

visual-nonsense-word

Mode of Response

oral-word

oral-nonsense-words

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

Reliability Information

- 1. Equivalent forms reliability: 0.66 0.94
- 2. Reliability information in manual? Yes

Validity Information

- 1. Concurrence validity
 - a. SRI
- i. Year: 1968
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.78 0.95
- iii. Information in manual? Yes
- b. STEP-Reading
 - i. Year: 1968
 - ii. Range of correlations: 0.57 0.86
 - iii. Information in manual? Yes
- c. Cal-Reading
 - i. Year: 1968
 - ii. Range of correlations: 0.84 0.95
 - iii. Information in manual? Yes
- d. ITBS-Reading
 - i. Year: 1968
 - ii. Range of correlations: 0.82 0.85
 - iii. Information in manual? Yes
- e. DRS
- i. Year: 1969
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.73 0.86
- iii. Information in manual? Yes
- 2. Information regarding content validity in manual?
 - Yes

- [1] O.K. Buros editor, The Sixth Mental Measurement Yearbook(2 vol.), Highland Park, N.J., Gryphon Press, 1965, 1121-1122.
 - 1. I.E. Aaron: This informal inventory may be used to find instructional, independence and frustration reading levels of children. It may be used in grades 1-12, but is appropriate only for those at the lower reading levels. It is a useful informal test that will give the classroom teacher an economical way to gather information for selecting reading material and for assessing a child's knowledge and use of word recognition skills. Information is needed on the test's reliability and validity.
 - 2. C.M. Brown: There is no normative data, no information on how the standards for the reading level classification were determined, and no data on reliability or validity. One wonders how this "instrument" would be any better than any informal reading inventory based on graded material.
- [2] E.E. Ekwall, and J.L. Shanker, Diagnosis and Remediation of the Disabled Reader(2nd edition), Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1983, 123-124.

The Botel is time consuming to administer and does not provide the examiner with the kind of information required to plan prescription instruction.

[3] J.A. McLoughlin, and R.B. Lewis, Assessing Special Students: Strategies and Procedures, Columbus, Ohio, Merrill, 1981, 346.

The Botel is not considered to be either a norm or criterion-referenced test, but only an informal inventory.

[4] G.D. Spache, Diagnosing and Correcting Reading Disabilities (2nd ed.), Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1981, 168-173.

There is some question about the choosing of words for the Botel: first, they came from a word list that is 35 years old, and second there is the question of grading words simply by their use in basals. The scores for the high school grades were derived from elementary school testing: 659 elementary students were tested in one school. Therefore, teachers should not use this table. The Botel is criticized for lack of normative data, no information on the derivation of the scoring criteria, and no real data on reliability or validity. The Botel may be a measure of some facets of reading, but the author has not yet demonstrated this.

[5] G. Wallace, and S.C. Larson, Educational Assessment of Learning Problems: Testing for Teaching, Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1978, 311-314.

1962 ed.: The test can be used as a survey of individual pupils. The Botel can be used as a preliminary screen for the more detailed diagnostic tests such as the Gates-McKillop or the Durrell.

Brigance Diagnostic Inventory of Basic Skills (Brigance)

Albert Brigance

N. Bellerica

Publisher

Edition

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

Curriculum Associations Incorporated

2

1977

\$40

general achievement

individual use

criterion-referenced

easy easy

Scoring aids available

No Scores

Available levels

There is only one level.

Range

Equivalent forms

Administration Time

Subtests

Grades 0 - 6

only one form

15 - 90 minutes

Readiness

Reading-Word Recognition Reading-Oral Reading Reading-Word Analysis

Reading Vocabulary

Language Arts-Handwriting

Language Arts-Grammar Mechanics

Laguage Arts-Spelling

Laguage Arts-Reference Skills

Math-Grade Level Math-Numbers Math-Operations Math-Measurement Math-Geometry

1. Readiness

general-knowledge identify-different copying-designs visual-memory word-meanings verbal-expression

processing-auditory-directions

articulation

auditory-memory counts-numbers reciting-the-alphabet

number-names

letter-names-lower-case-consonants letter-names-lower-case-vowels letter-names-capitals-consonants letter-names-capitals-vowels

writing-the-alphabet writing-numbers writing-name

Mode of Presentation

visual-figure visual-letter visual-word visual-picture

visual-number-sequences

visual-number auditory-directions auditory-conversation auditory-sentence

object

Mode of Response

oral-word select-figure draws-figure

manual-hand-gestures oral-conversation oral-sentence oral-number oral-letter-name write-word write-letter write-number

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

2. Reading-Word Recognition

reading-words

Mode of Presentation

visual-word

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring - Criterion

Grade Equivalents

3. Reading-Oral Reading

Purpose Descriptors

oral-reading-sentences oral-reading-paragraphs oral-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-sentence visual-paragraph auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-sentence oral-paragraph oral-answer

Scoring - Criterion

Grade Equivalents

4. Reading-Word Analysis

auditory-discrimination

identifying-initial-consonant

letter-sounds-lower-case-consonants

reading-phoneme

reading-words-single-consonant identifying-final-consonant

identifying-final-consonant-combination

reading-nonsense-words reading-words-single-vowel

reading-words-vowel-combinations

identifying-initial-consonant-combinations reading-words-consonant-combination reading-words-vowel-combinations reading-words-silent-consonants

reading-words meaning-of-affixes counting-syllables syllabication-concept

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word-pairs

visual-letter

visual-word-pairs auditory-word visual-word

visual-nonsense-word

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

orally-select-true-false

oral-letter-name oral-letter-sound oral-phoneme oral-word

oral-nonsense-words

oral-number

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

5. Reading Vocabulary

oral-reading-sentences

context

language-analogies

antonyms reading-words homonyms

Mode of Presentation

visual-sentence auditory-sentence auditory-word visual-word-pairs

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

oral-sentence oral-word oral-answer

Scoring - Criterion

Grade Equivalents

6. Language Arts-Handwriting

Purpose Descriptors

writing-the-alphabet

writing-name

Mode of Presentation

auditory-directions

Mode of Response

write-letter write-word

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

7. Language Arts-Grammar Mechanics

Purpose Descriptors

capitalization punctuation

word-classification

Mode of Presentation

visual-sentence auditory-directions

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

8. Laguage Arts-Spelling

spelling-general

identifying-initial-consonant

identifying-initial-consonant-combinations

suffixes prefixes

Mode of Presentation

auditory-sentence

auditory-word visual-word

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

write-sentence write-letter write-word

Scoring - Criterion

Grade Equivalents

9. Laguage Arts-Reference Skills

Purpose Descriptors

reference-skills

Mode of Presentation

visual-letter

visual-word

visual-dictionary-entry visual-reference-article visual-paragraph

visual-table visual-graphs visual-map

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

10. Math-Grade Level

Purpose Descriptors

computation-whole-numbers

Mode of Presentation

visual-computations

Mode of Response

write-number

Scoring - Criterion

Grade Equivalents

11. Math-Numbers

math-readiness

Mode of Presentation

visual-figure
visual-picture
visual-number
visual-word
auditory-number
auditory-question
auditory-directions

Mode of Response

write-number oral-word oral-number

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

12. Math-Operations

Purpose Descriptors

computation-whole-numbers

computation-fractions computation-decimals

Mode of Presentation

visual-computations auditory-computations

Mode of Response

oral-number write-number

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

13. Math-Measurement

problem-solving

money time

measurement

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture visual-question auditory-question visual-paragraph visual-figure visual-table

Mode of Response

oral-answer select-picture oral-number

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

14. Math-Geometry

Purpose Descriptors

geometry

Mode of Presentation

visual-figure

auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-answer oral-number

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

Reliability Information

1. Reliability information in manual? - No

Validity Information

1. Information regarding content validity in manual?No

[1] G.O. Bunch, and G. Robertson, Selected Psychoeducational Tests, A Layman's Handbook, Orillia, Ontario, Ptarmigan Publishers, 1982, 0-.

The Brigance includes American money and British measurement terms, this makes the test a problem in Canada. The positive aspects outweigh this inconvenience.

[2] C. Compton, A Guide to 65 Tests for Special Education, Belmont, California, Fearon Education: a division of Pitman Learning Inc., 1980, 26-29.

The Brigance is a helpful newcomer in the field of informal inventories. It includes many subtests omitted from other assessments. The grade level scores on reading, spelling and math are useful. The limitations include the lack of validity and reliability. The starting points on some subtests are unclear and ceilings can be arbitrary. There is no place on the record form to record errors on oral reading paragraphs.

[3] J.A. McLoughlin, and R.B. Lewis, Assessing Special Students: Strategies and Procedures, Columbus, Ohio, Merrill, 1981, 46.

The Brigance yields information that can be used directly in writing objectives. Only TOWL assesses more written language skills than the Brigance. The test is highly recommended.

[4] J. Salvia, and J.E. Ysseldyke, Assessment in Special and Remedial Education (2nd ed.), Boston, Mass., Houghton Mifflin, 1981, 177-183.

The administration requires some professional judgement. It is scored objectively. The text referenced grade levels are determined by the level at which the material is first taught. A detailed description of content validity is absent, but inspection indicates comprehensive coverage, careful preparation and meticulous selection of items.

Boehm Test of Basic Concepts (BTBC)

Ann E. Boehm

Publisher

Edition

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Psychological Corporation

dk 1971

\$25

language

either individual or group use

norm-referenced

easy

easy

Scoring aids available

Global Scores

Percentiles

Available levels

There is only one level.

Range

Equivalent forms Administration Time

Subtests

Grades 0 - 2

30 - 40 minutes

Mastery on Concepts

1. Mastery on Concepts

word-meanings

general-knowledge

processing-auditory-directions

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

auditory-directions

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

Norming Information

Norming date

1970 12

Sample size Place normed

USA

Sample Range

Grades K - 2

Sample similar to national population

No

Norming info in manual?

Yes

Reliability Information

- 1. Split-half reliability: 0.62 0.94
- Equivalent forms reliability: 0.55 0.92
 Reliability information in manual? Yes

Validity Information

1. Information regarding content validity in manual? - Yes

Reviews

- [1] O.K. Buros editor, The Eighth Mental Measurement Yearbook(2 vol.), Highland Park, N.J., Gryphon Press, 1978, 252-253.
 - 1. T.A. Dahl: The test booklet is well designed and the art work is adequate. The main purpose of the test is to diagnose the attainment of specific objectives; this suggests the test should be criterion-referenced. The reliability is inappropriate. split-halfs are not relevant to the idea of 50 one-item subtests. Validity is also incomplete and inappropriate.
- [2] O.K. Buros editor, The Seventh Mental Measurement Yearbook (2 vol.), Highland Park, N.J., Gryphon Press, 1972, 625-629.
 - 2. B.R. McCandless: The test is too easy for many grade two students. No validity other than face validity is presented. It is a screening device and a guide for instruction. A section of the manual devoted to interpretation and use of the results is very practical.
 - 3. C.D. Smock: Content validity is reported and seems adequate since the items were selected on the basis of currently used curriculum materials in kindergarten to grade two. The Boehm procedure should be valuable to teachers in the detection and remediation of deficiencies in verbal understanding.
 - 4. V.H. Noll: The test is adequate in difficulty only for kindergarten. The reliabilities are surprisingly good. Validity is open to question. The test has not provided essential information on how the concepts on which the test is based were determined, or evidence to show these concepts are necessary for school.
 - 5. B.B. Proger: The manual and test material for the BTBC appear to be of high quality, its rational has considerable appeal. It can be administered, interpreted, and utilized in remedial work. There are limitations in the standarization effort, validity and test-retest reliability.
 - 6. G. Lawlor: The teacher may find the BTBC useful in the identification of children with deficiencies in the area of certain basic concepts.
 - 7. F.S. Freeman: The data provided in the manual is adequate to permit judgement regarding item selection. The test is good for the purpose intended, to test for concept knowledge.

[3] C. Compton, A Guide to 65 Tests for Special Education, Belmont, California, Fearon Education: a division of Pitman Learning Inc., 1980, 159-161.

The BTBC is an inexpensive test. The illustrations are clear and the format well organized. The manual provides information on the test development, analysis of results, normative data, and remediation approaches. There are some limitations. There is no review of the literature, and no validity is given. The language comprehension levels are high. It is recommended that the individual format be used so that the student's performance can be analyzed more accurately.

[4] J. Salvia, and J.E. Ysseldyke, Assessment in Special and Remedial Education (2nd ed.), Boston, Mass., Houghton Mifflin, 1981, 459-461.

The norms of the BTBC are inadequate, but that is not important because it works best as a criterion-referenced test. Form B was not standarized, but was constructed to be equivalent in difficulty to Form A. Alternate form reliability is too low for the forms to be considered equivalent. The standard error of measurement is 2.15.

[5] G. Wallace, and S.C. Larson, Educational Assessment of Learning Problems: Testing for Teaching, Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1978, 152-153.

The test includes minimum reliability and validity. It is a good example of an instrument used with young children that provides very specific information concerning one important aspect of school readiness.

[6] E.H. Wiig, and E.M. Semel, Language Assessment and Intervention, Columbus, Ohio, Charles E. Merrill Publishing Company, 1980, 219-220.

The standardization sample of the BTBC is recent and geographically, and socioeconomically representative. The extraordinary size of the sample suggests that minority children were included proportionately. The limitations to the test include the lack of individual score sheets, overlapping of norms for grades one and two, the lack of a cut-off point for determining the difficulty of a given item by grade level, and test-retest statistics that are unreliable.

Canadian Achievement Test (CAT)

J. Douglas Ayers University of Victory Helen McNeil

Gorden Head Elementary School, Victoria, B.C.

Gwen J. McLennan

Joy D. Paquin

Torguay Elementary School, Victoria, B.C. Sooke School District, B.C.

Deborah Kerr

CTC/McGraw-Hill Ryerson

Publisher

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

Composite Scores

McGraw-Hill Ryerson Limited

1983

\$700

general achievement

group use

both norm and criterion referenced

easy

requires some training

Scoring aids available

Grade Equivalent

Stanine Score
Percentiles

Total Reading

Total Language

Total Math

Available levels

Level 12

Level 13

Level 14

Level 15

Level 16

Level 17

Level 18

Level 19

Range

Equivalent forms
Administration Time

Subtests

Grades 1.6 - 1.9 only one form

0 minutes

Phonics Analysis Stuctural Analysis Reading Vocabulary Reading Comprehension

Spelling

Language Mechanics Language Expression

Mathematic Computations

Mathematics Concepts and Application

1. Phonics Analysis

Purpose Descriptors

identifying-initial-phonemes

identifying-consonant

identifying-initial-consonant

identifying-initial-consonant-combinatio

identifying-final-consonant

 $identifying\hbox{-} final\hbox{-} consonant\hbox{-} combination$

identifying-vowel identifying-short-vowel

identifying-long-vowel

identifying-vowel-combination

Mode of Presentation

visual-word

auditory-word

visual-several-words

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

2. Stuctural Analysis

 ${\bf counting-syllables}$

identifying-root

prefixes suffixes contractions

forming-compounds

Mode of Presentation

visual-word

visual-several-words visual-several-numbers

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

select-word

select-number

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

3. Reading Vocabulary

Purpose Descriptors

synonyms

antonyms

Mode of Presentation

visual-word visual-sentence

visual-several-words visual-several-sentences

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

4. Reading Comprehension

Purpose Descriptors

silent-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

visual-sentence visual-paragraph

visual-question

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

5. Spelling

spelling-identify-correct

Mode of Presentation

visual-sentence visual-word

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

select-true-false

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

6. Language Mechanics

Purpose Descriptors

punctuation capitalization

Mode of Presentation

visual-sentence

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

7. Language Expression

Purpose Descriptors

language-usage

Mode of Presentation

visual-sentence

visual-several-words

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

8. Mathematic Computations

Purpose Descriptors

computation-whole-numbers

Mode of Presentation

visual-computations visual-several-numbers

Mode of Response

select-number

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

9. Mathematics Concepts and Application

math-readiness

computation-whole-numbers

geometry time

measurement

Mode of Presentation

visual-figure visual-picture visual-graphs

visual-computations visual-several-numbers

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

select-figure select-number

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

Level 13

Range

Equivalent forms
Administration Time

Subtests

Grades 2.6 - 3.9

only one form

0 minutes

Phonics Analysis Structural Analysis Reading Vocabulary Reading Comprehension

Spelling

Language Mechanics
Language Expression

Mathematics Computation

Mathematics Concepts and Application

1. Phonics Analysis

identifying-initial-phonemes

identifying-consonant

identifying-initial-consonant

identifying-initial-consonant-combinatio

identifying-final-consonant

identifying-final-consonant-combination

identifying-vowel

identifying-short-vowel identifying-long-vowel

identifying-vowel-combination

Mode of Presentation

visual-word

visual-several-words

auditory-word

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

2. Structural Analysis

Purpose Descriptors

counting-syllables

 $identifying\hbox{-}root$

prefixes suffixes

contractions

forming-compounds

Mode of Presentation

visual-word

visual-several-words visual-several-numbers

Mode of Response

select-word

select-number

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

3. Reading Vocabulary

synonyms antonyms

multi-meaning

Mode of Presentation

visual-word visual-sentence

visual-several-words visual-several-sentences

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

4. Reading Comprehension

Purpose Descriptors

silent-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

visual-sentence visual-paragraph visual-question

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

5. Spelling

Purpose Descriptors

spelling-identify-correct

Mode of Presentation

visual-sentence

visual-word

Mode of Response

select-true-false

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

6. Language Mechanics

punctuation capitalization

Mode of Presentation

visual-sentence

Mode of Response

select-error

select-punctuation-mark

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

7. Language Expression

Purpose Descriptors

language-usage word-classification

Mode of Presentation

visual-sentence visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

8. Mathematics Computation

Purpose Descriptors

computation-whole-numbers

Mode of Presentation

visual-computations visual-several-numbers

Mode of Response

select-number

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

9. Mathematics Concepts and Application

math-readiness

computation-whole-numbers

problem-solving

geometry time

measurement

Mode of Presentation

visual-graphs
visual-figure
visual-picture
visual-computatio

visual-computations visual-sentence visual-question

visual-several-numbers

Mode of Response

select-figure select-number select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

Level 14

Range

Equivalent forms Administration Time

Subtests

Grades 3.6 - 4.9

only one form

0 minutes

Reading Vocabulary Reading Comprehension

Spelling

Language Mechanics Language Expression

Mathematics Computation

Mathematics Concepts and Application

Supplementary-Reference Skills

1. Reading Vocabulary

meaning-of-compound-words

synonyms multi-meaning

homonyms

meaning-of-affixes

Mode of Presentation

visual-word visual-sentence visual-several-words visual-several-sentences

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

2. Reading Comprehension

Purpose Descriptors

silent-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

visual-paragraph visual-sentence visual-question

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

3. Spelling

Purpose Descriptors

spelling-identify-correct

Mode of Presentation

visual-sentence

Mode of Response

select-error

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

4. Language Mechanics

punctuation capitalization

Mode of Presentation

visual-sentence

visual-punctuation-mark

Mode of Response

select-error

select-punctuation-mark

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

5. Language Expression

Purpose Descriptors

language-usage

word-classification

context

ordering-sentences sentence-structure

Mode of Presentation

visual-sentence

visual-several-sentences visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-answer

select-order

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

6. Mathematics Computation

Purpose Descriptors

computation-whole-numbers

computation-fractions computation-decimals

Mode of Presentation

visual-computations visual-several-numbers

Mode of Response

select-number

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

7. Mathematics Concepts and Application

computation-whole-numbers

problem-solving

geometry measurement

Mode of Presentation

visual-graphs visual-figure visual-number

visual-computations visual-sentence visual-question

visual-several-numbers

Mode of Response

select-figure select-number select-computation select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

8. Supplementary-Reference Skills

Purpose Descriptors

reference-skills

Mode of Presentation

visual-graphs visual-map visual-table

visual-dictionary-entry

visual-question

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

Range

Equivalent forms
Administration Time

Subtests

Grades 4.6 - 5.9

only one form

0 minutes

Reading Vocabulary Reading Comprehension

Spelling

Language Mechanics Language Expression

Mathematics Computation

Mathematics Concepts and Application

Supplementary-Reference Skills

1. Reading Vocabulary

Purpose Descriptors

meaning-of-compound-words

synonyms antonyms homonyms multi-meaning meaning-of-affixes

Mode of Presentation

visual-word

visual-sentence

visual-several-words visual-several-sentences

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

2. Reading Comprehension

Purpose Descriptors

silent-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

visual-paragraph visual-sentence visual-question

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

3. Spelling

spelling-identify-correct

Mode of Presentation

visual-sentence

Mode of Response

select-error

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

4. Language Mechanics

Purpose Descriptors

punctuation capitalization

Mode of Presentation

visual-sentence

visual-punctuation-mark

Mode of Response

select-error

select-punctuation-mark

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

5. Language Expression

Purpose Descriptors

word-classification

context

language-usage ordering-sentences sentence-structure

Mode of Presentation

visual-sentence

visual-several-sentences visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-answer

select-order

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

6. Mathematics Computation

computation-whole-numbers

computation-fractions computation-decimals

Mode of Presentation

visual-computations visual-several-numbers

Mode of Response

select-number

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

7. Mathematics Concepts and Application

Purpose Descriptors

computation-whole-numbers

problem-solving

geometry measurement

Mode of Presentation

visual-graphs

visual-figure visual-number

visual-computations visual-sentence visual-question

visual-several-numbers

Mode of Response

select-figure select-number select-computation

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

8. Supplementary-Reference Skills

reference-skills

Mode of Presentation

visual-graphs visual-map

visual-table

visual-dictionary-entry

visual-question

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

Level 16

Range

Equivalent forms Administration Time

Subtests

Grades 5.6 - 6.9

only one form

0 minutes

Reading Vocabulary Reading Comprehension

Spelling

Language Mechanics Language Expression

Mathematics Computation

Mathematics Concepts and Application

Supplementary-Reference Skills

1. Reading Vocabulary

Purpose Descriptors

meaning-of-compound-words

synonyms antonyms multi-meaning homonyms

meaning-of-affixes

Mode of Presentation

visual-word visual-sentence visual-several-words visual-several-sentences

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

2. Reading Comprehension

silent-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

visual-paragraph visual-sentence visual-question

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

3. Spelling

Purpose Descriptors

spelling-identify-correct

Mode of Presentation

visual-sentence

Mode of Response

select-error

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

4. Language Mechanics

Purpose Descriptors

punctuation capitalization

Mode of Presentation

visual-sentence

visual-punctuation-mark

Mode of Response

select-error

select-punctuation-mark

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

5. Language Expression

word-classification

context

language-usage ordering-sentences

paragraph-developmental

sentence-structure

Mode of Presentation

visual-sentence

visual-several-sentences visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-answer select-order

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

6. Mathematics Computation

Purpose Descriptors

computation-whole-numbers

computation-fractions computation-decimals

Mode of Presentation

visual-computations

visual-several-numbers

Mode of Response

select-number

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

7. Mathematics Concepts and Application

computation-whole-numbers

problem-solving

geometry measurement

Mode of Presentation

visual-graphs
visual-figure
visual-number
visual-computations
visual-sentence
visual-question

visual-several-numbers

Mode of Response

select-figure select-number select-computation select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

8. Supplementary-Reference Skills

Purpose Descriptors

reference-skills

Mode of Presentation

visual-graphs visual-map visual-table

visual-dictionary-entry

visual-question

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

Level 17

Range

Equivalent forms

Administration Time

Subtests

Grades 6.6 - 7.9

only one form

0 minutes

Reading Vocabulary Reading Comprehension

Spelling

Language Mechanics Language Expression

Mathematics Computations

Mathematics Concepts and Application

Supplementary-Reference Skills

1. Reading Vocabulary

Purpose Descriptors

synonyms

antonyms homonyms

multi-meaning

Mode of Presentation

visual-word

visual-phrases visual-sentence visual-several-words

visual-several-sentences

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

2. Reading Comprehension

Purpose Descriptors

silent-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

visual-paragraph visual-sentence

visual-question

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

3. Spelling

spelling-identify-correct

Mode of Presentation

visual-sentence

Mode of Response

select-error

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

4. Language Mechanics

Purpose Descriptors

punctuation capitalization

Mode of Presentation

visual-sentence

visual-punctuation-mark

Mode of Response

select-error

select-punctuation-mark

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

5. Language Expression

Purpose Descriptors

language-usage

context

word-classification ordering-sentences

paragraph-developmental

sentence-structure

Mode of Presentation

visual-sentence

visual-several-sentences

visual-paragraph visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-answer

select-order

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

6. Mathematics Computaions

computation-whole-numbers

computation-fractions computation-decimals

Mode of Presentation

visual-computations visual-several-numbers

Mode of Response

select-number

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

7. Mathematics Concepts and Application

Purpose Descriptors

problem-solving

geometry measurement

higher-order-computations

Mode of Presentation

visual-graphs visual-figure

visual-number

visual-computations

visual-sentence visual-question

visual-several-numbers

Mode of Response

select-figure

select-number select-computation

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

8. Supplementary-Reference Skills

reference-skills

Mode of Presentation

visual-map visual-table visual-graphs

visual-dictionary-entry

visual-sentence visual-question

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

Level 18

Range Equivalent forms Administration Time Subtests

Grades 7.6 - 9.9 only one form me 0 minutes

0 minutes Reading Vocabulary Reading Comprehension

Spelling

Language Mechanics
Language Expression

Mathematics Computation

Mathematics Concepts and Application

Supplementary-Reference Skills

1. Reading Vocabulary

Purpose Descriptors

synonyms antonyms homonyms multi-meaning

Mode of Presentation

visual-word visual-sentence visual-several-words visual-several-sentences

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

2. Reading Comprehension

silent-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

visual-paragraph visual-sentence visual-question

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

3. Spelling

Purpose Descriptors

spelling-identify-correct

Mode of Presentation

visual-sentence

Mode of Response

select-error

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

4. Language Mechanics

Purpose Descriptors

punctuation capitalization

Mode of Presentation

visual-sentence

visual-punctuation-mark

Mode of Response

select-error

select-punctuation-mark

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

5. Language Expression

language-usage

context

word-classification ordering-sentences

paragraph-developmental

sentence-structure

Mode of Presentation

visual-sentence

visual-several-sentences

visual-paragraph visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-answer

select-order

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

6. Mathematics Computation

Purpose Descriptors

computation-whole-numbers

computation-fractions computation-decimals

Mode of Presentation

visual-computations

visual-several-numbers

Mode of Response

select-number

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

7. Mathematics Concepts and Application

problem-solving

geometry measurement

higher-order-computations

Mode of Presentation

visual-graphs
visual-figure
visual-number
visual-computations
visual-sentence
visual-question

visual-several-numbers

Mode of Response

select-figure select-number select-computation select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

8. Supplementary-Reference Skills

Purpose Descriptors

reference-skills

Mode of Presentation

visual-map visual-table

visual-dictionary-entry visual-reference-article

visual-sentence visual-question

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

Range

Equivalent forms
Administration Time

Subtests

Grades 9.6 - 12.9

only one form 0 minutes

Reading Vocabulary Reading Comprehension

Spelling

Language Mechanics Language Expression Mathematics Computation

Mathematics Concepts and Application

Supplementary-Reference Skills

1. Reading Vocabulary

Purpose Descriptors

synonyms antonyms homonyms multi-meaning

Mode of Presentation

visual-word

visual-sentence

visual-several-words visual-several-sentences

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

2. Reading Comprehension

Purpose Descriptors

silent-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

visual-paragraph visual-sentence visual-question

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

3. Spelling

spelling-identify-correct

Mode of Presentation

visual-sentence

Mode of Response

select-error

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

4. Language Mechanics

Purpose Descriptors

punctuation capitalization

Mode of Presentation

visual-sentence

visual-punctuation-mark

Mode of Response

select-error

select-punctuation-mark

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

5. Language Expression

Purpose Descriptors

language-usage

context

word-classification ordering-sentences

paragraph-developmental

sentence-structure

Mode of Presentation

visual-sentence

visual-several-sentences

visual-paragraph visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-answer

select-order

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

6. Mathematics Computaion

computation-whole-numbers

computation-fractions computation-decimals

Mode of Presentation

visual-computations visual-several-numbers

Mode of Response

select-number

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

7. Mathematics Concepts and Application

Purpose Descriptors

problem-solving

geometry measurement

higher-order-computations

Mode of Presentation

visual-graphs visual-figure visual-number visual-computations visual-sentence visual-question

visual-several-numbers

Mode of Response

select-figure select-number select-computation select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

8. Supplementary-Reference Skills

reference-skills

Mode of Presentation

visual-map

visual-dictionary-entry visual-reference-article

visual-sentence visual-question

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

Norming Information

Norming date

1981

Sample size

Not Available

Place normed

Canada

Sample Range

Not Available

Sample similar to

national population

Unknown

Norming info in manual?

No

Reliability Information

1. Reliability information in manual? - No Name of Publication Technical Bulletin Canadian Achievement Test Date of Publication :

Validity Information

1. Information regarding content validity in manual?No

Canadian Cognitive Abilities Test-Multi Level Edition (CCAT-M)

Edgar N. Wright, Editor Toronto Board of Education Robert Thorndike Columbia University

Elizabeth P. Hagan Columbia University

Publisher

Edition

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

Nelson Canada Ltd.

0

1981

\$132

intelligence

group use

norm-referenced

easy

requires some training

Scoring aids available

Standard Score

Mean: 100

Standard Deviation: 16

Stanine Score Percentiles

Available levels

Level A-H

Level A-H

Range

Equivalent forms

Administration Time

Subtests

Grades 3 - 12

only one form 180 minutes

Verbal-Vocabulary

Verbal-Sentence Completion Verbal-Verbal Classification Verbal-Verbal Analogies

Quantitative-Quantitative Relations

Quantitative-Number Series
Quantitative-Equation Building
Non-verbal-Figure Classification
Non-verbal-Figure Analogies
Non-verbal-Figure Synthesis

1. Verbal-Vocabulary

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings

synonyms

Mode of Presentation

visual-word

visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

2. Verbal-Sentence Completion

Purpose Descriptors

context

Mode of Presentation

visual-sentence

visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

3. Verbal-Verbal Classification

verbal-association

Mode of Presentation

visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

4. Verbal-Verbal Analogies

Purpose Descriptors

language-analogies

Mode of Presentation

visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

5. Quantitative-Quantitative Relations

Purpose Descriptors

math-general

Mode of Presentation

visual-figure

visual-computations

visual-word

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

6. Quantitative-Number Series

Purpose Descriptors

number-sequences

Mode of Presentation

visual-number-sequences visual-several-numbers

Mode of Response

select-number

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

7. Quantitative-Equation Building

equation-building

math-symbols

computation-whole-numbers

computation-fractions

Mode of Presentation

visual-several-numbers

visual-math-symbol

Mode of Response

select-number

Scoring- Normed

8. Non-verbal-Figure Classification

Purpose Descriptors

figure-sequencing

Mode of Presentation

visual-several-figures

Mode of Response

select-figure

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

9. Non-verbal-Figure Analogies

Purpose Descriptors

non-verbal-analogies

Mode of Presentation

visual-several-figures

visual-several-letters

Mode of Response

select-figure

select-several-letters

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

10. Non-verbal-Figure Synthesis

figure-synthesis

Mode of Presentation

visual-several-figures

Mode of Response

select-true-false

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

Norming Information

Norming date Sample size

1980 16

1973 26

Place normed

Canada

Canada

Sample Range

Grades K - 12

Grades 3 - 9

Sample similar to national population

Yes

Norming date Sample size Place normed

1966

Not Available

Canada

Sample Range Sample similar to national population

Grades nil - 9

Yes

Norming info in manual?

No

Reliability Information

1. Reliability information in manual? - No Name of Publication CCAT Technical Manual Date of Publication :

Validity Information

Information regarding content validity in manual?
 Yes

Reviews

- [1] O.K. Buros editor, The Eighth Mental Measurement Yearbook(2 vol.), Highland Park, N.J., Gryphon Press, 1978, 254-260.
 - 1. K.D. Hopkins: The Cognitive Abilities Test is a revision and extension of the Lorge-Thorndike Intelligence Test, a new Quantitative Battery has been added. The standard age scores (SAS) are normalized standard scores with a mean of 100 and a standard deviation of 16. They are disguised deviation IQs. Grade percentile ranks are also available, but have limited usefulness. The K-R 20 reliability estimates range from 0.87 to 0.94. The construct validity support for the quantitative subtest is weaker than for the verbal and non-verbal subtests. The additional time required for this battery may not be warranted. The reliability and criterion-related validity are very high for Levels A-H.
 - 2. R.C. Nichols: The verbal battery alone seems perferable to the entire test, since the profile of the three scores has no demonstrated validity and may be misleading.
- [2] Special Educational Material and Resources Handbook 1982, and P. Park, Special Educational Material and Resources Handbook 1982, Ontario, Canada, Ministry of Education, 1982, 2111.

The CCAT and CTBS were standardized together so they can be used to compare achievement and aptitude. The designation of levels by letter rather than grade facilitates out-of-level testing. The test can be machine or hand scored.

Canadian Cognitive Abilities Test-Primary Edition (CCAT-P)

Edgar N. Wright, Editor Toronto Board of Education R.L. Thorndike Columbia University

Elizabeth P. Hagan Columbia University

Publisher

Edition

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

Nelson Canada Ltd

0 1981 \$48

intelligence group use

norm-referenced

easy

requires some training Scoring aids available

Standard Score

Mean: 100

Standard Deviation: 16

Percentiles

Available levels

Primary I Primary II

Primary I

Range

Equivalent forms Administration Time

Subtests

Grades K.5 - 1.9 only one form

40 - 65 minutes

Relational Concepts

Multi-mental Concepts Quantitative Concepts

Oral Vocabulary

1. Relational Concepts

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture auditory-phrases auditory-word

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

2. Multi-mental Concepts

Purpose Descriptors

visual-association

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

3. Quantitative Concepts

Purpose Descriptors

math-readiness

Mode of Presentation

visual-figure

auditory-sentence

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

select-figure

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

4. Oral Vocabulary

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture auditory-phrases auditory-word

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

Primary II

Range Equivalent forms Administration Time Subtests Grades 2 - 3
only one form
40 - 65 minutes
Relational Concepts
Multi-mental Concepts
Quantitative Concepts
Oral Vocabulary

1. Relational Concepts

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture auditory-phrases auditory-word

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

2. Multi-mental Concepts

visual-association

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

3. Quantitative Concepts

Purpose Descriptors

math-readiness

Mode of Presentation

visual-figure

auditory-sentence

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

select-figure

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

4. Oral Vocabulary

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture auditory-phrases auditory-word

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

Norming Information

Norming date Sample size

1980 12

1973

5

Place normed

Canada

Canada

Sample Range

Grades K - 3

Grades 1 - 2

Sample similar to national population

Yes

Norming date Sample size Place normed

1966 9906 Canada

Sample Range Sample similar to Grades K - 4

national population

Yes

Norming info in manual?

No

Reliability Information

1. Reliability information in manual? - No Name of Publication CCAT Tecnical Manual Date of Publication:

Validity Information

Information regarding content validity in manual?
 Yes

Reviews

[1] G. Ralph, and P. Park, Special Educational Materials and Resources Handbook 1982, Ontario, Canada, Ministry of Education, 1982, 2111.

The CCAT and CTBS were standarized on the same samples, so they can be used to compare achievement and aptitude. The oral administration eliminates the influence of reading on test performance. The test can be hand or machine scored.

Clinical Evaluation of Language Functions-Diagnostic Battery (CELF-D)

Eleanor Messing Semel Boston University

Elisabeth H. Wiig Boston University

Publisher

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

Composite Scores

Available levels

Charles E. Merrill Publishing Company

1980 \$120

language

individual use

both norm and criterion referenced

requires some training requires some training Scoring aids available

 ${\bf Age} \,\, {\bf Equivalent}$

Percentiles

Processing Total

Production Total

There is only one level.

Range

Equivalent forms

Administration Time

Subtests

 $Grades\ K-12$

only one form 60 - 120 minutes

Processing Word and Sentence Structure

Processing Word Classes

Processing Linguistic Concepts

Processing Relationship and Ambiguities

Processing Oral Directions Processing Spoken Paragraphs

Producing Word Series

Producing Names on Confrontation

Producing Word Associations
Producing Model Sentences

Producing Formulated Sentences

Supplementary-Processing Speech Sounds Supplementary-Producing Speech Sounds

1. Processing Word and Sentence Structure

Purpose Descriptors

oral-comprehension

language-usage

Mode of Presentation

auditory-sentence

visual-picture

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent

2. Processing Word Classes

Purpose Descriptors

verbal-association

Mode of Presentation

 ${\bf auditory\text{-}several\text{-}words}$

visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-word-pairs

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent

3. Processing Linguistic Concepts

Purpose Descriptors processing-auditory-directions

Mode of Presentation visual-figure

auditory-directions

Mode of Response

select-figure

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent

4. Processing Relationship and Ambiguities

Purpose Descriptors oral-

oral-comprehension general-knowledge

Mode of Presentation

auditory-question

Mode of Response

orally-select-true-false

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent

5. Processing Oral Directions

Purpose Descriptors

processing-auditory-directions

Mode of Presentation

visual-figure

auditory-directions

Mode of Response

select-figure

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent

6. Processing Spoken Paragraphs

Purpose Descriptors

oral-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

auditory-paragraph auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent

7. Producing Word Series

Purpose Descriptors general-knowledge

Mode of Presentation timed

auditory-sentence

Mode of Response oral-several-words

Scoring- Normed Grade Equivalent

8. Producing Names on Confrontation

general-knowledge Purpose Descriptors

Mode of Presentation timed

visual-figure

Mode of Response oral-word

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent

9. Producing Word Associations

Purpose Descriptors

general-knowledge

productivity

Mode of Presentation

timed

auditory-directions

Mode of Response

oral-several-words

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent

10. Producing Model Sentences

Purpose Descriptors auditory-memory

sentence-structure

Mode of Presentation

auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

oral-sentence

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent

11. Producing Formulated Sentences

Purpose Descriptors

verbal-expression

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

oral-sentence

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent

12. Supplementary-Processing Speech Sounds

Purpose Descriptors

auditory-discrimination

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word-pairs

Mode of Response

orally-select-true-false

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent

13. Supplementary-Producing Speech Sounds

Purpose Descriptors

articulation

Mode of Presentation

auditory-sentence

visual-picture

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent

Norming Information

Norming date 1979
Sample size 159
Place normed USA

Sample Range Ages 5 - 18

Grades K - 12

Sample similar to national population

No

Norming info in manual? Yes

Reliability Information

1. Test-retest reliability: 0.96

2. Reliability information in manual? - Yes

Validity Information

- 1. Concurrence validity
 - a. ITPA-Verbal

i. Year: 1979

ii. Range of correlations: 0.87

iii. Information in manual? - Yes

b. DTLA-Verbal

i. Year: 1979

ii. Range of correlations: 0.4 - 0.52

iii. Information in manual? - Yes

2. Information regarding content validity in manual?

- Yes

Reviews

[1] J.A. McLoughlin, and R.B. Lewis, Assessing Special Students: Strategies and Procedures, Columbus, Ohio, Merrill, 1981, 449-453.

There are two CELF tests, the Screening test which is norm referenced, and the Diagnostic Battery which includes several subtests allowing more indepth assessment. The tests supply only raw scores and tentative grade scores to determine if further assessment is needed. The CELF-D was standardized along with the CELF-S, but only 159 students were used to determine grade levels. The CELF-D identifies specific strengths and weaknesses in many areas of oral language. However, further assessment is necessary for instructional planning. Reliability is adequate but more research is needed on validity.

[2] E.H. Wiig) (E.M. Semel , Language Assessment and Intervention, Columbus, Ohio, Charles E. Merrill Publishing Company, 1980, .

Wiig and Semel cover their own CELF-D test in detail. Test-retest reliability and concurrent validity are given for each test. This information is also available in the manual. Each test or subtest is discussed along with tests that Wiig and Semel consider similar.

Clinical Evaluation of Language Function-Screening Test (CELF-S)

E.M. Semel

Boston University

Elisabeth H. Wiig Boston University

Publisher

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Charles E. Merrill Publisher

1980

\$94

language individual use

norm-referenced

requires some training requires some training

Scoring aids available

Global Scores

Percentiles

Available levels

Elementary Level Advanced Level

Elementary Level

Range

Equivalent forms Administration Time

Subtests

Grades K - 4 only one form

15 - 20 minutes

Language Processing Screening Items Language Production Screening Items

1. Language Processing Screening Items

Purpose Descriptors

processing-auditory-directions

Mode of Presentation

auditory-directions

Mode of Response

manual-hand-gestures

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

2. Language Production Screening Items

reciting-the-alphabet

auditory-memory

 $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{antonyms} \\ \textbf{context} \end{array}$

general-knowledge

Mode of Presentation

auditory-directions auditory-sentence auditory-word

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

Advanced Level

Range

Equivalent forms Administration Time

Subtests

Grades 5 - 12 only one form 15 - 20 minutes

Language Processing Screening Items Language Production Screening Items

1. Language Processing Screening Items

Purpose Descriptors

processing-auditory-directions

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

auditory-directions

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

2. Language Production Sreening Items

general-knowledge

auditory-memory

context antonyms

Mode of Presentation

auditory-directions

auditory-word auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

Norming Information

Norming date

1979

Sample size

1400

Place normed

USA

Sample Range

Grades K - 12

Sample similar to national population

Yes

Norming info in manual?

