



# Parity Theorems for Statistics on Lattice Paths and Laguerre Configurations

Mark A. Shattuck and Carl G. Wagner  
Mathematics Department  
University of Tennessee  
Knoxville, TN 37996-1300  
USA  
[shattuck@math.utk.edu](mailto:shattuck@math.utk.edu)  
[wagner@math.utk.edu](mailto:wagner@math.utk.edu)

## Abstract

We examine the parity of some statistics on lattice paths and Laguerre configurations, giving both algebraic and combinatorial treatments. For the former, we evaluate  $q$ -generating functions at  $q = -1$ ; for the latter, we define appropriate parity-changing involutions on the associated structures. In addition, we furnish combinatorial proofs for a couple of related recurrences.

## 1 Introduction

To establish the familiar result that a finite nonempty set has equally many subsets of odd and of even cardinality it suffices either to set  $q = -1$  in the generating function

$$\sum_{S \subseteq [n]} q^{|S|} = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} q^k = (1 + q)^n, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$ , or to observe that the map

$$S \mapsto \begin{cases} S \cup \{1\}, & \text{if } 1 \notin S; \\ S - \{1\}, & \text{if } 1 \in S, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

is a parity changing involution of  $2^{[n]}$ .

With this simple example as a model, we analyze the parity of a well known statistic on lattice paths, as well as two statistics on what Garsia and Remmel [3] call *Laguerre configurations*, i.e., distributions of labeled balls to unlabeled, contents-ordered boxes. These statistics have in common the fact that their generating functions all involve  $q$ -binomial coefficients.

In §2 we evaluate such coefficients and their sums, known as *Galois numbers*, when  $q = -1$ , giving both algebraic and bijective proofs. We also give a bijective proof of a recurrence for Galois numbers, furnishing an elementary alternative to Goldman and Rota's proof by the method of linear functionals [4]. In §3 we carry out a similar evaluation of the two types of  $q$ -Lah numbers that arise as generating functions for the aforementioned Laguerre configuration statistics. In addition, we supply a combinatorial proof of a recurrence for sums of Lah numbers.

The notational conventions of this paper are as follows:  $\mathbb{N} := \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ ,  $\mathbb{P} := \{1, 2, \dots\}$ ,  $[0] := \emptyset$ , and  $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{P}$ . If  $q$  is an indeterminate, then  $0_q := 0$ ,  $n_q := 1 + q + \dots + q^{n-1}$  if  $n \in \mathbb{P}$ ,  $0_q! := 1$ ,  $n_q! := 1_q 2_q \cdots n_q$  if  $n \in \mathbb{P}$ , and

$$\binom{n}{k}_q := \begin{cases} \frac{n_q!}{k_q!(n-k)_q!}, & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq n; \\ 0, & \text{if } k < 0 \text{ or } 0 \leq n < k. \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

Our notation in (1.3) for the  $q$ -binomial coefficient, which agrees with Knuth's [5], has the advantage over the traditional notation  $\binom{n}{k}$  that it can be used to reflect particular values of the parameter  $q$ .

## 2 A Statistic on Lattice Paths

Let  $\Lambda(n, k)$  denote the set of (minimal) lattice paths from  $(0, 0)$  to  $(k, n-k)$ , where  $0 \leq k \leq n$ . Each  $\lambda \in \Lambda(n, k)$  corresponds to a sequential arrangement  $t_1 \cdots t_n$  of the multiset  $\{1^k, 2^{n-k}\}$ , with 1 representing a horizontal and 2 a vertical step. Hence,  $|\Lambda(n, k)| = \binom{n}{k}$ . Moreover, since the area  $\alpha(\lambda)$  subtended by  $\lambda$  is equal to the number of inversions in the corresponding word (i.e., the number of ordered pairs  $(i, j)$  with  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$  such that  $t_i > t_j$ ), and since the  $q$ -binomial coefficient is the generating function for the statistic that records the number of inversions in such words [10, Prop. 1.3.17], it follows that

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, k)} q^{\alpha(\lambda)} = \binom{n}{k}_q, \quad (2.1)$$

a result that Berman and Fryer [1, p. 218] attribute to Polya. With

$$\Lambda(n) := \bigcup_{0 \leq k \leq n} \Lambda(n, k), \quad (2.2)$$

it follows that

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n)} q^{\alpha(\lambda)} = G_q(n) := \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}_q. \quad (2.3)$$

The polynomials  $G_q(n)$  have been termed *Galois numbers* by Goldman and Rota [4].

