



Maximal Subset Sums in a Group of Order a Power of 2

John Greene and Clayton Higgins
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
University of Minnesota Duluth
Duluth, MN 55812
USA

jgreene@d.umn.edu
higgi503@d.umn.edu

Abstract

If G is an abelian group of order 2^n , and $S = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n\}$ is a subset of G , we call S a perfect cover for G if every element of G is the subset sum of elements in S . We prove that perfect covers always exist and count how many perfect covers there are for selected groups. In particular, we count the number of perfect covers for the groups $\mathbb{Z}_{2^m} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$ and $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^m \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$.

1 Introduction

Given an additive abelian group G , there is extensive literature related to sequences

$$S = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k\}$$

of nonzero elements of G . Erdős, Ginzburg and Ziv [6] asked when such sequences either contain, or do not contain subsequences summing to 0. The smallest k so that every sequence of length k must have a subsequence summing to 0 is called the Davenport constant for G , investigated in various papers [5, 7, 14, 15]. The Davenport constant has applications to non-unique factorization [11] and to the density of Carmichael numbers [2].

The inverse problem deals with long sequences with no zero-sum subsequences. See, for example, Olson [14] or Gao et al. [8]. Yet another related problem asks how many

representations an element $g \in G$ might have as a subsequence sum, as investigated by Gao and Geroldinger [10], and more recently by Lev [12]. See Gao and Geroldinger [9] for a survey of zero-sum problems.

Here, we are interested in a different question. We say that a sequence S covers an element $g \in G$ if g is a subsequence sum of elements of S . Every sequence S covers 0 because we allow the empty subsequence. We say a sequence S covers G if S covers every element of G . Rather than ask how large S must be, we look at the other extreme, how small S can be and still cover G . We mention the simplest case, where G is cyclic of order m , say $G = \mathbb{Z}_m$. Then $S = \{1, 2, 4, \dots, 2^{k-1}\}$ with $k = \lceil \log_2(m) \rceil$ is best possible. For general G , we do not know how small $k = |S|$ can be. However, if $G = \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_j}$ then $k = \lceil \log_2(m_1) \rceil + \lceil \log_2(m_2) \rceil + \dots + \lceil \log_2(m_j) \rceil$ suffices.

In the case where $|G| = 2^n$, we can say more. If every element of G is covered by exactly m subsequences (up to order), we call S a perfect m -cover for G . Most of our attention is focused on the case where $m = 1$, requiring each element of G to be covered by a unique subsequence of S . In this case, we drop the m and call S a perfect cover. Since a perfect cover cannot contain repeated elements, and we do not wish to consider the order of the terms in the sequence, in this case, we take S to be a set, and consider the subset sums. A perfect cover is a perfect restricted n -basis for a group of size 2^n , as defined by Bajnok, Berson and Just [4]. For perfect m -covers, we introduce the notation of a colored multiset. A colored multiset is a multiset, where each instance of an element g in the set is considered distinct (a different color) from the other instances in the set. For example, if $S = \{1, 1, 5, 6, 6\} = \{1_1, 1_2, 5, 6_1, 6_2\}$ in \mathbb{Z}_8 , then 4 is covered five times by S ,

$$\begin{aligned} 4 &= 6_1 + 6_2 \\ &= 6_1 + 5 + 1_1 \\ &= 6_1 + 5 + 1_2 \\ &= 6_2 + 5 + 1_1 \\ &= 6_2 + 5 + 1_2. \end{aligned}$$

Colored multisets arise naturally in the theory of integer partitions, when numbers can be repeated, but are considered to have different kinds or colors. See, for example, Andrews [3] or Agarwal [1]. As in the theory of partitions, this scheme allows for a natural generating function interpretation in \mathbb{Z}_{2^n} : If S contains m_1 1's, m_2 2's, and so on, then the generating function for the number of times k is counted is $p(q)$, a polynomial of degree at most $2^n - 1$, equal to the remainder when $\prod_{i=1}^{2^n-1} (1 + q^i)^{m_i}$ is divided by $1 - q^{2^n}$. That is, $p(q)$ is the unique polynomial of degree less than 2^n satisfying

$$\prod_{i=1}^{2^n-1} (1 + q^i)^{m_i} = (1 - q^{2^n})f(q) + p(q)$$

for some polynomial $f(q)$. In our example above,

$$(1 + q)^2(1 + q^5)(1 + q^6)^2 = (1 - q^8)f(q) + p(q),$$

with $p(q) = 5q^7 + 5q^6 + 5q^5 + 5q^4 + 3q^3 + 3q^2 + 3q + 3$. Since the coefficients in $p(q)$ for the example above are not all the same, we see that $\{1_1, 1_2, 5, 6_1, 6_2\}$ is not a perfect m -cover for \mathbb{Z}_8 .