Yes

Reliability Information

- 1. Test-retest reliability: 0.77 0.85
- 2. Reliability information in manual? Yes

Validity Information

- 1. Criterion/predictive validity
 - a. CELF-D
- i. Year: 1979
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.29 0.84
- iii. Information in manual? Yes
- b. ITPA-Verbal
 - i. Year: 1979
 - ii. Range of correlations: 0.46 0.62
 - iii. Information in manual? Yes
- c. DLTA-Verbal
 - i. Year: 1979
 - ii. Range of correlations: 0.49 0.55
 - iii. Information in manual? Yes
- 2. Concurrence validity
 - a. NSST
- i. Year: 1979
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.47 0.48
- iii. Information in manual? Yes
- 3. Information regarding content validity in manual?
 - Yes

Reliability Information

- 1. Test-retest reliability: 0.77 0.85
- 2. Reliability information in manual? Yes

Validity Information

- 1. Criterion/predictive validity
 - a. CELF-D
- i. Year: 1979
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.29 0.84
- iii. Information in manual? Yes
- b. ITPA-Verbal
 - i. Year: 1979
 - ii. Range of correlations: 0.46 0.62
 - iii. Information in manual? Yes
- c. DLTA-Verbal
 - i. Year: 1979
 - ii. Range of correlations: 0.49 0.55
 - iii. Information in manual? Yes
- 2. Concurrence validity
 - a. NSST
- i. Year: 1979
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.47 0.48
- iii. Information in manual? Yes
- 3. Information regarding content validity in manual?
 - Yes

Reviews

[1] J.A. McLoughlin, and R.B. Lewis, Assessing Special Students: Strategies and Procedures, Columbus, Ohio, Merrill, 1981, 453.

The CELF-S is useful for determining whether either processing or production of oral language is an area of weakness. It is useful for determining the present level of performance, but further assessment is needed for instructional planning. The CELF-D is not considered sufficient for this purpose. More research regarding validity is needed on the CELF-S.

Carrow Elicited Language Inventory (CELI)

Elizabeth Carrow-Woolfolk University of Texas

 ${\bf Publisher}$

Edition

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

Teaching Resources

9

1974

\$85

language

individual use norm-referenced

easy

requires some training

Scoring aids available

Stanine Score

Percentiles

Available levels

There is only one level.

Range Equivalent forms Administration Time Subtests Ages 3.0 - 7.11 only one form 10 - 15 minutes Elicited Sentences

1. Elicited Sentences

auditory-memory

language-usage verbal-expression

Mode of Presentation

auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

taped-recorded-responses

oral-sentence

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

Norming Information

Norming date Sample size

1973 475

Place normed

USA

Sample Range

Ages 3.0 - 7.11

Sample similar to national population

No

Norming info in manual?

Yes

Reliability Information

- 1. Test-retest reliability: 0.98
- 2. Reliability information in manual? Yes

Validity Information

- 1. Concurrence validity
 - a. DSS
- i. Year: 1974
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.79iii. Information in manual? Yes
- 2. Information regarding content validity in manual?
 Yes

- [1] O.K. Buros editor, The Eighth Mental Measurement Yearbook(2 vol.), Highland Park, N.J., Gryphon Press, 1978, 1486-1488.
 - 1. C.B. Cazden: As Carrow summarizes in the manual, if children are asked to imitate sentences longer than their short-term memory capacity, but within their span of comprehension, the sentence will be filtered through the same grammatical system that controls the child's spontaneous speech. The CELI was standardized on "white middle-class children from an urban community". This makes the CELI an extremely useful test for children from a standard English speaking community. An audio-tape is necessary for administration to record the child's replies.
- [2] G.O. Bunch, and G. Robertson, Selected Psychoeducational Tests, A Layman's Handbook, Orillia, Ontario, Ptarmigan Publishers, 1982, 3-5.

The CELI provides a fairly accurate estimate of a child's syntactic development. Not all grammatical categories within the test have received equal representation, therefore the percentile ranks are questionable for items such as nouns and conjunctions. The low correlations of various subtests with the total score, and the minimal validity suggests caution in interpretation. The test results can be improved by using them along with a measure of syntactic comprehension such as the TACL. The DSS gives more precise description of a child's abilities

[3] J. Salvia, and J.E. Ysseldyke, Assessment in Special and Remedial Education (2nd ed.), Boston, Mass., Houghton Mifflin, 1981, 408-411.

The CELI is designed to give the examiner diagnostic information about a child's expressive grammatical competence. Normative data is provided, but it is best used as a criterion-referenced device that allows the examiner to determine which specific elements of language the child is producing incorrectly. Although some more work can be done in the areas of validity and reliability, these do appear to be adequate.

[4] G. Wallace, and S.C. Larson, Educational Assessment of Learning Problems: Testing for Teaching, Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1978, 245-255.

As well as a total error score, the CELI has subscores for each grammatical category. These include Pronouns, Prepositions, Conjunctions, Articles, Adverbs, Wh-Questions, Negatives, Nouns, Adjectives, Verbs, Infinitives and Gerunds.

[5] D.V. Allen, L.S. Bliss, and J. Timmons, Language Evaluation: Science or Art, Journal of Speech and Hearing Disorders, 1981, 46:1,66-68.

A study was done on 182 white preschool children, ages 36-47 months. The CELI had the highest agreement with clinical judgement; 28% of children judged to be language impaired passed the CELI. The TACL had the greatest discrepancy; 80% of the children judged to be language impaired passed the TACL. The SICS was in the middle, 62% of the language impaired children passed. The large difference should not be too disheartening; tests use normative data and clinicians use internal norms derived from experience. Decisions should be based on both methods.

Classroom Reading Inventories (CRI)

Nicholas J. Silvaroli Arizona State University

Publisher

Edition

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

Wm. C. Brown Company

3

1976 \$4

reading

either individual or group use

criterion-referenced

easy

easy

Grade Equivalents

Available levels

There is only one level.

Range

Equivalent forms

Administration Time

Subtests

Grades 2 - 10

3

15 - 30 minutes

Graded Word Lists

Oral Reading - Graded Paragraphs Silent Reading-Graded Paragraphs

Listening Comprehension-Graded Paragraphs

Spelling Survey

1. Graded Word Lists

Purpose Descriptors

reading-words

Mode of Presentation

visual-word

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring - Criterion

Grade Equivalents

2. Oral Reading - Graded Paragraphs

oral-reading-paragraphs

oral-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

 ${\bf visual\text{-}paragraph}$

auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-paragraph

oral-answer

Scoring - Criterion

Grade Equivalents

3. Silent Reading-Graded Paragraphs

Purpose Descriptors

silent-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

visual-paragraph auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring - Criterion

Grade Equivalents

4. Listening Comprehension-Graded Paragraphs

Purpose Descriptors

listening-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

auditory-paragraph auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring - Criterion

Grade Equivalents

5. Spelling Survey

Purpose Descriptors

spelling-general

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word

Mode of Response

write-word

Scoring - Criterion

Grade Equivalents

Reliability Information

1. Reliability information in manual? - No

Validity Information

1. Information regarding content validity in manual?

- [1] O.K. Buros editor, The Eighth Mental Measurement Yearbook(2 vol.), Highland Park, N.J., Gryphon Press, 1978, 1234-1235.
 - 1. M.S. Johnson: The detailed recording methods should reconstruct the exact way in which the child responded The distribution of factual, inferential, and vocabulary questions varies considerably with each reading selection. There are some inconsistencies between the illustrations and the text. The words in the title are not included in the reading scores but obviously will be read by the student. The CRI is adequate to provide information on a child's reading levels word recognition and comprehension abilities. It is a quick inventory and a valuable asset to any classroom program.
- [2] J.A. McLoughlin, and R.B. Lewis, Assessing Special Students: Strategies and Procedures, Columbus, Ohio, Merrill, 1981, 360-361.

The CRI is a useful tool for the assessment of word recognition and comprehension skills. The test cannot be used to determine below average reading performance since the test is not normed. It is appropriate for determining strengths and weaknesses in various types of word recognition and comprehension skills required in oral reading. It is an informal reading inventory.

[3] G.D. Spache, Diagnosing and Correcting Reading Disabilities (2nd ed.), Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1981, 202-203.

It is obvious that the standards for the reading levels were set by the author, and do not represent true pupil performances. Hence they may or may not be relevant to pupil classroom performances. No data is offered regarding standardization, validity or reliability. The author has simply created an abbreviated informal inventory with all the usual questionable assumptions about actual pupil reading performances.

[4] G. Wallace, and S.C. Lawson, Educational Assessment of Learning Problems: Testing for Teaching, Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1978, 326-327.

The author suggests that elementary teachers without prior individualized diagnostic experience can use this inventory. The test is for instructional not classification purposes.

[5] **B. Horodezky, and G. Labercane**, Criterion-Referenced Tests as Predictors of Reading Performance, *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1983, 43:2,657-662.

In this study 111 students were given the Ginn criterion referenced Reading Management Systems of Tests (GRMS), the SDRT and the CRI. The results showed that all tests were effective at the grade 1 level, but at grade 2 and 3 the GRMS were less effective. CRI was effective at all grades.

Canadian Test of Basic Skills-Multi Level Edition (CTBS-M)

Ethel M. King(Editor) Calgary, Alberta A.N. Hieronymus University of Iowa

E.F. Lindquist University of Iowa

H.D. Hoover University of Iowa

Publisher

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Nelson Canada Limited

1982 \$200

general achievement

group use

both norm and criterion referenced

easy easy

Scoring aids available

Ease of administration Ease of scoring

Global Scores

Grade Equivalent

Stanine Score Percentiles

Composite Scores

Total Language Score Total Work Study Score

Total Mathematics Score

Available levels

Level 9 - 14

Level 9 - 14

Range

Ages 8 - 14

Grades 3 - 8

Equivalent forms

Administration Time

Subtests

244 minutes

V:Vocabulary

R:Reading Comprehension L-1:Language Skills-Spelling

L-2:Language Skills-Capitalization L-3:Language Skills-Punctuation

L-4:Language Skills-Usage

W-1:Work Study Skills-Visual Material W-2:Work Study Skills-Reference Material

M-1:Mathematics-Concepts

M-2:Mathematics-Problem Solving M-3:Mathematics-Computations

1. V:Vocabulary

Purpose Descriptors

synonyms

context

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-sentence visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

2. R:Reading Comprehension

Purpose Descriptors

silent-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-picture visual-paragraph visual-question

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

3. L-1:Language Skills-Spelling

spelling-identify-correct

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-error

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

4. L-2:Language Skills-Capitalization

Purpose Descriptors

capitalization

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-sentence

Mode of Response

select-error

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

5. L-3:Language Skills-Punctuation

Purpose Descriptors

punctuation

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-sentence

Mode of Response

select-error

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

6. L-4:Language Skills-Usage

Purpose Descriptors

language-usage

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-sentence

Mode of Response

select-error

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

7. W-1:Work Study Skills-Visual Material

reference-skills

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-graphs visual-map visual-sentence visual-question visual-directions

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

8. W-2:Work Study Skills-Reference Material

Purpose Descriptors

reference-skills

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-table visual-picture visual-sentence visual-question

visual-dictionary-entry

visual-directions

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

9. M-1:Mathematics-Concepts

math-readiness

computation-whole-numbers

computation-fractions computation-decimals

geometry time money

measurement

higher-order-computations

Mode of Presentation

timed visual-graphs visual-figure

visual-picture visual-number

visual-computations visual-sentence visual-question

visual-several-numbers

Mode of Response

select-math-symbols

select-figure select-number select-computation

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

10. M-2:Mathematics-Problem Solving

Purpose Descriptors

problem-solving

money

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-table
visual-picture
visual-sentence
visual-paragraph
visual-question

visual-several-numbers

Mode of Response

 ${\bf select-number}$

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

11. M-3:Mathematics-Computations

computation-whole-numbers

computation-fractions computation-decimals

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-computations visual-several-numbers

Mode of Response

select-number

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

Norming Information

Norming date Sample size

1981

1973

Place normed

16

51

Canada

Canada

Sample Range

Ages 8 - 14

Grades 3 - 8

Grades 3 - 8

Sample similar to national population

Yes

Norming date

1966

Sample size Place normed

Not Available

Canada

Sample Range Sample similar to national population Grades 3 - 8

Yes

Norming info in manual?

No

Reliability Information

1. Split-half reliability: 0.97 - 0.98

2. Reliability information in manual? - No Name of Publication CTBS Technical Manual Date of Publication:

Validity Information

Information regarding content validity in manual?
 Yes

Reviews

- [1] O.K. Buros editor, The Seventh Mental Measurement Yearbook(2 vol.), Highland Park, N.J., Gryphon Press, 1972, 15-16.
 - 1. L.B. Birch: The teachers must accept, on the reputation of the test designers, many of the bases upon which the conclusions are made. The CTBS has such a long line of respected antecedents that its status need never be in doubt. The CTBS is based on the Iowa Test of Basic Skills.

Canadian Test of Basic Skills-Primary Battery (CTBS-P)

Ethel M. King University of Calgary

A.N. Hieronymus University of Iowa

E.F. Linguist

University of Iowa

H.D. Hoover University of Iowa

Publisher Edition

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

Composite Scores

Nelson Canada Limited

1982 \$155

general achievement

group use

both norm and criterion referenced

easy easy

Scoring aids available

Grade Equivalent Stanine Score Percentiles

Total Reading Scores Total Language Score Total Work Study Score

Total Mathematics Score

Available levels Level 5

Level 6 Level 7 Level 8

Level 5

Range

Ages 5.0 - 6.5 Grades K.2 - 1.5

only one form

Equivalent forms Administration Time

115 minutes

Subtests

Li:Listening V:Vocabulary

WA:Word Analysis

L:Language M:Mathematics

1. Li:Listening

Purpose Descriptors

listening-comprehension

processing-auditory-directions

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

auditory-paragraph

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

2. V:Vocabulary

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture auditory-word auditory-sentence

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

3. WA:Word Analysis

identify-letter

letter-sounds-general

identifying-initial-phonemes identifying-final-phoneme

rhyming-words

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

visual-several-letters visual-several-words auditory-letter-name auditory-letter-sound

auditory-word

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

select-letter select-picture select-word

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

4. L:Language

Purpose Descriptors

general-knowledge language-usage word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture auditory-word

auditory-several-words auditory-question

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

5. M:Mathematics

math-readiness

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

visual-several-numbers auditory-question auditory-directions

Mode of Response

select-picture select-number

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

Level 6

Range

Ages 5.5 - 7.0

Grades K.8 - 1.9

Equivalent forms Administration Time Subtests only one form 160 minutes Li:Listening V:Vocabulary

WA:Word Analysis
R-1:Reading-Words
R-2:Reading-Pictures
R-3:Reading Sentences
R-4:Reading-Word Attack
R-5:Reading-Picture-Stories

L:Language M:Mathematics

1. Li:Listening

Purpose Descriptors

listening-comprehension

processing-auditory-directions

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

auditory-paragraph auditory-directions

 ${\bf repeated-auditory-instructions}$

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

2. V:Vocabulary

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture auditory-word auditory-sentence

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

3. WA:Word Analysis

Purpose Descriptors

identify-letter

letter-sounds-general

identifying-initial-phonemes identifying-final-phoneme

rhyming-words

substitution-initial-consonants blending-word-parts->words

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture visual-several-letters visual-phoneme

visual-word-parts auditory-letter-name

auditory-word

auditory-several-words

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

select-picture select-letter select-phoneme

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

4. R-1:Reading-Words

identify-word

Mode of Presentation

visual-several-words

auditory-word auditory-sentence

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

5. R-2:Reading-Pictures

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

6. R-3:Reading Sentences

Purpose Descriptors

context

Mode of Presentation

visual-several-words

visual-sentence

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

$7. \ \ \, \text{R-4:Reading-Word Attack}$

identifying-initial-phonemes

Mode of Presentation

visual-sentence visual-paragraph visual-picture

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

8. R-5:Reading-Picture-Stories

Purpose Descriptors

picture-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture visual-question

Mode of Response

select-true-false

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

9. L:Language

Purpose Descriptors

general-knowledge language-usage word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture auditory-word

auditory-several-words auditory-question

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

10. M:Mathematics

math-readiness

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

visual-several-numbers auditory-question auditory-directions

Mode of Response

select-picture select-number

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

Level 7

Range

Ages 6.0 - 7.5

Equivalent forms Administration Time Grades 1.7 - 2.6 only one form

Subtests

235 minutes
Li:Listening

V:Vocabulary

WA:Word Analysis R-1:Reading-Pictures R-2:Reading-Sentences R-3:Reading-Stories

L-1:Language Skills-Spelling

L-2:Language Skills-Capitalization L-3:Language Skills-Punctuation

L-4:Language Skills-Usage

W-1:Work Study Skills-Visual Material W-2:Work Study Skills-Reference Material

M-1:Mathematics-Concepts
M-2:Mathematics-Problems
M-3:Mathematics-Computations

1. Li:Listening

listening-comprehension

processing-auditory-directions

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

auditory-paragraph auditory-question auditory-directions

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

2. V:Vocabulary

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings

context

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

visual-sentence visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

3. WA:Word Analysis

identifying-initial-phonemes

identifying-consonant identifying-vowel

identifying-final-phoneme

identifying-words-with-silent-letters

substitution-initial-consonants

substitution-letters identifying-long-vowel identifying-short-vowel

suffixes

forming-compounds

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture visual-several-words visual-word-parts auditory-letter-name

auditory-word

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

select-picture

select-word

select-nonsense-word select-word-parts

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

4. R-1:Reading-Pictures

Purpose Descriptors

picture-comprehension

context

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

visual-sentence

visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-word

select-true-false

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

5. R-2:Reading-Sentences

silent-comprehension general-knowledge

Mode of Presentation

visual-question

Mode of Response

select-true-false

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

6. R-3:Reading-Stories

Purpose Descriptors

silent-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

visual-paragraph visual-question

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

7. L-1:Language Skills-Spelling

Purpose Descriptors

spelling-identify-correct

Mode of Presentation

visual-several-words auditory-sentence auditory-several-words

Mode of Response

select-error

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

8. L-2:Language Skills-Capitalization

Purpose Descriptors

capitalization

Mode of Presentation

visual-paragraph

Mode of Response

select-error

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

9. L-3:Language Skills-Punctuation

punctuation

Mode of Presentation

visual-punctuation-mark

visual-paragraph

Mode of Response

select-error

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

10. L-4:Language Skills-Usage

Purpose Descriptors

language-usage

sentence-structure

Mode of Presentation

visual-several-sentences

auditory-several-sentences

Mode of Response

select-sentence

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

11. W-1:Work Study Skills-Visual Material

Purpose Descriptors

reference-skills

Mode of Presentation

visual-graphs visual-map visual-picture visual-question auditory-question

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

12. W-2:Work Study Skills-Reference Material

reference-skills visual-association

Mode of Presentation

visual-table visual-picture

visual-dictionary-entry

visual-question auditory-question

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

13. M-1:Mathematics-Concepts

Purpose Descriptors

math-general

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture visual-several-numbers visual-computations visual-several-words auditory-sentence auditory-question auditory-directions

additory-

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

14. M-2:Mathematics-Problems

Purpose Descriptors

problem-solving

Mode of Presentation

visual-several-numbers auditory-sentence

auditory-question

Mode of Response

select-number

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

15. M-3:Mathematics-Computations

computation-whole-numbers

Mode of Presentation

visual-several-numbers auditory-computations visual-computations

Mode of Response

select-number

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

Level 8

Range

Ages 7.0 - 8.0

Grades 2.7 - 3.5

Equivalent forms Administration Time Subtests only one form 235 minutes Li:Listening

V:Vocabulary

WA:Word Analysis R-1:Reading-Pictures R-2:Reading-Sentences R-3:Reading-Stories

L-1:Language Skills-Spelling

L-2:Language Skills-Capitalization L-3:Language Skills-Punctuation

L-4:Language Skills-Usage

W-1:Work Study Skills-Visual Materials W-2:Work Study Skills-Reference Material

M-1:Mathematics-Concepts
M-2:Mathematics-Problems
M-3:Mathematics-Computations

1. Li:Listening

listening-comprehension

processing-auditory-directions

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

auditory-paragraph auditory-question auditory-directions

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

2. V:Vocabulary

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings

context

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture visual-sentence

visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

3. WA:Word Analysis

identifying-initial-phonemes

identifying-consonant identifying-vowel

identifying-final-phoneme

identifying-words-with-silent-letters

substitution-initial-consonants

substitution-letters identifying-long-vowel identifying-short-vowel

suffixes

forming-compounds

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture visual-several-words visual-word-parts

auditory-letter-name

auditory-word

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

select-picture

select-word

select-nonsense-word select-word-parts

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

4. R-1:Reading-Pictures

Purpose Descriptors

picture-comprehension

context

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture visual-sentence

visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

5. R-2:Reading-Sentences

silent-comprehension general-knowledge

Mode of Presentation

visual-question

Mode of Response

select-true-false

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

6. R-3:Reading-Stories

Purpose Descriptors

silent-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

visual-paragraph visual-question

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

7. L-1:Language Skills-Spelling

Purpose Descriptors

spelling-identify-correct

Mode of Presentation

visual-several-words auditory-sentence auditory-several-words

Mode of Response

select-error

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

8. L-2:Language Skills-Capitalization

Purpose Descriptors

capitalization

Mode of Presentation

visual-paragraph

Mode of Response

select-error

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

9. L-3:Language Skills-Punctuation

punctuation

Mode of Presentation

visual-punctuation-mark

visual-paragraph

Mode of Response

select-error

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

10. L-4:Language Skills-Usage

Purpose Descriptors

 ${\bf language\text{-}usage}$

sentence-structure

Mode of Presentation

visual-several-sentences

auditory-several-sentences

Mode of Response

select-sentence

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

11. W-1:Work Study Skills-Visual Materials

Purpose Descriptors

reference-skills

Mode of Presentation

visual-graphs
visual-map
visual-picture
visual-question
auditory-question

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

12. W-2: Work Study Skills-Reference Material

reference-skills visual-association

Mode of Presentation

visual-table visual-picture

visual-dictionary-entry

visual-question auditory-question

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

13. M-1:Mathematics-Concepts

Purpose Descriptors

math-general

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

visual-several-numbers visual-computations visual-several-words auditory-sentence auditory-question auditory-directions

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

14. M-2:Mathematics-Problems

Purpose Descriptors

problem-solving

Mode of Presentation

visual-several-numbers

auditory-sentence auditory-question

Mode of Response

select-number

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

15. M-3:Mathematics-Computations

computation-whole-numbers

Mode of Presentation

visual-several-numbers auditory-computations visual-computations

Mode of Response

select-number

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

Norming Information

Norming date

Sample size Place normed 1980

12

Canada

Canada

Sample Range

Ages 5.0 - 8.0

1973

5

Grades 1 - 2

Grades K - 3

Sample similar to national population

Yes

Norming date Sample size Place normed

1966 9.906 Canada

Sample Range Sample similar to national population Grades K - 4

Yes

Norming info in manual?

No

Reliability Information

1. Split-half reliability: 0.75 - 0.92

2. Reliability information in manual? - No Name of Publication CTBS Technical Manual Date of Publication:

Validity Information

Information regarding content validity in manual?
 Yes

Reviews

[1] G. Ralph, and P. Park, Special Educational Material and Resources, Ontaio, Canada, Ontario Ministry of Education, 1982, 1104.

The CTBS-P is relevant because of frequent revisions. The manuals are good. The test is easily hand scored. The norming population is clearly defined. Some tests are quite long, especially for the lower grades.

Decoding Skills Test (Decoding)

Ellis Richardson Long Island Research Institute Barbara DiBenedetto Long Island Research Institute

Arlene Adler SUNY

Publisher

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

1980

Not Available

reading

individual use

criterion-referenced

easy easy

Grade Equivalents

Available levels

There is only one level.

Range

Equivalent forms

Administration Time

Subtests

Grades 1 - 5

only one form

15 - 60 minutes

Basal Word Recognition

Phonics Decoding Oral Reading

1. Basal Word Recognition

reading-words sight-words

-8--

Mode of Presentation

 \mathbf{timed}

visual-word

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring - Criterion

Grade Equivalents

2. Phonics Decoding

Purpose Descriptors

reading-words

reading-nonsense-words reading-words-one-syllable reading-words-multi-syllable reading-words-single-consonant

reading-words-consonant-combination

reading-words-single-vowel

reading-words-vowel-combinations

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-word

visual-nonsense-word

Mode of Response

oral-word

oral-nonsense-words

Scoring - Criterion

Grade Equivalents

3. Oral Reading

Purpose Descriptors

oral-reading-paragraphs

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-paragraph

Mode of Response

oral-paragraph

Scoring - Criterion

Grade Equivalents

Reliability Information

- 1. Split-half reliability: 0.95 0.99
- 2. Reliability information in manual? Unknown

Validity Information

- 1. Criterion/predictive validity
 - a. ITBS
- i. Year: 1978
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.61 0.86 iii. Information in manual? - Unknown
- 2. Concurrence validity
 - a. GORT
- i. Year: 1978
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.44 0.78
- iii. Information in manual? Unknown
- b. NYC
- i. Year: 1978
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.66 0.76
- iii. Information in manual? Unknown
- 3. Information regarding content validity in manual?
 - Unknown

Reviews

E. Richardson, B. DiBenedetto, and A. Adler, Use of Decoding Skills Test To Study Differences Between Good and Poor Readers, Advances in Learning and Behavioral Disabilities, 1982, 1, 25-74.

The authors' review of the literature concerning sound-blending skills, which at least in part must be dependent on phonic processing, shows that this skill bears a moderate to strong correlation with reading achievement. The studies on good and poor readers show that children who are experiencing severe difficulty in reading display an inordinate lack of facility with the phonetic code. There is a short form of the Decoding test with only the first two subtests, which can be given in 5-10 minutes. The short form can be used as a screening device or a measure of reading achievement for program evaluation or for reader group definitions.

Durrell Listening Reading Series (DLRS)

Donald D. Durrell Boston University Mary B. Bassard

Mary T. Hayes

Publisher

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

Composite Scores

Available levels

Primary

Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Inc.

1970 \$30 reading

group use

norm-referenced requires some training

requires extensive training and practice

Age Equivalent Grade Equivalent Stanine Score Percentiles

Listening Score Reading Score

Primary

Intermediate

Advanced

Range

Equivalent forms Administration Time

Subtests

Grades 1.0 - 3.5

 $\mathbf{2}$

140 - 180 minutes Vocabulary Listening

Vocabulary Reading Sentence Listening Sentence Reading

1. Vocabulary Listening

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings

listening-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word

visual-several-words

visual-picture

Mode of Response

select-category

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

2. Vocabulary Reading

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings

silent-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

visual-word

visual-several-words

visual-picture

Mode of Response

select-category

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

3. Sentence Listening

Purpose Descriptors

listening-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

auditory-sentence

visual-several-words

visual-picture

Mode of Response

select-category

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

4. Sentence Reading

silent-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

visual-sentence

visual-several-words

visual-picture

Mode of Response

select-category

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

Intermediate

Range

Grades 3.5 - 6.0

Equivalent forms

2

Administration Time

170 - 195 minutes

Subtests

Vocabulary Listening Vocabulary Reading

Paragraph Listening Paragraph Reading

1. Vocabulary Listening

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings

listening-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word

visual-several-words

visual-picture

Mode of Response

select-category

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

2. Vocabulary Reading

word-meanings

silent-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

visual-word

visual-several-words

visual-picture

Mode of Response

select-category

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

3. Paragraph Listening

Purpose Descriptors

listening-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

auditory-paragraph auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

select-true-false

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

4. Paragraph Reading

Purpose Descriptors

silent-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

visual-paragraph visual-sentence

Mode of Response

select-true-false

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

Advanced

Range

Equivalent forms

Administration Time

Subtests

Grades 7 - 9

2

160 - 190 minutes

Vocabulary Listening Vocabulary Reading Paragraph Listening Paragraph Reading

1. Vocabulary Listening

word-meanings

listening-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-category

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

2. Vocabulary Reading

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings silent-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

visual-word

visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-category

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

3. Paragraph Listening

Purpose Descriptors

listening-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

auditory-paragraph auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

select-true-false

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

4. Paragraph Reading

Purpose Descriptors

silent-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

visual-paragraph visual-sentence

Mode of Response

select-true-false

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

Norming Information

Norming date 1969 Sample size 22 Place normed USA

Sample Range Not Available

Sample similar to

national population Yes

Norming info in manual? Unknown

Reliability Information

1. Split-half reliability: 0.80

2. Kuder-Richardson reliability: 0.80

3. Reliability information in manual? - Unknown

Validity Information

- 1. Concurrence validity
 - a. MAT-reading

i. Year: 1969

ii. Range of correlations: 0.15 - 0.85

iii. Information in manual? - Unknown

b. ITBS-reading

i. Year: 1969

ii. Range of correlations: 0.15 - 0.85

iii. Information in manual? - Unknown

2. Information regarding content validity in manual?

- Unknown

- [1] O.K. Buros editor, The Seventh Mental Measurement Yearbook (2 vol.), Highland Park, N.J., Gryphon Press, 1972, 1132-1136.
 - 1. J.R. Bormuth: This test represents unusually sophisticated work in the selection of test content, it is a model for other test makers. The total test may not be valid but each subtest is useful in its own right. Content validity is outstanding.
 - 2. G.D. Spache: The DLRS is the best of all the Durrell tests. Standarization is broader; equating of the parallel tests at each level and item analysis to improve discrimination between grade levels were more carefully done; adequate reliability data and standard error of measurement are now offered; and the reliabilities of the tests are probably now sufficient for the direct comparisons recommended. Extended use of the series might eventually serve to clarify the expectations of parallel listening and reading performances in normal and atypical or minority populations. As it is now, we have no real evidence to justify the basic assumptions made by the authors in preparing the series.
- [2] C. Compton, A Guide to 65 Tests for Special Education, Belmont, California, Fearon Education: a division of Pitman Learning Inc., 1980, 52-55.

The strength of the DLRS lies in the parallel subtests which allow a direct comparison of listening and reading comprehension. The manual is clearly written. The content was carefully selected to reflect the language used in classroom instruction. The assumption that listening comprehension is the best single predictor of reading potential is open to question. The Potential Reading Grade Equivalent score is the score students would obtain if they could read as well as they listen. The concurrent validity is weak. Some of the categories are hard to illustrate and understand.

[3] **T.A.** Wood, The Useability of the Adopted DLRS with Students in Intermediate Grades(Visually Handicapped Students), Yearbook of Special Education, 1980-81,6,232-236.

The DLRS was adopted in 1977 into large print and Braille editions. The study was done in May 77 on 71 subjects in grades 4, 5 and 6. The criterion validity results were as follows: SAT-Reading Comprehension with DLRS-Listening 0.69 and DLRS-Reading 0.89. Split-half reliabilities for Listening 0.91, Reading 0.93 and for the total test 0.96. Therefore, the internal consistency is high, and the DLRS seems reliable for visually-handicapped students. The sample was very small, and generalizations should not be applied too liberally.

Diagnostic Reading Scales (DRS)

George D. Spache New York University

 ${\bf Publisher}$

Edition

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

Available levels

CTB/McGraw Hill Division

3

1981

\$100

reading

individual use

norm-referenced

requires some training

requires some training

Scoring aids available

No Scores

There is only one level.

Range

Equivalent forms

Administration Time

Subtests

Grades 1.4 - 7.5

2

60 minutes

Word Recognition

Oral Reading

Silent Comprehension Listening Comprehension

Word Analysis-Initial Consonant Word Analysis-Final Consonant Word Analysis-Consonant Digraphs Word Analysis-Consonant Blends

Word Analysis-Initial Consonant Substitutiion

Word Analysis-Initial Consonant Sounds Word Analysis-Auditory Discrimination

Word Analysis-Short and Long Vowel Sounds

Word Analysis-Vowels with R

Word Analysis-Vowel Diphongs and Digraphs

Word Analysis-Common Syllables and Phonograms

Word Analysis-Blending

1. Word Recognition

Purpose Descriptors

reading-words

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent

2. Oral Reading

Purpose Descriptors

oral-reading-paragraphs

oral-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-paragraph auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-paragraph oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent

3. Silent Comprehension

silent-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-paragraph auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent

4. Listening Comprehension

Purpose Descriptors

listening-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

auditory-paragraph auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent

5. Word Analysis-Initial Consonant

Purpose Descriptors

reading-words-initial-consonant

reading-words-initial-consonant-combins

reading-words-one-syllable reading-nonsense-words

Mode of Presentation

visual-nonsense-word

Mode of Response

oral-nonsense-words

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

6. Word Analysis-Final Consonant

Purpose Descriptors reading-words-initial-consonant

reading-words-final-consonant reading-words-one-syllable reading-nonsense-words

Mode of Presentation

visual-nonsense-word

Mode of Response

oral-nonsense-words

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

7. Word Analysis-Consonant Digraphs

Purpose Descriptors

reading-nonsense-words

reading-words-consonant-combination

Mode of Presentation

visual-nonsense-word

Mode of Response

oral-nonsense-words

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

8. Word Analysis-Consonant Blends

Purpose Descriptors

reading-nonsense-words

reading-words-consonant-combination

Mode of Presentation

visual-nonsense-word

Mode of Response

oral-nonsense-words

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

9. Word Analysis-Initial Consonant Substitution

Purpose Descriptors

substitution-initial-consonants

Mode of Presentation

visual-letter

visual-word

Mode of Response

oral-word

oral-word-parts

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

10. Word Analysis-Initial Consonant Sounds

identifying-initial-consonant

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word

Mode of Response

oral-letter-name

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

11. Word Analysis-Auditory Discrimination

Purpose Descriptors

auditory-discrimination

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word-pairs

Mode of Response

orally-select-true-false

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

12. Word Analysis-Short and Long Vowel Sounds

Purpose Descriptors

reading-words-single-vowel

reading-words-vowel-combinations

identifying-short-vowel

Mode of Presentation

visual-word-pairs

Mode of Response

oral-word-pairs

select-word

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

13. Word Analysis-Vowels with R

Purpose Descriptors

reading-nonsense-words

reading-words-vowel-with-R

Mode of Presentation

visual-nonsense-word

Mode of Response

oral-nonsense-words

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

14. Word Analysis-Vowel Diphongs and Digraphs

reading-nonsense-words

reading-words-vowel-combinations

Mode of Presentation

visual-nonsense-word

Mode of Response

oral-nonsense-words

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

15. Word Analysis-Common Syllables and Phonograms

Purpose Descriptors

reading-phoneme

Mode of Presentation

visual-phoneme

Mode of Response

oral-phoneme

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

16. Word Analysis-Blending

Purpose Descriptors

blending-word-parts->nonsense-words

Mode of Presentation

visual-word-parts

Mode of Response

oral-nonsense-words

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

Norming Information

national population

Norming date	1980	1971
Sample size	534	189
Place normed	USA	
	USA	

Sample Range	Not Available
Sample similar to	

Unknown

Norming date	1963
Sample size	2081
Place normed	USA

Sample Range	Not Available
α ι ι ι ι	

Sample similar to	
national population	Unknown

Norming info in manual? Yes

Reliability Information

- 1. Test-retest reliability: 0.84 0.88
- 2. Equivalent forms reliability: 0.98 0.99
- 3. Kuder-Richardson reliability: 0.87 0.91
- 4. Reliability information in manual? No Name of Publication Diagnostic Reading Scales Technical Bulletin Date of Publication:

Validity Information

- 1. Criterion/predictive validity
 - a. WISC
- i. Year: 1981
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.80
- iii. Information in manual? No
- 2. Concurrence validity
 - a. Durrell
- i. Year: 1966
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.92 0.93
- iii. Information in manual? No
- b. Gates-McKillop
 - i. Year: 1966
 - ii. Range of correlations: 0.9 0.92
 - iii. Information in manual? No
- c. Gray
- i. Year: 1971
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.82
- iii. Information in manual? No
- d. Cal-reading
- i. Year: 1957
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.63 0.92
- iii. Information in manual? No
- e. Botel
- i. Year: 1966
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.73
- iii. Information in manual? No
- f. SRI
- i. Year: 1966
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.88
- iii. Information in manual? No
- 3. Information regarding content validity in manual?

- No

Reviews

- [1] O.K. Buros editor, The Eighth Mental Measurement Yearbook(2 vol.), Highland Park, N.J., Gryphon Press, 1978, 1240-1244.
 - 1. N.L. Roser: 1972 ed.: Because of the difficulty in translating the obtained Instructional Levels into an instructional placement in widely divergent reading materials, an informal inventory using classroom material is recommended. The diagnostic checklists lack specificity and thus provide little insructional payoff for the time spent completing them.
 - 2. R.L. Schreiner: Test results must provide instructionally useful information to users. The grade levels obtained tend to overestimate students performance. The manual is difficult to follow the sentences and directions are too complex.
 - 3. J. Stafford: The Independence Levels are customarily lower than the Instructional Level. In the DRS the Independence Levels are usually higher than the Instructional Levels. The DRS appears to offer considerable potential in diagnosing a wide variety of reading skills and needs.
- [2] G.O. Bunch, and G. Robertson, Selected Psychoeducational Tests, A Layman's Handbook, Orillia, Ontario, Ptarmigan Publishers, nil, 21-22.
 - 1972 ed.: The lack of information on the standarization sample suggests caution in interpreting results, so does the lack of validity.
- [3] C. Compton, A Guide to 65 Tests for Special Education, Belmont, California, Fearon Education: a division of Pitman Learning Inc., 1980, 36-38.
 - 1972 ed.: The DRS is fairly easy to administer and takes relatively little time. The grade scores are made more useful by the informal information about a student's reading skills that can be obtained. The DRS adequately assesses reading skills and difficulties. It appears more valid through the midelementary level, although it extends to students with reading difficulties at higher grade levels. The administrator must have considerable clinical experience. The silent reading rate does not appear to be useful.
- [4] E.E. Ekwall, and J.L. Shanker, Diagnosis and Remediation of the Disabled Reader(2nd edition), Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1983, 196-197.
 - 1972 ed.: The DRS uses the terms Instructional and Independence Levels differently than is customary. Most test reviewers find the DRS inflates students grade scores relative to other tests and basil reader placements. It is an

effective instrument for observing and evaluating individual student's comprehension strengths and weaknesses.

[5] J.A. McLoughlin, and R.B. Lewis, Assessing Special Students: Strategies and Procedures, Columbus, Ohio, Merrill, 1981, 352-354.

The DRS is useful in determining a student's strengths and weaknesses.

[6] J. Salvia, and J.E. Ysseldyke, Assessment in Special and Remedial Education (2nd ed.), Boston, Mass., Houghton Mifflin, 1981, 211-213.

The DRS is useful for screening purposes.

[7] G.D. Spache, Diagnosing and Correcting Reading Disabilities (2nd ed.), Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1981, 203-214.

There is a Technical Bulletin published in 1973 and 1982 in which content validity is covered. Standards for reading levels on the DRS are based on actual error frequencies, this is different from the arbitrary and unrealistic standards set by most tests.

[8] W. Eller, and M. Attea, Three Diagnostic Reading Tests: Some Comparisons, Vistas in Reading, 1966, II: I,562-566.

The concurrent correlations with the DRS are as follows: Gates-McKillop Oral Reading 0.9 and Word Analysis 0.92; Durrell Oral Reading 0.91 and Word Analysis 0.96. The predictive validity with ITBS is 0.82.

Developmental Sentence Scoring (DSS)

Laura Lee Northwestern University

Publisher Edition

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

Northwestern University Press

3

1974

Not Available

language

individual use norm-referenced

easy

requires some training

Scoring aids available

Percentiles

Available levels

There is only one level.

Range Equivalent forms Administration Time

Subtests

Ages 2 - 7 only one form 30 - 60 minutes Verbal Expression

1. Verbal Expression

 ${\bf verbal\text{-}expression}$

language-usage productivity

Mode of Presentation

object

visual-picture

auditory-conversation

Mode of Response

taped-recorded-responses

oral-conversation oral-sentence

Scoring- Normed

Percentiles

Raw Scores Only

Norming Information

Norming date

1971

Sample size

200

Place normed

USA

Sample Range

Ages 2.0 - 6

Sample similar to national population

No

Norming info in manual?