Let  $\Lambda_r(n) := \{\lambda \in \Lambda(n) : \alpha(\lambda) \equiv r \pmod{2}\}$ , and let  $\Lambda_r(n, k) := \Lambda(n, k) \cap \Lambda_r(n)$ . Clearly,

$$\binom{n}{k}_{-1} = |\Lambda_0(n, k)| - |\Lambda_1(n, k)|, \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$G_{-1}(n) = |\Lambda_0(n)| - |\Lambda_1(n)|. \quad (2.5)$$

In evaluating (2.4) and (2.5) we shall employ several alternative characterizations of  $\binom{n}{k}_q$ , namely, the recurrence

$$\binom{n}{k}_q = \binom{n-1}{k-1}_q + q^k \binom{n-1}{k}_q, \quad \forall n, k \in \mathbb{P}, \quad (2.6)$$

with  $\binom{n}{0}_q = \delta_{n,0}$  and  $\binom{0}{k}_q = \delta_{k,0}$ ,  $\forall n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , the generating function

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \binom{n}{k}_q x^n = \frac{x^k}{(1-x)(1-qx) \cdots (1-q^k x)}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (2.7)$$

and the summation formula

$$\binom{n}{k}_q = \sum_{\substack{d_0+d_1+\cdots+d_k=n-k \\ d_i \in \mathbb{N}}} q^{d_1+2d_2+\cdots+kd_k}. \quad (2.8)$$

See [11, pp. 201–202] for further details.

Setting  $q = -1$  in (2.7) and treating separately the even and odd cases for  $k$  yields

**Theorem 2.1.** *If  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , then*

$$\binom{n}{k}_{-1} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } k \text{ is odd;} \\ \binom{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

A straightforward application of (2.9) yields

**Corollary 2.1.1.** *For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$G_{-1}(n) = 2^{\lceil n/2 \rceil}. \quad (2.10)$$

The above results are well known and apparently very old. But the following bijective proofs of (2.9) and (2.10), which convey a more visceral understanding of these formulas, are, so far as we know, new.

*Bijjective proofs of Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.1.1.*

As above, we represent a lattice path  $\lambda \in \Lambda(n)$  by a word  $t_1 t_2 \cdots t_n$  in the alphabet  $\{1, 2\}$ , recalling that  $\alpha(\lambda)$  is equal to the number of inversions in this word, which we also denote by  $\alpha(\lambda)$ . By (2.5), formula (2.10) asserts that

$$|\Lambda_0(n)| - |\Lambda_1(n)| = 2^{\lceil n/2 \rceil}. \quad (2.11)$$

Our strategy for proving (2.11) is to identify a subset  $\Lambda_0^+(n)$  of  $\Lambda_0(n)$  having cardinality  $2^{\lceil n/2 \rceil}$ , along with an  $\alpha$ -parity changing involution of  $\Lambda(n) - \Lambda_0^+(n)$ . Let  $\Lambda_0^+(n)$  comprise those words  $\lambda = t_1 t_2 \cdots t_n$  such that for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ ,

$$t_{2i-1} t_{2i} = 11 \text{ or } 22. \quad (2.12)$$

Clearly,  $\Lambda_0^+(n) \subseteq \Lambda_0(n)$  and  $|\Lambda_0^+(n)| = 2^{\lceil n/2 \rceil}$ . If  $\lambda \in \Lambda(n) - \Lambda_0^+(n)$ , let  $i_0$  be the smallest index for which (2.12) fails to hold and let  $\lambda'$  be the result of switching  $t_{2i_0-1}$  and  $t_{2i_0}$  in  $\lambda$ . The map  $\lambda \mapsto \lambda'$  is clearly an  $\alpha$ -parity changing involution of  $\Lambda(n) - \Lambda_0^+(n)$ , which proves (2.11) and hence (2.10).