Perfect covers always exist.

Theorem 1. *If G is an abelian group of size 2^n , then G has a perfect cover. In fact, if $x \neq 0$, then there is a perfect cover containing x .*

Proof. An explicit perfect cover for G is given by Bajnok, Berson, and Just [4, Section 4]. We give an alternative proof here, more conducive to counting perfect covers.

We induct on n , with the case of $n = 1$ being trivial. Assuming the result for groups of order less than 2^n , suppose $|G| = 2^n$ and $x \neq 0$ is in G . Let H be the subgroup generated by x . If $|H| = 2^m$, then H has a perfect cover $\{x, 2x, \dots, 2^{m-1}x\}$. By inductive hypothesis, G/H has a perfect cover $\{g_1 + H, g_2 + H, \dots, g_{n-m} + H\}$. We claim that $S = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{n-m}, x, 2x, \dots, 2^{m-1}x\}$ is a perfect cover for G . To that end, let $g \in G$. Since $g + H \in G/H$,

$$g + H = g_{i_1} + H + g_{i_2} + H, \dots, g_{i_r} + H = (g_{i_1} + g_{i_2} + \dots + g_{i_r}) + H$$

for some elements g_{i_i} . This means that $g = g_{i_1} + g_{i_2} + \dots + g_{i_r} + h$ for some $h \in H$, and h is a sum of terms involving x . Since everything in G is a sum of elements of S and $|S| = n$, S is a perfect cover. \square

Our main focus is on the number of perfect covers for an abelian group of order 2^n . We do not have a complete answer to this question, but we have exact counts for a number of infinite families of groups. Let $C(G)$ denote the number of perfect covers for a group G . Our main counting theorems are listed below.

Theorem 2. *If $G = \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$, then G has $2^{n(n-1)/2}$ perfect covers. That is, $C(\mathbb{Z}_{2^n}) = 2^{n(n-1)/2}$.*

The sequence defined by $a_n = 2^{n(n-1)/2}$ is sequence [A006125](#) in the On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences (OEIS) [13].

We have two 2-parameter infinite families of groups where we can enumerate the number of perfect covers in terms of recurrence relations. These recurrences imply that there are explicit polynomials facilitating the count of the number of perfect covers.

Theorem 3. *If $a_{m,n} = C(\mathbb{Z}_{2^m} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^n})$, then for $m \geq n \geq 1$, we have*

$$a_{m,n} = 2^{m+n-1}(a_{m-1,n} + 2a_{m,n-1}) - 3 \cdot 2^{2m+2n-4}a_{m-1,n-1}. \quad (1)$$

For each fixed $n \geq 0$, there is a polynomial $p(x)$ of degree n for which

$$C(\mathbb{Z}_{2^m} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}) = 2^{(m-1)n}2^{m(m-1)/2}p(m) \quad \text{for all } m \geq n. \quad (2)$$

We note that $a_{m,0} = C(\mathbb{Z}_{2^m}) = 2^{m(m-1)/2}$. Also, $a_{m,n} = a_{n,m}$. However, the recurrence above is not symmetric in m, n and only applies when $m \geq n$. Our other family involves groups of arbitrary rank, but with only one large cyclic component.

Theorem 4. Let $b_{m,n}$ be the number of perfect covers for $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^m \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$. Then

$$b_{m,0} = C((\mathbb{Z}_2)^m) = \frac{(2^m - 1)(2^m - 2^1) \cdots (2^m - 2^{m-1})}{m!}. \quad (3)$$

If we define $B_{m,k}$ by

$$B_{m,k} = \frac{2^m(2^m - 1)(2^m - 2^1) \cdots (2^m - 2^{k-1})}{(k+1)!},$$

then $b_{m,n}$ satisfies a recurrence

$$b_{m,n} = 2^{m+n-1}b_{m,n-1} + \sum_{k=1}^m B_{m,k} 2^{k(m-k)} 2^{(k+1)(n-1)} b_{m-k,n-1} \quad (4)$$

for all $m, n > 0$. Moreover, for each m there is a polynomial $q(x)$ of degree m for which

$$C((\mathbb{Z}_2)^m \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}) = 2^{m(n-1)} 2^{n(n-1)/2} q(n) \quad \text{for all } m, n \geq 0. \quad (5)$$

The sequence $b_{m,0}$ defined in formula 3 also counts the number of bases for an n -dimensional space over \mathbb{Z}_2 , given in [A053601](#).

Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 are proved in Section 3; Theorem 4 is proved in Section 4. The proofs of these theorems require more detailed knowledge of perfect covers, which is presented in Section 2. Various special cases of the counting theorems are presented in Section 5, along with exact counts for abelian groups of order 2^n for all $n \leq 7$.

2 Properties of perfect covers

We begin with two basic properties of perfect m -covers.

Lemma 5. If $S = \{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$ is a perfect m -cover for G , then for any $g \in G$, $S' = \{g, g_1, \dots, g_n\}$ is a perfect $(2m)$ -cover.

Proof. Given any element x in G , covered by m distinct sublists of S , x is covered by those same sublists of S' . Moreover, $x - g$ is covered by m distinct sublists of S , and appending g to each of these gives another m lists covering x . All covers of x arise in one of these two ways. \square

Lemma 6. If $G = H \oplus K$ where $|H| = 2^m$ and $|K| = 2^n$, and if $S = \{(h_1, k_1), \dots, (h_j, k_j)\}$ is a perfect l -cover for G , then $S_1 = \{h_1, \dots, h_j\}$ is a perfect $(2^m l)$ -cover of H and $S_2 = \{k_1, \dots, k_j\}$ is a perfect $(2^n l)$ -cover for K .

Proof. For every element $(h, k) \in G$, there are exactly l sublists of S covering (h, k) . Thus, for each k , there are l such sublists. For every sublist of S covering (h, k) , the corresponding sublists of S_1 covers h . Thus, there are $l|K| = 2^{n+l}$ such sublists of S_1 covering h . Since this number is independent of h , S_1 is a perfect 2^{n+l} -cover. The proof for S_2 is similar. \square

Given an abelian group G , we define a function F on subsets A of G via

$$F(A) = \sum_{x \in A} x.$$

Note that if A and B are disjoint, then $F(A \cup B) = F(A) + F(B)$.

Lemma 7. *Let G be an abelian group with $|G| = 2^n$ and with perfect cover $S = \{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$. If z is an element of S and $S_1 = S - \{z\}$, then each of the following hold.*

- (a) *The subsets of S_1 cover exactly half of G , with nothing covered more than once.*
- (b) *Suppose that g is an element of G covered by S_1 and j is a positive integer. Then S_1 covers $g + 2jz$, but not $g + (2j - 1)z$.*

Proof. If $F(A) = F(B)$ for some subsets A, B of S_1 , then since A and B are subsets of a perfect cover, $A = B$. A counting argument shows that S_1 covers half of the elements of G .

For statement (b), we first consider the case where $j = 1$. If g is covered by S_1 then $g = F(A)$ for some subset A of S_1 . Suppose $g + z$ is covered by S_1 , say $g + z = F(B)$ for some subset B of S_1 . Then $g + z$ is covered by two subsets of S , B and $A \cup \{z\}$, a contradiction. Next, suppose that $g + 2z$ is not covered by S_1 . Since $g + 2z$ is covered by S , there must be a subset C of S , which is not a subset of S_1 for which $F(C) = g + 2z$. In this case, $z \in C$ so $C = D \cup \{z\}$ for some subset D of S_1 . Now

$$g + 2z = F(C) = F(D \cup \{z\}) = F(D) + z,$$

so $F(D) = g + z$, meaning $g + z$ is covered by S_1 , a contradiction.

Inducting on j , suppose that S_1 covers $g + 2jz$ but not $g + (2j - 1)z$ for some j . Let $g' = g + 2jz$. According to the above, $g' + z$ is not covered, but $g' + 2z$ is. That is, S_1 does not cover $g + (2j + 1)z$ but it does cover $g + (2j + 2)z$. \square

A similar result holds for perfect m -covers.