Yes

Reliability Information

- 1. Split-half reliability: 0.73
- 2. Reliability information in manual? Yes

Validity Information

- 1. Concurrence validity
 - a. CELI-D
- i. Year: dk
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.79
- iii. Information in manual? No
- 2. Information regarding content validity in manual?Yes

[1] G.O. Bunch, and G. Robertson, Selected Psychoeducational Tests, A Layman's Handbook, Orillia, Ontario, Ptarmigan Publishers, 1982, 5-6.

When the DSS and DST are used together the procedure is called Developmental Sentence Analysis. This provides the clinician with a fairly indepth analysis of the child's syntactic abilities. The test is suited for clinical situations, as it will pinpoint the child's strengths and weaknesses in syntax and may help develop a suitable language intervention program. It is too long to be used as a screening test, the CELI and NSST are recommended instead.

[2] C. Compton, A Guide to 65 Tests for Special Education, Belmont, California, Fearon Education: a division of Pitman Learning Inc., 1980, 169-172.

The strength of the DSS is that it is an inexpensive language assessment procedure. The author's book provides all the information necessary for administration and interpretation. A significant amount of diagnostic information can be obtained by examining the scatter of scores on the DSS charts. The limiting factors are the time involved, and the chance of error when transcribing and scoring the language sample. The norming sample is small so caution should be exercised when using the percentile ranks offered. The end product is a score instead of a descriptive, composite picture of the student's linguistic performance.

[3] G. Wallace, and S.C. Larson, Educational Assessment of Learning Problems: Testing for Teaching, Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1978, 151-161.

The DSS is perhaps the most comprehensive assessment device of language structure yet developed. It possesses the qualities of a standardized test, but it is also a powerful diagnostic tool. It is time consuming and requires an understanding of the basic psycholinquistic theory. The DSA would appear to be useful only for those children who are in the transition stage between presentence and complete sentence. The DSS is appropriate for a normally developing child, under the age of seven, who speaks in subject-predicate sentences 50% of the time.

[4] E.H. Wiig, and E.M. Semel, Language Assessment and Intervention, Columbus, Ohio, Charles E. Merrill Publishing Company, 1980, 102-104.

The assets of the DSS is that it provides a natural measure of syntactic structure in expressive language. The results can be used to formulate immediate prescriptive teaching goals, or to apply to the Interactive Language Development Teaching as a procedure for intervention (Lee, Koenigsknecht, and Mulhern 1975). The standardization and test-retest reliability suggest that the normative data be applied with caution. The test is time consuming and there are problems with variations in transcriping and sampling procedures.

- [5] L.L. Lee, Developmental Sentence Analysis: A Grammatical Assessment Procedure for Speech and Language Clinicians, Evanston, Illinois, Northwestern University Press, 1974, 132-133.
 - The 1971 norming of 160 children ages 3.0-6.11 did not include statistical data but merely reported percentile of DSS scores. Later 40 children ages 2.0-2.11 were tested, and the data from all 200 children was subjected to statistical analysis. The order of the grammatical structure was changed according to this study, and reweighted norms were found.
- [6] L. Lee, and S.M. Canter, Developmental Sentence Scoring: A Clinical Procedure for Estimating Syntatic Developmentin Children's Spontaneous Speech, Journal of Speech and Hearing Disorders, 1971, 36:31, 315 340.

This is a report on the original study done on 160 subjects ages 3.0-6.0. Whileindividual judgements were not in perfect agreement, the DSS technique seems to be reliable for use by speech clinicians. It is a time consuming snf painstaking procedure, and it provieds more information than the quicker screening test.

Developmental Sentence Types (DST)

Laura Lee Northwestern University

Publisher Edition

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

Northwestern University Press

 $\frac{2}{1974}$

Not Available language individual use norm-referenced

easy

requires some training Scoring aids available

No Scores

Available levels

There is only one level.

Range Equivalent forms Administration Time Subtests Ages 2.0 - 2.11 only one form 30 - 60 minutes Verbal Expression

1. Verbal Expression

descriptive-expression

language-usage productivity

Mode of Presentation

object

visual-picture

auditory-conversation

Mode of Response

taped-recorded-responses

oral-conversation

oral-phrases

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

Norming Information

Norming date Sample size Place normed Sample Range Sample similar to national population Not Available Not Available Not Available Ages 2.0 - 2.11

Unknown

Norming info in manual?

Yes

Reliability Information

1. Reliability information in manual? - No

Validity Information

Information regarding content validity in manual?
 Yes

The WRMT provides the examiner with an overall indication of the child's ability in each of the areas tested. Often a more detailed analysis is necessary.

[9] T. Mahan, and A. Mahan, Assessing Children with Special Needs, New York, New York, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1981, 122-125.

The WRMT represents a serious effort to conceptualize the components of the reading process. This is different from the WRAT-reading which is limited to one aspect of reading. It also attempts to sequence the items to assess these skills from their beginning of use to complete development. There are some problems with the WRMT. One is the limited definition of "comprehension". The second is the statistical sophistication with which the WRMT has been developed; it requires a technical background beyond that of the typical teacher.

[10] G. Powell, D. Moore, and B. Callaway, A Concurrent Validity Study of the Woodcock Word Comphrehension Test, Psychology in the Schools, 1981, 18:1, 24-27.

The Word Comprehension subtest of the WRMT is a verbal analogy test. This is questionable in a reading test because it requires reasoning and classification skills. The study included 194 students, ages 6-16, who were referred for intensive testing. It was done in 1978-79. The Word Comprehension subtest compares with a sight vocabulary test with correlations that range from 0.43 to 0.73; with the Passage Comprehension subtest of WRMT from 0.65 to 0.89; and with PPVT 0.15. The performance of the test is more a function of reading performance than a general verbal factor.

[11] J.L. Laffey, and D. Kelly, Test Review: Woodcock Reading Mastery Test, *The Reading Teacher*, 1979, 33:3, 335-339.

The manual says that a teacher's aid can do the testing, but only an educated observer would be prepared to note and record systematic problems a reader might have. The same person should give and analyze the test. The scoring is very time consuming. There are no performance objectives so no clear cut instructional objectives can be obtained. The boy, girl and SES adjusted norms are good. The standardization is poor; the community size was not related to the U.S. population. Validity and reliability are poor. The standard error of measurement is 3-4 mastery score units which results in a large differences in terms of the grade equivalent scores.

[12] F.M. Grossman, Caution in Interpreting WRAT Standard Scores as Criterion Measures of Achievement in Young Children, *Psychology in the Schools*, 1981,18:2,144-146.

The PIAT and the WRMT are psychometrically superior to the WRAT with regard to selection and representation of standardization samples and attempts to establish content validity. They also appear to reflect more accurately curriculum material used in lower grade classrooms. It is difficult to diagnose specific learning disabilities when you rely on WISC-R and WRAT

The WRMT is a valuable addition in individual oral reading tests. The concept of relative mastery provides a realistic statement of what we can expect of a student in reading tasks. The Word Comprehension and Passage Comprehension subtests should be read silently as that is how they were normed.

[4] E.E. Ekwall, and J.L. Shanker, Diagnosis and Remediation of the Disabled Reader(2nd edition), Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1983, 130-131.

The use of nonsense words presents a problem; some students simply cannot cope with nonsense words and will not read them. If they do not respond, there is no way of knowing which of several skills they are lacking. For this reason the only "precise measurement" that can be obtained is whether the student does or does not possess adequate word-attack skills. This test is not adequate for prescriptive teaching.

[5] J.A. McLoughlin, and R.B. Lewis, Assessing Special Students: Strategies and Procedures, Columbus, Ohio, Merrill, 1981, 345-352.

The WRMT has a great many scores, and may seem hard to score. It is not necessary to calculate all possible scores. It is recommended that percentile ranks be found, and to do that you must find several other scores. The standard error of measurement for subtests ranges from 0.7 to 2.9 raw score points; standard error for the total test ranges from 4.7 to 5.0.

[6] J. Salvia, and J.E. Ysseldyke, Assessment in Special and Remedial Education (2nd ed.), Boston, Mass., Houghton Mifflin, 1981, 213-219.

The test was adequately standardized and provides both traditional and mastery ratings. Reliability data is limited, and the reliability of specific subtests is below desirable standards. The author has gone to great lengths to demonstrate the validity of the tests. The WRMT can provide diagnostic data that may help a classroom teacher pinpoint skill-development strengths and weaknesses in order to plan remedial programs.

[7] G.D. Spache, Diagnosing and Correcting Reading Disabilities (2nd ed.), Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1981, 226-227.

The reliabilities for the WRMT are reported for only grade two and seven. For the other levels, reliabilities are offered on the prepublished version which is different. The author claims that the test is criterion as well as norm-referenced, but no use is made of item or raw scores as is customary for criterion-referenced tests. No data is offered on concurrent validity. There are SES scores, but the procedure of collecting data on eleven factors is time consuming and most teachers will not attempt it.

[8] G. Wallace, and S.C. Larson, Educational Assessment of Learning Problems: Testing for Teaching, Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1978, 316-317.

Reviews

- [1] O.K. Buros editor, The Eighth Mental Measurement Yearbook(2 vol.), Highland Park, N.J., Gryphon Press, 1978, 1303-1311.
 - 1. C.A. Dwyer: The WRMT is an interesting and ambitious effort, but it is seriously flawed. They make claims to innovations and technical quality that are not supported by data. Reliability is not exceptional. Validity is not good, but no worse than any other major reading test.
 - 2. J.J. Tuinman: The strong points of the WRMT are a wide variety of interpretative scores, a clear concise manual, test directions that are easy to understand, and no multiple choice. The weaknesses are the arbitary 90% set for proper mastery, and the claims to criterion-referenced interpretations which are ungrounded. It is a valuable tool in the hands of an experienced reading diagnostician but not recommended for general use.
 - 3. A. Bannatyne: An innovative feature is the SES adjusted norms. Although the test does not assess rate of reading or grammatic closure, it is still a valuable addition to the diagnostician's assessment battery.
 - 4. R.L. Allington: The WRMT is designed particularly for clinical and research use. The validity and reliability data is impressive and detailed. Another unique feature is the criterion-referenced Mastery Scale. The WRMT is an excellent individual reading achievement test.
 - 5. C. Houck and LA. Harris:
 - 6. B.B. Proger: The flexibility of the WRMT scores have made many problems in interpretation. It should be a useful addition to any battery of clinical reading instruments.
- [2] G.O. Bunch, and G. Robertson, Selected Psychoeducational Tests, A Layman's Handbook, Orillia, Ontario, Ptarmigan Publishers, 1982, 33-34.
 - The manual is well constructed. Directions for administration and scoring are clear and easy to follow. The test was designed as an diagnostic tool to assess reading skills, but falls short of an indepth measure of these skills. Reliability at higher grades is questionable, validity is acceptable.
- [3] C. Compton, A Guide to 65 Tests for Special Education, Belmont, California, Fearon Education: a division of Pitman Learning Inc., 1980, 46-48.

Reliability Information

- 1. Split-half reliability: 0.97 0.99
- Equivalent forms reliability: 0.83 0.97
 Reliability information in manual? Yes

Validity Information

1. Information regarding content validity in manual? - Yes

silent-comprehension

context

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture visual-sentence visual-paragraph

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

Norming Information

Norming date

1972

Sample size

5252

Place normed

USA

Sample Range

Grades K - 12

Sample similar to

national population

No

Norming info in manual?

Yes

letter-names-general

Mode of Presentation

visual-letter

Mode of Response

oral-letter-name

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

2. Word Identification Test

Purpose Descriptors

reading-words

Mode of Presentation

visual-word

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

3. Word Attack Test

Purpose Descriptors

reading-nonsense-words

Mode of Presentation

visual-nonsense-word

Mode of Response

oral-nonsense-words

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

4. Word Comprehension Test

Purpose Descriptors

language-analogies

Mode of Presentation

visual-several-words

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

5. Passage Comprehension Test

Woodcock Reading Mastery Tests (WRMT)

Richard W. Woodcock University of Minnesota

Publisher

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

American Guidance Services

1973

\$185

reading

individual use

both norm and criterion referenced

easy

easy

Scoring aids available

Standard Score

Mean: 50

Standard Deviation: 10

Age Equivalent Grade Equivalent Stanine Score Percentiles

Available levels

There is only one level.

Range

Equivalent forms

Administration Time

Subtests

Grades K - 12

20 - 45 minutes

Letter Identification Test

Word Identification Test

Word Attack Test

Word Comprehension Test Passage Comprehension Test

1. Letter Identification Test

The results of the study were as follows: significant differences observed between the 1976-78 grade equivalent scores on the reading and spelling subtests. There are higher grade equivalent scores on Level I and lower grade equivalent scores on Level II. Using grade equivalent scores for reevaluation or individual assessment when determining the existence of a learning disability is inappropriate.

[11] **D.W. Alford,M.W. Moore, and J.L. Simon**, A Preliminary Assessment of the Validity and Usefulness of the WRAT with Visually Handicapped Residental School Students, *Yearbook of Special Education*, 1980-81,6,226-231.

The study included 21 students. Correlations were found between test grade equivalence, teacher ratings, WRAT(1965) standard scores, and WISC-R Verbal IQ.

[12] **F.M. Grossman**, Caution in Interpreting WRAT Standard Scores as Criterion Measures of Achievement in Young Children, *Psychology in the Schools*, 1981,18:2,144-146.

The PIAT and the WRMT are psychometrically superior to the WRAT with regard to selection and representation of standardization samples and attempts to establish content validity. They also appear to reflect more accurately curriculum material used in lower grade classrooms. It is difficult to diagnose specific learning disabilities when you rely on WISC-R and WRAT alone.

[13] J.L. Tramill, J.K. Tramill, R. Thornthwaite, and F. Anderson, Investigation into the Relationship of the WRAT, PIAT, SORT, and WISC-R in Low Functioning Referrals, *Psychology in the Schools*, 1981, 18:2, 149-153.

This study included only the reading subtests, in the PIAT, only Reading Comprehension was used. The concurrentvalidities are as follows: WRAT-PIAT 0.75; SORT-PIAT 0.63; SORT-WRAT 0.26; PIAT-WISC-R 0.17-0.56; WRAT-WISC-R 0.15-0.57; SORT-WISC-R 0.13-0.44. SORT seems to measure a different dimension of reading achievement not covered by WRAT or PIAT. However, the discussed relationship could be due to the lack of standard scores.

levels of academic performance. Decisions can then be made regarding a student's strengths and weaknesses, and eligibility for special educational services.

[5] G.D. Spache, Diagnosing and Correcting Reading Disabilities (2nd ed.), Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1981, 214-217.

1965 ed.: Jastak claims that concurrent validity is best established by comparisons to unlike tests and internal consistency; this is a unique idea. The 1965 edition is a renormed version of the 1936 edition. The 1978 edition is also identical to the first one, except for normative data. We are asked to believe that a test requiring recognition of an average of six to nine words per grade level is a significant measure of overall reading ability.

[6] G. Wallace, and S.C. Larson, Educational Assessment of Learning Problems: Testing for Teaching, Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1978, 155.

1965 ed.: In 1970 Ferenden and Jacobson found that only the WRAT and Evanson Early Identification Scale (Landsman and Deltard 1967) were valid for predicting school failure.

[7] T. Mahan, and A. Mahan, Assessing Children With Special Needs, New York, New York, Holt, Rinehart, and Winston, 1981, 115-119.

The WRAT is a difficult instrument to evaluate he small standard error of measurement suggests quite stable scores. There is some confusion over whether the WRAT is a screening or a specific diagnostic test; Jastak insists that it is diagnostic.

[8] C.T. Ramey, F.A. Campbell, and B.H. Wasik, Use of Standarized Tests to Evaluate Early Childhood Special Education Programs, Topics of Early Childhood Special Education, 1982, 1:4,51-60.

The WRAT norms are based on a large but not stratified sample. Critized also for inadequate sampling of content. It estimates achievement level but does not identify problem areas.

[9] A.B. Silverstein, A Comparison of the 1976 and 1978 Norms for the WRAT, Psychology in the Schools, 1980,17:3,313-315.

The standard scores for both editions compare closely except Spelling II. The grade ratings are not at all comparable at the upper end of the distribution. When both versions are used in test-retest situations, use the 1978 norms, it is largely an expression of faith that the new norms are somewhat better than the old ones. The norms were based on the same data but the scaling techniques were changed; the manual is not specific about these changes.

[10] M.J. Breen, and D.P. Prasse, A Comparison of the 1976 and 1978 WRAT Test: Implications for the Learning Disabled, *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 1982, 15:1,15-16.

- [1] O.K. Buros editor, The Seventh Mental Measurement Yearbook(2 vol.), Highland Park, N.J., Gryphon Press, 1972, 65-68.
 - 1. J.C. Merwin: 1965 ed.: Careful identification of the material leads one to seriously question why these authors chose to label this an "achievement" test. There was no attempt to obtain a representative national sample for norming. The questionably high reliability coefficient of 0.981 makes them suspect, it is not possible to determine the extent to which the split-half reliabilities are affected by the sequential administration and scoring procedures used. The WRAT is a unique, individually administered test. While it possibly could be used as a clinical tool for the psychologist, for general school use it is impractical.
 - 2. R.L. Thorndike: All parts of the test are timed, this along with the fact that the reading test is stopped after a certain number of errors tends to inflate the split-half reliabilities, and cause one to discount the rather startling values reported. The most serious questions arise in terms of validity. This test may be useful to test students with such diverse abilities that it is hard to tell in advance what level of a test is appropriate.
- [2] C. Compton, A Guide to 65 Tests for Special Education, Belmont, California, Fearon Education: a division of Pitman Learning Inc., 1980, 19-21.
 - The WRAT must be viewed as an initial estimate of a student's basic academic skills not as a complete diagnostic instrument. It overestimates reading levels.
- [3] E.E. Ekwall, and J.L. Shanker, Diagnosis and Remediation of the Disabled Reader(2nd edition), Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1983, 97,207.
 - The WRAT is a source of a graded word list similar to Botel, DRS and SORT. In cases where there is no recent group acheivement test to serve as a guideline for beginning diagnostic procedures, it is a good procedure to start with a word pronunciation test such as the SDQA or the reading subtest of the WRAT.
- [4] J.A. McLoughlin, and R.B. Lewis, Assessing Special Students: Strategies and Procedures, Columbus, Ohio, Merrill, 1981, 205-210.
 - Administering the WRAT and calculating raw scores takes an alert tester. The tester must be thoroughly familiar with the test. There is no score for overall academic achievement. The standard error of measurement is less than 2 raw score points. WRAT results may be used to estimate present

- i. Year: 1975
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.69 0.91
- iii. Information in manual? No
- f. SWRT-Silent
 - i. Year: 1962
 - ii. Range of correlations: 0.74 0.78
 - iii. Information in manual? Yes
- 2. Concurrence validity
 - a. NSAT
- i. Year: 1962
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.80
- iii. Information in manual? Yes
- b. PIAT
- i. Year: 1975
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.83 0.95
- iii. Information in manual? No
- 3. Information regarding content validity in manual?No

Norming Information

Norming date Sample size 1975

Sample size Place normed 15 USA

Sample Range

Ages 5.0 - 64

Sample similar to

national population

No

Norming info in manual?

Yes

Reliability Information

1. Split-half reliability: 0.94 - 0.98

2. Reliability information in manual? - Yes

Validity Information

- 1. Criterion/predictive validity
 - a. WAIS
- i. Year: 1977
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.75 0.85
- iii. Information in manual? Yes
- b. WISC
- i. Year: 1977
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.82 0.84
- iii. Information in manual? Yes
- c. WRIPT
- i. Year: 1977
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.76 0.87
- iii. Information in manual? Yes
- d. CTMM
- i. Year: 1977
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.74 0.84
- iii. Information in manual? Yes
- e. GORT

math-general

Mode of Presentation

timed visual-question

Mode of Response

write-number

Scoring- Normed

Standard Score Grade Equivalent Stanine Score Percentiles

3. Reading

Purpose Descriptors

sight-words

Mode of Presentation

visual-word

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring- Normed

Standard Score Grade Equivalent Stanine Score Percentiles

letter-names-capitals-consonants

letter-names-capitals-vowels

sight-words

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-several-letters

visual-word

Mode of Response

select-letter oral-word

Scoring- Normed

Standard Score Grade Equivalent Stanine Score Percentiles

Level II

Range Equivalent forms Administration Time Subtests Ages 12 - 64 only one form 20 - 30 minutes Spelling Arithmetic

Reading

1. Spelling

Purpose Descriptors

spelling-general

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

write-word

Scoring- Normed

Standard Score Grade Equivalent Stanine Score Percentiles

2. Arithmetic

copying-designs spelling-general

Mode of Presentation

 $_{
m timed}$

visual-figure auditory-word auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

draws-figure write-word

Scoring- Normed

Standard Score Grade Equivalent Stanine Score Percentiles

2. Arithmetic

Purpose Descriptors

math-readiness math-general

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-number visual-question auditory-directions

Mode of Response

oral-answer write-number

Scoring- Normed

Standard Score
Grade Equivalent
Stanine Score
Percentiles

3. Reading

Wide Range Achievement Test (WRAT)

Joseph F. Jastak

Sarah Jastak

Publisher

Edition

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

Jastak Associates Incorporated

5

1978

\$52

general achievement

individual use norm-referenced

requires some training requires some training

Scoring aids available

No Scores

Available levels

Level I Level II

Level I

Range

Equivalent forms Administration Time

Subtests

Ages 5.0 - 11.11

only one form

20 - 30 minutes

Spelling

Arithmetic

Reading

1. Spelling

[7] P.J. Thomas, A Longitudinal Comparison of the WISC and WISC-R With Special Education Pupils, *Psychology in the Schools*, 1980, 17:4, 437-441.

The study included 276 mildly mentally handicapped children who were originally given the WISC. Three to four years later, 183 were given the WISC and 93 were given the WISC-R. It was concluded that the WISC-R may unfairly penalize special education children who are reevaluated using the WISC-R. Fluctuations in IQ scores due to the instrument of measurement must be recognized and appropriate action taken to insure that children are evaluated for special class placement on a comparable basis.

[3] C. Compton, A Guide to 65 Tests for Special Education, Belmont, California, Fearon Education: a division of Pitman Learning Inc., 1980, 228-237.

The WISC-R is a high quality general purpose intelligence test that compares favorably with other individual scales and will likely remain the most used measure of intelligence within the school system. It is a reliable and well-known instrument that usually provides scores correlating highly with school achievement. Caution must be used in interpreting WISC-R scores. The IQ indicates only a small sample of the student's performance at one moment in time. The WISC-R is not particularly sensitive at either end of the intelligence scale. Several of the subtests have limitations that the examiner should be aware of.

[4] **J.M.** Sattler, Assessment of Children's Intelligence, Philadephia, PA, W.B. Saunders Company, 1974, 151-206;511-549.

The standard error of measurement for the Full Scale IQ is 3.19, for Verbal IQ is 3.6 and for Performance IQ is 4.66. The subtests are easy to administer. The reliabilities are extremely high. Standardization is excellent and validity is adequate, though limited. When the supplementary tests are used there is no way of knowing what the scores mean as the norming was done entirely on the regular subtests. There are difficulties in interpreting the subtest scores and profiles.

[5] G. Ralph, and P. Park, Special Educational Materials and Resources Hand-book, Ontario, Canada, Ontario Ministry of Education, 1982, 2105.

The manual is clear and well organized. Reliability and standardization are good. The WISC-R is interesting to students. There is no reading required by the student. It is useful at both elementary and secondary level. The IQ tables are less precise at the upper and lower ends. There is little validity data available.

[6] R.L. Taylor, and J.K. Ivimey, Diagnostic Use of the WISC-R and McCarthy Scales: A Regression Analysis Approach to Learning Disabilities, *Psychology in the Schools*, 1980,17:3,327-330.

Regression analysis were conducted to determine the combination of scores from the WISC-R and McCarthy scales that best predicted the achievement levels of the subjects. In addition, the scores that best predicted group status as learning-disabled or non-learning-disabled were determined. The study included 30 LD and 30 non-LD students; they were given the WISC-R, McCarthy, and WRAT. The reults were as follows: 1) The WISC-R Comprehension, Arithmetic, and Object Assembly and the McCarthy Quantitative, and Memory Indexes were most sensitive to the LD student's achievement. 2) Conversely, the WISC-R Similarities and Arithmetic and the McCarthy Verbal Index were most sensitive to the achievement of the non-LD students. 3) Finally, the McCarthy Perceptual-Performance Index and the WISC-R Vocabulary subtest best discriminated group status.

- [1] O.K. Buros editor, The Eighth Mental Measurement Yearbook(2 vol.), Highland Park, N.J., Gryphon Press, 1978, 347-355.
 - 1. R.H. Whitworth: The standardization procedure of the WISC-R included a proportional representation of non-white children. Reliability coefficients are as high or higher than the WISC, and are given for all age groups. The studies available suggest that the WISC-R will yield lower scores than the WISC. The WISC-R has significantly minimized the major deficiencies of the WISC while retaining most of its virtures. There are major changes in content, a more active examiner's role and improved scoring criteria.
 - 2. C.K. Tittle: The WISC-R represents an improvement of a well-established test, that is widely used. Changes in the manual facilitate administrators and should reduce scoring difficulties. The test development in the manual does not adequately substantiate Wechsler's definition of intelligence as "the overall capacity of an individual to understand and cope with the world around him."
 - 3. J. Petrosko: The norming sample of the WISC-R is more representative; the tests have been refined. Some attempt has been made to deal with the issue of cultural bias. The WISC-R appears to be a good measure of the capacity to do those things that have traditionally enabled one to succeed in the white middle class world.
 - 4. A. Krichev: The WISC-R seems to be a real change for the better. The more accurate standardization sample, the up-dated norms, and the many item changes make the WISC-R an even more valuable tool than the WISC.
- [2] G.O. Bunch, and G. Robertson, Selected Psychoeducational Tests, A Layman's Handbook, Orillia, Ontario, Ptarmigan Publishers, 1982, 101-103.

The WISC-R is adequately standardized and the statistical properties are acceptable. With adequate training the subtests are easy to administer. Some of the items are unfamiliar to Canadian children. A limited IQ range (40-160) is covered. Other drawbacks are: the subjective scoring of some subtests; difficulty in diagnostic interpretation of subtest scores and profiles; possible verbal mediation in completing some performance subtests. As an intelligence test, the WISC-R is a valid and reliable instrument. However, for diagnostic purposes, furthur testing should be carried out to confirm any conclusions that are based on the profile of subtest scores.

Reliability Information

- 1. Split-half reliability: 0.7 0.85
- 2. Test-retest reliability: 0.94 0.95
- 3. Reliability information in manual? Yes

Validity Information

- 1. Concurrence validity
 - a. WPPSI
- i. Year: 1973
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.82
- iii. Information in manual? Yes
- b. WAIS
- i. Year: 1973
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.95
- iii. Information in manual? Yes
- c. S-B
- i. Year: 1972
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.63 0.82
- iii. Information in manual? Yes
- 2. Information regarding content validity in manual?No

solving-mazes

Mode of Presentation

visual-maze

Mode of Response

draws-figure

Scoring- Normed

Standard Score

Mean: 10

Standard Deviation: 3

Age Equivalent Percentiles

Norming Information

Norming date Sample size $1973 \\ 2200$

Place normed

USA

Sample Range

Ages 6.5 - 16.5

Sample similar to national population

Yes

Norming info in manual?

Yes

Purpose Descriptors social-judgment

Mode of Presentation auditory-question

Mode of Response oral-answer

Scoring- Normed Standard Score

Mean: 10

Standard Deviation: 3

Age Equivalent Percentiles

10. Coding

Purpose Descriptors memory-for-coding

copying-designs

Mode of Presentation timed

visual-figure

Mode of Response draws-figure

Scoring- Normed Standard Score

Mean: 10

Standard Deviation: 3

Age Equivalent Percentiles

11. Supplementary- Digit Span

Purpose Descriptors auditory-memory

auditory-memory-reversed

Mode of Presentation auditory-several-numbers

Mode of Response oral-several-numbers

Scoring- Normed Standard Score Mean: 10

Standard Deviation: 3

Age Equivalent Percentiles

copying-block-designs

Mode of Presentation

object

visual-figure

Mode of Response

manual-manipulate-objects

Scoring- Normed

Standard Score Mean: 10

Standard Deviation: 3

Age Equivalent Percentiles

7. Vocabulary

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Standard Score

Mean: 10 Standard Deviation: 3

Age Equivalent Percentiles

8. Object Assembly

Purpose Descriptors

solving-puzzles

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-picture-puzzle

Mode of Response

manual-manipulate-objects

Scoring- Normed

Standard Score

Mean: 10

Standard Deviation: 3

Age Equivalent Percentiles

9. Comprehension

counts-numbers

Mode of Presentation

Mode of Response

select-order

manual-manipulate-objects

Scoring- Normed

Standard Score

Mean: 10

Standard Deviation: 3

Age Equivalent Percentiles

5. Arithmetic

Purpose Descriptors

math-readiness

problem-solving

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-picture

object

auditory-sentence auditory-question visual-sentence visual-question

Mode of Response

oral-number

manual-manipulate-objects

oral-sentence oral-question oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Standard Score

Mean: 10

Standard Deviation: 3

Age Equivalent Percentiles

6. Block Design

visual-closure

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

visual-letter

Mode of Response

oral-word

manual-hand-gestures

Scoring- Normed

Standard Score

Mean: 10

Standard Deviation: 3

Age Equivalent Percentiles

3. Similarities

Purpose Descriptors

general-knowledge

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word-pairs

auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Standard Score

Mean: 10

Standard Deviation: 3

Age Equivalent Percentiles

4. Picture Arrangement 330

Range

Equivalent forms Administration Time

Subtests

Ages 6 - 17 only one form 60 minutes Information

Picture Completion

Similarities

Picture Arrangement 330

Arithmetic
Block Design
Vocabulary
Object Assembly
Comprehension

Coding

Supplementary-Digit Span Supplementary-Mazes

1. Information

Purpose Descriptors

general-knowledge

Mode of Presentation

auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Standard Score

Mean: 10

Standard Deviation: 3

Age Equivalent Percentiles

2. Picture Completion

Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children- Revised Edition (WISC-R)

David Wechsler Bellevue Psychiatric Hospital, New York

Publisher

Edition

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

The Psychological Corporation

2

1974

\$208

intelligence individual use

norm-referenced

requires extensive training and practice

requires extensive training and practice

Scoring aids available

Quotient Score

Standard Score

Mean: 10

Standard Deviation: 3

Age Equivalent

Percentiles

Composite Scores

Verbal Score

Performance Score

Available levels

There is only one level.

Reviews

[1] G.O. Bunch, and G. Robertson, Selected Psychoeducational Tests, A Layman's Handbook, Orillia, Ontario, Ptarmigan Publishers, 1982, 60-61.

The Wepman provides no information on the standardization sample. Data is presented to document increasing scores with increasing age. A second study relating auditory and perceptual ability across grades 1-6 indicates positive and significant relationships over time. Other studies show a relationship between auditory discrimination and reading achievement. Reliabilty is well documented but validity is questionable.

[2] E.E. Ekwall, and J.L. Shanker, Diagnosis and Remediation of the Disabled Reader(2nd edition), Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1983, 298.

The Wepman is the best known and most used test of auditory discrimination.

[3] J. Salvia, and J.E. Ysseldyke, Assessment in Special and Remedial Education (2nd ed.), Boston, Mass., Houghton Mifflin, 1981, 403-405.

The Wepman should be used with caution and a thorough knowledge of its short comings. The reliability is fine, but validity is questionable. Nothing is known about the standardization sample.

[4] G. Wallace, and S.C. Larson, Educational Assessment of Learning Problems: Testing for Teaching, Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1978, 210.

1958 ed.: The confident interpretation of the Wepman is difficult because no description of the normative population is given in the manual. Failure may occur because the child does not comprehend the concept of same or different. Therefore, the Wepman should be used only as an informal measure of auditory skills.

Norming Information

Norming date 1957 Sample size 533 Place normed USA

Sample Range Ages 5 - 8
Crades K

Grades K - 3

Sample similar to national population

No

Norming info in manual? Unknown

Reliability Information

1. Test-retest reliability: 0.91 - 0.95

2. Equivalent forms reliability: 0.92

3. Reliability information in manual? - Unknown

Validity Information

- 1. Criterion/predictive validity
 - a. MAT

i. Year: dk

ii. Range of correlations: 0.24 - 0.39 iii. Information in manual? - Unknown

2. Information regarding content validity in manual?- Unknown

Wepman Auditory Discrimination Test (Wepman)

Joseph M. Wepman University of Chicago

Publisher

Edition

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

Language Research Association Incorporated

1973

Not Available

auditory perception

individual use norm-referenced

easy

easy

Age Equivalent

Available levels

There is only one level.

Range

Ages 5 - 8

Grades K - 3

Equivalent forms

Administration Time 10 - 20 minutes

Subtests

Test

1. Test

Purpose Descriptors

auditory-discrimination

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word-pairs

Mode of Response

orally-select-true-false

Scoring- Normed

Age Equivalent

One test that has particular strength is the TWS. It is based upon educational theories that research has confirmed to be valid. Each word selected was used in ten commonly used basal spelling series. The use of Predictable and Unpredictable words represents a departure from current tests. The reliablity and validity are amply demonstrated. The TWS is designed to provide only a general estimate of spelling ability. A discussion of the ways in which results can be interpreted is provided in the manual. Sources of additional information regarding informal diagnostic techniques are also given.

[1] G.O. Bunch, and G. Robertson, Selected Psychoeducational Tests, A Layman's Handbook, Orillia, Ontario, Ptarmigan Publishers, 1982, 39-40.

The TWS has acceptable reliability and validity. Some diagnostic and program planning information is available when responses are analyzed. The manual is clearly written and well organized. Primary grade children may have trouble with the unpredictable words, which makes the test more effective with older students. The Spelling Quotient carries little meaning. The TWS is a strong example of a norm-referenced, individually administered test of spelling. When used appropriately it is a valuable tool.

[2] C. Compton, A Guide to 65 Tests for Special Education, Belmont, California, Fearon Education: a division of Pitman Learning Inc., 1980, 57-59.

The TWS is well constructed and well normed, it has good reliability and validity. Information on item validity and percentage of difficulty for each word is presented. The words selected as unpredictable are less familiar and so much harder to spell. These are too hard for the primary grades and so the test is more effective at grade four and over. The Spelling Quotient(similar to an IQ)adds little information to the Spelling Age and Grade Equivalent scores.

[3] J.A. McLoughlin, and R.B. Lewis, Assessing Special Students: Strategies and Procedures, Columbus, Ohio, Merrill, 1981, 465-468.

The TWS does not present any guidelines for determining below average performance. The standard error of measurement is 1.3 to 2.8 raw score points on Predictable words, and 0.2 to 2.2 raw score points on Unpredictable words and 1.4 to 3.8 on the total test. The TWS appears to be a useful tool for the assessment of spelling skills, it is easy to administer and yields several scores. Other tests must be used to determine below average performance on spelling. An alternative could be the Spelling subtest of the TOWL, which is an abbreviated version of this test, and gives normally distributed scaled scores.

[4] J. Salvia, and J.E. Ysseldyke, Assessment in Special and Remedial Education (2nd ed.), Boston, Mass., Houghton Mifflin, 1981, 421-424.

The TWS appears to be a valuable tool. The reliability is excellent, the KR-21 formula was used to compute internal-consistency. The KR-21 only approximates the true reliability score and should only be used when the items are of equal difficulty, thus it should not have been used on the TWS. The validity is excellent. Some diagnostic information is possible as a result of breaking the spelling words into Predictable and Unpredictable.

[5] G. Wallace, and S.C. Larson, Educational Assessment of Learning Problems: Testing for Teaching, Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1978, 376.

Reliability Information

- 1. Kuder-Richardson reliability: 0.78 0.91
- 2. Reliability information in manual? Yes

Validity Information

- 1. Concurrence validity
 - a. Durrell-Spelling
 - i. Year: 1976
 - ii. Range of correlations: 0.90
 - iii. Information in manual? Yes
 - b. WRAT-Spelling
 - i. Year: 1976
 - ii. Range of correlations: 0.84
 - iii. Information in manual? Yes
 - c. Cal-Spelling
 - i. Year: 1976
 - ii. Range of correlations: 0.80
 - iii. Information in manual? Yes
 - d. SRA-Spelling
 - i. Year: 1976
 - ii. Range of correlations: 0.69
 - iii. Information in manual? Yes
- Information regarding content validity in manual?Yes

spelling-phonetically-regular-words

spelling-common-words

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

write-word

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

2. Unpredictable Words

Purpose Descriptors

spelling-phonetically-irregular-words

spelling-common-words

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word

auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

write-word

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

Norming Information

Norming date

1975

Sample size

4544

Place normed

USA

Sample Range

Ages 6 - 13

Grades 1 - 8

Sample similar to

national population

No

Norming info in manual?

Yes

Test of Written Spelling (TWS)

Stephen C. Larson University of Texas D.D. Hammill Temple University

Publisher

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

PRO ED Publishing

1976

\$38

spelling

either individual or group use

norm-referenced

easy

easy

Scoring aids available

Quotient Score Age Equivalent Grade Equivalent

Available levels

There is only one level.

Range

Ages 5 - 15 Grades 1 - 8

Equivalent forms

Administration Time

only one form

Subtests

20 minutes

Predictable Words Unpredictable Words

1. Predictable Words

Reviews

[1] J.A. McLoughlin, and R.B. Lewis, Assessing Special Students: Strategies and Procedures, Columbus, Ohio, Merrill, 1981, 459-462.

The TOWL may be used to determine present levels of performance, and to pinpoint areas of strengths and weaknesses. It is particularly valuable because it allows comparisons of receptive and expressive language, and spoken and written language, and reading and writing. Further assessment is necessary for instructional planning.

[2] J. Salvia, and J.E. Ysseldyke, Assessment in Special and Remedial Education (2nd ed.), Boston, Mass., Houghton Mifflin, 1981, 424-428.

There is not enough information about the normative sample of the TOWL; though it has a large sample, it is not possible to assess its representativeness. The reliability is adequate, but validity is mixed. The content validity is unconvincing. The TOWL has many positive aspects and can be helpful to some teachers, although the limitations, especially with regard to content, must be kept in mind.

[3] **D.D. Hammill, and N.R. Bartel**, Teaching Children With Learning and Behavior Problems 3rd. edition., Boston, Massachusetts, Allyn and Bacon Incorporated, 1982, 107-109.

The TOWL can be used to identify students with problems in writing and pinpppoints specific areas of deficiency.

[4] , *PRO ED 1983 Catalog*, Austin, Texas, PRO ED, 1983, .

There is a revised 1983 edition of the TOWL. It was normed on 3418 students in grades 2-12, ages 7-19, on a nationally representative sample in USA. Scores are given in percentile ranks and standard scores. There are only 6 subtests as Thought Units has been deleted.

Validity Information

- 1. Concurrence validity a. PSLT
 - i. Year : dkii. Range of correlations : 0.38 0.8iii. Information in manual? Yes
- 2. Information regarding content validity in manual?Yes

Norming Information

Norming date 1982 1977
Sample size 3418 1700
Place normed USA
USA

Sample Range Ages 7.0 - 18.11

Ages 8.6 - 14.5

Grades 3 - 12 Grades 3 - 8

Sample similar to national population Unknown

Norming info in manual? Yes

Reliability Information

1. Test-retest reliability: 0.90

 ${\bf 2.~~Kuder\text{-}Richardson~reliability:~0.75-0.92}$

3. Reliability information in manual? - Yes

punctuation capitalization

Mode of Presentation

visual-sentence

Mode of Response

write-sentence

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

6. Supplementary-Thought Units

Purpose Descriptors

thought-units

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

Mode of Response

write-paragraph

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

7. Supplementary-Handwriting

Purpose Descriptors

handwriting

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

Mode of Response

write-paragraph

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

Mode of Response

write-paragraph

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

2. Thematic Maturity

Purpose Descriptors

written-expression descriptive-expression

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

Mode of Response

write-paragraph

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

3. Spelling

Purpose Descriptors

spelling-general

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

write-word

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

4. Word Usage

Purpose Descriptors

language-usage

context

Mode of Presentation

visual-sentence

Mode of Response

write-word

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

5. Style

Test of Written Language (TOWL)

Donald D. Hammill Temple University Stephen C. Larson University of Texas

Publisher

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

PRO ED Publishers

1978

\$77

language

either individual or group use

norm-referenced

easy

requires some training

Scoring aids available

Quotient Score Standard Score

Mean: 10

Standard Deviation: 3

Grade Equivalent

Available levels

There is only one level.

Range

Ages 8.6 - 14.5

Grades 3 - 8

Equivalent forms Administration Time

Subtests

only one form

0 minutes Vocabulary

Thematic Maturity

Spelling Word Usage

Style

Supplementary-Thought Units Supplementary-Handwriting

1. Vocabulary

Reviews

[1] J.A. McLoughlin, and R.B. Lewis, Assessing Special Students: Strategies and Procedures, Columbus, Ohio, Merrill, 1981, 364.