By (2.4), formula (2.9) asserts that

$$|\Lambda_0(n, k)| - |\Lambda_1(n, k)| = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } k \text{ is odd;} \\ \binom{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.13)$$

To show (2.13), let  $\Lambda_0^+(n, k) = \Lambda_0^+(n) \cap \Lambda(n, k)$ . The cardinality of  $\Lambda_0^+(n, k)$  is given by the right-hand side of (2.13), and the restriction of the above map to  $\Lambda(n, k) - \Lambda_0^+(n, k)$  is again an involution and inherits the parity changing property. This proves (2.13), and hence (2.9).  $\square$

In tabulating the numbers  $\binom{n}{k}_{-1}$  it is of course more efficient to use the recurrence

$$\binom{n}{k}_{-1} = \binom{n-1}{k-1}_{-1} + (-1)^k \binom{n-1}{k}_{-1}, \quad (2.14)$$

representing the case  $q = -1$  of (2.6).

Comparison of (2.9) with an evaluation of  $\binom{n}{k}_{-1}$  based on (2.8) yields a pair of interesting identities.

**Corollary 2.1.2.** *If  $1 \leq m \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ , then*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-2m} (-1)^j \binom{m+j-1}{m-1} \binom{n-m-j}{m} = \binom{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}{m}, \quad (2.15)$$

and if  $0 \leq m \leq \lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor$ , then

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-2m-1} (-1)^j \binom{m+j}{m} \binom{n-m-j-1}{m} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \text{ is even;} \\ \binom{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}{m}, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad (2.16)$$

*Proof.* Setting  $q = -1$  and  $k = 2m$  in (2.8) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{n}{2m}_{-1} &= \sum_{d_0+d_1+\dots+d_{2m}=n-2m} (-1)^{d_1+d_3+\dots+d_{2m-1}} \\ &= \sum_{(j=d_1+d_3+\dots+d_{2m-1})}^{n-2m} (-1)^j \binom{m+j-1}{m-1} \binom{n-m-j}{m}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (2.15) by (2.9), upon independently choosing the  $d_i$ 's of even index, which sum to  $n - 2m - j$ . Setting  $k = 2m + 1$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{n}{2m+1}_{-1} &= \sum_{d_0+d_1+\dots+d_{2m+1}=n-2m-1} (-1)^{d_1+d_3+\dots+d_{2m+1}} \\ &= \sum_{(j=d_1+d_3+\dots+d_{2m+1})}^{n-2m-1} (-1)^j \binom{m+j}{m} \binom{n-m-j-1}{m}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (2.16) by (2.9).  $\square$

Corollary 2.1.1 above can also be proved by induction from the case  $q = -1$  of the following recurrence for  $G_q(n)$ :

**Theorem 2.2.** For all  $n \in \mathbb{P}$ ,

$$G_q(n+1) = 2G_q(n) + (q^n - 1)G_q(n-1), \quad (2.17)$$

where  $G_q(0) = 1$  and  $G_q(1) = 2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a(n, i) := |\{\lambda \in \Lambda(n) : \alpha(\lambda) = i\}|$ , where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a(n, i) := 0$  if  $i < 0$ . Showing (2.17) is equivalent to showing that

$$\begin{aligned} a(n+1, i) &= 2a(n, i) + a(n-1, i-n) - a(n-1, i) \\ &= a(n, i) + (a(n, i) - a(n-1, i)) + a(n-1, i-n) \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . As above, we represent a lattice path  $\lambda \in \Lambda(n+1)$  by a word  $t_1 t_2 \cdots t_{n+1}$  in the alphabet  $\{1, 2\}$ , recalling that  $\alpha(\lambda)$  is equal to the number of inversions in this word.

The term  $a(n+1, i)$  thus counts all words of length  $n+1$  with  $i$  inversions. The term  $a(n, i)$  counts the subclass of such words for which  $t_{n+1} = 2$ . The term  $a(n, i) - a(n-1, i)$  counts the subclass of such words for which  $t_1 = t_{n+1} = 1$ . For deletion of  $t_1$  is a bijection from this subclass to the class of words  $u_1 u_2 \cdots u_n$  with  $i$  inversions and  $u_n = 1$ , and there are clearly  $a(n, i) - a(n-1, i)$  words of the latter type. Finally, the term  $a(n-1, i-n)$  counts the subclass of words for which  $t_1 = 2$  and  $t_{n+1} = 1$ . For deletion of  $t_1$  and  $t_{n+1}$  is a bijection from this subclass to the class of words  $v_1 v_2 \cdots v_{n-1}$  with  $i-n$  inversions (both classes being empty if  $i < n$ ).  $\square$

The above proof provides an elementary alternative to Goldman and Rota's proof of (2.17) using the method of linear functionals [4].