Lemma 8. *Suppose $|G| = 2^n$ and let $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_{j+n}\}$ be a perfect 2^j cover. Let $S_1 = \{x_1, \dots, x_{j+n-1}\}$. If a is covered m times by S_1 , then $a + x_{j+n}$ is covered $2^j - m$ times by S_1 .*

Proof. Let $a + x_{j+n}$ be covered k times by S_1 . Now $a + x_{j+n}$ is covered 2^j times by S . Exactly k of these come from subsets of S_1 . This means the remaining $2^j - k$ of them must be covered by subsets of S which are not subsets of S_1 . These are all of the form $A \cup \{x_{j+n}\}$ where A is a subset of S_1 . But these A all cover $(a + x_{j+n}) - x_{j+n} = a$, so there are exactly m of these. This means $2^j - k = m$, or $k = 2^j - m$, as desired. \square

Corollary 9. *If b is covered m times by S_1 , then for all k , $b + 2kx_{j+n}$ is covered m times, and $b + (2k + 1)x_{j+n}$ is covered $2^j - m$ times by S_1 .*

Proof. This is induction on k , but the basic idea is that by Lemma 8, $b + x_{j+n}$ is covered $2^j - m$ times, and applying Lemma 8 with $b + x_{j+m}$ instead of b , then $b + 2x_{j+m}$ is covered $2^j - (2^j - m) = m$ times, $b + 3x_{j+n}$ is covered $2^j - m$ times, and so on. \square

There is a useful equivalence relation on the elements of G defined as follows. We write $a \sim b$ if b is an odd multiple of a . We note that this is obviously an equivalence relation. Moreover, this relation interacts well with perfect covers. We have the following theorem.

Theorem 10. *Given a group G of order 2^n , the following are equivalent for $a, b \in G$.*

- (1) $a \sim b$.
- (2) *For any perfect cover $S = \{a, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$, $T = \{b, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$ is also a perfect cover.*
- (3) *The set $\{a, b\}$ is never a subset of a perfect cover.*

Proof. Suppose $S = \{a, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$ is a perfect cover. Let $T = \{b, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$ and $S' = \{s_2, \dots, s_n\}$. If x is covered by S' , then so is $x + 2ra$ for all r . So suppose $F(A) = y$ for some subset A of S . If A is a subset of S' then A is a subset of T as well, so T covers y . Next, suppose that $a \in A$ and let $A' = A - \{a\}$. Then $F(A) = F(A') + a$, or $F(A') = y - a$. Now $A' \subseteq S'$, meaning that $y - a$ is covered by S' . As a consequence, so is $y - a + 2ra$ for all integers r . If $b = (2j + 1)a$ then selecting $r = -j$ we have that S' represents $y - a - 2ja = y - b$. Letting $F(B) = y - b$ for $B \subseteq S'$, we have $F(\{b\} \cup B) = y$. That is, T covers y . Since y was an arbitrary element of G , T covers G , making it a perfect cover. Thus, (1) implies (2).

Next, (2) implies (3), for if $\{a, b, s_3, \dots, s_k\}$ is a perfect cover, then replacing a by b gives that $\{b, b, s_3, \dots, s_k\}$ is also be a perfect cover. However, this set represents b in two different ways, a contradiction.

Finally, suppose that b is not equivalent to a . We show that there is a perfect cover containing both a and b , and this is the contrapositive of (3) implying (1), completing the proof. To that end, let H be the subgroup generated by a . Since b is not an odd multiple of a , either b is an even multiple of a or b is not in H . In the first case, we can form a perfect cover for H containing both a and b , leading to a perfect cover for G containing both a and b . In the second case, we can let bH be one of the cosets of H and by Lemma 1, there is a perfect cover for G/H containing bH , leading to a perfect cover for G containing b . \square

By using Corollary 9 we also have the following extension of part (2) of the theorem above.

Lemma 11. *Suppose G is an abelian group of order 2^n , with perfect 2^k -cover and $S = \{a, s_2, \dots, s_{n+k}\}$. If $a \sim b$ then $T = \{b, s_2, \dots, s_{n+k}\}$ is also a perfect 2^k -cover of G .*

Proof. Let $y \in G$. Then y is covered 2^k times by S . We prove that y is also covered 2^k times by T . To that end, let y be covered m times by $S' = \{s_2, \dots, s_{n+k}\}$. Then $y - a$ is covered $2^k - m$ times by S' . Now $b = (2j + 1)a$ for some j so by Corollary 9, $y - b = y - a - 2ja$ is covered $2^k - m$ times by S' , as desired. \square

Appending any element to a perfect m -cover produces another perfect cover, as mentioned in Theorem 5. A partial converse might be that every perfect m -cover contains a perfect $(m/2)$ -cover as a sublist. Unfortunately, in general, this is not true. For example, the set $\{(0, 1), (1, 0), (1, 1), (1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 1)\}$ is a perfect 4-cover for $\mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4$, but none of the six subsets of order 5 is a 2-cover, and no subset is a perfect cover. However, in the case of $G = \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$, we can say more.