The reliability and validity are adequate, standard error of measurement ranges from 1-3 raw score points. Students over 14.6 years have only a grade score not a scaled score. The TORC is a norm-referenced standardized test battery that assesses several skills required in the comprehension of material which is read silently. It is appropriate for use with both elementary and secondary students and yields several scores. It is typically used to locate areas of strength and weaknesses in the development of comprehension skills. TORC results may be used in selecting long-term goals for reading instruction. However, further assessment may be required to specify short-term instructional objectives.

[2] , PRO-ED 1983 Catalog, Austin, Texas, PRO-ED Publishing, 1983, 6.

The TORC is a multi-dimensional test of silent reading comprehension for students in grades 2-12. It reflects current psycholinguistic theories that consider reading comprehension to be a constructive process, involving both language and cognition. Scaled scores are provided for each subtest. Data supporting test-retest and internal consistency reliability, construct, and criterion validity are given in the manual.

[3] E.A. Jongsma, Test Review: Test of Reading Comprehension, The Reading Teacher, 1980, 33:6, 703-708.

There are only six passages for comprehension for grades 1-8. It is not good for groups because ceiling levels are used in the subtests. The test directions are confusing to young children. There is a lack of information on the standarization sample. It is best in grades 4-8, and for remedial readers in high school. The silent reading subtest should be supplemented by other tests. It would be best to use local norms.

Reliability Information

- 1. Kuder-Richardson reliability: 0.53 0.98
- 2. Reliability information in manual? Yes

Validity Information

- 1. Criterion/predictive validity
 - a. WISC
- i. Year: 1978
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.46 0.8
- iii. Information in manual? Yes
- b. PIAT-Math
- i. Year: 1978
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.58 0.79
- iii. Information in manual? Yes
- c. Cal-Lang
- i. Year: 1978
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.29 0.61
- iii. Information in manual? Yes
- 2. Concurrence validity
 - a. Cal-Reading
 - i. Year: 1978
 - ii. Range of correlations: 0.26 0.66
 - iii. Information in manual? Yes
 - b. SRA-Reading
 - i. Year: 1978
 - ii. Range of correlations: 0.22 0.54
 - iii. Information in manual? Yes
 - c. PIAT-Reading
 - i. Year: 1978
 - ii. Range of correlations: 0.48 0.87
 - iii. Information in manual? Yes
- 3. Information regarding content validity in manual?
 - Yes

ordering-sentences

Mode of Presentation

visual-several-sentences

Mode of Response

select-order write-number

Scoring- Normed

Standard Score

Mean:10

Standard Deviation: 3

Grade Equivalent

Norming Information

Norming date Sample size Place normed 1982 2707 USA $\frac{1977}{2405}$

USA

Sample Range

Grades 2 - 12

Ages 6.6 - 14.6

Grades 1.2 - 7.8

Sample similar to national population

Unknown

Norming info in manual?

Yes

special-vocabulary

Mode of Presentation

visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-several-words

Scoring- Normed

Standard Score

Mean: 10

Standard Deviation: 3

Grade Equivalent

6. Supplementary-Science Vocabulary

Purpose Descriptors

special-vocabulary

Mode of Presentation

visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-several-words

Scoring- Normed

Standard Score

Mean: 10

Standard Deviation: 3

Grade Equivalent

7. Supplementary-Reading the Directions of School Work

Purpose Descriptors

processing-visual-directions

Mode of Presentation

visual-directions

visual-several-numbers visual-several-words

visual-sentence

Mode of Response

write-answer

Scoring- Normed

Standard Score

Mean: 10

Standard Deviation: 3

Grade Equivalent

8. Alternate-Sentence Sequencing

silent-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

visual-paragraph visual-question

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Standard Score

Mean: 10

Standard Deviation: 3

Grade Equivalent

4. Supplementary-Mathematics Vocabulary

Purpose Descriptors

special-vocabulary

Mode of Presentation

visual-several-words visual-several-numbers visual-math-symbol visual-computations

Mode of Response

select-several-words

select-number

select-math-symbols select-computation

Scoring- Normed

Standard Score

Mean: 10

Standard Deviation: 3

Grade Equivalent

5. Supplementary-Social Studies Vocabulary

Range

Ages 6 - 14

Grades 1 - 8

Equivalent forms
Administration Time

Subtests

only one form 90 - 180 minutes General Vocabulary

Syntactic Similarities
Paragraph Reading

Supplementary-Mathematics Vocabulary Supplementary-Social Studies Vocabulary

Supplementary-Science Vocabulary

Supplementary-Reading the Directions of School Work

Alternate-Sentence Sequencing

1. General Vocabulary

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-several-words

Scoring- Normed

Standard Score

Mean : 10

Standard Deviation: 3

Grade Equivalent

2. Syntactic Similarities

Purpose Descriptors

syntax-matching

Mode of Presentation

visual-several-sentences

Mode of Response

select-several-sentences

Scoring- Normed

Standard Score

Mean: 10

Standard Deviation: 3

Grade Equivalent

3. Paragraph Reading

Test of Reading Comprehension (TORC)

Virginia L. Brown Kansas State University D.D. Hammill Temple University

J. Lee Wiederholt University of Arizona

Publisher

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

PRO ED Publishers

1978 \$57

reading

either individual or group use

norm-referenced

easy easy

Scoring aids available

Quotient Score Standard Score

Mean: 10

Standard Deviation: 3

Available levels

There is only one level.

- [1] G.O. Bunch, and G. Robertson, Selected Psychoeducational Tests, A Layman's Handbook, Orillia, Ontario, Ptarmigan Publishers, 1982, 17-19.
 - 1977 ed.: The TOLD is useful as a screening test. The manual cautions against its use as a sole determiner of language ability.
- [2] E.E. Ekwall, and J.L. Shanker, Diagnosis and Remediation of the Disabled Reader(2nd edition), Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1983, 16.
 - 1977 ed.: The TOLD does enable the diagnostican to evaluate children's expressive and receptive competencies in the area of phonology, semantics and syntax. The test is sufficiently reliable and valid. It is not too long and yields information on a child's language ability. It is not designed to provide direct information for prescriptive instruction.
- [3] J.A. McLoughlin, and R.B. Lewis, Assessing Special Students: Strategies and Procedures, Columbus, Ohio, Merrill, 1981, 443-448.
 - 1977 ed.: The TOLD may be used to determine present levels of performance in oral language and to pinpoint areas of strengths and weaknesses. According to the manual, further assessment is needed before an instructional program in oral language may be planned.
- [4] G. Wallace, and S.C. Larson, Educational Assessment of Learning Problems: Testing for Teaching, Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1978, 250.
 - 1977 ed.: Of all tests providing a comprehensive measure of language ability, the TOLD is perhaps the most highly standardized. Reliability and validity are extensive.
- [5] P.I. Myers, and D.D. Hammill, Learning Disabilities, Austin, Texas, PRO-ED Publishing, 1969, 48.
 - A child's scores on the WISC-R, DRS, TOLD, or the PPVT provide information which may assist in deciding whether the child should be classified as learning disabled but does not assist the teacher in determining what to teach the child nor where to begin teaching.

Reliability Information

- 1. Test-retest reliability: 0.99
- 2. Split-half reliability: 0.87 0.95
- 3. Kuder-Richardson reliability: 0.95
- 4. Reliability information in manual? Yes

Validity Information

- 1. Concurrence validity
 - a. TACL
- i. Year: 1981
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.63 0.79
- iii. Information in manual? Yes
- b. TELD
- i. Year: 1981
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.66 0.8
- iii. Information in manual? Yes
- c. BSSI-Oral
- i. Year: 1978
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.48
- iii. Information in manual? Yes
- 2. Information regarding content validity in manual?
 Yes

articulation

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring- Normed

Standard Score

Mean: 50

Standard Deviation: 10

Age Equivalent Stanine Score

Z-score Percentiles

Norming Information

Norming date Sample size

1981

1836

Place normed

USA

Sample Range Sample similar to Ages 4.0 - 8.11

national population

No

Norming info in manual?

Yes

language-usage

Mode of Presentation

auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring- Normed

Standard Score

Mean:50

Standard Deviation: 10

Age Equivalent Stanine Score

Z-score Percentiles

6. Supplementary-Word Discrimination

Purpose Descriptors

auditory-discrimination

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word-pairs

Mode of Response

orally-select-true-false

Scoring- Normed

Standard Score

Mean: 50

Standard Deviation: 10

Age Equivalent Stanine Score

Z-score Percentiles

${\bf 7. \ \ Supplementary\text{-}Word\ \ Articulation}$

listening-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Standard Score

Mean: 50

Standard Deviation: 10

Age Equivalent Stanine Score

Z-score Percentiles

4. Sentence Imitation

Purpose Descriptors

auditory-memory

Mode of Presentation

auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

oral-sentence

Scoring- Normed

Standard Score

Mean: 50

Standard Deviation: 10

Age Equivalent Stanine Score

Z-score Percentiles

5. Grammatic Completion

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture auditory-word

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Standard Score

Mean:50

Standard Deviation: 10

Age Equivalent Stanine Score

Z-score Percentiles

2. Oral Vocabulary

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Standard Score

Mean : 50

Standard Deviation: 10

Age Equivalent Stanine Score

Z-score Percentiles

3. Grammatic Understanding

Test of Language Development-Primary (TOLD-P)

Phyllis L. Newcomer

Donald D. Hammill Temple University

Publisher

Edition

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

Composite Scores

Available levels

Range Equivalent forms Administration Time Subtests 1982 \$86

PRO ED Publishing

language individual use norm-referenced

easy easy

Scoring aids available

Quotient Score

Listening Quotient Speaking Quotient Semantics Quotient Syntax Quotient

There is only one level.

Ages 4 - 9
only one form
30 - 60 minutes
Picture Vocabulary
Oral Vocabulary

Grammatic Understanding

Sentence Imitation Grammatic Completion

Supplementary-Word Discrimination Supplementary-Word Articulation

1. Picture Vocabulary

Reviews

- [1] E.H. Wiig, and E.M. Semel, Language Assessment and Intervention for the Learning Disabled, Columbus, Ohio, Charles E. Merrill Publishing Company, 1980, 312.
 - DeRenzi and Vignola wrote the first Token test in 1962. Noll adapted it in 1970 for children. Other tests of oral directions include CELF-D Processing Oral Directions and DTLA Oral Directions.
- [2] G. Ralph, Special Educational Materials and Resources Handbook, Ontario, Canada, Ontario Ministry of Education, 1982, 4312.
 - The strength of the Token test is that it is quick and easy to administer. There is no reliability or validity data available.
- [3] N.J. Lass, and S.S. Golden, A Comparison Study of Children's Performance on Three Tests for Receptive Language Ability, *Journal of Auditory Research*, 1975, 15:3, 177-182.

It is suggested that the Token (DeRenzi and Vignolo 1962) be used for assessment of receptive skills in children with various types of language disabilities. Normative data was collected by Noll in 1970. Normative data on disadvantaged children was established by Noll and Lass in 1972. The concurrent validity of the Token and the PPVT is 0.71, which suggests that the PPVT is a valid measure of receptive auditory comprehension.

Reliability Information

1. Reliability information in manual? - No

Validity Information

- 1. Criterion/predictive validity
 - a. PPVT
- i. Year: 1975
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.71 0.72
- iii. Information in manual? Yes
- b. NSST
- i. Year: 1974
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.63
- iii. Information in manual? Yes
- c. PLS
- i. Year: 1975
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.72
- iii. Information in manual? Yes
- 2. Information regarding content validity in manual?
 - Yes

processing-auditory-directions

Mode of Presentation

object

auditory-directions

Mode of Response

select-object

manual-manipulate-objects

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

Norming Information

Norming date

Not Available

Sample size Place normed

1304 USA

Sample Range

Ages 3.0 - 12.5

Grades P - 6

Sample similar to national population

No

Norming info in manual?

Yes

The Token Test for Children (Token)

Frank DiSimoni

Publisher

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

Teaching Resources

1978

\$40

language

individual use

norm-referenced

easy

easy

Scoring aids available

Standard Score

Mean: 500

Standard Deviation: 5

Age Equivalent Grade Equivalent

Available levels

There is only one level.

Range

Ages 3.0 - 12.5

Grades P - 6

Equivalent forms

Administration Time

Subtests

only one form

5 - 10 minutes

Parts I to V

1. Parts I to V

Reviews

[1] D.K. Reid, W.P. Hresko, and D.D. Hammill, Test of Early Reading Ability, Austin, Texas, PRO ED Publishing, 1981, 0.

The TELD and TERA were developed as companion tests. They were standardized together and can be used to compare language and reading ability.

3. Information regarding content validity in manual?
- Yes

Reliability Information

1. Reliability information in manual? - No

Validity Information

- 1. Criterion/predictive validity
 - a. TORC
- i. Year: 1980
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.52
- iii. Information in manual? Yes
- b. MAT-Reading
 - i. Year: 1981
 - ii. Range of correlations: 0.66
 - iii. Information in manual? Yes
- c. MRT
- i. Year: 1980
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.37 0.58
- iii. Information in manual? Yes
- d. TOLD
- i. Year: 1980
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.42 0.68
- iii. Information in manual? Yes
- e. SIT
- i. Year: 1980
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.66
- iii. Information in manual? Yes
- f. MAT-Listening
 - i. Year: 1980
 - ii. Range of correlations: 0.79
 - iii. Information in manual? Yes
- 2. Concurrence validity
 - a. PLS
- i. Year: 1981
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.62
- iii. Information in manual? Yes
- b. TELD
- i. Year: 1980
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.5 0.82
- iii. Information in manual? Yes

word-meanings

context

letter-names-general

reading-words

oral-reading-sentences

Mode of Presentation

visual-letter visual-word visual-sentence

Mode of Response

oral-letter-name

oral-word oral-sentence

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

Norming Information

Norming date

Sample size

Place normed

1980

1184

Canada

USA

Sample Range

Sample similar to national population Ages 2.5 - 7.11

No

Norming info in manual?

Yes

Test of Early Reading Ability (TERA)

D. Kim Reid University of Texas at Dallas

Wayne P. Hresko

Donald D. Hammill Temple University

Publisher

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

PRO-ED Publishing

1981

\$73

reading

individual use norm-referenced

easy

easy

Scoring aids available

Global Scores

Quotient Score Standard Score

Mean: 50

Standard Deviation: 10

Age Equivalent Stanine Score

Z-score Percentiles

Available levels

There is only one level.

Range Equivalent forms Administration Time

Subtests

Ages 3 - 7 only one form 15 - 20 minutes

Test

1. Test

Reviews

[1] W.P. Hresko, D.K. Reid, and D.D. Hammill, Test of Early Language Development, Austin, Texas, PRO ED Publishing, 1981, 0.

The TELD and TERA were developed as companion tests. They were standardized together. They can be used to compare language and reading ability.

iii. Information in manual? - Yes

3. Information regarding content validity in manual?Yes

Reliability Information

- 1. Test-retest reliability: 0.90
- 2. Split-half reliability: 0.87 0.92
- 3. Reliability information in manual? Yes

Validity Information

- 1. Criterion/predictive validity
 - a. SIT
- i. Year: 1980
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.78
- iii. Information in manual? Yes
- b. TORC
- i. Year: 1980
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.55
- iii. Information in manual? Yes
- c. TERA
- i. Year: 1980
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.5 0.82
- iii. Information in manual? Yes
- d. MAT-reading
 - i. Year: 1980
 - ii. Range of correlations: 0.34
 - iii. Information in manual? Yes
- e. MRT
- i. Year: 1980
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.42 0.75
- iii. Information in manual? Yes
- 2. Concurrence validity
 - a. PLS
- i. Year: 1980
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.46
- iii. Information in manual? Yes
- b. TOLD
- i. Year: 1980
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.66 0.8
- iii. Information in manual? Yes
- c. MAT-Listening
 - i. Year: 1980
 - ii. Range of correlations: 0.67

context

auditory-memory word-endings verbal-expression sentence-structure verbal-expression manual-expression

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture auditory-word auditory-sentence auditory-question auditory-directions

Mode of Response

select-picture oral-word oral-sentence oral-answer

manual-hand-gestures

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

Norming Information

Norming date Sample size Place normed 1980 1184 Canada

USA

Sample Range Sample similar to national population

Ages 2.5 - 7.11

No

Norming info in manual?

Yes

Test of Early Language Development (TELD)

Wayne P. Hresko

D. Kim Reid

University of Texas at Dallas

D.D. Hammill Temple University

Publisher

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

PRO-ED Publishing

1981

\$50

language

individual use

norm-referenced

easy

easy

Scoring aids available

Global Scores

Quotient Score

Standard Score

Mean:50

Standard Deviation: 10

Age Equivalent

Stanine Score

Z-score Percentiles

Available levels

There is only one level.

Range Equivalent forms Administration Time Subtests Ages 2.5 - 7.11 only one form 15 minutes Test

1. Test

Reviews

- [1] O.K. Buros editor, The Seventh Mental Measurement Yearbook (2 vol.), Highland Park, N.J., Gryphon Press, 1972, 1365-1366.
 - 1. R.M. Haller: The subject is required either to name pictures, imitate words, or read words or sentences depending upon his age and picture vocabulary. The 141 items may be scored as a block or with 13 raw scores, each representing the number of correct responses to items soliciting a different category of phoneme unit. The second edition is 20% shorter than the first, and includes the Iowa Pressure Articulation Test, and die-cut overlays permit rapid scoring of phoneme categories. The TDTA is perhaps the best published clinical measure of phoneme acquistion in terms of its rationale, the variety and quality of normative data, and the flexibility. The three limitations are common to most articulation tests: it does not specify the examiner's prerequisites, the responses are scored only on General American dialect, and scoring fails to take into account the degree of misarticulation.
- [2] C. Compton, A Guide to 65 Tests for Special Education, Belmont, California, Fearon Education: a division of Pitman Learning Inc., 1980, 133-135.

The TDTA is the most comprehensive and versatile set of articulation tests available. It is good for both children and adults. It has detailed information on administration and interpretation of the test. The manual includes a lengthy discussion about articulation testing and research. The test includes a wider range of articulation performance in more contexts than most articulation tests. The validity study was conducted on 150 children aged 5 to 10 years. Their performance on the TDTA was significantly related to a trained judge's ratings of articulation. The limitations include the lack of some phonemes in the medial position. The reliability is reported only for the Screening test. It is hard to elicite correct responses from some of the pictures. The word list is outdated; it was developed in 1945. The administration information is scattered thoughout the manual and is difficult to follow.

Norming Information

Norming date

1957

Sample size Place normed 480 USA

Sample Range

Ages 3 - 8

Sample similar to

national population

No

Norming info in manual?

Yes

Reliability Information

1. Test-retest reliability: 0.97 - 0.99

2. Reliability information in manual? - Yes

Validity Information

Information regarding content validity in manual?
 Yes

articulation

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture auditory-question visual-letter visual-sentence

Mode of Response

oral-word oral-sentence

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

2. Diagnostic Test

Purpose Descriptors

articulation

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture auditory-question visual-letter visual-sentence

Mode of Response

oral-word

oral-sentence

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

Templin-Darley Test of Articulation (TDTA)

Mildred C. Templin University of Minnesota Frederic L. Darley Mayo Clinic

Publisher

Edition

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of scoring

Ease of administration

Global Scores

Bureau of Educational Research

1969 \$10

auditory perception

individual use norm-referenced

easy

requires some training Scoring aids available

Age Equivalent

Available levels

There is only one level.

Range Equivalent forms Administration Time Subtests

Ages 3 - A only one form 15 - 60 minutes Screening Test Diagnostic Test

1. Screening Test

The items on the TACL are not arranged developmentally so that no basal or ceiling can be found. The vocabulary items are tested separately so that when they are used later in language structure items, the examiner can determine whether an error is semantic or structural. There is no reliability for the 1973 edition and one third of the items as well as the age ranges were changed. Some valid information about a child's receptive language abilities can be obtained by a trained examiner.

[5] E.H. Wiig, and E.M. Semel, Language Assessment and Intervention, Columbus, Ohio, Charles E. Merrill Publishing Company, 1980, 107-108.

The TACL was designed to provide an in-depth assessment of the child's ability to process linguistic structures and analyze error patterns according to grammatical categories. The standardization sample is small, and geographic and socioeconomically biased. The short time interval for the test-retest may have resulted in a spuriously high reliability. There is a lack of consistency and clarity of the directions to the child. The classification scheme used for items is ambiguious, and the context in which some concepts are used is uncommon.

[6] D.V. Allen, L.S. Bliss, and J. Timmons, Language Evaluation: Science or Art, Journal of Speech and Hearing Disorders, 1981, 46:1,66-68.

A study was done on 182 white preschool children, ages 36 to 47 months. The CELI had the highest agreement with clinical judgement: 28% of children judged to be language impaired passed the CELI. The TACL had the greatest discrepancy, 80% of the children judged to be language impaired passed the TACL. The SICD was in the middle with 62% of the children judged to be language impaired passing the test. The large difference should not be disheartening, the tests employ normative data and clinicians use internal norms derived from experience. Decisions should be based on both sources.

- [1] O.K. Buros editor, The Eighth Mental Measurement Yearbook (2 vol.), Highland Park, N.J., Gryphon Press, 1978, 611-614.
 - 1. J.T. Hatten: The TACL is the best single measure of language comprehension currently available. The ambitious goal of this test is best attained through a battery of tests. The reliability given was from the earlier version of the test, and the age range and many items have been changed. Only some content validity is covered. There is a problem with the clarity of some pictures. The TACL only measures one aspect of language and it is likely that most speech clinicians would desire a more general screening device.
 - 2. H. Molina: The items on the TACL should have been sequenced along levels of grammatical difficulty. The TACL is not a diagnostic test but rather a longer screening test. It is not recommended as a diagnostic tool until the meaning of the scores is clarified, and evidence of relevance to education is provided.
- [2] G.O. Bunch, and G. Robertson, Selected Psychoeducational Tests, A Layman's Handbook, Orillia, Ontario, Ptarmigan Publishers, nil, 15-16.
 - The TACL is recommended by Carrow for the language disordered, deaf, physically disabled, or mentally retarded children. There is a diagnostic problem in that the norms are not included for subcategories. It would be more effective if used with the CELI or DSS. The lack of data on standardization, validity and reliability means results should be interpreted with caution.
- [3] C. Compton, A Guide to 65 Tests for Special Education, Belmont, California, Fearon Education: a division of Pitman Learning Inc., 1980, 156-158.
 - There is a 1977 revision of the TACL. It is easy to administer and score. The diagnostic information can be useful in planning remediation. The TACL has high test-retest reliability and is valuable in showing developmental progress in comprehension of language. The nonverbal responses makes it useful for young and nonverbal children. There are some limiting factors. The entire test must be given to make use of the normative data. The TACL is a test of visual processes as well as language processes. The assignment of certain errors to certain categories is questionable.
- [4] J. Salvia, and J.E. Ysseldyke, Assessment in Special and Remedial Education (2nd ed.), Boston, Mass., Houghton Mifflin, 1981, 405-407.

Reliability Information

1. Reliability information in manual? - No

Validity Information

Information regarding content validity in manual?
 Yes

listening-comprehension

word-meanings language-usage

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture auditory-word

auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

Norming Information

Norming date

Sample size Place normed Not Available

200

USA

Sample Range

Sample similar to national population Not Available

No

Norming info in manual?

Yes

Test For Auditory Comprehension of Language (TACL)

Elizabeth Carrow-Woolfolk University of Texas

Publisher

Edition

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

Teaching Resources Corporation

5

1973

\$80

language

individual use norm-referenced

easy

requires some training

Scoring aids available

Age Equivalent

Percentiles

Available levels

There is only one level.

Range Equivalent forms Administration Time

Subtests

Ages 3.0 - 6.11

only one form

20 - 30 minutes

Test

1. Test

[3] G.D. Spache, Diagnosing and Correcting Reading Disabilities (2nd ed.), Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1981, 265.

The manner of presentation of the test and the use of elements in isolation may demand a higher degree of decoding than is needed in reading, because context and syntactic clues are missing. Some of the real words may well be sight words for some children, despite the author's attempt to select words not common to primary reading material. Some of the test procedures are questionable. Furthermore the "detailed analysis" is redundant.

[4] V.L. Brown, Programs, Materials and Techiques, Journal of Learning Disabilities, 1976,9:4,201-205.

Sipay says the SWAT has content validity because it requires the decoding of words not recognized by sight. It is improbable that the test offers adequate representation of the construct, visual analysis, phonetic analysis, and visual blending even as defined by the SWAT manual. The only reliability offered is the fact that the test has at least three items for each symbol-sound association. No test-retest or inter and intra-examiner reliability is given. The user must be cautioned against making any normative comparisons.

Reviews

- [1] O.K. Buros editor, The Eighth Mental Measurement Yearbook(2 vol.), Highland Park, N.J., Gryphon Press, 1978, 1291-1296.
 - 1. R.A. Kress: SWAT is a well prepared diagnostic test of word analysis ability. Based upon sound research data, the content is effectively sequenced. It is a valuable diagnostic tool for clinicians, class-room teachers and researchers, who wish to measure a child's ability to attack unknown words which are out of context, without meaningful semantic feedback. The two major drawbacks are the use of words in isolation, which requires a higher level of decoding ability than is needed in reading, and the lack of research on validity and reliability. The author has indicated that this data is forthcoming.
 - 2. W.J. Valmont: The test cards and the 16 manuals permit flexibility in selecting appropriate tests, but instructions and procedures are tremendously redundant. The SWAT should be useful to clinicians and reading specialists but of limited use to classroom teachers because of time needed to administer and analyze the test.
 - 3. V.L. Brown: Reliability is said to be accounted for by providing at least three different items for each sound-symbol association sampled. This statement does not deal with how often students make correct responses to each of these items. In spite of reservations, this reveiwer believes that SWAT is a cut above the tests generally available.
 - 4. S.C. Feldman: The claim that SWAT is criterion-referenced is weak since the definition of behavioural objectives and criteria for mastery are vague. The tables for classification of specific skill strengths and weaknesses are not only difficult to interpret but seem to have no rationale. The most serious fault is the lack of evidence that the test does what it says it does. The reliability and validity information are not in the manual, and no mention is made of tryouts with children. These are unthinkable omissions. Before buying SWAT, one should ask whether the same information could be obtained from a shorter battery and do the tests yield the information needed to help a child with his reading.
- [2] E.E. Ekwall, and J.L. Shanker, Diagnosis and Remediation of the Disabled Reader(2nd edition), Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1983, 129-130.
 - The SWAT should yield results that are accurate enough for exact prescriptive teaching.

blending-word-parts->words

blending-word-parts->nonsense-words

Mode of Presentation

visual-word-parts

Mode of Response

oral-word

oral-nonsense-words

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

17. Contractions

Purpose Descriptors

contractions

Mode of Presentation

visual-word

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

Reliability Information

1. Reliability information in manual? - No

Validity Information

Information regarding content validity in manual?
 Yes

reading-words-silent-consonants

reading-nonsense-words

articulation

Mode of Presentation

visual-nonsense-word

Mode of Response

oral-nonsense-words

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

14. Vowel Sounds of'y'

Purpose Descriptors

reading-words-y-vowel

reading-nonsense-words

articulation

Mode of Presentation

visual-nonsense-word

Mode of Response

oral-nonsense-words

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

15. Visual Analysis

Purpose Descriptors

breaking-into-word-parts

breaking-into-syllables

prefixes suffixes

Mode of Presentation

visual-nonsense-word

visual-word

Mode of Response

oral-word-parts

oral-answer

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

16. Visual Blending

Purpose Descriptors reading-phoneme

Mode of Presentation visual-word-parts

Mode of Response oral-word-parts

Scoring - Criterion No Scores

10. Final Silent'e'Generalization

Purpose Descriptors reading-nonsense-words

 ${\bf reading\text{-}words\text{-}one\text{-}syllable}$

articulation

Mode of Presentation visual-nonsense-word

Mode of Response oral-nonsense-words

Scoring - Criterion No Scores

11. Vowel Versatility

Purpose Descriptors reading-words-single-vowel

reading-nonsense-words

articulation

Mode of Presentation visual-nonsense-word

Mode of Response oral-nonsense-words

Scoring - Criterion No Scores

12. Vowels plus R

Purpose Descriptors reading-words-vowel-with-R

reading-nonsense-words

articulation

Mode of Presentation visual-nonsense-word

Mode of Response oral-nonsense-words

Scoring - Criterion No Scores

13. Silent Consonants

reading-phoneme

Mode of Presentation

visual-word-parts

Mode of Response

oral-word-parts

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

10. Final Silent'e'Generalization

Purpose Descriptors

reading-nonsense-words reading-words-one-syllable

articulation

Mode of Presentation

visual-nonsense-word

Mode of Response

oral-nonsense-words

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

11. Vowel Versatility

Purpose Descriptors

reading-words-single-vowel

reading-nonsense-words

articulation

Mode of Presentation

visual-nonsense-word

Mode of Response

oral-nonsense-words

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

12. Vowels plus R

Purpose Descriptors

reading-words-vowel-with-R

reading-nonsense-words

articulation

Mode of Presentation

visual-nonsense-word

Mode of Response

oral-nonsense-words

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

13. Silent Consonants

reading-words-one-syllable

reading-nonsense-words

articulation

Mode of Presentation

visual-nonsense-word

Mode of Response

oral-nonsense-words

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

6. Initial Consonant Blends and Digraphs

Purpose Descriptors

reading-words-consonant-combination

reading-nonsense-words

articulation

Mode of Presentation

visual-nonsense-word

Mode of Response

oral-nonsense-words

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

7. Final Consonant Blends and Digraphs

Purpose Descriptors

reading-words-consonant-combination

reading-nonsense-words

articulation

Mode of Presentation

visual-nonsense-word

Mode of Response

oral-nonsense-words

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

8. Vowel Combinations

Purpose Descriptors

reading-words-vowel-combinations

reading-nonsense-words

articulation

Mode of Presentation

visual-nonsense-word

Mode of Response

oral-nonsense-words

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

9. Open-Syllable Generalization

letter-names-capitals-consonants

letter-names-capitals-vowels

letter-names-lower-case-consonants letter-names-lower-case-vowels

Mode of Presentation

visual-letter

Mode of Response

oral-letter-name

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

3. Symbol-Sound Association

Purpose Descriptors

letter-sounds-lower-case-consonants

letter-sounds-lower-case-vowels

reading-words articulation

Mode of Presentation

visual-letter

visual-word

Mode of Response

oral-letter-sound

oral-word

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

4. Substitution: Single Letters

Purpose Descriptors

substitution-initial-consonants

substitution-final-consonants

substitution-vowel reading-nonsense-words

Mode of Presentation

auditory-nonsense-words

visual-nonsense-word

Mode of Response

oral-nonsense-words

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

5. Consonant-Vowel-Consonant Trigrams

Range

Equivalent forms Administration Time Subtests

Ages 6 - A Grades 1 - 12 only one form 10 - 90 minutes Survey Test Letter Name

Symbol-Sound Association Substitution: Single Letters

Consonant-Vowel-Consonant Trigrams Initial Consonant Blends and Digraphs Final Consonant Blends and Digraphs

Vowel Combinations

Open-Syllable Generalization Final Silent'e'Generalization

Vowel Versatility Vowels plus R Silent Consonants Vowel Sounds of'y' Visual Analysis Visual Blending Contractions

1. Survey Test

Purpose Descriptors

letter-names-general letter-sounds-general reading-phoneme reading-words

breaking-into-word-parts breaking-into-syllables

Mode of Presentation

visual-letter visual-word

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

oral-letter-name oral-letter-sound oral-phoneme oral-word-parts oral-word

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

2. Letter Name

Sipay Word Analysis Tests (SWAT)

Edward R. Sipay State University of New York

Publisher

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

Available levels

Educators Publishing Services

1974

\$73

language

individual use

criterion-referenced

easy

requires some training

Scoring aids available

No Scores

There is only one level.

Reviews

[1] G.O. Bunch, and G. Robertson, Selected Psychoeducational Tests, A Layman's Handbook, Orillia, Ontario, Ptarmigan Publishers, nil, 38.

The most valuable use of the test is not in the age scores attained but the analysis of the errors made. The test can be used on its own but would be most valuable in differential diagnosis. While designed as a spelling test, the S-S1 provides considerable information on phonic ability and word attack methods. There is no information given on norms, reliability or validity.

Reliability Information

1. Reliability information in manual? - No

Validity Information

Information regarding content validity in manual?
 No

Schonell Spelling Test S1 (S-S1)

Fred J. Schonell

Schonell Institute Queensland University

F. Eleanor Schonell

Schonell Institute Queensland University

Publisher

Edition

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

1952 Not Available

Oliver and Boyd

spelling

either individual or group use

criterion-referenced

easy easy

Scoring aids available

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Rating

Global Scores

Available levels

There is only one level.

Range

Equivalent forms

Administration Time

 ${\bf Subtests}$

Ages 5 - 15 only one form 15 minutes Spelling

1. Spelling

Purpose Descriptors

spelling-general

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

write-word

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

Reviews

- [1] O.K. Buros editor, The Seventh Mental Measurement Yearbook (2 vol.), Highland Park, N.J., Gryphon Press, 1972, 1122-1125.
 - 1. N.D. Bryant: The SRDT only measures word recognition and analysis skills. The manual is clearly written, it includes a section on interpreting test results. Generally this test provides limited but useful diagnostic information.
 - 2. R.A. Kress: The artificial graphic representations included in the phonic tests makes its value guestionable. No validity is presented. It is doubtful that the SRDT will aid the teacher in the analysis of "specific reading needs". Its purpose, as a diagnostic test, is defeated by the artificial stimuli used.
- [2] E.E. Ekwall, and J.L. Shanker, Diagnosis and Remediation of the Disabled Reader(2nd edition), Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1983, 21-22.
 - Tests such as the SRDT have greater usefulness at the third grade level than non-verbal perception tests.
- [3] J. Salvia, and J.E. Ysseldyke, Assessment in Special and Remedial Education (2nd ed.), Boston, Mass., Houghton Mifflin, 1981, 208-211.

The SRDT was designed to assess skill development strengths and weaknesses in silent reading. The test has adequate reliability. There are no global scores, but the composite scores should be interpreted as such. The test recommends that an "average reading" score can be found by averaging test scores. Averaging scores for tests that sample different behaviors is a haphazard practice.

Norming Information

Norming date

Not Available

Sample size Place normed 2500 USA

Sample Range

Grades 2 - 7

Sample similar to

national population

Yes

Norming info in manual?

Unknown

Reliability Information

1. Split-half reliability: 0.8 - 0.95

2. Reliability information in manual? - Unknown

Validity Information

1. Information regarding content validity in manual? - Unknown

identifying-initial-consonant-combinatio

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word

visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent Stanine Score Percentiles

7. Ending Sounds

Purpose Descriptors

identifying-final-phoneme

word-endings

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word

visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent Stanine Score Percentiles

8. Vowels and Consonant Sounds

Purpose Descriptors

identifying-consonant

identifying-vowel

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word

visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent

Stanine Score

Percentiles

Purpose Descriptors identifying-root

Mode of Presentation timed

visual-word

visual-word-parts

Mode of Response

select-word-parts

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent Stanine Score Percentiles

4. Syllabication

Purpose Descriptors syllab

syllabication-identify-correct

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent Stanine Score Percentiles

5. Word Synthesis

Purpose Descriptors

blending-word-parts->nonsense-words

silent-comprehension

context

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-paragraph visual-word-parts visual-question

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent Stanine Score Percentiles

6. Beginning Sounds

Range

Equivalent forms Administration Time

Subtests

Grades 2 - 6

only one form 80 - 120 minutes

Words in Isolation

Words in Context

Visual Structural Analysis

Syllabication Word Synthesis Beginning Sounds Ending Sounds

Vowels and Consonant Sounds

1. Words in Isolation

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-picture

visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent Stanine Score Percentiles

2. Words in Context

Purpose Descriptors

context

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-sentence

visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent Stanine Score

Percentiles

3. Visual Structural Analysis

Silent Reading Diagnostic Tests (SRDT)

Guy L. Bond University of Minnesota Bruce Barlow

Cyril J. Hoyt

Publisher

Edition

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

Composite Scores

Lyons and Carnahan

1970

\$10

reading

group use

norm-referenced

easy

easy

Grade Equivalent

Stanine Score

Percentiles

Word Recognition-Total Right

Word Recognition-Total Errors

Word Recognition-Total Omitted

Word Recognition-Initial Errors

Word Recognition-Middle Errors

Word Recognition-Ending Errors

Word Recognition-Orientation Errors

Recognition Techniques-Total Right

Phonics Knowledge-Total Right

Available levels

There is only one level.

Reviews

[1] E.E. Ekwall, and J.L. Shanker, Diagnosis and Remediation of the Disabled Reader(2nd edition), Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1983, 91.

The Botel, DRS, and SDQA all have word lists which are similar to SORT.

[2] G.D. Spache, Diagnosing and Correcting Reading Disabilities (2nd ed.), Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1981, 217-220.

The rigidity of scoring makes this test culturally biased. There is a lack of information on validity and reliability. In converting raw scores to grade equivalents it is interesting to note that the number of words correct is always twice the grade equivalent, it probably means that it does not reflect actual mean scores of pupils at various grade levels.

[3] J.L. Tramill, J.K. Tramill, R. Thornthwaite, and F. Anderson, Investigation Into the Relationship of the WRAT, PIAT, SORT, and WISC-R in Low Functioning Referrals, *Psychology in the Schools*, 1981, 18:2, 149-153.

The study included only reading subtests, in the PIAT only Reading Comprehension was used. The concurrent validities are as follows: WRAT with PIAT 0.75; SORT with PIAT 0.63; and SORT with WRAT 0.26. The predictive validities of the reading subtests with WISC-R are as follows: PIAT 0.17 to 0.56; WRAT 0.15 to 0.57; and SORT 0.13 to 0.44. SORT seems to measure a different dimenson of reading not covered by WRAT and PIAT. However, the discussed relationship may be artificial due to the lack of standard scores.

Reliability Information

- 1. Test-retest reliability: 0.99
- 2. Reliability information in manual? Unknown

Validity Information

- 1. Concurrence validity
 - a. Gray
- i. Year: 1963
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.96
- iii. Information in manual? Unknown
- 2. Information regarding content validity in manual?
 Unknown

Slosson Oral Reading Test (SORT)

Richard L. Slosson

Publisher

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

Slosson Educational Publications

1963

\$1

reading

individual use

criterion-referenced

easy

easy

Grade Equivalents

Available levels

There is only one level.

Range Equivalent forms Administration Time

Subtests

Grades K - 8 only one form 5 minutes

Graded Word Lists

1. Graded Word Lists

Purpose Descriptors

reading-words

Mode of Presentation

visual-word

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring - Criterion

Grade Equivalents

Reviews

- [1] O.K. Buros editor, The Eighth Mental Measurement Yearbook(2 vol.), Highland Park, N.J., Gryphon Press, 1978, 1298-1300.
 - 1. B.H. Van Roekel: The primary purpose is "to diagnose pupil's strengths and weaknesses in reading". The use of content-referenced scores should appeal to diagnosticians who are obliged to determine where a pupil should begin his study. SDRT has few peers among group diagnostic reading tests. It is useful to teachers without special training in diagnosis and remediation. Teachers will have to give careful attention to interpretation.
- [2] E.E. Ekwall, and J.L. Shanker, Diagnosis and Remediation of the Disabled Reader(2nd edition), Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1983, 193-194.
 - The SRDT is both well constructed and well standarized. It is a group test and it is only possible to evaluate low level skills. It is not sufficient for prescription teaching.
- [3] J. Salvia, and J.E. Ysseldyke, Assessment in Special and Remedial Education (2nd ed.), Boston, Mass., Houghton Mifflin, 1981, 204-208.
 - The SRDT is both a normed and criterion referenced test. It is well standarized. It is reliable enough to pinpoint specific strengths and weaknesses in reading.
- [4] G.D. Spache, Diagnosing and Correcting Reading Disabilities (2nd ed.), Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1981, .
 - The SDRT is a well constructed and well standarized test. It does not cover all the skills necessary for a complete diagnosis of word analysis skills.
- [5] G. Wallace, and S.C. Larson, Educational Assessment of Learning Problems: Testing for Teaching, Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1978, 344-346.
 - The manual is extensive, it has a valuable section on how to interpret the test results. The SDRT is a good estimate of paragraph comprehension, it covers both literal and inferential comprehension. Validity of content is an important advantage to this test.
- [6] G. Ralph, and P. Park, Special Educational Material and Resources Handbook, Ontario, Canada, Ontario Ministry of Education, 1982, .
 - The SDRT produces information that facilitates its use as either a norm-referenced placement device or a criterion-referenced diagnostic device. There are behavioural objectives given for each item.

Norming Information

Norming date

1975

Sample size

58

Place normed

USA

Sample Range

Grades 2 - C

Sample similar to

national population

Yes

Norming info in manual?