### 3 Two Statistics on Laguerre Configurations

Let  $\mathcal{L}(n, k)$  denote the set of distributions of  $n$  balls, labeled  $1, 2, \dots, n$ , among  $k$  unlabeled, *contents-ordered* boxes, with no box left empty. Garsia and Remmel [3] term such distributions *Laguerre configurations*. If  $L(n, k) := |\mathcal{L}(n, k)|$ , then  $L(n, 0) = \delta_{n,0}$ ,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $L(n, k) = 0$  if  $0 \leq n < k$ , and

$$L(n, k) = \frac{n!}{k!} \binom{n-1}{k-1}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n. \quad (3.1)$$

The numbers  $L(n, k)$  are called *Lah numbers*, after Ivo Lah [6], who introduced them as the connection constants in the polynomial identities

$$x(x+1) \cdots (x+n-1) = \sum_{k=0}^n L(n, k) x(x-1) \cdots (x-k+1), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (3.2)$$

From (3.1) it follows that

$$\sum_{n \geq k} L(n, k) \frac{x^n}{n!} = \frac{1}{k!} \left( \frac{x}{1-x} \right)^k, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (3.3)$$

The Lah numbers also satisfy the recurrence relations

$$L(n, k) = L(n-1, k-1) + (n+k-1)L(n-1, k), \quad \forall n, k \in \mathbb{P}, \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$L(n, k) = \frac{n}{k} L(n-1, k-1) + nL(n-1, k), \quad \forall n, k \in \mathbb{P}. \quad (3.5)$$

The set  $\mathcal{L}(n) := \bigcup_k \mathcal{L}(n, k)$  comprises all distributions of  $n$  balls, labeled  $1, 2, \dots, n$ , among  $n$  unlabeled, contents-ordered boxes. If  $L(n) := |\mathcal{L}(n)|$ , it follows from (3.3) that

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} L(n) \frac{x^n}{n!} = e^{x/(1-x)}, \quad (3.6)$$

and differentiating (3.6) yields [7, p. 171], [9, A000262]

**Theorem 3.1.** *For all  $n \in \mathbb{P}$ ,*

$$L(n+1) = (2n+1)L(n) - (n^2-n)L(n-1), \quad (3.7)$$

where  $L(0) = L(1) = 1$ .

*Combinatorial proof of Theorem 3.1.*

We'll argue that the cardinality of  $\mathcal{L}(n+1)$  is given by the right-hand side of (3.7) when  $n \geq 1$ . Let us represent members of  $\mathcal{L}(m)$  by partitions of  $[m]$  in which the elements of each block are ordered. As there are clearly  $L(n)$  members of  $\mathcal{L}(n+1)$  in which the singleton

$\{n+1\}$  occurs, we need only show that the members of  $\mathcal{L}(n+1)$  in which the singleton  $\{n+1\}$  doesn't occur number  $2nL(n) - n(n-1)L(n-1)$ .

Suppose  $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}(n)$  and consider the  $2n$  members of  $\mathcal{L}(n+1)$  gotten from  $\lambda$  by inserting  $n+1$  either directly before or directly after an element of  $[n]$  within  $\lambda$ . Then  $2nL(n)$  double counts members of  $\mathcal{L}(n+1)$  for which  $n+1$  is neither first nor last in its block and counts once all other members of  $\mathcal{L}(n+1)$  for which  $n+1$  goes in a block with at least one element of  $[n]$ . But there are  $n(n-1)L(n-1)$  configurations of the former type as seen upon choosing an element  $j$  of  $[n]$  to directly follow  $n+1$  and then inserting  $n+1, j$  directly after an element of  $[n] - \{j\}$  in a Laguerre configuration of the set  $[n] - \{j\}$ .  $\square$

In what follows, we consider two statistics on Laguerre configurations.

### 3.1 The Statistic $i$

Given a distribution  $\delta \in \mathcal{L}(n, k)$ , let us represent the ordered contents of each box by a word in  $[n]$ , and then arrange these words in a sequence  $W_1, \dots, W_k$  in decreasing order of their least elements. Replacing the commas in this sequence by zeros and counting inversions in the resulting single word yields the value  $i(\delta)$ , i.e.,

$$i(\delta) = \text{the number of inversions in } W_1 0 W_2 0 \cdots 0 W_{k-1} 0 W_k. \quad (3.8)$$

As an illustration, for the distribution  $\delta \in \mathcal{L}(9, 4)$  given by

$$\boxed{3, 4, 9} \quad \boxed{8, 1} \quad \boxed{2, 6} \quad \boxed{7, 5}, \quad (3.9)$$

we have  $i(\delta) = 35$ , the number of inversions in the word 750349026081.