Theorem 12. *A colored multiset $S = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k\}$ is a perfect m -cover for $G = \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$ if and only if S contains elements of each order $2, 2^2, \dots, 2^n$.*

Proof. If $a \sim b$ then a and b have the same order in G . For $G = \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$, the equivalence class of a consists of all elements with the same order as a . Given an m -cover $S = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k\}$ for G , if $m > 1$ then some of the g_i might be 0. Let z count the number of 0's in S . For the other elements of S , we systematically apply Theorem 11 to find a simpler cover. For each $g_i \neq 0$, replace it in S by the smallest positive integer of the same order. The result is a new m -cover containing only 0's and powers of 2. Such a cover contains z zeros, l_0 1's, l_1 2's, \dots , l_{n-1} elements 2^{n-1} . Now $\{1, 2, 2^2, \dots, 2^{n-1}\}$ is a perfect cover for G . As a consequence, by Theorem 5, appending 0's or powers of 2 to this set produces perfect 2^j -covers, with j being the number of elements added to the colored multiset.

For the other direction, the generating function for the number of covers for the elements of G is $g(q)$ with

$$2^z \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (1 + q^{2^i})^{l_i} = f(q)(1 - q^{2^n}) + g(q). \quad (6)$$

To be a perfect m -cover, we need

$$g(q) = m(1 + q + q^2 + \dots + q^{2^n-1}) = m \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (1 + q^{2^i}).$$

Since $1 - q^{2^n} = (1 - q) \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (1 + q^{2^i})$, we see that $1 + q^{2^i}$ divides the right hand side of (6). Since $1 + q^{2^i}$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Z} for each i , this polynomial must divide the left hand side as well, showing that $l_i > 0$, as desired. \square

Corollary 13. *Every perfect m -cover for \mathbb{Z}_{2^n} contains a perfect cover.*

Proof. Slightly more is true: if $S = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k\}$ is a perfect m -cover, with $m > 1$, then there is an element g_i that can be deleted from S to produce a perfect $(m/2)$ -cover. To show this, if S contains 0, then this can be deleted producing the perfect $(m/2)$ -cover. If S does not contain 0, then S contains $k > n$ elements of order larger than 1. But there are only n orders for nonzero elements of G . Thus, there must be two elements, g_i, g_j of the same order. Deleting g_j produces a colored list of size $k - 1$, containing all orders. Thus, this list is a perfect $(m/2)$ -cover. The conclusion of the corollary follows. \square

Corollary 14. *If $S = \{(h_1, k_1), \dots, (h_n, k_n)\}$ is a perfect m -cover for $H \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$, where H has order a power of 2, then S contains elements k_i of each order 2^j with $1 \leq j \leq n$.*

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 12 and Theorem 6. \square

We introduce an operator, \mathcal{T} on subsets of G , defined as follows. If $A = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ is a subset of G , then

$$\mathcal{T}(A) = \{a_1x_1 + \dots + a_mx_m \mid \text{for each } i, a_i = 0 \text{ or } a_i \text{ is odd}\}.$$

By virtue of the equivalence in Theorem 10, \mathcal{T} reacts well with perfect covers. We have the following basic properties of \mathcal{T} .

Theorem 15. *Let $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$ be a perfect cover for a group G of order 2^n .*

- (a) *If A and B are disjoint subsets of S , then $\mathcal{T}(A) \cap \mathcal{T}(B) = \{0\}$.*
- (b) *In particular, if $A \subseteq S$ and $s_i \notin A$ then $s_i \notin \mathcal{T}(A)$.*
- (c) *For any subset $A = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ of G , the set $\mathcal{T}(A)$ is a union of equivalence classes of elements of G , along with 0.*

Proof. For part (a), without loss of generality, let $A = \{s_1, \dots, s_k\}$ and $B = \{s_{k+1}, \dots, s_l\}$. Suppose $g \in \mathcal{T}(A) \cap \mathcal{T}(B)$ and $g \neq 0$. Then $g = a_1s_1 + \dots + a_ks_k$ and $g = a_{k+1}s_{k+1} + \dots + a_ls_l$ for some a_i which are either 0 or odd. Since $g \neq 0$, at least one a_i with $1 \leq i \leq k$ is nonzero and at least one a_j with $k+1 \leq j \leq l$ is nonzero. For each $i \leq l$, let

$$b_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a_i = 0, \\ a_i, & \text{if } a_i \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Then by systematic application of Theorem 10, we see that $S' = \{b_1s_1, \dots, b_ls_l, s_{l+1}, \dots, s_n\}$ is a perfect cover for g . In this perfect cover, g has two distinct representations as subset sums, a contradiction.