Yes

Reliability Information

- 1. Equivalent forms reliability: 0.64 0.95
- 2. Kuder-Richardson reliability: 0.8 0.98
- 3. Reliability information in manual? Yes

Validity Information

- 1. Concurrence validity
 - a. SAT-Reading

i. Year : dk

ii. Range of correlations: 0.55 - 0.95

iii. Information in manual? - Yes

2. Information regarding content validity in manual?

- Yes

combining-word-parts->words

forming-compounds

Mode of Presentation

visual-word-parts

Mode of Response

select-word-parts

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent Stanine Score Percentiles

6. Scanning and Skimming

Purpose Descriptors

silent-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-reference-article

visual-question

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent Stanine Score Percentiles

7. Fast Reading

Purpose Descriptors

silent-comprehension

context

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-reference-article

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent Stanine Score

Percentiles

special-vocabulary

context

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-sentence visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent Stanine Score Percentiles

3. Word Parts

Purpose Descriptors

meaning-of-root-word

meaning-of-affixes

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-word

visual-word-parts

Mode of Response

select-meaning

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent Stanine Score Percentiles

4. Phonetic Analysis

Purpose Descriptors

identifying-consonant

identifying-vowel

Mode of Presentation

visual-word

visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent

Stanine Score Percentiles

5. Structural Analysis

silent-comprehension

context

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-paragraph visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent Stanine Score Percentiles

Blue Level

Range

Equivalent forms

Administration Time

Subtests

Grades 9 - 13

4

100 minutes Reading Comprehension

Word Meaning Word Parts

Phonetic Analysis Structural Analysis

Scanning and Skimming

Fast Reading

1. Reading Comprehension

Purpose Descriptors

silent-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-paragraph visual-question

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent Stanine Score

Percentiles

2. Word Meaning

silent-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-paragraph visual-question

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent Stanine Score Percentiles

3. Phonetic Analysis

Purpose Descriptors

identifying-consonant

identifying-vowel

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-word

visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent Stanine Score Percentiles

4. Structural Analysis

Purpose Descriptors

breaking-into-syllables

combining-word-parts->words

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-word

visual-word-parts

Mode of Response

write-syllables

select-word-parts

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent Stanine Score Percentiles

5. Reading Rate

silent-comprehension

context

Mode of Presentation

 \mathbf{timed}

visual-sentence visual-several-words visual-paragraph visual-question

Mode of Response

select-word

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent Stanine Score Percentiles

Brown Level

Range

Grades 5 - 8

Equivalent forms

2

Administration Time

120 minutes

Subtests

Auditory Vocabulary Reading Comprehension

Phonetic Analysis Structural Analysis

Reading Rate

1. Auditory Vocabulary

Purpose Descriptors

context

Mode of Presentation

auditory-sentence visual-several-words auditory-several-words

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent Stanine Score Percentiles

2. Reading Comprehension

Purpose Descriptors auditory-discrimination-initial-phoneme

auditory-discrimination-middle-phoneme

 ${\bf auditory\text{-}discrimination\text{-}final\text{-}phoneme}$

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word-pairs

Mode of Response

select-position-in-word

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent Stanine Score Percentiles

3. Phonetic Analysis

Purpose Descriptors

identifying-consonant

identifying-vowel

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-word

visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent Stanine Score Percentiles

4. Structural Analysis

Purpose Descriptors

breaking-into-syllables

combining-word-parts->words

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-word

visual-word-parts

Mode of Response

write-phoneme

select-word-parts

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent Stanine Score Percentiles

5. Reading Comprehension

silent-comprehension

context

Mode of Presentation

 \mathbf{timed}

visual-sentence visual-picture

visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-picture

select-word

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent Stanine Score

Percentiles

Green Level

Range

Equivalent forms

Administration Time

Subtests

Grades 3 - 4

-

145 minutes

Auditory Vocabulary Auditory Discrimination

Phonetic Analysis Structural Analysis

Reading Comprehension

1. Auditory Vocabulary

Purpose Descriptors

context

Mode of Presentation

visual-several-words auditory-sentence

auditory-several-words

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent Stanine Score

Percentiles

2. Auditory Discrimination

identifying-initial-phonemes

identifying-final-phoneme

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

visual-several-letters

auditory-word

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

select-letter

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent Stanine Score Percentiles

4. Word Reading

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-picture

visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent Stanine Score Percentiles

5. Reading Comprehension

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture auditory-word

visual-several-words auditory-sentence

auditory-several-words

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

select-picture

select-word

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent Stanine Score Percentiles

2. Auditory Discrimination

Purpose Descriptors

auditory-discrimination-initial-phoneme

auditory-discrimination-final-phoneme

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word-pairs

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

select-true-false

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent Stanine Score Percentiles

3. Phonetic Analysis

Stanford Diagnostic Reading Test (SDRT)

Bjorn Karlsen

California State College

Richard Madden

San Diego State University

Eric F. Gardner Syracuse University

Publisher

Edition

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

Available levels

Range

Harcourt Brace Jovanovich

1977

\$200

reading group use

both norm and criterion referenced

requires some training

Scoring aids available

No Scores

Red Level

Green Level Brown Level

Blue Level

Red Level

Equivalent forms

Administration Time

Subtests

Grades 1 - 2

120 minutes

Auditory Vocabulary Auditory Discrimination

Phonetic Analysis

Word Reading

Reading Comprehension

1. Auditory Vocabulary

Reviews

[1] E.E. Ekwall, and J.L. Shanker, Diagnosis and Remediation of the Disabled Reader(2nd edition), Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1983, 207.

In cases where there is no recent group achievement tests to serve as a guideline for beginning diagnostic procedures, or informal reading inventories, a good beginning point would be a word pronounciation test such as the SDQA or the "Word Pronounciation" subtest of WRAT.

[2] G.D. Spache, Diagnosing and Correcting Reading Disabilities (2nd ed.), Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1981, 192-195.

The resemblance of the SDQA to other informal measures and to the Botel is obvious. Because of this it seems the author does not feel she has to prove that her test measures what she claims it does. The graded word lists, test of comprehension, and the word opposites, are of unknown sources, unknown value in learning to read, and of dubious discriminative power. The oral inventory must be composed by the author, for it is not similar in its selection to basal readers. When assessed using Spach and Dale-Chall readability formulae the scaling is proved faulty.

[3] G. Wallace, and S.C. Larson, Educational Assessment of Learning Problems: Testing for Teaching, Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1978, 315.

The graded word lists in SDQA is similar to word lists in Botel, DRS and SORT.

Reliability Information

1. Reliability information in manual? - Unknown

Validity Information

Information regarding content validity in manual?
 Unknown

antonyms

Mode of Presentation

auditory-several-words

Mode of Response

orally-select-word

Scoring - Criterion

Grade Equivalents

3. Quick Oral Inventory

Purpose Descriptors

silent-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

visual-paragraph auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-paragraph oral-answer

Scoring - Criterion

Grade Equivalents

4. Pattern Learning Test

Purpose Descriptors

memory-taught

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture visual-several-words

auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

oral-several-words

Scoring - Criterion

Grade Equivalents

San Diego Quick Assessment (SDQA)

Margaret LaPray

San Diego State College

Ramon Ross

San Diego State College

Publisher

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

, po or rest

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

unknown

dk

Not Available

reading

individual use

criterion-referenced

 \mathbf{easy}

easy

Grade Equivalents

Available levels

There is only one level.

Range

Equivalent forms

Administration Time

Subtests

Grades P - 11 only one form

Unknown

Graded Word Lists

Graded Word Opposites Quick Oral Inventory Pattern Learning Test

1. Graded Word Lists

Purpose Descriptors

reading-words

Mode of Presentation

visual-word

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring - Criterion

Grade Equivalents

2. Graded Word Opposites

Reviews

[1] G.O. Bunch, and G. Robertson, Selected Psychoeducational Tests, A Layman's Handbook, Orillia, Ontario, Ptarmigan Publishers, 1982, 32.

A form of this test has been edited by J. McLeod at the University of Saskatchewan for Canadaian schools.

Validity Information

Information regarding content validity in manual?
 No

reading-words

Mode of Presentation

visual-word

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

Norming Information

Norming date

Sample size Place normed

Sample Range

Sample similar to national population

Not Available Not Available

others

Not Available

Unknown

Norming info in manual?

No

Reliability Information

1. Reliability information in manual? - No

Schonell Reading Test R1 (Schonell)

Fred J. Schonell

Schonell Institute Queensland University

F. Eleanor Schonell

Schonell Institute Queensland University

J. McLeod

University of Saskatchewan

Publisher

Edition

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

Oliver and Boyd

3

1977

Not Available

reading

individual use norm-referenced

easy easy

Quotient Score

Age Equivalent

Available levels

There is only one level.

Range

Equivalent forms

Administration Time

Subtests

Ages 5 - 15

only one form

15 minutes

Word Lists

1. Word Lists

The short-form of the S-B can save time (33%). The four starred subtests are the short form. The difference between the full scale and the abbreviated test can be as high as 17 months. The norms for the S-B were revised in 1972 The 1972 norms have lower IQ's than the 1960 norms. In test-retest situations it is advisable to use the same norms. The 1972 standardization sample included minorities but the culturally biased items still remain.

Reviews

- [1] O.K. Buros editor, The Seventh Mental Measurement Yearbook(2 vol.), Highland Park, N.J., Gryphon Press, 1972, 425-428.
 - 1. D. Freides: The S-B is an old, old vehical. It has led distinguished life as a pioneer in the bootstrap operation that is the assessment enterprise. Its time is just about over. Rest in peace.
- [2] O.K Buros editor, The Sixth Mental Measurement Yearbook (2 vol.), Highland Park, N.J., Gryphon Press, 1965, 535-537.
 - 2. E.D. Fraser: The loss of the alternate form of the S-B is not likely to disturb many people as the WISC is now available. Some of the disadvantages of the S-B have been eliminated, but is less convenient that the WISC, as does not attempt a breakdown analysis of the scores. The advantage is better coverage at the lower end of the scale, and more reliable assessment of the extreme ranges of intelligence.
- [3] G.O. Bunch, and G. Robertson, Selected Psychoeducational Tests, A Layman's Handbook, Orillia, Ontario, Ptarmigan Publishers, nil, 99-100.
 - The S-B has a wide range of items and levels; thus, it is suitable for testing individuals who deviate far above and below the average. The criticisms of the test include: heavy emphases on verbal and rote memory tests; one score to represent the complex nature of cognitive functions; and failure to measure creative ability. The manual is complicated and unorganized. Reliability and validity are not given.
- [4] C. Compton, A Guide to 65 Tests for Special Education, Belmont, California, Fearon Education: a division of Pitman Learning Inc., 1980, 239-241.
 - The S-B is the only intelligence scale available for the age range between thirty and forty-eight months when the WPPSI starts. It is good for assessing preschool children because of the better coverage at the lower end of the scale. It is good for testing students with very high intelligence ability because of its reliability at the extremes of the IQ range. It was not designed as a test of differential skills and any attempt to use it in that way yields questionable results. Reliability and validity for the 1972 norms are not reported. The S-B is a difficult test to learn to administer correctly.
- [5] **J.M. Sattler**, Assessment of Children's Intelligence, Philadelphia, PA, W.B. Saunders Company, 1974, 87-148;503-510.

Reliability Information

1. Reliability information in manual? - No Name of Publication Stanford-Binet Intelligence Scale 1972 Norms Tables Date of Publication:

Validity Information

- 1. Concurrence validity
 - a. CogAT
- i. Year: 1972
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.2 0.71
- iii. Information in manual? No
- 2. Information regarding content validity in manual?
 - No

listening-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

auditory-paragraph

Mode of Response

oral-paragraph

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

7. Alternative- Analogies V

Purpose Descriptors

language-analogies

Mode of Presentation

auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

Norming Information

Norming date Sample size 1972 2100 1937 3184

Place normed

2100 USA USA

Sample Range

Ages 2 - 18

Grade nil

Sample similar to national population

Yes

Norming info in manual?

No

listening-comprehension

general-knowledge generalizing-concepts

Mode of Presentation

auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

3. Opposite Analogies IV

Purpose Descriptors

language-analogies

Mode of Presentation

auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

4. Orientation: Directions III

Purpose Descriptors

Mode of Presentation

auditory-sentence auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

5. Reasoning II

Purpose Descriptors

problem-solving

Mode of Presentation

timed

auditory-paragraph auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-number

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

6. Repeating Thought of Passage II: Tests

listening-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

auditory-paragraph

Mode of Response

oral-paragraph

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

7. Alternate- Codes

Purpose Descriptors

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-several-words

Mode of Response

write-phrases

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

Superior Adult III

Range

Equivalent forms Administration Time

Subtests

Not Available only one form 20 - 30 minutes

Vocabulary

Proverbs III

Opposite Analogies IV Orientation: Directions III

Reasoning II

Repeating Thought of Passage II: Tests

Alternative- Analogies V

1. Vocabulary

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Age Equivalent

2. Proverbs III

listening-comprehension

general-knowledge generalizing-concepts

Mode of Presentation

auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

4. Ingenuity I

Purpose Descriptors

problem-solving

Mode of Presentation

timed

auditory-paragraph auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

5. Essential Differences

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings

general-knowledge

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word-pairs

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

6. Repeating Thoughts of Passage I- Value of Life

Range

Equivalent forms
Administration Time

Subtests

Not Available only one form 20 - 30 minutes Vocabulary

Finding Reasons III

Proverbs II Ingenuity I

Essential Differences

Repeating Thoughts of Passage I- Value of Life

Alternate- Codes

1. Vocabulary

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Age Equivalent

2. Finding Reasons III

Purpose Descriptors

general-knowledge

social-judgment

Mode of Presentation

auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

3. Proverbs II

auditory-memory-reversed

Mode of Presentation

auditory-several-numbers

Mode of Response

oral-several-numbers

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

5. Sentence Building

Purpose Descriptors

verbal-expression

Mode of Presentation

auditory-several-words

Mode of Response

oral-sentence

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

6. Essential Similarities

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings general-knowledge

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word-pairs

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

7. Alternative- Reconciliation of Opposites

Purpose Descriptors

general-knowledge

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word-pairs

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

Range

Equivalent forms Administration Time

Subtests

Not Available only one form 20 - 30 minutes Vocabulary

Enclosed Box Problem Minkus Completion II

Repeated Six Digits Reversed

Sentence Building Essential Similarities

Alternative- Reconciliation of Opposites

1. Vocabulary

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Age Equivalent

2. Enclosed Box Problem

Purpose Descriptors

problem-solving

Mode of Presentation

Mode of Response

select-figure select-number

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

3. Minkus Completion II

Purpose Descriptors

context

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-sentence

Mode of Response

write-word

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

4. Repeated Six Digits Reversed

Mode of Presentation v

visual-question

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

7. Essential Differences

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word-pairs

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

8. Abstract Words III

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

9. Alternative-Binet Paper Cutting

Purpose Descriptors

non-verbal-reasoning

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

manual-manipulate-object

Mode of Response

draws-figure

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word-pairs

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

4. Arithmetic Reasoning

Purpose Descriptors

problem-solving

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-sentence visual-question

Mode of Response

oral-sentence oral-question oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

5. Proverbs I

Purpose Descriptors

listening-comprehension

general-knowledge general-knowledge

Mode of Presentation

auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

6. Orientation: Direction II

Average Adult

Range

Equivalent forms

Administration Time

Subtests

Not Available only one form

20 - 30 minutes

Vocabulary Ingenuity I

Differences Between Abstract Words

Arithmetic Reasoning

Proverbs I

Orientation: Direction II Essential Differences Abstract Words III

Alternative- Binet Paper Cutting

1. Vocabulary

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

2. Ingenuity I

Purpose Descriptors

problem-solving

Mode of Presentation

timed

auditory-paragraph auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

3. Differences Between Abstract Words

problem-solving

Mode of Presentation

timed

auditory-paragraph auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

5. Orientation: Direction I

Purpose Descriptors

computation-decimals

Mode of Presentation

auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

6. Reconciliation of Opposites

Purpose Descriptors

general-knowledge

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word-pairs

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

7. Alterative- Ingenuity II

Purpose Descriptors

problem-solving

Mode of Presentation

timed

auditory-sentence auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Age Equivalent

2. Induction

Purpose Descriptors

induction

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

manual-manipulate-object

auditory-paragraph auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

3. Reasoning I

Purpose Descriptors

listening-comprehension

silent-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

visual-paragraph visual-question auditory-paragraph

auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

4. Ingenuity I

visual-memory

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

demonstrates-movement

Mode of Response

manual-manipulate-objects

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

7. Alterative- Paper Cutting

Purpose Descriptors

non-verbal-reasoning

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

manual-manipulate-object

Mode of Response

draws-figure

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

Year XIV

Range

Equivalent forms

Administration Time

Subtests

Not Available

12

20 - 30 minutes

Vocabulary Induction Reasoning I Ingenuity I

Orientation: Direction I Reconciliation of Opposites Alterative- Ingenuity II

1. Vocabulary

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

3. Memory For Sentences III

Purpose Descriptors

auditory-memory

Mode of Presentation

auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

oral-sentence

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

4. Problems of Fact

Purpose Descriptors

general-knowledge

listening-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

auditory-paragraph auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

5. Dissected Sentences

Purpose Descriptors

arranging-a-sentence

Mode of Presentation

Mode of Response

select-order oral-sentence

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

6. Copying a Bead Chain From Memory

context

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-sentence

Mode of Response

write-word

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

7. Alternative- Memory For Design II

Purpose Descriptors

visual-memory

Mode of Presentation

visual-figure

Mode of Response

draws-figure

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

Year XIII

Range

Equivalent forms
Administration Time

Subtests

Not Available

only one form 20 - 30 minutes

Plan of Search

Abstract Words II

Memory For Sentences III

Problems of Fact Dissected Sentences

Copying a Bead Chain From Memory

Alterative- Paper Cutting

1. Plan of Search

Purpose Descriptors

non-verbal-reasoning

Mode of Presentation

visual-figure

Mode of Response

draws-figure

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

2. Abstract Words II

detecting-absurdities listening-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

3. Picture Absurdities

Purpose Descriptors

detecting-absurdities picture-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture visual-phrases

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

4. Repeating Five Digits Reversed

Purpose Descriptors

auditory-memory-reversed

Mode of Presentation

auditory-several-numbers

Mode of Response

oral-several-numbers

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

5. Abstract Words II

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

6. Minkus Completion I

general-knowledge

Mode of Presentation

auditory-several-words

auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

7. Alternative-Finding Reasons II

Purpose Descriptors

social-judgment

Mode of Presentation

auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

Year XII

Range Equivalent forms Administration Time

Subtests

Not Available only one form 20 - 30 minutes Vocabulary

Verbal Absurdities II Picture Absurdities

Repeating Five Digits Reversed

Abstract Words II Minkus Completion I

Alternative- Memory For Design II

1. Vocabulary

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Age Equivalent

2. Verbal Absurdities II

detecting-absurdities listening-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

3. Abstract Words II

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

4. Memory For Sentences II

Purpose Descriptors

auditory-memory

Mode of Presentation

auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

oral-sentence

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

5. Problem Situations II

Purpose Descriptors

general-knowledge listening-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

auditory-paragraph auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

6. Similarities: Three Things

detecting-absurdities

listening-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

Year XI

Range Equivalent forms Administration Time Subtests Not Available only one form 20 - 30 minutes

Memory for Designs I Verbal Absurdities Abstract Words II

Memory For Sentences II Problem Situations II Similarities: Three Things Alternative- Finding Reasons II

1. Memory for Designs I

Purpose Descriptors

visual-memory

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-figure

Mode of Response

draws-figure

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

2. Verbal Absurdities

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

4. Finding Reasons I

Purpose Descriptors

social-judgment

Mode of Presentation

auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

5. Word Naming

Purpose Descriptors

productivity

Mode of Presentation

timed

auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-several-words

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

6. Repeating Six Digits

Purpose Descriptors

auditory-memory

Mode of Presentation

auditory-several-numbers

Mode of Response

oral-several-numbers

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

7. Alternate- Verbal Absurdities III

rhyming-words

Mode of Presentation

 timed

auditory-word

Mode of Response

oral-several-words

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

Year X

Range Equivalent forms Administration Time Subtests Not Available only one form 20 - 30 minutes Vocabulary Block Counting Abstract Words I Finding Reasons I

Word Naming
Repeating Six Digits

Alternate- Verbal Absurdities III

1. Vocabulary

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Age Equivalent

2. Block Counting

Purpose Descriptors

figure-synthesis

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

Mode of Response

oral-number

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

3. Abstract Words I

visual-memory

Mode of Presentation

timed visual-figure

draws-figure

Scoring- Normed

Mode of Response

Raw Scores Only

4. Rhymes- New Form

Purpose Descriptors

rhyming-words word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

5. Making Change

Purpose Descriptors

money

Mode of Presentation

auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

6. Repeating Four Digits Reversed

Purpose Descriptors

auditory-memory-reversed

Mode of Presentation

auditory-several-numbers

Mode of Response

oral-several-numbers

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

7. Alternate- Rhymes:Old Form

Range Equivalent forms Administration Time Subtests Not Available
only one form
20 - 30 minutes
Paper Cutting
Verbal Absurdities
Memory For Designs I
Rhymes- New Form
Making Change

Repeating Four Digits Reversed Alternate- Rhymes:Old Form

1. Paper Cutting

Purpose Descriptors

non-verbal-reasoning

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

manual-manipulate-object

Mode of Response

draws-figure

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

2. Verbal Absurdities

Purpose Descriptors

detecting-absurdities listening-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

3. Memory For Designs I

social-judgment

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word-pairs auditory-several-words

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

6. Naming the Days of the Week

Purpose Descriptors

general-knowledge

Mode of Presentation

auditory-directions

Mode of Response

oral-several-words

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

7. Alternate- Problem Situations I

Purpose Descriptors

listening-comprehension

general-knowledge

Mode of Presentation

auditory-paragraph

auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

Year IX

listening-comprehension

silent-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

visual-paragraph auditory-paragraph auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

3. Verbal Absurdities I

Purpose Descriptors

detecting-absurdities listening-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

4. Similarities

Purpose Descriptors

general-knowledge

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word-pairs auditory-several-words

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

5. Comprehension IV

auditory-memory-reversed

Mode of Presentation

auditory-several-numbers

Mode of Response

oral-several-numbers

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

Year VIII

Range Equivalent forms Administration Time Subtests Not Available only one form 20 - 30 minutes Vocabulary

Memory for Stories:The Wet Fall

Verbal Absurdities I

Similarities

Comprehension IV

Naming the Days of the Week Alternate- Problem Situations I

1. Vocabulary

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

2. Memory for Stories:The Wet Fall

copying-designs

Mode of Presentation

visual-figure

Mode of Response

draws-figure

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

4. Comprehension IV

Purpose Descriptors

general-knowledge

social-judgment

Mode of Presentation

auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

5. Opposite Analogies III

Purpose Descriptors

language-analogies

Mode of Presentation

auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

6. Repeating Five Digits

Purpose Descriptors

auditory-memory

Mode of Presentation

auditory-several-numbers

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

7. Alternate-Three Digits Reversed

Range Equivalent forms Administration Time Subtests Not Available only one form 20 - 30 minutes

Picture Absurdities I
Similarities:Two Things
Copying a Diamond
Comprehension IV
Opposite Analogies III
Repeating Five Digits

Alternate-Three Digits Reversed

1. Picture Absurdities I

Purpose Descriptors

picture-comprehension

detecting-absurdities

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

2. Similarities:Two Things

Purpose Descriptors

general-knowledge

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word-pairs auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

3. Copying a Diamond

math-readiness

Mode of Presentation

object auditory-question

Mode of Response

select-nonsense-word

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

5. Opposite Analogies

Purpose Descriptors

language-analogies

Mode of Presentation

auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

6. Maze Tracing

Purpose Descriptors

solving-mazes

Mode of Presentation

visual-maze

auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

draws-figure

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

7. Alternate-Response to Picture-Level II

Purpose Descriptors

picture-comprehension

 ${\bf verbal\text{-}expression}$

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

Mode of Response

oral-sentence

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

Range Equivalent forms Administration Time Subtests Not Available only one form 20 - 30 minutes Vocabulary Differences

Mutilated Pictures Number Concepts Opposite Analogies Maze Tracing

Alternate-Response to Picture-Level II

1. Vocabulary

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Age Equivalent

2. Differences

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings

general-knowledge

Mode of Presentation

auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

3. Mutilated Pictures

Purpose Descriptors

visual-closure

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

4. Number Concepts

copying-designs

Mode of Presentation

visual-figure

Mode of Response

draws-figure

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

5. Pictorial Similarities and Differences

Purpose Descriptors

identify-different

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture auditory-question

Mode of Response

orally-select-true-false

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

6. Patience:Rectangle

Purpose Descriptors

solving-puzzles

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture-puzzle

Mode of Response

select-nonsense-word

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

7. Alternate-Knot

Purpose Descriptors

Mode of Presentation

object

demonstrates-movement

Mode of Response

manual-hand-gestures

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

visual-closure

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

drawn-picture

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

2. Paper Folding-Triangle

Purpose Descriptors

math-readiness

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

 $demonstrates\hbox{-}movement$

auditory-directions

Mode of Response

manual-manipulate-objects

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

3. Definitions

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings

 ${\bf general\text{-}knowledge}$

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word

auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

4. Copy a Square

general-knowledge

Mode of Presentation

auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

7. Alternate-Pictorial Identification

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

Year V

Range

Equivalent forms
Administration Time

Subtests

Not Available

only one form 20 - 30 minutes

Picture Completion

Paper Folding-Triangle

Definitions

Copy a Square

Pictorial Similarities and Differences

Patience:Rectangle Alternate-Knot

1. Picture Completion

language-analogies

Mode of Presentation

auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

3. Pictorial Similarities and Differences I

Purpose Descriptors

identify-different

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

4. Materials

Purpose Descriptors

general-knowledge

Mode of Presentation

auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

5. Three Commissions

Purpose Descriptors

processing-auditory-directions

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

auditory-directions

Mode of Response

manual-manipulate-objects

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

6. Comprehension III

general-knowledge

Mode of Presentation

auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

7. Alternative-Memory of Sentence I

Purpose Descriptors

auditory-memory

Mode of Presentation

auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

oral-sentence

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

Level IV-6

Range

Equivalent forms

Administration Time

Subtests

Not Available only one form

20 - 30 minutes Aesthetic Comparison

Opposite Analogies

Pictorial Similarities and Differences I

Materials

Three Commissions Comprehension III

Alternate-Pictorial Identification

1. Aesthetic Comparison

Purpose Descriptors

aesthetic-comparisons

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture auditory-question

Mode of Response

select-figure

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

2. Opposite Analogies

visual-memory

Mode of Presentation

object

manual-manipulate-object

auditory-directions

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

3. Opposite Analogies

Purpose Descriptors

language-analogies

Mode of Presentation

auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

4. Pictorial Identification

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

5. Discrimination of Forms

Purpose Descriptors

matching-figures

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

6. Comprehension II

general-knowledge

Mode of Presentation

auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

7. Alternate-Comparison of Sticks

Purpose Descriptors

math-readiness

Mode of Presentation

object

auditory-directions

Mode of Response

select-object

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

Year IV

Range

Equivalent forms

Administration Time Subtests

Not Available only one form

20 - 30 minutes

Picture Vocabulary

Naming Objects From Memory

Opposite Analogies
Pictorial Identification
Discrimination of Forms

Comprehension II

Alternative-Memory of Sentence I

1. Picture Vocabulary

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

2. Naming Objects From Memory

matching-pictures

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

auditory-directions

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

4. Response to Pictures:Level I

Purpose Descriptors

picture-comprehension

verbal-expression

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

auditory-directions

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

5. Sorting Buttons

Purpose Descriptors

visual-association

Mode of Presentation

timed

object

manual-manipulate-object

auditory-directions

Mode of Response

manual-manipulate-objects

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

6. Comprehension I

auditory-memory

Mode of Presentation

auditory-several-numbers

Mode of Response

oral-several-numbers

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

Year III-6

Range

Equivalent forms Administration Time

Subtests

Not Available only one form 20 - 30 minutes Comparison of Balls

Patience:Pictures

Discrimination of Animal Pictures

Response to Pictures:Level I

Sorting Buttons Comprehension I

Alternate-Comparison of Sticks

1. Comparison of Balls

Purpose Descriptors

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

auditory-directions

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

2. Patience:Pictures

Purpose Descriptors

solving-puzzles

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture-puzzle

auditory-directions

Mode of Response

manual-manipulate-objects

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

3. Discrimination of Animal Pictures

visual-memory

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

auditory-directions

Mode of Response

oral-word select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

5. Copy a Circle

Purpose Descriptors

writing-numbers

Mode of Presentation

visual-figure

auditory-directions

Mode of Response

draws-figure

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

6. Drawing a Vertical Line

Purpose Descriptors

copying-designs

Mode of Presentation

draws-figure

 ${\bf demonstrates\text{-}movement}$

auditory-directions

Mode of Response

draws-figure

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

7. Alternate-Repeating Three Digits

Mode of Presentation timed

object

auditory-directions

demonstrates-movement

Mode of Response

manual-manipulate-objects

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

2. Picture Vocabulary

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

Mode of Response

select-letter

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

3. Block Building-Bridge

Purpose Descriptors

processing-auditory-directions

Mode of Presentation

object

demonstrates-movement

auditory-directions

Mode of Response

manual-manipulate-objects

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

4. Picture Memories

Purpose Descriptors processing-auditory-directions

Mode of Presentation object

auditory-directions

Mode of Response

manual-manipulate-objects

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

7. Alternate-Three Hole Form Board

Purpose Descriptors

Mode of Presentation

visual-map

manual-manipulate-object

Mode of Response

manual-manipulate-objects

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

Year III

Range

Equivalent forms Administration Time

Subtests

Not Available

only one form

20 - 30 minutes Stringing Beads

Picture Vocabulary Block Building-Bridge

Picture Memories

Copy a Circle

Drawing a Vertical Line

Alternate-Repeating Three Digits

1. Stringing Beads

knowledge-of-body-parts

Mode of Presentation

object

auditory-directions

Mode of Response

manual-hand-gestures

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

3. Naming Objects

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

object

auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

4. Picture Vocabulary

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

5. Repeating Two Digits

Purpose Descriptors

auditory-memory

Mode of Presentation

auditory-several-numbers

Mode of Response

oral-several-numbers

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

6. Obeying Simple Commands

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

object

auditory-directions

Mode of Response

select-object

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

Year II-6

Range
Equivalent forms
Administration Time
Subtests

Not Available only one form 20 - 30 minutes

Identifying Object by Use Identify Parts of the Body

Naming Objects Picture Vocabulary Repeating Two Digits

Obeying Simple Commands

Alternate-Three Hole Form Board

1. Identifying Object by Use

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

object

auditory-directions

Mode of Response

select-object

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

2. Identify Parts of the Body

Purpose Descriptors knowledge-of-body-parts

Mode of Presentation visual-picture

auditory-directions

Mode of Response

manual-hand-gestures

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

4. Block Building Tower

Purpose Descriptors processing-auditory-directions

Mode of Presentation object

demonstrates-movement

auditory-directions

Mode of Response

manual-manipulate-objects

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

5. Picture Vocabulary

Purpose Descriptors word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

6. Word Combinations

Purpose Descriptors

thought-units

Mode of Presentation

Mode of Response

oral-phrases

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

7. Alternative-Identifying Object by Name

Range

Equivalent forms Administration Time

Subtests

Not Available only one form 20 - 30 minutes

Three-Hole Form Board

Delayed Response

Identifying Parts of the Body

Block Building Tower Picture Vocabulary Word Combinations

Alternative-Identifying Object by Name

1. Three-Hole Form Board

Purpose Descriptors

Mode of Presentation

object

manual-manipulate-object

auditory-directions

Mode of Response

manual-manipulate-objects

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

2. Delayed Response

Purpose Descriptors

visual-memory

Mode of Presentation

timed

object

manual-manipulate-object

auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

manual-manipulate-objects

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

3. Identifying Parts of the Body

Stanford-Binet Intelligence Scale (S-B)

Lewis M. Terman Stanford University Maud A. Merrill Stanford University

Publisher Edition

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

Houghton-Mifflin Company

1960 \$285

intelligence individual use norm-referenced

requires extensive training and practice requires extensive training and practice

Scoring aids available

Quotient Score

Mean: 100

Standard Deviation: 16

Age Equivalent

Available levels

Year II

Year II-6

Year III

Year III-6

Year IV

Level IV-6

Year V

Year VI

Year VII

Year VIII

Year IX

Year X

Year XI

Year XII

Year XIII

Year XIV

Average Adult

Superior Adult I

Superior Adult II

Superior Adult III

Reviews

- [1] O.K. Buros editor, The Eighth Mental Measurement Yearbook(2 vol.), Highland Park, N.J., Gryphon Press, 1978, 1225-1228.
 - 1. M.S. Johnson: The test and the directions lead superficiality to objectives. The "gross screening" pretest is supposed to give you a starting place for the inventory, but it actually provides the same information as the test itself and is much easier to administer. The SARPI overestimates reading levels. There is no source given for the criteria of these reading levels and they are different than other inventories.
 - 2. J. Stafford: The manual makes no suggestion regarding what to do if the children score at different levels on some subtests. Are informal inventories that are NOT made by the teacher valid? No data is given to indicate that the SARPI is valid for its intended purpose.
 - 3. J.L. Wardrop: It is unfortunate that it is marketed as a test.
 - 4. J. Johns: The criteria for reading levels is not the same as other tests. The SARPI would be an asset to any classroom teacher interested in identifying each pupil's reading levels.
- [2] J.A. McLoughlin, and R.B. Lewis, Assessing Special Students: Strategies and Procedures, Columbus, Ohio, Merrill, 1981, 358-360.

The SARPI is useful for the assessment of word recognition and comprehension skills.

[3] G.D. Spache, Diagnosing and Correcting Reading Disabilities (2nd ed.), Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1981, 230.

Some criticisms are lack of reliability or validity data, the inadequate scoring directions for recording word recognition errors or answers to the comprehension questions, the differing standards for instructional, independent, and frustration levels from those commonly used in informal inventories, and the absence of any measure of silent reading.

Validity Information

1. Information regarding content validity in manual?- Unknown

sight-words

Mode of Presentation

visual-word

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring - Criterion

Grade Equivalents

2. Oral Reading

Purpose Descriptors

oral-reading-paragraphs

oral-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

visual-paragraph

auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-paragraph

oral-answer

Scoring - Criterion

Grade Equivalents

Reliability Information

1. Reliability information in manual? - Unknown

Sucher-Allred Reading Placement Inventory (SARPI)

Floyd Sucher Brigham Young University Ruel A. Allred

Publisher Edition Publication date

Cast

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration Ease of scoring

Global Scores

Economy Company

2 1973 \$6

reading

individual use

criterion-referenced

easy easy

No Scores

Available levels

There is only one level.

Range Equivalent forms Administration Time Subtests Grades 1 - 9 only one form 20 minutes Word Recognition Oral Reading

1. Word Recognition

[11] **J.A.** Naglieri, Use of the WISC-R and the PPVT-R with Mentally Retarded Children, *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 1982,38:3,635-637.

The PPVT-R has been shown to correlate positively and significantly with the WISC-R IQ scores and to yield a mean standard score that is very similar to the WISC-R Full Range IQ and the McCarthy General Cognitive Index. Evidence suggests that the PPVT-R may not under- or overestimate IQ scores as the PPVT did. The PPVT-R and the WISC-R are not interchangeable. The PPVT-R appears to be more appropriate as a measure of verbal comprehension which does not require verbal expression.

[12] **B. Bracket, and D. Prasse**, Concurrent Validity of the PPVT-R for at risk Preschool Children, *Psychology in the School*, 1983, 20:1,13-15.

The study consisted of 35 at risk preschool children. Nonsignificant differences were found between Form L and M of the PPVT-R and the McCarthy General Cognitive Index scores. Correlations between these scores were all significant and in the moderate range of 0.41 to 0.69. Alternate-form reliability is 0.87. The PPVT-R should not be viewed as an IQ test because it is restricted in terms of skills it measures.

- 1965 ed.: The PPVT is considered a language test. The PPVT may be used in the assessment of receptive language, but further assessment is needed before instructional plans can be made.
- [6] J. Salvia, and J.E. Ysseldyke, Assessment in Special and Remedial Education (2nd ed.), Boston, Mass., Houghton Mifflin, 1981, 276-279.
 - The PPVT-R is listed with the intelligence tests. It is well developed and adequately standardized. Reliability is adequate but no validity is given. It samples only receptive vocabulary and can serve as an useful screening device.
- [7] G.D. Spache, Diagnosing and Correcting Reading Disabilities (2nd ed.), Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1981, 94-95.
 - Spache lists the PPVT-R under alternate tests of intelligence. It is purely a measure of vocabulary, recognized as a good sample of verbal intelligence. The PPVT-R is recommended over the Full Range and Quick Tests because of the similarity to the WISC and the S-B, its shorter testing time, and its greater discrimination especially at the lower age range.
- [8] G. Wallace, and S.C. Larson, Educational Assessment of Learning Problems: Testing for Teaching, Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1978, 260-261.
 - The PPVT is listed as a test of semantics, but it could be more accurately labelled a test of vocabulary. The user is cautioned to use the test to assess vocabulary only.
- [9] B.S. Tillinghast Jr., J.E. Morrow, and G.E. Uhlig, Retest and Alternate Form Reliabilities of the PPVT-R with Forth, Fifth, and Sixth Grade Pupils, *The Journal of Educational Research*, 1983, 76:4,246.
 - 120 regular classroom students were given the 1981 edition of PPVT-R. The sample was 50% boys and 50% girls. The results were as follows: alternate-form reliability 0.76 to 0.87; test- rest Form L 0.85 to 0.92, Form M 0.82 to 0.9, with an eight day delay; and test-retest of longer Form L-M 0.91 to 0.95.
- [10] M.J. Breen, Comparison of the WISC-R and the PPVT-R for a Referred Population, Psychological Reports D D, 1981,49:3,717-718.

The testing included 32 elementary school age children ages 6 to 15 years: 11 regular students, 17 learning disabled, and 4 emotionally disturbed. There were significant differences noted between the mean PPVT-R standard score and the 3 IQs of the WISC-R. Significant correlations were found for all comparisons. Validities between the PPVT-R were as follows: with Verbal IQ 0.73, with Performance IQ 0.37 and Full Scale IQ 0.71. Although sizeable variances remain unaccounted for, the PPVT-R and the Verbal IQ of the WISC-R may measure some common abilities.

- [1] O.K. Buros editor, The Sixth Mental Measurement Yearbook(2 vol.), Highland Park, N.J., Gryphon Press, 1965, 820-822.
 - 1. E.V. Piers: 1965 ed.: The PPVT was developed for use with special groups of students with reading or speech problems, brain damage, cerebral palsy, mental retardation or emotional withdrawal. Untrained individuals should be careful about using it to assign IQ scores.
- [2] G.O. Bunch, and G. Robertson, Selected Psychoeducational Tests, A Layman's Handbook, Orillia, Ontario, Ptarmigan Publishers, 1982, 95-96.
 - The PPVT-R is included with the intelligence tests, but it is referred to as a receptive vocabulary test. One advantage is that extensive training is not required. It has a short administration time, easy scoring, no oral responses, alternate forms and the test covers a wide range of ages. The major disadvantage is the limited approach taken regarding the concept of intelligence. The test can be a useful measure of language comprehension.
- [3] C. Compton, A Guide to 65 Tests for Special Education, Belmont, California, Fearon Education: a division of Pitman Learning Inc., 1980, 152-153.
 - Compton calls the PPVT-R a speech and language test. The major area tested is receptive single word vocabulary. There is a Technical Supplement published separately. The new edition was standardized nation-wide. The strength of the test is that it is well designed and well normed. The limiting factors include the one single skill tested and the fact that the test does not predict verbal performance. Only nouns, verbs and adjectives are tested. Low scores could reflect problems in comprehending pictures or the inability to scan and select visual material.
- [4] E.E. Ekwall, and J.L. Shanker, Diagnosis and Remediation of the Disabled Reader(2nd edition), Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1983, 256.
 - When intelligence tests are used as a measure of reading potential an individual test is necessary. The most common ones are WISC-R, WAIS, S-B, SIT, and the PPVT-R. The PPVT-R takes less time, but it measures a much narrower spectrum of intelligence than the others. The scores should be interpreted as a measure of vocabulary and experience and not as an overall measure of intelligence.
- [5] J.A. McLoughlin, and R.B. Lewis, Assessing Special Students: Strategies and Procedures, Columbus, Ohio, Merrill, 1981, 457-459.

Reliability Information

- 1. Test-retest reliability: 0.82 0.92
- 2. Split-half reliability: 0.61 0.88
- 3. Equivalent forms reliability: 0.73 0.91
- 4. Reliability information in manual? Yes

Validity Information

- 1. Criterion/predictive validity
 - a. S-B
- i. Year: 1974
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.66 0.71
- iii. Information in manual? No
- b. WISC
- i. Year: 1974
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.61
- iii. Information in manual? No
- 2. Information regarding content validity in manual?Yes

word-meanings general-knowledge

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

Norming Information

Norming date Sample size 1979

5028

Place normed

USA

Sample Range

Ages 2.6 - 40

Sample similar to national population

Yes

Norming info in manual?