The statistic  $i$  is due to Garsia and Remmel [3], who show that the generating function

$$L_q(n, k) := \sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{L}(n, k)} q^{i(\delta)} = q^{k(k-1)} \frac{n_q!}{k_q!} \binom{n-1}{k-1}_q, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n. \quad (3.10)$$

Generalizing (3.4), the  $q$ -Lah number  $L_q(n, k)$  satisfies the recurrence

$$L_q(n, k) = q^{n+k-2} L_q(n-1, k-1) + (n+k-1)_q L_q(n-1, k), \quad \forall n, k \in \mathbb{P}. \quad (3.11)$$

Garsia and Remmel also show that

$$x_q(x+1)_q \cdots (x+n-1)_q = \sum_{k=1}^n L_q(n, k) x_q(x-1)_q \cdots (x-k+1)_q, \quad (3.12)$$

where  $x_q := (q^x - 1)/(q - 1)$ . It seems not to have been noted that (3.12) is equivalent to

$$x(qx+1_q) \cdots (q^{n-1}x + (n-1)_q) = \sum_{k=1}^n L_q(n, k) x \left( \frac{x-1_q}{q} \right) \cdots \left( \frac{x-(k-1)_q}{q^{k-1}} \right), \quad (3.13)$$

which generalizes (3.2).

**Theorem 3.2.** *If  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , then*

$$L_{-1}(n, k) = \delta_{n,k}. \quad (3.14)$$

*Proof.* Formula (3.14) is an immediate consequence of (3.10) and (2.9), upon considering even and odd cases for  $n$ , as  $j_{-1} = 0$  if  $j$  is even (cf. [8]). For a bijective proof of (3.14), first note that  $L_{-1}(n, k) = |\mathcal{L}_0(n, k)| - |\mathcal{L}_1(n, k)|$ , where  $\mathcal{L}_r(n, k) := \{\delta \in \mathcal{L}(n, k) : i(\delta) \equiv r \pmod{2}\}$ . Now  $\mathcal{L}(n, n)$  consists of a single distribution  $\delta$ , with  $i(\delta) = n(n-1) =$  the number of inversions in  $n0(n-1)0 \cdots 0201$ , whence  $|\mathcal{L}_0(n, n)| = 1$  and  $|\mathcal{L}_1(n, n)| = 0$ . If  $1 \leq k < n$  and  $\delta \in \mathcal{L}(n, k)$  gives rise to the sequence  $W_1, \dots, W_k$ , then locate the leftmost word  $W_i$  containing at least two letters and interchange its first two letters. The resulting map is a parity changing involution of  $\mathcal{L}(n, k)$ , whence  $|\mathcal{L}_0(n, k)| - |\mathcal{L}_1(n, k)| = 0$ .  $\square$

**Remark.** Note that  $\mathcal{L}(n, 1) = \mathcal{S}_n$ , the set of permutations of  $[n]$ , and so (3.10) is a generalization of the well known result that

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n} q^{i(\pi)} = n!_q, \quad (3.15)$$

and (3.14) a generalization of the fact that among the permutations of  $[n]$ , if  $n \geq 2$ , there are as many with an odd number of inversions as there are with an even number of inversions.

### 3.2 The Statistic $\tilde{w}$

As above, given  $\delta \in \mathcal{L}(n, k)$ , we represent the ordered contents of each box by a word in  $[n]$ . Now, however, we arrange these words in a sequence  $W_1, \dots, W_k$  in increasing order of their initial elements, defining  $\tilde{w}(\delta)$  by the formula

$$\tilde{w}(\delta) = \sum_{i=1}^k (i-1)(|W_i| - 1), \quad (3.16)$$

where  $|W_i|$  denotes the length of the word  $W_i$ . As an illustration, for the distribution  $\delta \in \mathcal{L}(9, 4)$  given above by (3.9), we have  $W_1, W_2, W_3, W_4 = 26, 349, 75, 81$  and  $\tilde{w}(\delta) = 7$ . The statistic  $\tilde{w}$  is an analogue of a now well known partition statistic first introduced by Carlitz [2] (see also [11]).