Yes

Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test-Revised (PPVT-R)

Lloyd M. Dunn

Leota M. Dunn Honolulu, Hawaii

Publisher

Edition

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

American Guidance Service

1981

\$45

intelligence

language

individual use

norm-referenced

easy

easy

Scoring aids available

Standard Score

Age Equivalent Stanine Score

Percentiles

Available levels

There is only one level.

Range

Equivalent forms

Administration Time

Subtests

Ages 2.5 - 40

10 - 15 minutes

None

1. None

This study included only reading subtests, in the PIAT only Reading Comprehension was used. The concurrent validities are as follows: WRAT with PIAT 0.75; SORT with PIAT 0.63; and SORT with WRAT 0.26. The predictive validities of the reading subtests with WISC-R are as follows: PIAT 0.17 to 0.56; WRAT 0.15 to 0.57; SORT 0.13 to 0.44. SORT seems to measure a different dimension of reading not covered by WRAT and PIAT.

[10] R.G. Simpson, and R.C. Eaves, The Concurrent Validity of the WRMT Relative to the PIAT among Retarded Adolescents, *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1983, 43:1,275-281.

The tests were administered to 56 adolescents. The presence of relatively high and positive partial correlations between appropriate subtests support the concurrent validity of the WRMT and PIAT. Grade equivalents were higher on the PIAT. The correlations ranged from 0.72-0.94. Subtest correlations include: PIAT Reading Recognition and WRMT Word Identification r=.94; and PIAT Reading Comprehension and WRMT Passage Comprehension r=.90.

[4] J.A. McLoughlin, and R.B. Lewis, Assessing Special Students: Strategies and Procedures, Columbus, Ohio, Merrill, 1981, 200-205.

The PIAT is best interpreted by a professional educator, although paraprofessionals can be trained to give the test. Reliability of the PIAT appears better for older students and better for some subtests than others. Validity is not well covered in the manual. Results of the PIAT aid in determining possible areas of strength or weakness. Further assessment is necessary before planning instructional programs.

[5] J. Salvia, and J.E. Ysseldyke, Assessment in Special and Remedial Education (2nd ed.), Boston, Mass., Houghton Mifflin, 1981, 170-174.

The reliability of the PIAT subtests are too low for use in making important educational decisions. More validity is needed. It makes a good screening device.

[6] T. Mahan, Assessing Children With Special Needs, New York, New York, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1981, 121-122.

The PIAT manual gives the statistical basis for the score interpretations. The standard error of measurement is only given for raw scores, which means more work for teachers. The PIAT is a good screening device, there is an absence of diagnostic framework for direct instructional planning.

[7] C.T. Ramey, F.A. Campbell, and B.H. Wasik, Use of Standarized Tests to Evaluate Early Childhood Special Education Programs, Topics of Early Childhood Special Education, 1982, 1:4,51-60.

The normative sample is representative of the national population of the USA but excludes children in special classes or private schools. It estimates achievement level but does not allow the examiner to identify the specific problem areas for a child.

[8] **F.M.** Grossman, Caution in Interpreting WRAT Standard Scores as Criterion Measures of Achievement in Young Children, *Psychology in the Schools*, 1981, 18:2, 144-146.

The PIAT and WRMT are psychometrically superior to the WRAT with regard to selection and representation of standardization samples and attempts to establish content validity. They also appear to reflect more accurately curriculum material used in lower grade classrooms. It is difficult to diagnose specific learning disabilities when you rely on WISC-R and WRAT alone.

[9] J.L. Tramill, J.K. Tramill, R. Thornthwaite, and F. Anderson, Investigation Into the Relationship of the WRAT, PIAT, SORT, and WISC-R in Low Functioning Referrals, *Psychology in the Schools*, 1981, 18:2, 149-153.

- [1] O.K. Buros editor, The Eighth Mental Measurement Yearbook(2 vol.), Highland Park, N.J., Gryphon Press, 1978, 73-86.
 - 1. A. Bannatyne: The PIAT is quite comprehensive but does not go into the detail of the KeyMath or Woodcock Reading Mastery Test. The recognition memory technique used in the PIAT spelling test may not correlate well with written spelling tests. A real advantage is that comprehension is pictorial and spatial, which may help those LD children who have difficulty expressing their answers in words. The quality of the test is excellent. The PIAT is recommended to those who need an individually administered, wide range, detailed set of achievement tests of high quality.
 - 2. B.B. Proger: Considerable field testing has gone into the PIAT. Both item difficulty and item discrimination were used in selecting items from the total item pool for the final test. The 30 seconds per item and the 3 minute training session recommended in the manual are not adequate for some children. The basal ceiling procedures can be criticized. The validation efforts are weak, content validity is sound enough, concurrent is limited to PPVT, and predictive is not available. For reliability internal consistency is discussed, but the best measure, the Kuder-Richardson formula, is not used. The test-retest of the subtests appears to be adequate. The PIAT presents a challenge to the WRAT.
- [2] G.O. Bunch, and G. Robertson, Selected Psychoeducational Tests, A Layman's Handbook, Orillia, Ontario, Ptarmigan Publishers, nil, 44-45.

Math Subtest: Although the authors recommend the PIAT as a diagnostic instrument, its definitiveness is questionable. The norms of the test are quite gross and at best give the subject's grade level performance. The test, therefore, should only be utilized as a screening device to determine if a child's mathematics skills warrant furthur investigation. Reliability appears weak and only content validity is supportable by the information available.

[3] C. Compton, A Guide to 65 Tests for Special Education, Belmont, California, Fearon Education: a division of Pitman Learning Inc., 1980, 22-25.

The PIAT was not intended to be a comprehensive diagnostic instrument. The reliability of performance of kindergarden students is low, suggesting that the PIAT is not a good test for students at this level. Studies of validity are lacking, suggesting that the PIAT is best used as a quick screening device.

Norming Information

Norming date 1969 Sample size 2889 Place normed USA

Sample Range Ages 4 - 21

Grades P - 12

Sample similar to national population

Yes

Norming info in manual? Yes

Reliability Information

1. Test-retest reliability: 0.82 - 0.92

2. Reliability information in manual? - Yes

Validity Information

- 1. Criterion/predictive validity
 - a. PPVT

i. Year: 1969

ii. Range of correlations: 0.53 - 0.79iii. Information in manual? - Yes

- 2. Concurrence validity
 - a. WRAT

i. Year: 1970

ii. Range of correlations: 0.73iii. Information in manual? - Yes

3. Information regarding content validity in manual?

- Yes

recognize-letters identify-letter identify-word identify-different spelling-identify-correct

Mode of Presentation

visual-figure visual-letter visual-number visual-picture

visual-several-words auditory-letter-name auditory-letter-sound

auditory-word auditory-sentence

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

select-letter select-word

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

5. General Information

Purpose Descriptors

general-knowledge

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

auditory-question

Mode of Response

manual-hand-gestures

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

matching-letters-capitals

matching-words letter-names-general

reading-words

Mode of Presentation

visual-letter visual-word visual-picture

visual-several-letters visual-several-words

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

oral-letter-name

oral-word

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

3. Reading Comprehension

Purpose Descriptors

silent-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

visual-sentence

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

4. Spelling

math-readiness math-general

Mode of Presentation

visual-figure
visual-picture
visual-number
visual-computations
visual-several-numbers
visual-several-words
visual-math-symbol
visual-sentence
visual-question
auditory-computations
auditory-paragraph

auditory-sentence auditory-paragraph auditory-question auditory-directions

Mode of Response

select-math-symbols

select-figure select-picture select-number select-computation

select-letter select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

2. Reading Recognition

Peabody Individual Achievement Test (PIAT)

Lloyd M. Dunn

University of Hawaii

Frederick C. Markwardt, Jr.

St. Paul, Minnesota

Publisher

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

American Guidance Service 1970

\$36

general achievement

individual use norm-referenced

easy

easy

Scoring aids available

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Standard Score

Age Equivalent Grade Equivalent

Percentiles

Global Scores

Available levels

There is only one level.

Range

Ages 4 - 21

Grades P - 12

Equivalent forms

Administration Time

Subtests

only one form

30 - 40 minutes

Mathematics

Reading Recognition Reading Comprehension

Spelling

General Information

1. Mathematics

Reviews

- [1] O.K. Buros editor, The Eighth Mental Measurement Yearbook(2 vol.), Highland Park, N.J., Gryphon Press, 1978, 1211-1212.
 - 1. J. McLeod: The OISE supersedes the Dominion Achievement Test in Silent Reading. The OISE tests have impressive reliability (0.97) and acceptable validity (correlations of about 0.75 with teachers' estimates). Some of the sentences used are difficult to understand and some of the words have different meanings for today's children. Standardization is comprehensive and well carried out but is limited to Ontario, Canada. Reading ages and grade equivalents have not been supplied. Because of the length and the limited amount of information available, a relatively short group test would be better than the OISE.

Norming Information

Norming date

1969

Sample size

2703

Place normed

Canada

Sample Range

Ages 7.5 - 8.4

Grade 2

Sample similar to

national population

No

Norming info in manual?

Yes

Reliability Information

- 1. Equivalent forms reliability: 0.96
- 2. Kuder-Richardson reliability: 0.97
- 3. Reliability information in manual? Yes

Validity Information

1. Information regarding content validity in manual?

- Yes

context

Mode of Presentation

visual-sentence

visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

2. Comprehension A

Purpose Descriptors

silent-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

visual-paragraph visual-question visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

3. Comprehension B

Purpose Descriptors

ordering-sentences

Mode of Presentation

visual-several-sentences

Mode of Response

select-order

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

4. Multiple Word Meaning

Purpose Descriptors

context

Mode of Presentation

visual-sentence

visual-word

visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

OISE Achievement Tests in Silent Reading (OISE)

Ontario Institute for Studies in Education

Toronto, Ontario

Patricia Tracy

Publisher

Edition

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Guidance Center

9

1971

\$7

reading group use

norm-referenced

easy

easy

Scoring aids available

Global Scores

Stanine Score Percentiles

Available levels

Advanced Primary

Advanced Primary

Range

Ages 7.5 - 8.4

Grade 2

Equivalent forms

Administration Time

Subtests

2

90 - 110 minutes

Words in Use

Comprehension A

Comprehension B

Multiple Word Meaning

1. Words in Use

The NSST is a screening test of language comprehension and expression.

[6] E.H. Wiig, and E.M. Semel, Language Assessment and Intervention for the Learning Disabled, Columbus, Ohio, Charles E. Merrill Publishing Company, 1980, 105-107.

The limitations of the NSST as a critical indicator for language training needs outweighs its assets. The worse limitations are the bias of the standardization sample, and the lack of reliability and validity.

[7] A.E. Klein, Test Re-test Reliability and Predictive Validity of the NSST, Educational and Psychological Measurement, 1980, 40:4, 1167-1172.

Seven hundred 4-year olds were used in the study. The test-retest was 0.54 for Reception and 0.7 for Expression. It was moderately accurate in predicting general acedemic achievement test scores in K and grade 1 Screening Test of Academic Readiness-Reception 0.28-0.5 and Expression 0.22 -0.52; Stanford Early School Achievement Test-Reception 0.32-0.5 and Expression 0.45-0.57. The test-retest is not high enough to justify the use of the test for clinical purposes. The NSST should be renormed on a larger sample.

[8] **D.L. Ratusnik, and R.A. Koenigsknecht**, Internal Consistency of the Northwestern Syntax Screening Test, *Journal of Speech and Hearing Disorders*, 1975, 40:1,59-68.

The study included 60 students: 20 normal preschool, 20 language impaired, 20 mentally retarded. The Receptive and Expressive sections of the NSST were demonstrated to be a valuable language screening procedure for differentiating among groups of preschool children and mentally retarded students. The Expression section did not differentiate between the language delayed and the mentally retarded subjects. The Receptive section did. The internal consistency of the Receptive and Expressive portions of the NSST was demonstrated in assessing the syntax and morphology used by children with atypical language development. The reliability coefficients and the item scores obtained are applicable for a middle cross section of the age range for which the NSST was developed.

[9] D.L. Ratusnik, C.M. Ratusnik, and T.M. Klee, Northwestern Syntax Screening Test: A Short Form, Journal of Speech and Hearing Disorders, 1980, 45:2,200-208.

The NSST was administered to 900 children ages 3.0-7.11. The test was renormed on this larger sample at 6 months intervals. The test was then shortened from 20 items to 11 items in each subtest. The variance is 95% in these items. 301 children were given both forms, there was a 99% overlap on the pass/fail of the test.

Reviews

- [1] O.K. Buros editor, The Eighth Mental Measurement Yearbook(2 vol.), Highland Park, N.J., Gryphon Press, 1978, 1503-1506.
 - 1. M.C. Fontana: The major strength of the NSST is its application of current knowledge. The normative sample is small, therefore the results should be interpreted with caution.
 - 2. R.D. Logue: In the Expressive portion of the test, the demand for precise speech imitation is the test's major deficiency. The test is not semantically based.
 - 3. M. Bannatyne: It is recommended that preschool, kindergarten and first grade teachers use this test to identify children who deviate in syntactic development from their peers.
- [2] G.O. Bunch, and G. Robertson, Selected Psychoeducational Tests, A Layman's Handbook, Orillia, Ontario, Ptarmigan Publishers, 1982, 9.
 - As a quick estimate of a child's syntactic development, the NSST can be deemed a reasonable test. A more definitive test should be used if syntactic deficiencies are suspected. Lack of information on reliability and validity underscores the need for caution when interpreting test results.
- [3] C. Compton, A Guide to 65 Tests for Special Education, Belmont, California, Fearon Education: a division of Pitman Learning Inc., 1980, 162-165.
 - The strength of the NSST is that it is a quick and easy screening test. Ratusnik and Koenigsknecht in 1975 proved that the NSST differentiated between normal, severely delayed expressive language, and retarded language development. A method of screening large numbers of children is described in the introduction to the NSST. The standardization is limited to middle and upper-middle class students in a single geographical location. Only limited information on test development, reliability, and validity is available.
- [4] J.A. McLoughlin, and R.B. Lewis, Assessing Special Students: Strategies and Procedures, Columbus, Ohio, Merrill, 1981, 454-455.
 - The results of the NSST appear useful for estimating current levels of syntactical development and for directing further assessment. The results should be interpreted with caution due to the limitations of the norms and lack of validity and reliability.
- [5] J. Salvia, and J.E. Ysseldyke, Assessment in Special and Remedial Education (2nd ed.), Boston, Mass., Houghton Mifflin, 1981, 411-412.

Reliability Information

1. Reliability information in manual? - No

Validity Information

1. Information regarding content validity in manual?

- No.

auditory-memory

sentence-structure

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

auditory-several-sentences

Mode of Response

oral-sentence

Scoring- Normed

Age Equivalent Percentiles

Norming Information

Norming date

1971

Sample size

344

Place normed

USA

Sample Range

Ages 3.0 - 7.11

Sample similar to national population

No

Norming info in manual?

No

Northwestern Syntax Screening Test (NSST)

Laura Lee

Nothwestern University

Publisher

Edition

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

Northwestern University Press

3

1971

\$11

language

individual use

norm-referenced

easy

easy

No Scores

Available levels

There is only one level.

Range

Equivalent forms

Administration Time

Subtests

Ages 3 - 8

only one form

15 - 25 minutes

Reception

Expressive

1. Reception

Purpose Descriptors

listening-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

auditory-several-sentences

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Age Equivalent

Percentiles

2. Expressive

Reviews

[1] G.O. Bunch, and G. Robertson, Selected Psychoeducational Tests, A Layman's Handbook, Orillia, Ontario, Ptarmigan Publishers, 1982, 30-31.

The strength of this test lies in its careful classification system for errors. Lack of information on the standarization sample and sufficient evidence of acceptable reliability and validity makes the norms questionable. This test was normed on British children.

Validity Information

- 1. Concurrence validity a. Various

i. Year: dk ii. Range of correlations: 0.95iii. Information in manual? - Yes

2. Information regarding content validity in manual? - No

blending-word-parts->words

Mode of Presentation

visual-word-parts

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

Norming Information

Norming date

Sample size Place normed

Sample Range Sample similar to

Sample similar to national population

Not Available

2262 others

Ages 7 - 11

No

Norming info in manual?

Yes

Reliability Information

- 1. Equivalent forms reliability: 0.98
- 2. Reliability information in manual? Yes

- [6] G. Wallace, and S.C. Larson, Educational Assessment of Learning Problems: Testing for Teaching, Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1978, 446-449.
 - 1971 ed.: The KeyMath is a good example of a comprehensive arithmetic battery that provides an overall indication of a child's arithmetic skills. However, to be useful the test results must be analyzed carefully. All possible explanations for test behaviour must be considered, if the test results are to be beneficial in planning remedial programs.
- [7] **D.D. Hammill, and N.R. Bartel**, Teaching Children With Learning and Behavior Problems, Boston, Massachusetts, Allyn and Bacon Incorporated, 1982, 186.
 - 1976 ed.: The KeyMath is convenient and attractive to administer. It requires almost no reading or writing. It is not really a diagnostic test because of the lack of items, but it is useful for identifying problem areas.
- [8] T. Mahan, and A. Mahan, Assessing Children with Special Needs, New York, New York, Holt, Rinehard and Winston, 1981, 112-115.
 - 1976 ed.: The real limitation of the KeyMath is the limited number of items related to the same general objective. There is little basis on which to separate failures as the result of inadequate knowledge or failures which evolve from inappropriate problem solving strategies. The teacher does receive considerable information for use so long as the cautions are observed.
- [9] **F.A. Tinney**, A Comparison of the KeyMath and the California Arithmetic Test Used With Learning Disabled Students, *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 1975,8:5,313-315.

Although content validity of both tests is defended in their manuals, the CalAT does not sample as much of the modern math curricula as the Key-Math. The individual administration of the KeyMath, while impractical for school-wide testing, is advantageous for use with children in programs for the learning disabled because of the diagnostic information obtained by the detailed observations of the skilled evaluator. Both the KeyMath and the CalAT are suitable to obtain a gross measure of progress, but if the testing is to be part of the educational evaluation, the KeyMath offers notable advantages over the CalAT. More research is needed to determine the reliability and validity of the KeyMath with learning disabled students.

Reviews

- [1] O.K. Buros editor, The Eighth Mental Measurement Yearbook(2 vol.), Highland Park, N.J., Gryphon Press, 1978, 451-452.
 - 1. A. Bannatyne: 1976 ed. American: KeyMath is a well thought out and nicely constructed test. It is standardized on a sufficient sample and has good reliability and validity. Diagnostically it is very useful because of the behavioural objectives provided, which are given in considerable detail and enable the teacher to write equally precise remedial prescriptions.
- [2] G.O. Bunch, and G. Robertson, Selected Psychoeducational Tests, A Layman's Handbook, Orillia, Ontario, Ptarmigan Publishers, 1982, 42-43.
 - 1971 ed. American. There is a Metric supplement to this test. It was standardized on 1222 students from K-grade 7 in the USA. It was designed as a diagnostic tool to assess math skills but falls short of an indepth assessment. It can be useful as a screening device that will provide a grade score and indicate which mathematical skills are weak. Some evaluators find it more useful as a criterion-referenced measure.
- [3] C. Compton, A Guide to 65 Tests for Special Education, Belmont, California, Fearon Education: a division of Pitman Learning Inc., 1980, 68-72.
 - 1971 ed.: The KeyMath is useful for students with a wide range of intellectual ability because of its diagnostic structure and almost total lack of reading and writing. The KeyMath provides basal and ceiling levels which means it is not necessary to give the whole test. Because of the insufficient number of items, it often jumps two grade levels between items. There are no grade scores for the subtests.
- [4] J.A. McLoughlin, and R.B. Lewis, Assessing Special Students: Strategies and Procedures, Columbus, Ohio, Merrill, 1981, 400-409.
 - 1976 ed.: This edition was standardized in 1971. The only available scores are grade equivalents. When calculating KeyMath results, grade and age of the student are not taken into account. Thus, the KeyMath is grade-referenced rather than a norm-referenced measure.
- [5] J. Salvia, and J.E. Ysseldyke, Assessment in Special and Remedial Education (2nd ed.), Boston, Mass., Houghton Mifflin, 1981, 234-237.
 - 1971 ed.: The real strength of the KeyMath lies in the description of specific behaviours sampled by each of the test items. This is actually a criterion-referenced test.

Reliability Information

- Split-half reliability: 0.94 0.97
 Reliability information in manual? Yes

Validity Information

1. Information regarding content validity in manual? - Yes

time

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

auditory-paragraph auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-number oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

15. Supplementary-Metric

Purpose Descriptors

measurement

math-symbols

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

auditory-question

Mode of Response

or al-number

oral-answer

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

Norming Information

Norming date Sample size

1974 769 1971 1224

Place normed

Canada

USA

Sample Range

Grades 1 - 7

Grades K - 7

Sample similar to national population

No

Norming info in manual?

Yes

problem-solving

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture visual-sentence visual-question auditory-sentence auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

12. Applications-Money

Purpose Descriptors

money

problem-solving

Mode of Presentation

visual-graphs visual-picture auditory-sentence auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-number oral-answer select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

13. Applications-Measurement

Purpose Descriptors

measurement

Mode of Presentation

visual-figure visual-picture auditory-sentence auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-number oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

14. Applications-Time

computation-whole-numbers

Mode of Presentation

auditory-computations

Mode of Response

oral-number

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

9. Operations-Numerical Reasoning

Purpose Descriptors

 $computation\hbox{-}whole\hbox{-}numbers$

computation-fractions

Mode of Presentation

visual-computations

auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

oral-number

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

10. Application-Word Problems

Purpose Descriptors

problem-solving

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture visual-sentence visual-question auditory-sentence auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-number

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

11. Applications-Missing Elements

computation-whole-numbers-subtraction

computation-fractions-subtraction computation-decimals-subtraction

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

visual-computations auditory-sentence auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-number write-number

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

6. Operations-Multiplication

Purpose Descriptors

computation-whole-numbers-multiplicat computation-fractions-multiplication computation-decimals-multiplication

Mode of Presentation

auditory-question visual-computations

Mode of Response

oral-number write-number

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

7. Operations-Division

Purpose Descriptors

computation-whole-numbers-division

computation-fractions-division computation-decimals-division

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

visual-computations auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-number write-number

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

8. Operations-Mental Computation

computation-fractions

Mode of Presentation

visual-figure visual-picture visual-number auditory-sentence auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-number

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

3. Content-Geometry and Symbols

Purpose Descriptors

math-symbols

geometry

Mode of Presentation

visual-figure

visual-computations auditory-sentence auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-answer

select-figure

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

4. Operations-Addition

Purpose Descriptors

computation-whole-numbers-addition

computation-fractions-addition computation-decimals-addition

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

visual-computations auditory-sentence auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-number

write-number

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

5. Operations-Subtraction

Range

Equivalent forms Administration Time

Subtests

Grades K - 8 only one form 30 minutes

Content-Numerations
Content-Fractions

Content-Geometry and Symbols

Operations-Addition Operations-Subtraction Operations-Multiplication

Operations-Division

Operations-Mental Computation Operations-Numerical Reasoning Application-Word Problems Applications-Missing Elements

Applications-Money

Applications-Measurement

Applications-Time Supplementary-Metric

1. Content-Numerations

Purpose Descriptors

math-readiness

computation-whole-numbers

computation-decimals

Mode of Presentation

visual-figure visual-picture visual-number auditory-sentence auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-number oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

2. Content-Fractions

KeyMath Diagnostic Arithmetic Test-Canadian Edition (KeyMath)

Austin J. Connolly

William Nachtman

E. Milo Pritchett

Publisher

Edition

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

Available levels

PSYCAN Publishers

 $\mathbf{2}$

 ${\bf 1982}$

\$90

arithmetic

individual use

both norm and criterion referenced

easy

easy

Scoring aids available

Grade Equivalent

There is only one level.

more' task analysis' orientation of data interpretation.

[10] N.L. Pielstick, and R.M. Thorndike, Canonical Analysis of the WISC and ITPA: A Reanalysis of the Wakefield and Carlson Data, *Psychology in the Schools*, 1976, 13:3,.

The canonical correlations of the WISC and ITPA are high 0.69-0.84. However, they are not redundant to a great degree, so the use of one test does not prelude the use of the other test.

oral-reading-paragraphs

oral-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-paragraph auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-paragraph

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Age Equivalent

2. Supplementary-Name and Sounds of Letters

Purpose Descriptors

letter-names-capitals-consonants

letter-names-capitals-vowels letter-sounds-capitals-consonants letter-sounds-capitals-vowels

Mode of Presentation

visual-letter

Mode of Response

 ${\bf oral\text{-}letter\text{-}name}$

oral-letter-sound

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

3. Supplementary-Spelling

Purpose Descriptors

spelling-general

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word

Mode of Response

write-word

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

4. Supplementary-Blending and Recognizing of Syllables

Neale Analysis of Reading Ability (Neale)

Marie D. Neale Monash University, Australia

Publisher Edition

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

Collier- MacMillan Canada Limited

2

1966

Not Available

reading

individual use norm-referenced

requires some training requires some training Scoring aids available

No Scores

Available levels

There is only one level.

Range

Equivalent forms

Administration Time

Subtests

Ages 6 - 13

3

10 - 20 minutes

Oral Reading

Supplementary-Name and Sounds of Letters

Supplementary-Spelling

Supplementary-Blending and Recognizing of Syllables

1. Oral Reading

Reviews

[1] J. Salvia, and J.E. Ysseldyke, Assessment in Special and Remedial Education (2nd ed.), Boston, Mass., Houghton Mifflin, 1981, 466-472.

A practice test is provided to teach and practice concepts such as place keeping, marking rows, and marking answers. The reliability for the Prereading Composites are sufficiently reliable to make educational decisions, the subtests of Level I and the area scores of Level II are not. The MRT appears to be adequately normed and to have adequate reliability and substantial validity for a screening device. Judicious use of the MRT can provide very useful screening information.

[2] J.R. Nurss, and M.E. McGauvran, Teacher's Manual Part II: Interpretation and use of the Test Results Level I, USA, Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Incorporated, 1976, 11,16.

Although, Level I is not recommended for use in Grade I, teachers may occasionally administer Level I to certain pupils for whom Level II is judged inappropriate. If Level I has been administered under these circumstances, interpretative material may be obtained by writing to the publisher. The MRT does not provide in-depth diagnostic information about pupils' strengths and weaknesses since each of the tests and skills areas contains a relatively small number of items. Scores should be viewed as suggestions of possible strengths and weaknesses. The standard error of measurement is approximately 1 stanine.

Norming Information

Norming date 1975 Sample size 109 Place normed **USA**

Sample Range Ages 5 - 6

Grades K - 1

Sample similar to national population

Yes

Norming info in manual? Yes

Reliability Information

1. Split-half reliability: 0.93 - 0.95

2. Equivalent forms reliability: 0.85 - 0.88

3. Kuder-Richardson reliability: 0.92 - 0.95

4. Reliability information in manual? - Yes

Validity Information

1. Criterion/predictive validity

a. MAT

i. Year: 1975

ii. Range of correlations: 0.68 - 0.73

iii. Information in manual? - Yes

b. SAT

i. Year: 1975

ii. Range of correlations: 0.65 - 0.78

iii. Information in manual? - Yes

2. Information regarding content validity in manual?

- No

math-readiness

Mode of Presentation

visual-figure visual-picture visual-number visual-several-letters

visual-several-letters auditory-directions

Mode of Response

select-figure select-picture select-number

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

9. Supplementary-Copying

Purpose Descriptors

copying-words

Mode of Presentation

visual-word visual-sentence

Mode of Response

write-word

write-sentence

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

listening-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

auditory-sentence

 ${\bf repeated-auditory-instructions}$

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

6. Listening

Purpose Descriptors

picture-comprehension listening-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

auditory-paragraph auditory-question

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

7. Supplementary-Quantitative Concepts

Purpose Descriptors

math-readiness

Mode of Presentation

visual-figure visual-picture auditory-directions

Mode of Response

select-figure select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

8. Supplementary-Quantitative Operations

matching-letter-series

matching-number-series

matching-figures matching-words

Mode of Presentation

visual-figure

visual-several-letters visual-several-numbers

visual-word

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

select-figure

select-several-letters select-several-numbers

select-word

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

4. Finding Patterns

Purpose Descriptors

matching-letter-series

matching-number-series

matching-figures

Mode of Presentation

visual-figure

visual-several-letters visual-several-numbers

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

select-figure

select-several-letters select-several-numbers

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

5. School Language

identifying-initial-consonant

identifying-initial-consonant-combinatio:

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

auditory-several-words

auditory-word

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

2. Sound-Letter Correspondence

Purpose Descriptors

identifying-initial-consonant

identifying-initial-consonant-combinatio

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

visual-several-letters

auditory-word

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

select-letter

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

3. Visual Matching

math-readiness

Mode of Presentation

visual-figure visual-picture visual-number

visual-several-numbers

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

select-figure select-picture select-number

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

7. Supplementary-Copying

Purpose Descriptors

copying-words

Mode of Presentation

visual-word

Mode of Response

write-word

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

Level II

Range

Equivalent forms

Administration Time

Subtests

Grades K.5 - 1.5

 $\mathbf{2}$

90 - 110 minutes

Beginning Consonants

Sound-Letter Correspondence

Visual Matching Finding Patterns School Language

Listening

Supplementary-Quantitative Concepts Supplementary-Quantitative Operations

Supplementary-Copying

1. Beginning Consonants

Purpose Descriptors identify-letter

visual-several-letters Mode of Presentation

auditory-letter-name

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response select-letter

Scoring- Normed Raw Scores Only

4. Visual Matching

Purpose Descriptors matching-letter-series

matching-number-series

matching-figures

Mode of Presentation visual-figure

> visual-several-letters visual-several-numbers

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

select-figure

select-several-letters select-several-numbers

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

5. School Language and Listening

Purpose Descriptors listening-comprehension

Mode of Presentation visual-picture

auditory-sentence auditory-paragraph

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

6. Supplementary-Quantitive Language

Range

Equivalent forms Administration Time

Subtests

Grades K.1 - K.9

2

80 - 100 minutes Auditory Memory

Rhyming

Letter Recognition Visual Matching

School Language and Listening Supplementary-Quantitive Language

Supplementary-Copying

1. Auditory Memory

Purpose Descriptors

auditory-memory visual-memory

Mode of Presentation

auditory-several-words

repeated-auditory-instructions

visual-picture

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

2. Rhyming

Purpose Descriptors

rhyming-words

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

auditory-several-words

auditory-word

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

3. Letter Recognition

Metropolitan Readiness Test (MRT)

Joanne R. Nurss

Georgia State University

Mary E. McGauvran University of Lowell

Publisher

Edition

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

Composite Scores

Available levels

Level I

Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Incorporated

1

1976

\$100

general achievement

reading group use

norm-referenced

easy easy

Scoring aids available

Standard Score

Mean: 150

Standard Deviation: 30

Stanine Score Percentiles

Auditory Score

Language Score

Visual Score

Level I

Level II

2. Information regarding content validity in manual?No

Norming Information

Norming date 1979 Sample size 5230 Place normed **USA**

Sample Range Ages 5.4 - 8.1

Grade 1

Sample similar to national population

No

Norming info in manual? Yes

Reliability Information

1. Test-retest reliability: 0.74

2. Reliability information in manual? - Yes

Validity Information

- 1. Concurrence validity
 - a. CELF-S

i. Year: 1979

ii. Range of correlations: 0.32 iii. Information in manual? - Yes

b. PLS

i. Year: 1979

ii. Range of correlations: 0.61 - 0.7

iii. Information in manual? - Yes

c. ITPA-Auditory

i. Year: 1979

ii. Range of correlations: 0.35

iii. Information in manual? - Yes

d. ITPA-Grammatic

i. Year: 1979

ii. Range of correlations: 0.50

iii. Information in manual? - Yes

listening-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture auditory-paragraph

Mode of Response

oral-paragraph

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

2. Receptive Language-Comprehension

Purpose Descriptors

listening-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

auditory-paragraph auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

3. Elicited Language-Sentence Repetition

Purpose Descriptors

auditory-memory

Mode of Presentation

auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

oral-sentence

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

4. Supplementary-Articulation

Purpose Descriptors

articulation

Mode of Presentation

auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

oral-sentence

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

Merrill Language Screening Test (MLST)

Myrna Munn

Wayne Secord

Katherine Dykstra

Publisher

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Charles E. Merrill Publishing

1980

\$62

language

either individual or group use

norm-referenced

easy

easy

Scoring aids available

Global Scores

Percentiles

Available levels

There is only one level.

Range

Ages 5.4 - 8.1

Grade 1

Equivalent forms

Administration Time

Subtests

only one form

5 - 10 minutes Expressive Language-Story Telling

Receptive Language-Comprehension Elicited Language-Sentence Repetition

Supplementary-Articulation

1. Expressive Language-Story Telling

Reviews

[1] G.O. Bunch, and G. Robertson, Selected Psychoeducational Tests, A Layman's Handbook, Orillia, Ontario, Ptarmigan Publishers, 1982, 28-29.

1976 ed.: This is an excellent test assessing phonic knowledge and blending skills. It assesses the student's mastery of phonics rather than how his performance compares with others. It can also be used to measure the growth during and after a remedial program without showing signs of practice effect. The manual is well organized and provides clear directions for administering and scoring. The test of auditory perception (P5) has been found to be quite useful by teachers and clinicians.

reading-words-consonant-combination

reading-words-vowel-combinations

articulation

Mode of Presentation

visual-word

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

5. MP5

Purpose Descriptors

auditory-discrimination

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word-pairs

Mode of Response

orally-select-true-false

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

Reliability Information

1. Reliability information in manual? - No

Validity Information

Information regarding content validity in manual?
 No

reading-words-one-syllable

 ${\bf reading\text{-}words\text{-}single\text{-}consonant}$

reading-words-single-vowel

articulation

Mode of Presentation

visual-word

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

2. MP2

Purpose Descriptors

reading-words-consonant-combination

reading-words-single-vowel

articulation

Mode of Presentation

visual-word

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

3. MP3

Purpose Descriptors

reading-words-vowel-combinations

reading-words-single-consonant

articulation

Mode of Presentation

visual-word

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

4. MP4

McLeod Phonics Test (McLeod)

John McLeod

University of Saskatchewan

Joan Arkinson

University of Queensland, Australia

Publisher

Edition

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

Educators Publishing Service

2

1977

Not Available

language

individual use

criterion-referenced

 \mathbf{easy}

easy

No Scores

Available levels

There is only one level.

Range

Equivalent forms

Administration Time

Subtests

Grades 1.0 - 3.0

only one form

Unknown

MP1

MP2

MP3

MP4

MP5

1. MP1

[4] **D.S. Goh, and M.R. Simons**, Comparison of Learning Disabled and General Education Children on the McCarthy Scales of Children's Ability., *Psychology in the Schools*, 1980,17:4,429-436.

This study examined the diagnostic value of the McCarthy in discriminating between learning-disabled and general education children. The results indicated that learning-disabled children perform one to one and a half standard deviations lower that general education children on the GCI and on all five major scales. Some consistent differences between the two groups was also noted at the subtest level. The scatter on the scale index profile was found to be about the same. Furthurmore, no specific pattern of scale indexes could be determined as typical for learning-disabled children. These results are seen as not lending the necessary support to the use of the McCarthy profile as a diagnostic tool in identifying learning-disabled children.

[5] R.L. Taylor, and J.K. Ivimey, Diagnostic Use of the WISC-R and McCarthy Scales: A Regression Analysis Approach to Learning, *Psychology in the Schools*, 1980,17:3,327-330.

The study included 30 learning-disabled and 30 non-learning-disabled subjects. The WICS-R, McCarthy and WRAT were administered. Regression analyses were conducted to determine the combination of scores from the WISC-R and McCarthy Scales that best predicted the achievement level of the subjects, and the scores that best predicted group status (LD or non-LD). The results were as follows: 1) The WISC-R Comprehension, Arithmetic, and Object Assembly and the McCarthy Quantitaive and Memory Indexes were most sensitive to the LD students' achievement. 2) Conversely, the WISC-R Similarities and Arithmetic and the McCarthy Verbal Indexes were most sensitive to the achievement of non-LD students. 3) Finally, the McCarthy Perceptual-Performance Index and the WISC-R Vocabulary subtest best discriminated group status.

educational decisions as the manual offers no guidelines for such decisions.

- 6. R.B. Ammons and C.H. Ammons: Some of the materials are not sturdy and will have to be replaced periodically. The testing time far exceeds the time estimate in the manual. Many clinicians will welcome the test. More research will have to be done to examine its functioning thoroughly.
- 7. A. Krichev: The Verbal, Perceptual-Performance and Quantitative scales uses 15 out of 18 subtests and are combined to make up the GCI. The Motor Scale is useful only for children under 6 years of age. However, these provide a break and should be given to older children for this purpose. The standarization and reliability are good, but there is not enough information on validity in the manual. The McCarthy provides more information than the S-B and is less school like than the Wechslers. It has more tasks that are applicable to non-majority children. It is well worth exploring as a means to assess the cognitive abilities of primary children.
- [2] G.O. Bunch, and G. Robertson, Selected Psychoeducational Tests, A Layman's Handbook, Orillia, Ontario, Ptarmigan Publishers, 1982, 92-94.

The manual is clearly written with instructions and scoring criteria readily available. The purpose of the McCarthy is to determine general intellectual level along with strengths and weaknesses in important abilities. The subtests were determined by factor analytic methods, and were chosen to provide a better understanding of both normal and children with learning disabilites. Reliability is acceptable, but the data on validity is weakened by the small sample size. Scoring is time consuming. Some tasks are quite complex for younger subjects. The McCarthy provides valuable diagnostic information and measures general intellectual ability.

[3] C. Compton, A Guide to 65 Tests for Special Education, Belmont, California, Fearon Education: a division of Pitman Learning Inc., 1980, 249-251.

The GCI is a standard score with a mean of 100 and a standard deviation of 16, it is equivalent to a IQ score. The 5 composite scores have a scale score with a mean of 50 and a standard deviation of 10. Percentile ranks are available for GCI and composite scores. An estimated Mental Age can be found from the GCI. The McCarthy is well-designed. It is a theortically based instrument to assess the intellectual functioning of preschool and primaryaged children. The Motor Scale assess gross-motor skills not assessed by other IQ tests. Only professionals experienced in individual training should administer this test. The test lacks items that assess social and practical judgement. Validity studies on retarded and learning-disabled children are needed.

- [1] O.K. Buros editor, The Eighth Mental Measurement Yearbook(2 vol.), Highland Park, N.J., Gryphon Press, 1978, 309-316.
 - 1. J.V. Hunt: The McCarthy was carefully designed and standardized to take its place as a major test of mental development. Reliability and validity have been carefully considered. No information is given as to the influence of sex, race, regional or socioeconomic differences on performance. The advantage of the McCarthy over other tests is the diagnostic potential of the separate scales. The intent was that this test would provide information across a number of behaviors that are of diagnostic importance in early childhood and that it would have enough developmental range to be used meaningfully with young retarded children and others with uneven developmental patterns. The validity for these goals remains to be determined, though the recent research is promising.
 - 2. J.M. Sattler: Until more information is available about the McCarthy the S-B or the WPPSI should continue to be used for the assessment of young children's intelligence, especially for questions involving giftedness or retardation. The McCarthy has potential because it provides a profile of abilities which may be particularly useful in evaluation children with learning disabilities. It is a promising tool for assessing cognitive and to a lesser extent, the motor abilities of young children and therefore deserves serious consideration.
 - 3. A.B. Silverstein: The S-B and Wechsler scales appear to be firmly entrenched, and thought it has merit it does not seem that the McCarthy will be able to dislodge them. Perhaps the key question is does the McCarthy test provide more clinically useful information than the S-B or the Verbal and Performance Scales of the WPPSI and the WISC-R.
 - 4. E.E. Davis: The McCarthy is probably the best test that has been devised so far for testing the mental ability of individual young children. The technical aspects are good. However, special training is needed to administer it, and the scoring is complex and time consuming.
 - 5. L. Hufano and R. Hoepfner: The normed scores are supposed to be useful with mentally retarded, and sensory handicapped, those with learning problems and the gifted; none were included in the norming sample. For the normal child, the McCarthy is of limited use in making

Reliability Information

- 1. Split-half reliability: 0.9 0.96
- 2. Test-retest reliability: 0.69 0.91
- 3. Reliability information in manual? Yes

Validity Information

- 1. Criterion/predictive validity
 - a. MRT
- i. Year: 1971
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.49
- iii. Information in manual? Yes
- 2. Concurrence validity
 - a. S-B
- i. Year: 1971
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.81
- iii. Information in manual? Yes
- b. WPPSI
- i. Year: 1971
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.62 0.71
- iii. Information in manual? Yes
- 3. Information regarding content validity in manual?
 - Yes

language-analogies

Mode of Presentation

auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

20. Conceptual Groupings

Purpose Descriptors

processing-auditory-directions

visual-association

Mode of Presentation

object

manual-manipulate-object

Mode of Response

select-object

manual-manipulate-objects

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

Norming Information

Norming date

1971

Sample size

1032

Place normed

USA

Sample Range

Ages 2.5 - 8.5

Sample similar to

Yes

national population

Norming info in manual?