**Theorem 3.3.** *The generating function*

$$\tilde{L}_q(n, k) := \sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{L}(n, k)} q^{\tilde{w}(\delta)} = \frac{n!}{k!} \binom{n-1}{k-1}_q, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n. \quad (3.17)$$

*Proof.* In running through  $\delta \in \mathcal{L}(n, k)$ , we are running through all sequences of words  $W_1, \dots, W_k$  whose initial elements form an increasing sequence, and such that  $|W_i| = n_i$ , with  $\sum n_i = n$ . For fixed such  $n_1, \dots, n_k$ , there are  $\binom{n}{k} (n-k)!$  such sequences,  $\binom{n}{k}$  being the



number of ways to choose and place the initial elements, and  $(n - k)!$  the number of ways to place the remaining elements. By (3.16) and (2.8), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{L}(n, k)} q^{\tilde{w}(\delta)} &= \binom{n}{k} (n - k)! \sum_{\substack{n_1 + \dots + n_k = n \\ n_i \in \mathbb{P}}} q^{0(n_1-1) + 1(n_2-1) + \dots + (k-1)(n_k-1)} \\ &= \frac{n!}{k!} \binom{n-1}{k-1}_q. \end{aligned}$$

□

From (3.17) and (2.7), it follows that

$$\sum_{n \geq k} \tilde{L}_q(n, k) \frac{x^n}{n!} = \frac{1}{k!} \frac{x^k}{\prod_{0 \leq j \leq k-1} (1 - q^j x)}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (3.18)$$

which generalizes (3.3). The  $q$ -Lah number  $\tilde{L}_q(n, k)$  also satisfies the recurrence

$$\tilde{L}_q(n, k) = \frac{n}{k} \tilde{L}_q(n-1, k-1) + nq^{k-1} \tilde{L}_q(n-1, k), \quad (3.19)$$

which generalizes (3.5).

**Theorem 3.4.** *If  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , then*

$$\tilde{L}_{-1}(n, k) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } k \text{ is even;} \\ \frac{n!}{k!} \binom{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor}{\lfloor (k-1)/2 \rfloor}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (3.20)$$

*Proof.* This follows immediately from (3.17) and (2.9), but the following bijective proof yields a deeper insight into this result: with  $\mathcal{L}_r(n, k) := \{\delta \in \mathcal{L}(n, k) : \tilde{w}(\delta) \equiv r \pmod{2}\}$ , we have  $\tilde{L}_{-1}(n, k) = |\mathcal{L}_0(n, k)| - |\mathcal{L}_1(n, k)|$ . To prove (3.20) it thus suffices to identify a subset  $\mathcal{L}_0^+(n, k)$  of  $\mathcal{L}_0(n, k)$  such that

$$|\mathcal{L}_0^+(n, k)| = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } k \text{ is even;} \\ \frac{n!}{k!} \binom{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor}{\lfloor (k-1)/2 \rfloor}, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (3.21)$$

along with a parity changing involution of  $\mathcal{L}(n, k) - \mathcal{L}_0^+(n, k)$ .

The set  $\mathcal{L}_0^+(n, k)$  consists of those distributions whose associated sequences  $W_1, W_2, \dots, W_k$  satisfy

$$|W_{2i-1}| \text{ is odd and } |W_{2i}| = 1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \lfloor k/2 \rfloor. \quad (3.22)$$

Clearly,  $\mathcal{L}_0^+(n, k) = \emptyset$  if  $n$  is odd and  $k$  is even. In the remaining cases, the factor  $n!/k!$  arises as the product  $\binom{n}{k} (n - k)!$ , just as it does in the proof of Theorem 3.3, and

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor}{\lfloor (k-1)/2 \rfloor} &= \left| \left\{ (n_1, \dots, n_k) : \sum n_i = n, \ n_{2i-1} \text{ is odd,} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \text{and } n_{2i} = 1, \ 1 \leq i \leq \lfloor k/2 \rfloor \right\} \right|, \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

upon halving compositions of an integer whose parts are all even.

Suppose now that  $\delta \in \mathcal{L}(n, k) - \mathcal{L}_0^+(n, k)$  is associated with the sequence  $W_1, \dots, W_k$  and that  $i_0$  is the smallest index for which (3.22) fails to hold. If  $|W_{2i_0-1}|$  is even, take the last member of  $W_{2i_0-1}$  and place it at the end of  $W_{2i_0}$ . If  $|W_{2i_0-1}|$  is odd, whence  $|W_{2i_0}| \geq 2$ , take the last member of  $W_{2i_0}$  and place it at the end of  $W_{2i_0-1}$ . The resulting map is a parity changing involution of  $\mathcal{L}(n, k) - \mathcal{L}_0^+(n, k)$ .  $\square$

In tabulating the numbers  $\tilde{L}_{-1}(n, k)$  it is of course more efficient to use the recurrence

$$\tilde{L}_{-1}(n, k) = \frac{n}{k} \tilde{L}_{-1}(n-1, k-1) + (-1)^{k-1} n \tilde{L}_{-1}(n-1, k), \quad (3.24)$$

representing the case  $q = -1$  of (3.19). This yields the following table for  $0 \leq k \leq n \leq 8$ :

Table 3.1: The numbers  $\tilde{L}_{-1}(n, k)$  for  $0 \leq k \leq n \leq 8$ .

	$k = 0$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$n = 0$	1								
1	0	1							
2	0	2	1						
3	0	6	0	1					
4	0	24	12	4	1				
5	0	120	0	40	0	1			
6	0	720	360	240	60	6	1		
7	0	5040	0	2520	0	126	0	1	
8	0	40320	20160	20160	5040	1008	168	8	1

The row sums of Table 3.1 correspond to the quantities  $\tilde{L}_{-1}(n)$  [9, A089656], where

$$\tilde{L}_q(n) := \sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{L}(n)} q^{\tilde{w}(\delta)} = \sum_k \tilde{L}_q(n, k). \quad (3.25)$$

We have been unable to find a simple closed form or recurrence for  $\tilde{L}_{-1}(n)$ . However, using the case  $q = -1$  of formula (3.18), it is straightforward to show that

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \tilde{L}_{-1}(n) \frac{x^n}{n!} = \cosh \frac{x}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} + \frac{\sqrt{1-x^2}}{1-x} \sinh \frac{x}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}. \quad (3.26)$$

The values of  $\tilde{L}_{-1}(n)$  for  $0 \leq n \leq 10$  are as follows: 1, 1, 3, 7, 41, 161, 1387, 7687, 86865, 623233, 8682131.

## 4 Some Concluding Remarks

Reductions from  $q$ -binomial coefficients to ordinary binomial coefficients similar to those seen when  $q = -1$  occur with higher roots of unity. For example, substituting  $q = \rho = \frac{-1+\sqrt{3}i}{2}$ , a

third root of unity, and  $q = i$ , a fourth root of unity, into (2.7) and considering cases for  $k \pmod 3$  and  $\pmod 4$  yields

**Theorem 4.1.** *If  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , then*

$$\binom{n}{k}_\rho = \begin{cases} \binom{\lfloor n/3 \rfloor}{\lfloor k/3 \rfloor}, & \text{if } n \equiv k \pmod 3 \text{ or } k \equiv 0 \pmod 3; \\ -\rho^2 \binom{\lfloor n/3 \rfloor}{\lfloor k/3 \rfloor}, & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod 3 \text{ and } k \equiv 1 \pmod 3; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

and

**Theorem 4.2.** *If  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , then*

$$\binom{n}{k}_i = \begin{cases} \binom{\lfloor n/4 \rfloor}{\lfloor k/4 \rfloor}, & \text{if } n \equiv k \pmod 4 \text{ or } k \equiv 0 \pmod 4; \\ i \binom{\lfloor n/4 \rfloor}{\lfloor k/4 \rfloor}, & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod 4 \text{ and } k \equiv 1, 2 \pmod 4; \\ (1+i) \binom{\lfloor n/4 \rfloor}{\lfloor k/4 \rfloor}, & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod 4 \text{ and } k \equiv 1 \pmod 4; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

*Bijective proof of Theorem 4.1.*

We modify the combinatorial argument used to establish (2.9). Instead of pairing members of  $\Lambda(n, k)$  of opposite  $\alpha$ -parity, we partition a portion of  $\Lambda(n, k)$  into tripletons each of whose members have different  $\alpha$  values mod 3. Each such tripleton contributes 0 towards the sum  $\binom{n}{k}_\rho = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, k)} \rho^{\alpha(\lambda)}$  since  $1 + \rho + \rho^2 = 0$ .