Yes

auditory-memory-reversed

Mode of Presentation

auditory-several-numbers

Mode of Response

oral-several-numbers

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

17. Verbal Fluency

Purpose Descriptors

general-knowledge

productivity

Mode of Presentation

timed

auditory-directions

Mode of Response

oral-several-words

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

18. Counting and Sorting

Purpose Descriptors

counts-numbers

math-readiness

Mode of Presentation

object

auditory-directions

auditory-question

Mode of Response

manual-manipulate-objects

oral-number

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

19. Opposite Analogies

processing-auditory-directions

Mode of Presentation

demonstrates-movement

Mode of Response

physical-movement

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

13. Draw-a-Design

Purpose Descriptors

copying-designs

Mode of Presentation

draws-figure visual-figure

Mode of Response

draws-figure

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

14. Draw-a-Child

Purpose Descriptors

draw-a-person

knowledge-of-body-parts

Mode of Presentation

auditory-directions

Mode of Response

drawn-picture

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

15. Numerical Memory-Forward Series

Purpose Descriptors

auditory-memory

Mode of Presentation

auditory-several-numbers

Mode of Response

oral-several-numbers

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

16. Numerical Memory-Backward Series

listening-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

auditory-paragraph

Mode of Response

oral-paragraph

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

9. Right-Left Orientation

Purpose Descriptors Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

auditory-directions

Mode of Response

manual-hand-gestures

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

10. Leg Coordination

Purpose Descriptors

Mode of Presentation

 ${\bf auditory\text{-}directions}$

visual-picture

Mode of Response

physical-movement

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

11. Arm Coordination

Purpose Descriptors

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

auditory-directions

Mode of Response

physical-movement

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

12. Imitative Action

math-readiness

problem-solving

Mode of Presentation

auditory-sentence auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-number

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

6. Tapping Sequence

Purpose Descriptors

auditory-memory

visual-memory

Mode of Presentation

manual-manipulate-object

auditory-directions

Mode of Response

manual-manipulate-objects

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

7. Verbal Memory

Purpose Descriptors

auditory-memory

Mode of Presentation

visual-several-words

auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

oral-several-words

oral-sentence

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

8. Verbal Memory-Story

solving-puzzles

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture-puzzle

auditory-word auditory-directions

Mode of Response

manual-manipulate-objects

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

3. Pictorial Memory

Purpose Descriptors

visual-memory auditory-memory

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-picture

auditory-several-words

Mode of Response

oral-several-words

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

4. Word Knowledge

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

auditory-word

Mode of Response

select-picture

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

5. Number Questions

Range Equivalent forms Administration Time Subtests

Ages 2.5 - 8.5 only one form 60 minutes **Block Building** Puzzle Solving Pictorial Memory Word Knowledge Number Questions Tapping Sequence Verbal Memory Verbal Memory-Story Right-Left Orientation Leg Coordination Arm Coordination Imitative Action Draw-a-Design Draw-a-Child Numerical Memory-Forward Series Numerical Memory-Backward Series Verbal Fluency Counting and Sorting Opposite Analogies Conceptual Groupings

1. Block Building

Purpose Descriptors

Mode of Presentation

object

manual-manipulate-object

auditory-directions

Mode of Response

manual-manipulate-objects

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

2. Puzzle Solving

The McCarthy Scales of Children's Ability (McCarthy)

Dorothea McCarthy Fordham University

Publisher Edition

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

Psychological Corporation

2

1972

\$270

intelligence individual use

norm-referenced requires some training

requires some training Scoring aids available

Standard Score

Mean: 100

Standard Deviation: 16

Age Equivalent Percentiles

Available levels

There is only one level.

Reviews

[1] C. Compton, A Guide to 65 Tests for Special Education, Belmont, California, Fearon Education: a division of Pitman Learning Inc., 1980, 173-179.

The LSAT procedure provides an extremely thorough assessment of a student's morphological and syntactic competence. It considers a wider range of forms and constructions than more conventional tests of grammar or language-sampling techniques such as DSS. A sentence is defined as "at least two structurally related morphemes", this makes the procedure effective for students with low-level language structures. DST also does this. The LSAT is complicated, tedious, and extremely time consuming. A solid background in psycholinguistic theory is necessary to classify utterances into the forms and constructions listed on the analysis sheet. The purpose of the LSAT is to provide data for planning an individualized remediation program and should not be considered a diagnostic tool. No reliability or validity is reported. No information about assessment of nonstandard dialects or other languages is provided.

verbal-expression

language-usage

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

auditory-question

Mode of Response

or al-phrases

oral-sentence

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

Reliability Information

1. Reliability information in manual? - Unknown

Validity Information

1. Information regarding content validity in manual?- Unknown

Language Sampling Analysis and Training (LSAT)

Dorothy Tyack

Robert Gottsleben Stanford University

Publisher

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

Consulting Psychologists Press

1974

\$50

language

individual use

criterion-referenced

requires extensive training and practice

requires extensive training and practice

No Scores

Available levels

There is only one level.

Range Equivalent forms Administration Time

Subtests

Ages 2 - 7 only one form 60 minutes

Test

1. Test

iii. Information in manual? - Yes

- 2. Concurrence validity
 - a. BTBC

i. Year: 1975

ii. Range of correlations: 0.70iii. Information in manual? - Yes

3. Information regarding content validity in manual?Yes

Norming Information

Norming date 1974
Sample size 485
Place normed USA

Sample Range Ages 4 - 6.8

Grade K

Sample similar to national population

Unknown

Norming info in manual? Yes

Reliability Information

1. Test-retest reliability: 0.86

2. Kuder-Richardson reliability: 0.86

3. Reliability information in manual? - Yes

Validity Information

- 1. Criterion/predictive validity
 - a. UTLD
- i. Year: 1973
 - ii. Range of correlations: 0.60
- iii. Information in manual? Yes
- b. ITPA-Auditory
 - i. Year: 1973
 - ii. Range of correlations: 0.37
 - iii. Information in manual? Yes
- c. ITPA-Grammatic
 - i. Year: 1973
 - ii. Range of correlations: 0.36
 - iii. Information in manual? Yes
- d. ITPA-Verbal
 - i. Year: 1973
 - ii. Range of correlations: 0.40

general-knowledge

word-meanings

Mode of Presentation

auditory-question

auditory-directions

Mode of Response

oral-word

manual-hand-gestures

manual-manipulate-objects

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

2. Item 6

Purpose Descriptors

auditory-memory

Mode of Presentation

auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

oral-sentence

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

3. Item 7

Purpose Descriptors

language-usage

verbal-expression

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

Mode of Response

oral-paragraph

Scoring- Normed

Raw Scores Only

Kindergarten Language Screening Test (KLST)

Sharon V. Gauthier

Fundale Public School, Washington

Charles L. Madison

Washington State University

Publisher

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

CC Publications Incorporated

Not Available

language

individual use

norm-referenced

easy

easy

Scoring aids available

Ease of administration Ease of scoring

No Scores

Global Scores

Available levels

There is only one level.

Range

Grade K

Equivalent forms Administration Time

Subtests

only one form dk minutes Items 1-5

Item 6 Item 7

1. Items 1-5

does not analyze a student's psycholinguistic abilities.

[4] E.E. Ekwall, and J.L. Shanker, Diagnosis and Remediation of the Disabled Reader(2nd edition), Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1983, 322.

The reliability and validity of the ITPA are poor. There is no information on relationship of subtest weaknesses and reading disabilities, the ITPA is not worth the time and effort.

[5] J.A. McLoughlin, and R.B. Lewis, Assessing Special Students: Strategies and Procedures, Columbus, Ohio, Merrill, 1981, 274-276.

The administration and scoring of the ITPA is relatively difficult. Testers should receive specific training in the ITPA before using it for educational decision making. The scores of students near the lower and the upper ends of the test's age range should be interpreted with caution. The standard error of measurement ranges from 1.2 to 4.5 scaled score points.

[6] J. Salvia, and J.E. Ysseldyke, Assessment in Special and Remedial Education (2nd ed.), Boston, Mass., Houghton Mifflin, 1981, 421.

The ITPA has inadequate norms, poor reliability and questionable validity. It is exciting and unique in format and purpose and so it is overused. It may measure intelligence more than language.

[7] G.D. Spache, Diagnosing and Correcting Reading Disabilities (2nd ed.), Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1981, 98-100.

Only certain parts of the ITPA should be employed in reading diagnosis: Grammatic Closure, Visual Sequential Memory, and Auditory Sequential Memory, and possibly Auditory Association. Alone, they are probably not reliable enough for conclusions but, when used as parallel tests, they can add weight to the validity of the diagnosis.

[8] G. Wallace, and S.C. Larson, Educational Assessment of Learning Problems: Testing for Teaching, Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1978, 202.

Examples of auditory-sequential memory tasks include ITPA-Auditory Sequential Memory; DTLA-Oral Directions, Oral Commissions, and Auditory Attention Span; and WISC-Digit Span. Auditory blending is routinely included in reading tests, examples are the ITPA-Sound Blending; and Roswell-Chall Auditory Blending Test(Roswell-Chall, 1963).

[9] T. Mahan, and A. Mahan, Assessing Children With Special Needs, New York, New York, Holt, Rinehart, and Winston, 1981, 91-93.

The standard error of measurement for the ITPA subtests and composite scores are reported in terms of PLA months and standard score units. It is difficult to summarize the range, but a rough estimate would be 2 scaled score points or 6 months on the PLA. Many educators question the theory around which the ITPA was built; this criticism has led a number of ITPA enthusiasts to move toward a

Reviews

- [1] O.K. Buros editor, The Eighth Mental Measurement Yearbook(2 vol.), Highland Park, N.J., Gryphon Press, 1978, 577-583.
 - 1. J. Lumsden: In the professional psychometric mode, it is an expensive and inferior substitute for the S-B and the WISC. None of these tests can be recommended as a suitable differential aptitude test to guide remedial education.
 - 2. J.L. Wiederholt: The ITPA is not an adequate measure of language in children. It is a fairly reliable and valid measure of the Osgood-Kirk process model. The abilities measured lack any empirically demonstrated educational significance. It would be unfortunate if the ITPA were to be used to diagnose children as having language, psycholinguistic, or learning disability problems, or as a basis for planning remedial programs. It should not be discarded but subjected to more research.
 - 3. R.P. Waugh: The ITPA is a well-constructed instrument with acceptable internal reliablies, and a stable composite score. It is not a psycholinguistic test, and may be used as a test of general ability.
- [2] O.K. Buros editor, The Seventh Mental Measurement Yearbook(2 vol.), Highland Park, N.J., Gryphon Press, 1972, 814-819.
 - 1. J.B. Carroll: The title is a misnomer and users must be cautioned to look carefully at the true nature of the test. It is more a test of cognitive function. The ITPA is not superior to the other tests of this genre, such as the S-B and WISC tests. These are better standardized and in general more appealing to children.
 - 2. C.I. Chase: The ITPA is not intended to be an intelligence test, it is a test of language perception and short term memory. It is fairly reliable and has a fairly stable profile of scores. The standardization group has a "middle America" bias. Much research is needed before validity can be confirmed.
- [3] C. Compton, A Guide to 65 Tests for Special Education, Belmont, California, Fearon Education: a division of Pitman Learning Inc., 1980, 141-151.

The ITPA is widely used and highly respected. Supplemented by other diagnostic measures, it assists in diagnosing learning and language problems. The variety of tasks enables the examiner to meet the needs of the student. The ITPA samples cognitive functioning in verbal and non-verbal areas, but it

Reliability Information

- 1. Test-retest reliability: 0.7 0.83
- 2. Kuder-Richardson reliability: 0.87 0.93
- 3. Reliability information in manual? No

Validity Information

- 1. Criterion/predictive validity
 - a. S-B
- i. Year: 1970
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.49 0.59
- iii. Information in manual? No
- 2. Concurrence validity
 - a. CELF-S
- i. Year: 1979
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.46 0.62
- iii. Information in manual? No
- 3. Information regarding content validity in manual?
 - Yes

auditory-closure

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word-parts

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

12. Supplementary-Sound Blending

Purpose Descriptors

blending-word-parts->words

blending-word-parts->nonsense-words

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

auditory-word-parts

Mode of Response

oral-word

oral-nonsense-words

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

Norming Information

Norming date

1967

Sample size Place normed 962 USA

Sample Range

Ages 2.7 - 10.1

Grades 0 - 4

Sample similar to

national population

No

Norming info in manual?

Yes

Purpose Descriptors lang

language-usage

context

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture visual-sentence auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

8. Visual Closure

Purpose Descriptors

visual-closure visual-association

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-picture

Mode of Response

select-figure

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

9. Auditory Sequential Memory

Purpose Descriptors

auditory-memory

Mode of Presentation

auditory-several-numbers

Mode of Response

oral-several-numbers

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

10. Visual Sequential Memory

Purpose Descriptors

visual-memory

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-figure

Mode of Response

select-order

manual-manipulate-objects

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

11. Supplementary-Auditory Closure

language-analogies

Mode of Presentation

auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

4. Visual Association

Purpose Descriptors

visual-association

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

5. Verbal Expression

Purpose Descriptors

verbal-expression

Mode of Presentation

object

Mode of Response

oral-phrases

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

6. Manual Expression

Purpose Descriptors

manual-expression

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

Mode of Response

manual-hand-gestures

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

7. Grammatic Closure

Range Equivalent forms Administration Time Subtests Ages 2.4 - 10.3
only one form
60 - 90 minutes
Auditory Reception
Visual Reception
Auditory Association
Visual Association
Verbal Expression
Manual Expression
Grammatic Closure
Visual Closure
Auditory Sequential Memory
Visual Sequential Memory
Supplementary-Auditory Closure
Supplementary-Sound Blending

1. Auditory Reception

Purpose Descriptors

listening-comprehension

general-knowledge

Mode of Presentation

auditory-question

Mode of Response

orally-select-true-false

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

2. Visual Reception

Purpose Descriptors

visual-memory visual-association

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

3. Auditory Association

Illinois Test of Psycholinguistic Abilities, Revised Edition (ITPA)

Samuel A. Kirk University of Illinois James J. McCarthy University of Illinois

Winifred D. Kirk University of Illinois

Publisher Edition

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

Composite Scores

University of Illinois Press

2 1969 \$58

language

visual-perception auditory perception individual use norm-referenced requires some training requires some training Scoring aids available

Standard Score

Mean: 36

Standard Deviation: 6

Age Equivalent

Auditory-vocal Channel Visual-motor Channel Receptive Process Associative Process Expressive Process Representative Level Automatic Level

Available levels

There is only one level.

Reviews

- [1] O.K. Buros editor, The Eighth Mental Measurement Yearbook(2 vol.), Highland Park, N.J., Gryphon Press, 1978, 1195-1201.
 - 1. N.N. Filley: The ISRT is a newly conceptualized and organized set of reading measures. There is no way to evaluate the standardization population, this limits the interpretation of the norms. The ISRT is a contempory reading comprehension battery, a product of careful and long-term development.
 - 2. A.R. Hakstian: The 1973 edition of the ISRT is different in major respects and can be considered a new test. Standard scores have been developed which permit the user to relate scores obtained from a given test at one level to the other two levels. There are no norms beyond grade 12, but Level 3 is for grades 11-16. The reliability is adequate but the method of determining it is questionable. The ISRT is likely the best test series currently available for the assessment of reading skills at grade 6 and up. It was very carefully standardized. It is best used for assessment not diagnostic uses. The validity is scanty.
 - 3. F.B. Davis: The standard errors of measure for the subtests'raw scores are reported. "Fortunately no space is wasted on predictive, concurrent, or congruent validity of the test."
 - 4. R. Hunter and R. Hoepfner: Considerable space is devoted to suggestions as to how the results might be used, but prescriptive suggestions are not made for the individual teacher, nor is any genuine diagnostic information provided. Due to time requirements and academic nature it should be considered a special and intensive reading test.

Reliability Information

- 1. Equivalent forms reliability: 0.77 0.9
- 2. Reliability information in manual? Unknown

Validity Information

- 1. Concurrence validity
 - a. MAT-reading

i. Year: 1972

ii. Range of correlations: 0.7 - 0.89iii. Information in manual? - Unknown

2. Information regarding content validity in manual?Unknown

silent-comprehension

context

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-paragraph

Mode of Response

write-word

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

Norming Information

Norming date

1972

Sample size

14000

Place normed

USA

Sample Range

Grades 6 - 12

Sample similar to national population

No

Norming info in manual?

Unknown

silent-comprehension

context

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-paragraph

Mode of Response

write-word

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

Level 3

Range

Equivalent forms

Administration Time

Subtests

Grades 11 - 16

2

60 - 100 minutes

Vocabulary

Reading Comprehension

Reading Efficiency

1. Vocabulary

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings

synonyms

Mode of Presentation

visual-word

visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

2. Reading Comprehension

Purpose Descriptors

silent-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

visual-paragraph

visual-question

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

3. Reading Efficiency

silent-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

visual-paragraph visual-question

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

3. Short Term Retention Test

Purpose Descriptors

silent-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

visual-paragraph visual-question

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

4. Directed Reading-Word Study Skills

Purpose Descriptors

reference-skills

Mode of Presentation

visual-reference-article visual-dictionary-entry

visual-question

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

5. Directed Reading-Skim and Scan

Purpose Descriptors

silent-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

visual-reference-article

visual-question

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

6. Reading Efficiency

silent-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

visual-reference-article

visual-question

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

6. Reading Efficiency

Purpose Descriptors

silent-comprehension

context

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-paragraph

Mode of Response

write-word

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

Level 2

Range

Equivalent forms

Administration Time

Subtests

Grades 9 - 14

2

90 - 140 minutes

Vocabulary

Reading Comprehension Short Term Retention Test

Directed Reading-Word Study Skills Directed Reading-Skim and Scan

Reading Efficiency

1. Vocabulary

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings

synonyms

Mode of Presentation

visual-word

visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

2. Reading Comprehension

word-meanings

synonyms

Mode of Presentation

visual-word

visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

2. Reading Comprehension

Purpose Descriptors

silent-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

visual-paragraph visual-question

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

3. Short Term Retention

Purpose Descriptors

silent-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

visual-paragraph visual-question

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

4. Directed Reading-Word Study Skills

Purpose Descriptors

reference-skills

Mode of Presentation

visual-reference-article visual-dictionary-entry

visual-question

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

5. Directed Reading-Skim and Scan

Iowa Silent Reading Test (ISRT)

Roger Farr-Editor

Publisher Edition

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

Composite Scores

Available levels

Level 1

Range

Equivalent forms

Administration Time

Subtests

Psychological Corporation

5 1973

\$210

reading group use

norm-referenced

requires some training requires some training

Standard Score Stanine Score

Percentiles

Total Score

Directed Reading Score

Level 1

Level 2

Level 3

Grades 6 - 9

90 - 140 minutes

Vocabulary

Reading Comprehension **Short Term Retention**

Directed Reading-Word Study Skills Directed Reading-Skim and Scan

Reading Efficiency

1. Vocabulary

[5] G.D. Spache, Diagnosing and Correcting Reading Disabilities (2nd ed.), Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1981, 187-189.

It is questionable that the results will be relevent to a classroom program that uses silent reading almost exclusively.

[6] **D.B. Ryckman**, Gray Oral Reading Test Some Reliability and Validity Data with Learning-Disabled Children, *Psychological Reports*, 1982,50:2,673-674.

In this study 186 white, middle class suburban children with learning disabilities and normal IQ's were given the WISC-R, GMRT and the Gray. There were 47 girls and 139 boys. The concurrent validities of the Gray are as follows: WISC-R 0.02 to 0.15; GMRT Vocabulary 0.64 to 0.7 and GMRT Comprehension 0.48 to 0.69. The use of the Gray would classify more children as learning disabled because of the low scores obtained.

Reviews

- [1] O.K. Buros editor, The Sixth Mental Measurement Yearbook (2 vol.), Highland Park, N.J., Gryphon Press, 1965, 1129-1132.
 - 1. E.P. Bliesmer: The Gray should be viewed as a very welcome and useful addition to the stock of reading tests. Development and construction of the test appears to have been done carefully and soundly. The extra forms are particularly welcome. The scoring is simpler and explained better than the earlier Gray test.
 - 2. A.J. Harris: The 1963 test is new in content and method of standardization. Reliability is given in terms of standard error of measurement which ranges from 1.98 to 4.59. The weakest feature is the norms. Separate norms are given for boys and girls. It is hoped a set of combined norms will be added. The Gray is a welcome addition to the very limited number of satisfactory oral reading tests.
 - 3. P.R. Lohnes: The problem of the Gray relates to questions about the nature of oral reading ability, questions which the manual raises but does not resolve. The manual is also silent on the predictive validities of the Gray.
- [2] C. Compton, A Guide to 65 Tests for Special Education, Belmont, California, Fearon Education: a division of Pitman Learning Inc., 1980, 31-32.
 - The Gray test is excellent for test-retest situations because of the grade range covered and the four forms. The speed of reading is an integral part of the score. The manual is clear and well organized. The limitation of the Gray is in the "tentative norms".
- [3] E.E. Ekwall, and J.L. Shanker, Diagnosis and Remediation of the Disabled Reader(2nd edition), Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1983, 197.
 - The Gray provides questions to be asked after reading each passage. However, the norms for this test are based on time and number of oral errors, comprehension was not considered in the norming.
- [4] J. Salvia, and J.E. Ysseldyke, Assessment in Special and Remedial Education (2nd ed.), Boston, Mass., Houghton Mifflin, 1981, 192-195.
 - A tape recorder should be used when administering the Gray. The test provides grade scores, but the most useful information is the systematic analysis of oral reading errors. The standardization, reliability and validity is very limited.

Reliability Information

- 1. Equivalent forms reliability: 0.96 0.98
- 2. Reliability information in manual? Yes

Validity Information

- 1. Concurrence validity
 - a. DRS

i. Year: 1967

ii. Range of correlations: 0.82

iii. Information in manual? - No

b. GORT

i. Year: 1967

ii. Range of correlations: 0.45 - 0.77

iii. Information in manual? - No

2. Information regarding content validity in manual?

- Yes

oral-reading-paragraphs

oral-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-paragraph auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-paragraph

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

Norming Information

Norming date

1960

Sample size

502

Place normed

USA

Sample Range

Grades 2 - 12

Sample similar to national population

No

Norming info in manual?

Yes

Gray Oral Reading Test (Gray)

William S. Gray University of Chicago Helen M. Robinson University of Chicago

Publisher

Edition

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

Bobbs-Merrill Company

2

1967

\$83

reading

individual use norm-referenced

easy

requires some training

Scoring aids available

Grade Equivalent

Available levels

There is only one level.

Range

Equivalent forms

Administration Time

Subtests

Grades 1 - C

4

dk minutes Oral Reading

1. Oral Reading

- This test is useful to a beginning reading diagnostician because it provides norms and gives other criteria to judge adequacy of a student's reading.
- [5] J. Salvia, and J.E. Ysseldyke, Assessment in Special and Remedial Education (2nd ed.), Boston, Mass., Houghton Mifflin, 1981, 195-197.
 - This test lacks reliability and validity and should be used with caution.
- [6] G.D. Spache, Diagnosing and Correcting Reading Disabilities (2nd ed.), Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1981, 185-187.
 - The inflated grade scores are a problem. There is no indication that the test material resembles normal school material in their gradation.
- [7] G. Wallace, and S.C. Larson, Educational Assessment of Learning Problems: Testing for Teaching, Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1978, 349.
 - The GORT can be used effectively to measure at least one component of reading comprehension.

Reviews

- [1] O.K. Buros editor, The Eighth Mental Measurement Yearbook(2 vol.), Highland Park, N.J., Gryphon Press, 1978, 1315-1316.
 - 1. J. Stafford: Trained personnel often have difficulty in analyzing student's oral reading performance. The manual is remiss in its failure to include data describing the reliability with which the various errors can be coded. The GORT is used to diagnose the reading needs of students who have reading problems. The discussion of the test's standarization was not sufficiently detailed to determine what portion of the students used were identified as having reading problems.
- [2] O.K. Buros editor, The Seventh Mental Measurement Yearbook(2 vol.), Highland Park, N.J., Gryphon Press, 1972, 1146-1148.
 - 2. A.J. Harris: Form D is a revision of Form A with new comprehension questions. The content of Form C is new. Reliability for the Accuracy score is satisfactory (0.84 -0.94). Reliability for Comprehension and Rate scores range from 0.53 -0.7 and indicate that these scores fall below accepted standards. Despite its shortcoming, the GORT is among the best standardized tests of accuracy in oral reading. The usefulness of the Comprehension and Rate scores is questionable.
 - 3. K.J. Smith: The GORT requires individual administration and is rather time consuming, and the results have limited use in view of the invalid assumptions upon which the test is based. Time might be better spent on a well constructed informal inventory of oral reading with an oral test, and reading comprehension with a silent test, and which samples types of comprehension not simply recall of details.
- [3] C. Compton, A Guide to 65 Tests for Special Education, Belmont, California, Fearon Education: a division of Pitman Learning Inc., 1980, 33-35.
 - The Rate of Reading score and norms are separate from the Comprehension scores and norms. The bonus point system results in a spuriously high score. Vocabulary is drawn from basal readers, and hence, post testing often will not show gains in phonics skills.
- [4] E.E. Ekwall, and J.L. Shanker, Diagnosis and Remediation of the Disabled Reader(2nd edition), Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1983, 197.

Reliability Information

- 1. Equivalent forms reliability: 0.53 0.94
- 2. Reliability information in manual? Yes

Validity Information

- 1. Criterion/predictive validity
 - a. WRAT
- i. Year: 1964
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.91
- iii. Information in manual? No
- b. GWPT
- i. Year: 1965
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.81 0.9
- iii. Information in manual? Yes
- 2. Concurrence validity
 - a. SAT-reading
 - i. Year: 1965
 - ii. Range of correlations: 0.75 0.85
 - iii. Information in manual? Yes
- 3. Information regarding content validity in manual?
 - No

oral-reading-paragraphs

oral-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-paragraph auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-paragraph oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent Stanine Score Percentiles

Norming Information

Norming date Sample size

1967

4455

Place normed

USA

Sample Range Sample similar to Grades 1 - 8

national population

No

Norming info in manual?

Yes

Gilmore Oral Reading Test (GORT)

John V. Gilmore

Eunice C. Gilmore

Publisher

Edition

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

Harcourt Brace and World Incorporation

2

1968

\$47

reading

individual use norm-referenced

easy

requires some training

No Scores

Available levels

There is only one level.

Range

Equivalent forms

Administration Time

Subtests

Grades 1 - 8

 $\mathbf{2}$

15 - 20 minutes

Oral Reading

1. Oral Reading

Reviews

- [1] C. Compton, A Guide to 65 Tests for Special Education, Belmont, California, Fearon Education: a division of Pitman Learning Inc., 1980, 49-51.
 - 1978 ed.: The wide range and alternate forms makes the GMRT excellent for test-retest procedures to determine the progress of an individual student. The manual and the technical supplement are well prepared and easy to use. In the 2nd edition, careful attention has been given to content. Minority-cultured and sex-biased items have been avoided. The Decoding Skills Analysis form for levels A and B improves the diagnostic use of the test.
- [2] J. Salvia, and J.E. Ysseldyke, Assessment in Special and Remedial Education (2nd ed.), Boston, Mass., Houghton Mifflin, 1981, 168-170.
 - 1978 ed.: This is a norm-referenced screening test designed to assess skill development in reading from kindergarten through grade 12. The 1978 and 1965 editions were correlated: the range is from 0.74 to 0.94. The reliability correlations are as follows: alternate-form from 0.89 to 0.94; test-retest from 0.77 to 0.89; and KR20 from 0.85 to 0.94.
- [3] W.H. MacGinitie, Gates-MacGinitie Reading Test Canadian Edition Teachers'manual, Scarborough, Ontario, Nelson Canada Limited, 1980, .
 - The Canadian edition is based on the 1978 2nd edition of the GMRT. Out of level norms are available at all levels so that children can be tested at a higher or lower level if necessary. The manual gives advice on when to use out-of-level testing, which levels to give and how to interpret the results. Identical sample pages make it possible to intermix, within the same classrooms, Levels A and B, or Levels D, E, and F.
- [4] G. Ralph, and P. Park, Special Educational Materials and Resources Handbook, Ontario, Ontario Ministry of Education, 1982, 1207.
 - 1978 ed. The GMRT is excellent for test-retest procedures to determine progress. The manual is easy to use, test may be computer scored, and out-of-level norms are available for exceptional children. The analysis of errors is difficult. The Comprehension test relies heavily on general knowledge.
- [5] E.A. Jongsma, Test Review: Gates-MacGinitie Reading Test(2nd edition), Journal of Reading, 1980, 23:4,340-345.
 - 1978 ed.: The GMRT is appropriate for screening special students, grouping students for instruction, and evaluating general reading progress. It is good for time limited situations. Level R is the weakest. It is recommended that teachers use total scores and not subtest results. Level F may not reflect the reading demands faced by many college bound high school students.

Reliability Information

- 1. Kuder-Richardson reliability: 0.85 0.94
- 2. Reliability information in manual? No Name of Publication Technical Manual for Canadian Edition of Gates-MacGinitie Reading Test Date of Publication:

Validity Information

- 1. Concurrence validity
 - a. MAT-reading

i. Year: 1980

ii. Range of correlations: 0.88 - 0.91

iii. Information in manual? - No

2. Information regarding content validity in manual?

- Yes

silent-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-paragraph visual-question

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

Norming Information

Norming date

Sample size Place normed 1979

46000

Canada USA

Sample Range

Grades 1 - 12

1977

65000

Grades 1 - 12

Sample similar to national population

Yes

Norming info in manual?

Yes

word-meanings

synonyms

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-word

visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

2. Comprehension

Purpose Descriptors

silent-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-paragraph visual-question

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

Level F

Range

Equivalent forms

Administration Time

Subtests

Grades 10 - 12

2

55 minutes Vocabulary Comprehension

1. Vocabulary

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings

synonyms

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-word

visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

2. Comprehension

Level D

Range

Equivalent forms

Administration Time

Subtests

Grades 4 - 6

55 minutes Vocabulary Comprehension

1. Vocabulary

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings

synonyms

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-word

visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

2. Comprehension

Purpose Descriptors

silent-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-paragraph visual-question

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

Level E

Range

Equivalent forms

Administration Time

Subtests

Grades 7 - 9

55 minutes Vocabulary Comprehension

1. Vocabulary

silent-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-sentence visual-paragraph visual-picture

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

Level C

Range

Grade 3

Equivalent forms Administration Time Subtests 2

55 minutes Vocabulary Comprehension

1. Vocabulary

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings

synonyms

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-word

visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

2. Comprehension

Purpose Descriptors

silent-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-paragraph visual-question

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

silent-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-sentence visual-paragraph visual-picture

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

Level C

Range

Grade 3

Equivalent forms Administration Time Subtests 2

55 minutes Vocabulary Comprehension

1. Vocabulary

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings

synonyms

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-word

visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

2. Comprehension

Purpose Descriptors

silent-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-paragraph visual-question

Mode of Response

select-answer

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

silent-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-sentence visual-paragraph visual-picture

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

Level B

Range

Grade 2

Equivalent forms Administration Time Subtests 2

55 minutes Vocabulary Comprehension

1. Vocabulary

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings

identifying-consonant identifying-vowel

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-picture

visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

2. Comprehension

identifying-initial-phonemes identifying-final-phoneme

Mode of Presentation

auditory-letter-name visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring - Criterion

Rating

4. Comprehension

Purpose Descriptors

silent-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

visual-sentence visual-picture

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring - Criterion

Rating

Level A

Range

Equivalent forms Administration Time

Subtests

Grades 1.5 - 1.9

2

55 minutes Vocabulary Comprehension

1. Vocabulary

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings

identifying-consonant identifying-vowel

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-picture

visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

2. Comprehension

Range Equivalent forms Administration Time Subtests Grades 1.0 - 1.9 only one form 65 minutes Letter Sounds Vocabulary Letter Recognition Comprehension

1. Letter Sounds

Purpose Descriptors

identifying-initial-phonemes identifying-final-phoneme

Mode of Presentation

visual-letter visual-phoneme visual-several-words visual-picture

Mode of Response

select-word select-picture

Scoring - Criterion

Rating

2. Vocabulary

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings identify-word

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture auditory-word visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring - Criterion

Rating

3. Letter Recognition

Gates-MacGinitie Reading Test-Canadian Edition (GMRT-C)

Walter H. MacGinitie Columbia University Joyce Kamons Columbia University

Ruth L. Kowalski Columbia University Ruth K.MacGinitie Columbia University

Timothy Mackay Columbia University

Publisher

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Nelson Canada Limited

1980

\$167

reading

group use

norm-referenced

easy

easy

Scoring aids available

Global Scores

Standard Score

Mean: 50

Standard Deviation: 10

Grade Equivalent Stanine Score Percentiles

Available levels

Basic R

Level A

Level B

Level C

Level D

Level E

Level F

Basic R

Reviews

- [1] O.K. Buros editor, The Sixth Mental Measurement Yearbook(2 vol.), Highland Park, N.J., Gryphon Press, 1965, 1107-1110.
 - 1. N.D. Bryant: 1962 ed.: As with any diagnostic reading test, clinical judgement is needed to get the most from the scores and the test performance. This diagnostic test appears to be more sophisticated than most.
 - 2. G.M. Della-Piana: The administration and interpretation of the GMH requires a sophisticated examiner willing to pay the price of considerable training. If a complete battery is desired the Durrell or DRS would be more suitable.
- [2] G.O. Bunch, and G. Robertson, Selected Psychoeducational Tests, A Layman's Handbook, Orillia, Ontario, Ptarmigan Publishers, 1982, 26-27.
 - 1962 ed.: The strength of this test lies in the well organized manual and explicit directions for administrating and in the checklist for reading behaviours.
- [3] C. Compton, A Guide to 65 Tests for Special Education, Belmont, California, Fearon Education: a division of Pitman Learning Inc., 1980, 42-45.
 - 1962 ed.: The careful selection of the subtests will give maximum diagnostic information. The grade scores are of little value.
- [4] E.E. Ekwall, and J.L. Shanker, Diagnosis and Remediation of the Disabled Reader(2nd edition), Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1983, 127-128.
 - The content validity of the follows subtests is questionable: Syllabication, Recognizing and Blending Common Word Parts, Giving Letter Sounds and Recognizing the Visual Form of Sounds. The rest of the subtests have sufficient content validity. The test provides useful information to the reading diagnostician.
- [5] J. Salvia, and J.E. Ysseldyke, Assessment in Special and Remedial Education (2nd ed.), Boston, Mass., Houghton Mifflin, 1981, 197-200.
 - 1962 ed.: The scores have little value. However, you can find reading strengths and weaknesses if you go beyond the scores and look at each item.
- [6] G. Wallace, and S.C. Larson, Educational Assessment of Learning Problems: Testing for Teaching, Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1978, 306-308.
 - 1962 ed.: The length and laborious nature of the GMH is the major disadvantage. There is no reading comprehension subtest.

Norming Information

Norming date 1980 Sample size 600 Place normed USA

Sample Range Grades 1 - 6

Sample similar to

national population No

Norming info in manual? Yes

Reliability Information

1. Test-retest reliability: 0.94

2. Reliability information in manual? - Yes

Validity Information

- 1. Criterion/predictive validity
 - a. MAT-Reading

i. Year: 1980

ii. Range of correlations: 0.68 - 0.96

iii. Information in manual? - Yes

- 2. Concurrence validity
 - a. GMRT

i. Year: 1980

ii. Range of correlations: 0.68 - 0.96

iii. Information in manual? - Yes

3. Information regarding content validity in manual?

- No

identifying-vowel

Mode of Presentation

auditory-nonsense-words

visual-letter

Mode of Response

select-letter

Scoring- Normed

12. Auditory Blending

Purpose Descriptors

blending-word-parts->words

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word-parts

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring- Normed

13. Auditory Discrimination

Purpose Descriptors

auditory-discrimination

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word-pairs

Mode of Response

orally-select-true-false

Scoring- Normed

14. Spelling

Purpose Descriptors

spelling-general

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

write-word

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent

reading-nonsense-words reading-words-one-syllable

Mode of Presentation

visual-nonsense-word

Mode of Response

oral-nonsense-words

Scoring- Normed

8. Word Attack-Giving Letter Sounds

Purpose Descriptors

letter-sounds-lower-case-consonants

 $letter\hbox{-}sounds\hbox{-}lower\hbox{-}case\hbox{-}vowels$

Mode of Presentation

visual-letter

Mode of Response

oral-letter-sound

Scoring- Normed

9. Word Attack-Naming Capital Letters

Purpose Descriptors

letter-names-capitals-consonants

letter-names-capitals-vowels

Mode of Presentation

visual-letter

Mode of Response

oral-letter-name

Scoring- Normed

10. Word Attack-Naming Lower Case Letters

Purpose Descriptors

letter-names-lower-case-consonants

letter-names-lower-case-vowels

Mode of Presentation

visual-letter

Mode of Response

oral-letter-name

Scoring- Normed

11. Recognizing the Visual Forms of Sounds

sight-words

Mode of Presentation

visual-word

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent

4. Words-Untimed

Purpose Descriptors

reading-words

Mode of Presentation

visual-word

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent

5. Word Attack-Syllabication

Purpose Descriptors

reading-words-multi-syllable

Mode of Presentation

visual-nonsense-word

Mode of Response

oral-nonsense-words

Scoring- Normed

6. Word Attack-Recognizing and Blending Common Word Parts

Purpose Descriptors

reading-nonsense-words

blending-word-parts->nonsense-words

Mode of Presentation

visual-nonsense-word

visual-word-parts

Mode of Response

oral-word

oral-word-parts

Scoring- Normed

7. Word Attack-Reading Words

Range

.

Ages 6.6 - 17.4

Grades 1.2 - 12.0

Equivalent forms
Administration Time

Subtests

only one form 30 - 60 minutes Oral Reading

Reading Sentences

Words-Flash Words-Untimed

Word Attack-Syllabication

Word Attack-Recognizing and Blending Common Word Parts

Word Attack-Reading Words

Word Attack-Giving Letter Sounds Word Attack-Naming Capital Letters Word Attack-Naming Lower Case Letters Recognizing the Visual Forms of Sounds

Auditory Blending

Auditory Discrimination

Spelling

1. Oral Reading

Purpose Descriptors

oral-reading-paragraphs

Mode of Presentation

visual-paragraph

Mode of Response

oral-paragraph

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent

2. Reading Sentences

Purpose Descriptors

oral-reading-sentences

Mode of Presentation

visual-sentence

Mode of Response

oral-sentence

Scoring- Normed

3. Words-Flash

Gates-McKillop-Horowitz Reading Diagnostic Test (GMH)

Arthur I. Gates Columbia University Anne S. McKillop Columbia University

Elizabeth Cliff Horowitz Columbia University

Publisher

Edition

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

Available levels

Teachers College Press, Columbia University

 $\mathbf{2}$

1981

\$30

reading

individual use

 ${\bf norm\text{-}referenced}$

easy

requires some training

No Scores

There is only one level.

Reviews

- [1] O.K. Buros editor, The Eighth Mental Measurement Yearbook(2 vol.), Highland Park, N.J., Gryphon Press, 1978, 1460-1461.
 - 1. A. Bannatyne: The GFW-TAD is the a test of auditory closure not discrimination. The validity should be checked against a traditional word-pair discrimination test.
- [2] C. Compton, A Guide to 65 Tests for Special Education, Belmont, California, Fearon Education: a division of Pitman Learning Inc., 1980, 94-95.
 - The tape recorded presentation gives control over the examiners voice. The test is well thought out and has good reliability and validity. The error analysis chart has limited reliability and should be used only for clinical exploration and research.
- [3] G. Wallace, and S.C. Larson, Educational Assessment of Learning Problems: Testing for Teaching, Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1978, 200.

The GFW-TAD is useful to screen children who are suspected of having auditory-perception problems, but no predictive validity is supplied.