As before, we represent lattice paths by words in  $\{1, 2\}$ . Let  $\Lambda'(n, k)$  consist of those words  $\lambda = t_1 t_2 \cdots t_n$  in  $\Lambda(n, k)$  satisfying

$$t_{3i-2} = t_{3i-1} = t_{3i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \lfloor n/3 \rfloor. \quad (4.3)$$

In all cases, the right-hand side of (4.1) above gives the net contribution of  $\Lambda'(n, k)$  towards  $\binom{n}{k}_\rho$ ; note that members of  $\Lambda'(n, k)$  may end in either 12 or 21 if  $n \equiv 2 \pmod 3$  and  $k \equiv 1 \pmod 3$ , hence the  $1 + \rho = -\rho^2$  factor in this case.

Suppose now that  $\lambda = t_1 t_2 \cdots t_n \in \Lambda(n, k) - \Lambda'(n, k)$ , with  $i_0$  the smallest  $i$  for which (4.3) fails to hold. Group the three members of  $\Lambda(n, k) - \Lambda'(n, k)$  gotten by circularly permuting  $t_{3i_0-2}$ ,  $t_{3i_0-1}$ , and  $t_{3i_0}$  within  $\lambda = t_1 t_2 \cdots t_n$ , leaving the rest of  $\lambda$  undisturbed. Note that these three members of  $\Lambda(n, k) - \Lambda'(n, k)$  have different  $\alpha$  values mod 3, which establishes (4.1).  $\square$

A similar proof, which involves partitioning members of  $\Lambda(n, k)$  according to their  $inv$  values mod 4, applies to (4.2), the details of which we leave as an exercise for interested readers.

If  $m \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $\omega = e^{2\pi i/m}$ , a primitive  $m^{\text{th}}$  root of unity, examining (2.7) when  $q = \omega$  reveals that  $\binom{n}{k}_\omega$  is of the form  $\beta \binom{\lfloor n/m \rfloor}{\lfloor k/m \rfloor}$  for all  $n$  and  $k$ , where  $\beta$  is some complex number depending on the values of  $n$  and  $k \bmod m$ . Even though  $\beta$  can in general be expressed in terms of symmetric functions of certain  $m^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity, there does not appear to be a simple closed form for  $\binom{n}{k}_\omega$  which generalizes (2.9), (4.1), and (4.2). Some particular cases are easily ascertained. For example, when  $m$  divides  $n$ , we have from (2.7),

$$\binom{n}{k}_\omega = \begin{cases} \binom{n/m}{k/m}, & \text{if } m \text{ divides } k; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (4.4)$$

When  $m$  is a prime, the combinatorial argument used for (4.1) readily generalizes to (4.4).

## References

- [1] G. Berman and K. Fryer, *Introduction to Combinatorics*, Academic Press, 1972.
- [2] L. Carlitz, *Combinatorial analysis notes*, Duke University (1968).
- [3] A. Garsia and J. Remmel, A combinatorial interpretation of  $q$ -derangement and  $q$ -Laguerre numbers, *Europ. J. Combinatorics* **1** (1980), 47–59.
- [4] J. Goldman and G.-C. Rota, The number of subspaces of a vector space, in: W. Tutte, ed., *Recent Progress in Combinatorics*, Academic Press (1969), 75–83.
- [5] D. Knuth, Two notes on notation, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **99** (1992), 403–422.
- [6] I. Lah, Eine neue Art von Zahlen, ihre Eigenschaften und Anwendung in der mathematischen Statistik, *Mitteilungsbl. Math. Statist.* **7** (1955), 203–212.
- [7] T. S. Motzkin, Sorting numbers for cylinders and other classification numbers, in: *Proc. Symp. Pure Math., Vol. 19*, American Mathematical Society (1971), 167–176.
- [8] M. Schork, Fermionic relatives of Stirling and Lah numbers, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **36** (2003), 10391–10398.
- [9] N. J. A. Sloane, [On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences](#).
- [10] R. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics, Vol. I*, Wadsworth and Brooks/Cole, 1986.
- [11] C. Wagner, Generalized Stirling and Lah numbers, *Discrete Math.* **160** (1996), 199–218.

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 05A99; Secondary 05A10 .

*Keywords*: Laguerre configuration, Lah numbers, lattice paths,  $q$ -binomial coefficient.

---

(Concerned with sequences [A000262](#) and [A089656](#).)

---

Received July 1 2005; revised version received October 18 2005. Published in *Journal of Integer Sequences*, October 20 2005.

---

Return to [Journal of Integer Sequences home page](#).