Norming Information

Norming date 1969 Sample size 745 Place normed USA

Sample Range Ages 3.5 - 84
Sample similar to

national population No

Norming info in manual? Yes

Reliability Information

1. Split-half reliability: 0.68 - 0.79

2. Test-retest reliability: 0.81 - 0.87

3. Reliability information in manual? - Yes

Validity Information

1. Other validity measures 2. Information regarding content validity in manual?

- Yes

Purpose Descriptors auditory-

auditory-discrimination

Mode of Presentation

tape-recorded-presentation

visual-picture auditory-word

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Standard Score Mean: 50

Standard Deviation: 10

Percentiles

2. Noice Subtest

Purpose Descriptors

auditory-discrimination auditory-selective-attention

Mode of Presentation

tape-recorded-presentation

visual-picture auditory-word

auditory-background-noise

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Standard Score

Mean:50

Standard Deviation: 10

Percentiles

Goldman-Fristoe-Woodcock Test of Auditory Discrimination (GFW-TAD)

Ronald Goldman Vanderbilt University Macalyne Fristoe Vanderbilt University

Richard W. Woodcock University of Minnesota

Publisher

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

American Guidance Service

1970

\$80

auditory perception

individual use norm-referenced

easy

Scoring aids available

No Scores

Available levels

There is only one level.

Range Equivalent forms Administration Time Subtests

Ages 4 - 84 only one form 15 minutes Quiet Subtest Noice Subtest

1. Quiet Subtest

[1] G.O. Bunch, and G. Robertson, Selected Psychoeducational Tests, A Layman's Handbook, Orillia, Ontario, Ptarmigan Publishers, 1982, 68-69.

The SST places the subject in situations which are not normally met in real life. However, the test does discriminate varying levels of ability. The problem is one of validity.

[2] G. Wallace, and S.C. Larson, Educational Assessment of Learning Problems: Testing for Teaching, Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1978, 201-202.

Examples of other tests which include auditory blending are the Roswell, and the ITPA Sound Blending Subtest.

[3] **D.D. Hammill, and N.R. Bartel**, Teaching Children With Learning and Behavior Problems, 3rd ed., Boston, Massachusetts, Allyn and Bacon Incorporated, 1982, 387-389.

More than half the total norming sample range is from 3-10 years of age. The least reliable range is the 9-18 year range. Two clinical populations were tested and the split-half reliabilities range from 0.74-0.99. Caution should be used when using the norms for there is little significance, other than age, found between the normal and clinical groups and there was no control for the varied intelligence range. There was no attempt made to find concurrent or predictive validity.

[4] **J.L. Carroll**, Reviews of School Psychological Material: G-F-W Auditory Skills Test Battery, *Journal of School Psychology*, 1979,17:3,294-296.

The materials are easy to administer. The tape recorded presentation eliminates one source of unreliability. The validity of the tests is poor. There is no information on the number of subjects in the norming sample by age. The mean, standard deviation, and standard error of measurement are not given. This makes it hard to place reliance on the extrapolated tables. To score the test, both the test manual and the Battery technical manual are necessary. The present value of the test is its ease of administration, and wide range of skills tested. The examiner must be sophisticated regarding the variables they must test and rely on their own analysis rather than the norms.

Reliability Information

- 1. Split-half reliability: 0.73 0.97
- 2. Reliability information in manual? No Name of Publication G-F-W Auditory Skills Test Battery Technical Manual Date of Publication:

Validity Information

Information regarding content validity in manual?
 Yes

 ${\bf spelling-phonetically-regular-nonsense-} \\ {\bf w}$

Mode of Presentation

tape-recorded-presentation auditory-nonsense-words

Mode of Response

oral-nonsense-words write-nonsense-word

Scoring- Normed

Standard Score

Mean: 50

Standard Deviation: 10

Age Equivalent Stanine Score Percentiles

Norming Information

Norming date

Not Available

Sample size Place normed 8043 USA

Sample Range

Ages 3 - 80

Sample similar to national population

No

Norming info in manual?

No

auditory-visual-association

non-meaning-memory

memory-taught

Mode of Presentation

visual-figure

auditory-nonsense-words repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

select-figure

Scoring- Normed

Standard Score

Mean:50

Standard Deviation: 10

Age Equivalent Stanine Score Percentiles

6. Reading of Symbols

Purpose Descriptors

articulation

reading-nonsense-words

reading-words-single-consonant

reading-words-consonant-combination

reading-words-single-vowel

reading-words-vowel-combinations

reading-words-multi-syllable

Mode of Presentation

visual-nonsense-word

Mode of Response

oral-nonsense-words

Scoring- Normed

Standard Score

Mean: 50

Standard Deviation: 10

Age Equivalent Grade Equivalent Stanine Score Percentiles

7. Spelling

identifying-phonemes

Mode of Presentation

tape-recorded-presentation auditory-nonsense-words

 ${\bf repeated-auditory-instructions}$

Mode of Response

oral-phoneme

Scoring- Normed

Standard Score Mean: 50

Standard Deviation: 10

Age Equivalent Stanine Score Percentiles

4. Sound Blending

Purpose Descriptors

blending-word-parts->words

Mode of Presentation

tape-recorded-presentation

auditory-word-parts

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring- Normed

Standard Score

Mean: 50

Standard Deviation: 10

Age Equivalent Stanine Score Percentiles

5. Sound Symbol Association

Purpose Descriptors auditory-memory

Mode of Presentation tape-recorded-presentation

auditory-nonsense-words

Mode of Response oral-nonsense-words

Scoring- Normed Standard Score Mean: 50

Mcan . oo

Standard Deviation: 10

Age Equivalent Stanine Score Percentiles

2. Sound Recognition

Purpose Descriptors blending-word-parts->words

Mode of Presentation tape-recorded-presentation

visual-picture

auditory-word-parts

Mode of Response select-picture

Scoring- Normed Standard Score

Mean:50

Standard Deviation: 10

Age Equivalent Stanine Score Percentiles

3. Sound Analysis

Goldman-Fristoe-Woodcock Sound Symbol Tests (GFW-SST)

Ronald Goldman Vanderbilt University

Macalyne Fristoe Vanderbilt University

Richard W. Woodcock University of Minnesota

Publisher

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

American Guidance Services

1974 \$65

auditory perception

individual use norm-referenced

requires some training requires some training Scoring aids available

No Scores

Available levels

There is only one level.

Range

Equivalent forms

Administration Time

Subtests

Ages 3 - 80

only one form

20 - 60 minutes

Sound Mimicry

Sound Recognition

Sound Analysis

Sound Blending

Sound Symbol Association

Reading of Symbols

Spelling

1. Sound Mimicry

Reviews

[1] G.O. Bunch, and G. Robertson, Selected Psychoeducational Tests, A Layman's Handbook, Orillia, Ontario, Ptarmigan Publishers, 1982, 62-63.

With advance practice and preparation, this test is not difficult to administer or score. Interpretation of the Sound Confusion Inventory is difficult because the authors have not defined operationally such terms as "cluster of lure sounds" nor "target lure combinations". This information is supposed to be used to plan an individualized program of discrimination.

[2] D.D. Hammill, and N.R. Bartel, Teaching Children with Learning and Behavior Problems, 3rd Ed., Boston, Massachusetts, Allyn and Bacon Incorporated, 1982, 387-389.

More than half the total norming sample range is from 3-10 years of age. The least reliable range is the 9-18 year range. Two clinical populations were tested and the split-half reliabilities range from 0.74-0.99. Caution should be used when using the norms for there is little significance, other than age, found between the normal and clinical groups and there was no control for the varied intelligence range. There was no attempt made to find concurrent or predictive validity.

[3] **J.L. Carroll**, Reviews of School Psychological Material: G-F-W Auditory Skills Test Battery, *Journal of School Psychology*, 1979,17:3,294-296.

The materials are easy to administer. The tape recorded presentation eliminates one source of unreliability. The validity of the tests is poor. There is no information on the number of subjects in the norming sample by age. The mean, standard deviation, and standard error of measurement are not given. This makes it hard to place reliance on the extrapolated tables. To score the test, both the test manual and the Battery technical manual are necessary. The present value of the test is its ease of administration, and wide range of skills tested. The examiner must be sophisticated regarding the variables they must test and rely on their own analysis rather than the norms.

Norming Information

Norming date

Not Available

Sample size

590

Place normed

Not Available

Sample Range

Ages 3 - 80

Sample similar to

national population

No

Norming info in manual?

No

Reliability Information

1. Split-half reliability: 0.46 - 0.94

2. Reliability information in manual? - No

Validity Information

Information regarding content validity in manual?
 Yes

auditory-discrimination

Mode of Presentation

tape-recorded-presentation

visual-picture auditory-word

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

2. Part II

Purpose Descriptors

auditory-discrimination

Mode of Presentation

tape-recorded-presentation

visual-picture auditory-word

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

3. Part III

Purpose Descriptors

auditory-discrimination

Mode of Presentation

tape-recorded-presentation

visual-picture auditory-word

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

Goldman-Fristoe-Woodcock Diagnostic Auditory Discrimination Test (GFW-DADT)

Ronald Goldman Vanderbilt University

Macalyne Fristoe Vanderbilt University

Richard W. Woodcock University of Minnesota

Publisher

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

American Guidance Service

1974 \$145

auditory perception

individual use norm-referenced

requires some training requires some training Scoring aids available

Global Scores

Standard Score

Mean: 50

Standard Deviation: 10

Age Equivalent Stanine Score Percentiles

Composite Scores

Part I and II

Available levels

There is only one level.

Range

Equivalent forms

Administration Time

Subtests

Ages 3 - 80

only one form

60 minutes

Part I

Part II

Part III

1. Part I

Reviews

[1] G.O. Bunch, and G. Robertson, Selected Psychoeducational Tests, A Layman's Handbook, Orillia, Ontario, Ptarmigan Publishers, 1982, 66-67.

Auditory attention is one of the gross sound skills which will lead eventually to speech. Having received the sound signal the listener will increase attention; therefore, auditory attention is necessary to sound processing. As well it is closely related to auditory attention span and memory.

[2] **D.D.** Hammill, and N.R. Bartel, Teaching Children With Learning and Behavior Problems, 3rd ed., Boston, Massachusetts, Allyn and Bacon Incorporated, 1982, 387-389.

More than half the total norming sample range is from 3-10 years of age. The least reliable range is the 9-18 year range. Two clinical populations were tested and the split-half reliabilities range from 0.74-0.99. Caution should be used when using the norms for there is little significance, other than age, found between the normal and clinical groups and there was no control for the varied intelligence range. There was no attempt made to find concurrent or predictive validity.

[3] **J.L. Carroll**, Reviews of School Psychological Material: G-F-W Auditory Skills Test Battery, *Journal of School Psychology*, 1979,17:3,294-296.

The materials are easy to administer. The tape recorded presentation eliminates one source of unreliability. The validity of the tests is poor. There is no information on the number of subjects in the norming sample by age. The mean, standard deviation, and standard error of measurement are not given. This makes it hard to place reliance on the extrapolated tables. To score the test, both the test manual and the Battery technical manual are necessary. The present value of the test is its ease of administration, and wide range of skills tested. The examiner must be sophisticated regarding the variables they must test and rely on their own analysis rather than the norms.

Reliability Information

- 1. Split-half reliability: 0.46 0.78
- 2. Reliability information in manual? No Name of Publication G-F-W Auditory Skills Test Battery Technical Manual Date of Publication:

Validity Information

Information regarding content validity in manual?
 Yes

auditory-discrimination auditory-selective-attention

Mode of Presentation

tape-recorded-presentation

visual-picture auditory-word

auditory-background-noise

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Percentiles

Norming Information

Norming date

Not Available

Sample size Place normed 585

USA

Sample Range

Ages 3 - 80

Sample similar to national population

No

Norming info in manual?

No

Purpose Descriptors auditory-discrimination

Mode of Presentation tape-recorded-presentation

visual-picture auditory-word

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Percentiles

2. Fan-like Noise

Purpose Descriptors auditory-discrimination

auditory-selective-attention

Mode of Presentation tape-recorded-presentation

visual-picture auditory-word

auditory-background-noise

Mode of Response select-picture

Scoring- Normed Percentiles

3. Cafeteria Noise

Purpose Descriptors auditory-discrimination

 ${\bf auditory\text{-}selective\text{-}attention}$

Mode of Presentation tape-recorded-presentation

visual-picture auditory-word

auditory-background-noise

Mode of Response select-picture

Scoring- Normed Percentiles

4. Voice(telling a story)

Goldman-Fristoe-Woodcock Auditory Selective Attention Test (GFW-ASAT)

Ronald Goldman Vanderbilt University Macalyne Fristoe Vanderbilt University

Richard W. Woodcock University of Minnesota

Publisher

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

American Guidance Services

1976 \$65

auditory perception

individual use norm-referenced

requires some training requires some training Scoring aids available

Standard Score

Mean:50

Standard Deviation: 10

Age Equivalent Stanine Score Percentiles

Available levels

There is only one level.

Range
Equivalent forms
Administration Time

Subtests

Ages 3 - 80 only one form 20 - 60 minutes

Quiet

Fan-like Noise Cafeteria Noise

Voice(telling a story)

1. Quiet

[1] G.O. Bunch, and G. Robertson, Selected Psychoeducational Tests, A Layman's Handbook, Orillia, Ontario, Ptarmigan Publishers, 1982, 64-65.

This test treats several dimensions of auditory memory separately because behaviorally they may be observed and described as distinct entities of the whole. Auditory memory is involved with almost every task associated with perception, and it involves many interrelated factors. Therefore, this test should not be viewed as pinpointing an independent facet of an auditorially disabled child.

[2] G. Wallace, and S.C. Larson, Educational Assessment of Learning Problems: Testing for Teaching, Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1978, 201-202.

Some examples of other tests that include auditory-sequential-memory tests are the ITPA Auditory Sequential Memory subtest, Oral Directions, Oral Commissions and Attention Span subtests of the DTLA, and WISC Digit Span subtest.

[3] **D.D. Hammill, and N.R. Bartel**, Teaching Children With Learning and Behavior Problems, 3rd ed., Boston, Massachusetts, Allyn and Bacon Incorporated, 1982, 387-389.

More than half the total norming sample range is from 3-10 years of age. The least reliable range is the 9-18 year range. Two clinical populations were tested and the split-half reliabilities range from 0.74-0.99. Caution should be used when using the norms for there is little significance, other than age, found between the normal and clinical groups and there was no control for the varied intelligence range. There was no attempt made to find concurrent or predictive validity.

[4] **J.L. Carroll**, Reviews of Psychological Materials: G-F-W Auditory Skills Test Battery, *Journal of School Psychology*, 1979,17:3,294-296.

The materials are easy to administer. The tape recorded presentation eliminates one source of unreliability. The validity of the tests is poor. There is no information on the number of subjects in the norming sample by age. The mean, standard deviation, and standard error of measurement are not given. This makes it hard to place reliance on the extrapolated tables. To score the test, both the test manual and the Battery technical manual are necessary. The present value of the test is its ease of administration, and wide range of skills tested. The examiner must be sophisticated regarding the variables they must test and rely on their own analysis rather than the norms.

Reliability Information

- 1. Split-half reliability: 0.48 0.95
- 2. Reliability information in manual? No Name of Publication G-F-W Auditory Skills Test Battery Technical Manual Date of Publication:

Validity Information

Information regarding content validity in manual?
 Yes

auditory-memory

Mode of Presentation

 ${\bf tape\text{-}recorded\text{-}presentation}$

auditory-several-words

Mode of Response

select-picture select-order

Scoring- Normed

Standard Score Mean: 50

Standard Deviation: 10

Age Equivalent Stanine Score Percentiles

Norming Information

Norming date

Not Available

Sample size Place normed 1345 USA

Sample Range Sample similar to national population

Ages 3 - 80

No

Norming info in manual?

No

auditory-memory

Mode of Presentation

 $tape\hbox{-}recorded\hbox{-}presentation$

auditory-several-words

Mode of Response

orally-select-true-false

Scoring- Normed

Standard Score

Mean: 50

Standard Deviation: 10

Age Equivalent Stanine Score Percentiles

2. Memory for Content

Purpose Descriptors

auditory-memory

Mode of Presentation

tape-recorded-presentation

visual-picture

auditory-several-words

Mode of Response

select-picture

Scoring- Normed

Standard Score

Mean: 50

Standard Deviation: 10

Age Equivalent Stanine Score Percentiles

3. Memory for Sequence

Goldman-Fristoe-Woodcock Auditory Memory Tests (GFW-AMT)

Ronald Goldman Vanderbilt University Macalyne Fristoe Vanderbilt University

Richard W. Woodcock University of Minnesota

Publisher

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

American Guidance Services

1974 \$80

auditory perception

individual use norm-referenced

requires some training requires some training

Scoring aids available

Global Scores

No Scores

Available levels

There is only one level.

Range

Equivalent forms

Administration Time

Subtests

Ages 3 - 80

only one form

30 - 35 minutes

Recognition Memory Memory for Content

Memory for Sequence

1. Recognition Memory

for the Sounds in Words subtest only. The GFTA is better used as a criterion-referenced device. The reliability and validity are excellent.

[4] **D.D. Hammill, and N.R. Bartel**, Teaching Children With Learning and Behavior Problems 3rd, Boston, Massachusetts, Allyn and Bacon Incorporated, 1982, 334.

1969 ed.: The GFTA is attractively illustrated, well standardized, and takes about 30 minutes to administer. It measures speech sound production in initial, medial and final positions in words and sentences.

- [1] O.K. Buros editor, The Seventh Mental Measurement Yearbook(2 vol.), Highland Park, N.J., Gryphon Press, 1972, 1339-1342.
 - 1. M.C. Byrne: 1969 ed.: This test provides a systematic approach to evaluation of articulation of speech sounds in three contexts. Reliability and validity data are available for one subtest. The test was designed to elicit responses readily but the recording is time consuming. The Photo, which takes only 3-4 minutes to administer could be used instead of the Sounds in Words subtest. Further work is needed on determining the relationship between and among the subtests.
 - 2. R.L. Shelton: The GFTA is a well constructed test for eliciting articulation samples. It was designed to provide the kind of observations usually done with home-made materials. As such it may contribute to greater uniformity of practice. The test does little to advance the state of the articulation testing art.
 - 3. D. Sherman: The material may have to be adjusted so as not to present unfavourable impressions to childrens, or to suit the particular situation, but this is a fairly easy task. There are limitations on the usefulness of the test. It could be a valuable addition to the tools used by the speech clinician both when diagnosing and planning therapy.
- [2] C. Compton, A Guide to 65 Tests for Special Education, Belmont, California, Fearon Education: a division of Pitman Learning Inc., 1980, 129-132.

The unique value of the GFTA is the variety of contexts used, this provides more useful and complete data about a students articulation skills. Although the test is for consonant production, articulation of vowels may also be judged. The test is diagnostic and normative. The scoring is complicated, it may help to tape the session. Other tests are necessary to determine the pattern of misarticulation in order to plan appropriate therapy goals. Developmental age for phoneme acquisition should have been included on response form. Content validity is not good, and reliability needs more research.

[3] J. Salvia, and J.E. Ysseldyke, Assessment in Special and Remedial Education (2nd ed.), Boston, Mass., Houghton Mifflin, 1981, 399-401.

The GFTA is one of the most popular tools developed to assess a child's ability to produce the sounds of speech. It is a criterion-referenced test in which most consonant sounds and eleven common consonant blends are elicited. Classroom teachers may administer this test but the analysis of errors should be done by a speech/language pathologist. Percentile ranks (based on the National Speech and Hearing Survey conducted by Hill in 1971) are available

Norming Information

Norming date

Not Available

Sample size

38

Place normed

USA

Sample Range

Grades 1 - 12

Sample similar to

national population

Unknown

Norming info in manual?

Yes

Reliability Information

1. Test-retest reliability: 0.94 - 0.95

2. Reliability information in manual? - Yes

Validity Information

1. Information regarding content validity in manual? - Yes

articulation

articulation-single-consonants

articulation-consonant-combinations

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring- Normed

Percentiles

2. Sounds in Sentences

Purpose Descriptors

articulation

articulation-single-consonants

articulation-consonant-combinations

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture

auditory-paragraph

Mode of Response

oral-paragraph

oral-word

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

3. Stimulation

Purpose Descriptors

articulation

articulation-single-consonants

articulation-consonant-combinations

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture auditory-word auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

Goldman-Fristoe Test of Articulation (GFTA)

Ronald Goldman Vanderbilt University Macalyne Fristoe Vanderbilt University

Publisher

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

American Guidance Services 1972

\$80

auditory perception

individual use norm-referenced

requires some training requires some training

Scoring aids available

Global Scores

No Scores

Available levels

There is only one level.

Range

Equivalent forms
Administration Time

Subtests

Grades 1 - 12 only one form 20 minutes

Sounds in Words Sounds in Sentences

Stimulation

1. Sounds in Words

Reviews

[1] J. Salvia, and J.E. Ysseldyke, Assessment in Special and Remedial Education (2nd ed.), Boston, Mass., Houghton Mifflin, 1981, 168-170.

The Gates-MacGinitie series uses norm-referenced screening tests designed to assess skill development in reading of students from kindergarten through grade 12. The tests are provided for two domains: vocabulary and comprehension. The raw scores for these domains are the number of items correct. The Gates-MacGinite Reading Tests were standardized with districts selected to provide a representative proportion of black and Hispanic students. The following three kinds of reliability data are provided: internal-consistency, alternate-form and test-retest. There is no data provided on the specific makeup of the standardization group. Evidence for reliability and validity of the tests is adequate.

Validity Information

- 1. Criterion/predictive validity
 - a. MAT
- i. Year: dk
- ii. Range of correlations: 0.88 0.91
- iii. Information in manual? Unknown
- 2. Information regarding content validity in manual?- Unknown

Norming Information

Not Available Not Available Norming date Sample size Not Available Not Available Place normed Not Available Sample Range

Sample similar to

national population Unknown

Not Available Not Available Norming date Sample size Not Available Not Available

Place normed Sample Range Not Available

Sample similar to Unknown

Norming info in manual? Unknown

Reliability Information

national population

1. Test-retest reliability: 0.77 - 0.89

2. Equivalent forms reliability: 0.89 - 0.94

3. Kuder-Richardson reliability: 0.88 - 0.95

4. Reliability information in manual? - Unknown

	Range Equivalent forms Administration Time Subtests	Grades 1.5 - 1.9 2 0.55 minutes
Level B		
	Range	Grade 2
	Equivalent forms Administration Time Subtests	2 0.55 minutes
Level C		
	Range	Grade 3
	Equivalent forms Administration Time Subtests	2 0.55 minutes
Level D		
	Range Equivalent forms Administration Time Subtests	Grades 4 - 6 3 0.55 minutes
Level E		
	Range Equivalent forms Administration Time Subtests	Grades 7 - 9 3 0.55 minutes
Level F		
	Range Equivalent forms Administration Time Subtests	Grades 10 - 12 2 0.55 minutes

Gates-MacGinitie Reading Test (Gates-MacGinitie)

Walter H.MacGinitie Columbia University

Publisher Edition

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

Houghton Mifflin

2

1978

Not Available

reading group use

norm-referenced

easy easy

Standard Score Grade Equivalent Stanine Score Percentiles

Available levels

Level A
Level B
Level C
Level D

Level R

Level E Level F

Level R

Range

Equivalent forms
Administration Time

Subtests

Grades 1.0 - 1.9

only one form 0.55 minutes

Level A

Reviews

- [1] O.K. Buros editor, The Seventh Mental Measurement Yearbook(2 vol.), Highland Park, N.J., Gryphon Press, 1972, 1077-1079.
 - 1. D.B. Black: This reviewer would suggest that apart from Gap's being an interesting test which children would enjoy taking, it will have its greatest value in being an effective initial screening device for reading comprehension. The test statistics are reported for Forms M and J, which are no longer available. No data is supplied as to the relationship between these forms and Forms B and R, for which the norms are given.
 - 2. E.F. Rankin: The Gap has several attractive features. It has no test items to influence test performance, performance is not influenced by guessing, because there is no printed alternatives. It should be noted that since Forms B and R are not of equivalent difficulty, derived scores not raw scores, must be used when both forms are administered. A child of 7.3 is only expected to get 2 out of 42 right on Form B, this difficulty influences the validity of the Gap for young children.
- [2] G.O. Bunch, and G. Robertson, Selected Psychoeducational Tests, A Layman's Handbook, Orillia, Ontario, Ptarmigan Publishers, 1982, 25.

The Gap is best used as a classroom screening device of reading comprehension. Lack of detailed information on the standarization sample and validity suggest caution in interpretation.

Norming Information

Norming date

1976

Sample size

823

Place normed

Canada

others

Sample Range

Grades 2 - 7

Sample similar to

national population

No

Norming info in manual?

Yes

Reliability Information

1. Split-half reliability: 0.9 - 0.94

2. Reliability information in manual? - Yes

Validity Information

- 1. Concurrence validity
 - a. SDRT-Level1

i. Year: 1977

ii. Range of correlations: 0.71 - 0.79

iii. Information in manual? - Yes

2. Information regarding content validity in manual?

- No

Gap Reading Comprehension Test (Gap)

J. McLeod

University of Saskatchewan

Publisher

Edition

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

Book Society of Canada

2

1977

Not Available

reading

either individual or group use

norm-referenced

 \mathbf{easy}

easy

Grade Equivalent

Available levels

There is only one level.

Range

Equivalent forms

Administration Time

Subtests

Grades 2 - 7

-- · ·

15 minutes

Reading Comprehension

1. Reading Comprehension

Purpose Descriptors

silent-comprehension

context

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-paragraph

Mode of Response

write-word

Scoring- Normed

Same as global.

Norming Information

Norming date

Not Available

Sample size Place normed 2147 USA

Sample Range

Ages 2 - 6

Sample similar to

national population

No

Norming info in manual?

Yes

Reliability Information

1. Reliability information in manual? - No

Validity Information

1. Information regarding content validity in manual?No

word-meanings

processing-auditory-directions

Mode of Presentation

object

auditory-directions auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

manual-hand-gestures

select-object

manual-manipulate-objects

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent

3. Repetition

Purpose Descriptors

auditory-memory

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

oral-sentence

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent

Fluharty Preschool Speech and Language Screening Test (Fluharty)

Nancy Bunono Fluharty

Publisher

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

Teaching Resources

1978

\$29

language

individual use norm-referenced

easy

easy

No Scores

Available levels

There is only one level.

Range

Equivalent forms

Administration Time

Subtests

Ages 2 - 6

only one form

6 minutes

Identification and Articulation

Comprehension Repetition

1. Identification and Articulation

Purpose Descriptors

word-meanings

articulation

Mode of Presentation

object

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent

2. Comprehension

Reviews

[1] E.E. Ekwall, and J.L. Shanker, Diagnosis and Remediation of the Disabled Reader(2nd edition), Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1983, 449.

The Pretest was tested using 40 students from grades 1-9. The exact grade was found 18% of the time, within one grade 28% of the time and within two grades 72% of the time. The list is not especially exact; if the teacher knew the student being tested, they might omit the use of the graded word list. The Quick Survey is similar to word lists found in Botel and GMH.

[2] G.D. Spache, Diagnosing and Correcting Reading Disabilities (2nd ed.), Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1981, 178-182.

The Pretest is an abbreviated version of the SDQA test. In comprehension scoring Ekwall says that children are not frustrated at the accepted 75% and so uses a 60% cutoff point at the instructional level, 90% at the independence level and 50% at the frustration level. These standards are set arbitrarily and do not represent real performance of students.

blending-word-parts->nonsense-words

reading-words-initial-consonant

reading-words-initial-consonant-combina

reading-words-single-vowel

reading-words-vowel-combinations

Mode of Presentation

visual-letter

visual-phoneme

Mode of Response

 ${\bf oral\text{-}letter\text{-}name}$

oral-phoneme oral-word

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

Reliability Information

- 1. Equivalent forms reliability: 0.82
- 2. Reliability information in manual? Unknown

Validity Information

Information regarding content validity in manual?
 Unknown

oral-reading-paragraphs

oral-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

visual-paragraph auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-paragraph oral-answer

Scoring - Criterion

Grade Equivalents

3. Silent Reading

Purpose Descriptors

silent-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

visual-paragraph auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring - Criterion

Grade Equivalents

4. Listening Comprehension

Purpose Descriptors

listening-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

auditory-paragraph auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring - Criterion

Grade Equivalents

5. Quick Survey Word List

Purpose Descriptors

reading-nonsense-words reading-words-multi-syllable

Mode of Presentation

visual-nonsense-word

Mode of Response

oral-nonsense-words

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

6. Phonics

Ekwall Reading Inventory (ERI)

Eldon E. Ekwall University of Texas

Publisher

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

Allan and Bacon

1979

Not Available

reading

individual use

criterion-referenced

 \mathbf{easy}

easy

Grade Equivalents

Available levels

There is only one level.

Range

Equivalent forms

Administration Time

Subtests

Grades P - 9

4

20 - 30 minutes

Pretest-Graded Word List

Oral Reading Silent Reading

Listening Comprehension Quick Survey Word List

Phonics

1. Pretest-Graded Word List

Purpose Descriptors

reading-words

Mode of Presentation

visual-word

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring - Criterion

Grade Equivalents

2. Oral Reading

Reviews

[1] C. Compton, A Guide to 65 Tests for Special Education, Belmont, California, Fearon Education: a division of Pitman Learning Inc., 1980, 60-62.

The DWP is an inexpensive, quick, easy to administer test. It can be used as a screening instrument. One strength of the test is the organization of an individual student chart which simplifies the analysis of errors, and leads the teacher directly towards individual spelling and reading programs. The order of the items will not be appropriate to some school curriculum, and will have to be reordered. The sight word sections indicate which students have good phonics skills and poor sight recall but it is not extensive enough to lead to instructional programs. The DWP looks promising but it is too new to determine how useful it will be.

reading-words

reading-words-phonetically-regular reading-words-phonetically-irregular

Mode of Presentation

visual-word

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

Reliability Information

1. Reliability information in manual? - Unknown

Validity Information

1. Information regarding content validity in manual?- Unknown

Diagnostic Word Patterns (DWP)

Evelyn Buckley

Publisher

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

Educators Publishing Service Incorporated

1978

\$25

spelling

either individual or group use

criterion-referenced

easy

easy

No Scores

Available levels

There is only one level.

Range

itange

Equivalent forms
Administration Time

Subtests

Grades 3 - C

1

15 - 20 minutes

Spelling

Oral Word Recognition

1. Spelling

Purpose Descriptors

spelling-general

spelling-phonetically-regular-words spelling-phonetically-irregular-words

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word

Mode of Response

write-word

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

2. Oral Word Recognition

[7] W. Eller, and M. Attea, Three DiagnosticReading Tests: Some Comparisons, Vistas in Reading, 1966, II:1,562 - 566.

The concurrent validity correlations of the Durrell are as follows: with Gates-McKillop Oral Reading 0.91 and Word Analysis 0.96; with DRS Oral Reading 0.92 and Word Analysis 0.93. The predictive validity with the ITBS is 0.86.

[1] G.O. Bunch, and G. Robertson, Selected Psychoeducational Tests, A Layman's Handbook, Orillia, Ontario, Ptarmigan Publishers, 1982, 23-24.

The strength of this test lies in the extensive use of detailed checklists for guided observations of the various reading skills during testing. The reliability and validity do not support the heavy reliance on the test results.

[2] C. Compton, A Guide to 65 Tests for Special Education, Belmont, California, Fearon Education: a division of Pitman Learning Inc., 1980, 39-41.

The Durrell was developed to help teachers understand the reading process and to plan individual reading programs. There is a wide variety of subtests; when used wisely they yield a wealth of information. The Durrell is one of the few tests that allows assessment of oral and silent reading, listening comprehension, word analysis skills, and spelling all in the same battery. The subtests allow for testing of nonreaders as well as readers with high intermediate grade skills. The Durrell should not be considered a standarized test. No information on standarization is given. There is no reliability or validity statistics reported. The Durrell is an informal inventory.

[3] E.E. Ekwall, and J.L. Shanker, Diagnosis and Remediation of the Disabled Reader(2nd edition), Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1983, 126-127.

The Durrell does not contain sufficient depth in phonics testing to plan precriptive instruction. The test is too time consuming for the information it provides.

[4] J.A. McLoughlin,, and R.B. Lewis, Assessing Special Students: Strategies and Procedures, Columbus, Ohio, Merrill, 1981, 369.

The Durrell has many checklists which can be used in classroom teaching situations as a form of informal testing.

[5] **G.D.** Spache, Diagnosing and Correcting Reading Disabilities (2nd ed.), Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1981, 173-178.

1955 ed.: The use of the same seven errors and two minute reading rate for all selections regardless of length or grade level means that it reflects only the author's judgement rather than actual pupil performance.

[6] G. Wallace, and S.C. Larson, Educational Assessment of Learning Problems: Testing for Teaching, Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1978, 308-310.

1955 ed.: The Durrell is adequate for assessing all but the most severe reading difficulties.

Reliability Information

- 1. Kuder-Richardson reliability: 0.63 0.97
- 2. Reliability information in manual? Yes

Validity Information

- 1. Criterion/predictive validity
 - a. MAT-reading

i. Year: 1979

ii. Range of correlations: 0.36 - 0.88

iii. Information in manual? - Yes

2. Information regarding content validity in manual?

- No

identifying-initial-consonant

 $identifying\mbox{-}initial\mbox{-}consonant\mbox{-}combinatio\mbox{\tiny 1}$

identifying-final-consonant

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word

visual-several-words

Mode of Response

select-word

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent

Norming Information

Norming date

1979

Sample size

1224

Place normed

USA

Sample Range

Grades 1 - 6

Sample similar to

national population

No

Norming info in manual?

Yes

spelling-unfamiliar-words

spelling-phonetically-regular-words

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word

Mode of Response

write-word

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent

13. Visual Memory of Words-Primary

Purpose Descriptors

visual-memory

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-letter

visual-word

visual-several-words visual-several-letters

Mode of Response

select-letter

select-word

select-several-words

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent

14. Visual Memory of Words -Intermediate

Purpose Descriptors

visual-memory

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-word

Mode of Response

write-word

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent

15. Identifying Sounds in Words

reading-phoneme

Mode of Presentation

visual-phoneme

Mode of Response

oral-phoneme

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent

9. Sounds in Isolation-Initial Affixes

Purpose Descriptors

reading-phoneme

Mode of Presentation

visual-word-parts

Mode of Response

oral-word-parts

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent

10. Sounds in Isolation-Final Affixes

Purpose Descriptors

reading-phoneme

Mode of Presentation

visual-word-parts

Mode of Response

oral-word-parts

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent

11. Spelling

Purpose Descriptors

spelling-general

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word auditory-sentence

Mode of Response

write-word

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent

12. Phonic Spelling of Words

sight-words reading-words

Mode of Presentation

visual-word

Mode of Response

oral-word

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent

5. Listening Vocabulary

Purpose Descriptors

context

listening-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word visual-picture

Mode of Response

oral-word

select-category

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent

6. Sounds in Isolation-Letters

Purpose Descriptors

letter-sounds-general

Mode of Presentation

visual-letter

Mode of Response

oral-letter-sound

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent

7. Sounds in Isolation-Blends and Digraphs

Purpose Descriptors

reading-phoneme

Mode of Presentation

visual-phoneme

Mode of Response

oral-phoneme

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent

8. Sounds in Isolation-Phonograms

oral-reading-paragraphs

oral-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-paragraph auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-paragraph

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent

2. Silent Reading

Purpose Descriptors

silent-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

timed

visual-paragraph auditory-question

Mode of Response

 $or al\hbox{-}paragraph$

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent

3. Listening Comprehension

Purpose Descriptors

listening-comprehension

Mode of Presentation

auditory-paragraph auditory-question

Mode of Response

oral-answer

Scoring- Normed

Grade Equivalent

4. Word Recognition-Word Analysis

letter-names-capitals-consonants

letter-names-capitals-vowels

Mode of Presentation

visual-letter

Mode of Response

oral-letter-name

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

8. Identifying Letters Named

Purpose Descriptors

letter-names-general

Mode of Presentation

auditory-letter-name

Mode of Response

select-letter

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

Reading Level

Range

Equivalent forms

Administration Time

Subtests

Grades 1 - 6

only one form

30 - 90 minutes

Oral Reading

Silent Reading

Listening Comprehension

Word Recognition-Word Analysis

Listening Vocabulary

Sounds in Isolation-Letters

Sounds in Isolation-Blends and Digraphs

Sounds in Isolation-Phonograms Sounds in Isolation-Initial Affixes Sounds in Isolation-Final Affixes

Spelling

Phonic Spelling of Words

Visual Memory of Words-Primary

Visual Memory of Words -Intermediate

Identifying Sounds in Words

1. Oral Reading

letter-names-lower-case-consonants

letter-names-lower-case-vowels

Mode of Presentation

visual-word auditory-word auditory-sentence

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

oral-word

oral-letter-name

Scoring - Criterion

Rating

5. Writing Letters From Dictation

Purpose Descriptors

writing-letters-general

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture auditory-word

auditory-letter-name

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

write-letter

Scoring - Criterion

Rating

6. Writing Letters From Copy

Purpose Descriptors

copying-words

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture visual-word auditory-word

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

oral-word write-word

Scoring - Criterion

No Scores

7. Naming Letters-Upper Case

syntax-matching-word-selection

Mode of Presentation

visual-picture visual-sentence auditory-sentence auditory-question

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

oral-sentence select-word

Scoring - Criterion

Rating

2. Identifying Letter Names in Spoken Words

Purpose Descriptors

identifying-initial-letters

Mode of Presentation

auditory-word

Mode of Response

oral-word

oral-letter-name

Scoring - Criterion

Rating

3. Identifying Phonemes in Spoken Words

Purpose Descriptors

identifying-initial-phonemes

Mode of Presentation

 ${\bf auditory\text{-}sentence}$

auditory-word

repeated-auditory-instructions

Mode of Response

oral-word

oral-letter-sound

Scoring - Criterion

Rating

4. Naming Letters-Lower Case

Durrell Analysis of Reading Difficulty (Durrell)

Donald D. Durrell Boston University

Jane H. Catterson University of British Columbia

Publisher

Edition

Publication date

Cost

Type of Test

Ease of administration

Ease of scoring

Global Scores

Psychological Corporation

1980 \$58

reading

individual use norm-referenced

easy

easy

No Scores

Available levels

Prereading Level Reading Level

Prereading Level

Range

Equivalent forms Administration Time

Subtests

Grades K - 1

only one form

15 minutes

Syntax Matching

Identifying Letter Names in Spoken Words

Identifying Phonemes in Spoken Words

Naming Letters-Lower Case Writing Letters From Dictation Writing Letters From Copy Naming Letters-Upper Case Identifying Letters Named

1. Syntax Matching

Reviews

[1] G.O. Bunch, and G. Robertson, Selected Psychoeducational Tests, A Layman's Handbook, Orillia, Ontario, Ptarmigan Publishers, 1982, 5-6.

When the DST and DSS are used together the procedure is called Developmental Sentence Analysis. This provides the clinician with a fairly indepth analysis of the child's syntactic abilities. The test is suited for clinical situations, as it will pinpoint the child's strengths and weaknesses in syntax and may help develop a suitable language intervention program. It is too long for a screening test and the CELI or NSST are recommended instead.

[2] G. Wallace, and S.C. Larson, Educational Assessment of Learning Problems: Testing for Teaching, Boston, Mass., Allyn and Bacon Inc., 1978, 151-161.

The DSA is perhaps the most comprehensive assessment device of language structure yet developed. It possesses the qualities of a standardized test, but it is also a powerful diagnostic tool. It is time consuming and requires an understanding of the basic psycholinguistic theory. The DSA would appear to be useful for children who are in a transition stage between pre-sentence and complete sentences. The DST is appropriate for the normally developing child who is under the age of three, or the older child who is not producing subject-predicate sentences. There is some normative data available.

[3] L.L. Lee, Developmental Sentence Analysis: A Grammatical Assessment Procedure for Speech and Language Clinicians, Evanston, Illinois, Northwestern University Press, 1974, 82-84.

The type of classification used in the DST runs counter to some current trends in psycholinguistic literature, research and theory. However, the DST chart is used as a clinical tool, not as a psycholinguistic study of normal child development. The DST classification presents a systematic way of studying and evaluating grammatical development while a child is still speaking in pre-sentences.

alone.

[13] R.G. Simpson, and R.C. Eaves, The Concurrent Validity of the WRMT Relative to the PIAT Among Retarded Adolescents, *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 1983, 43:1,275-281.

The tests were administered to 56 adolescents. The presence of relatively high and positive partial correlations between appropriate subtests supports the concurrent validity of the WRMT and PIAT. The grade equivalents were higher on the PIAT than the WRMT. When possible it is best to use the standard scores and percentile ranks for educational decision making. The WRMT Word Identification, Word Attack, Word Comprehension, and Passage Comprehension were separately correlated with PIAT Reading Recognition giving a range from 0.72 to 0.94; with PIAT Reading Comprehension ranging from 0.75 to 0.9; and with PIAT Spelling ranging from 0.72 to 0.92. There were two sets of subtests with extremely high concurrent validity: PIAT Reading Recognition and WRMT Word Identification with r=.94, and PIAT Reading Comprehension and WRMT Passage Comprehension with r=.90. These subtests could be considered to be measuring the same skill.