Part (b) is a special case of part (a), since we may let $B = \{s_i\}$. For part (c), suppose $g \in \mathcal{T}(A)$, say $g = a_1x_1 + \dots + a_kx_k$, with each a_i either 0 or odd. Then $bg = ba_1x_1 + \dots + ba_kx_k$ for any integer b , and if b is odd, then ba_i is either 0 or odd for each i , so $bg \in \mathcal{T}(A)$ as well. Thus, \mathcal{T} contains the equivalence class of g as a subset, and the result follows. \square

Another general property of \mathcal{T} is the following.

Lemma 16. *If G is an abelian group of order 2^n and x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m are any nonzero elements of G satisfying $x_i \notin \mathcal{T}(\{x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}\})$ for each i with $2 \leq i \leq m$, then all subset sums of $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$ are distinct. In particular, if $m = n$ then $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$ is a perfect cover for G .*

Proof. Let A and B be subsets of $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$ and suppose $F(A) = F(B)$. Letting $C = A \cap B$, it is clear that $A - C$ and $B - C$ also have the same subset sums. If $A \neq B$ then there is a largest index j with $x_j \in (A - C) \cup (B - C)$. However, this implies $x_j = \epsilon_1x_1 + \dots + \epsilon_{j-1}x_{j-1}$ where each ϵ_i is 0 or ± 1 . In particular, $x_j \in \mathcal{T}(\{x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}\})$, a contradiction. \square

3 Counting theorems for groups of rank 1 or 2.

In this section, we provide proofs for Theorem 2 and Theorem 3. We begin with the first of these.

Proof of Theorem 2. Two group elements in \mathbb{Z}_{2^m} are equivalent if and only if they have the same order. Since elements of a perfect cover must come from different equivalence classes, and there are only m equivalence classes for \mathbb{Z}_{2^m} , the number of perfect covers is the product of the sizes of the equivalence classes. There are 2^{m-j-1} elements in the equivalence class of 2^j , so

$$C(\mathbb{Z}_{2^m}) = 2^{m-1}2^{m-2} \dots 2^1 \cdot 2^0 = 2^{m(m-1)/2},$$

as desired. \square

The group $\mathbb{Z}_{2^m} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$ contains three elements of order 2. We leverage this fact to count perfect covers. We begin with two general lemmas, followed by a lemma specific to $\mathbb{Z}_{2^m} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$, before giving the proof of Theorem 3.

Lemma 17. *Let G be an abelian group of order 2^n , with H a subgroup of order 2^m . Then G has exactly $2^{m(n-m)}C(G/H)C(H)$ perfect covers that contain a perfect cover for H as a subset.*

Proof. Let $\{h_1, \dots, h_m\}$ be a perfect cover for H and let $\{g_1 + H, g_2 + H, \dots, g_{n-m} + H\}$ be a perfect cover for G/H . Then as in the proof of Theorem 1,

$$S = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{n-m}, h_1, h_2, \dots, h_m\}$$

is a perfect cover for G . Now each g_i is an arbitrary element of a particular coset of H , so g_i can be selected in $|H| = 2^m$ ways, giving us $2^{m(n-m)}C(G/H)C(H)$ ways to select S . Each selection is distinct, due to either the g 's differing or the h 's differing.

We must show that perfect covers for G which contain a perfect cover for H must arise in this way. To that end, let

$$S = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{n-m}, h_1, h_2, \dots, h_m\}$$

be a perfect cover for G containing a perfect cover of H as a subset. Given any $g \in G$, we may write $g = F(A \cup B)$ where A is a subset of $\{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{n-m}\}$ and B is a subset of $\{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_m\}$. Thus, $g + H$ will be the sum of the cosets for the elements in A . That is, $g + H$ is the sum of elements from some subset of $\{g_1 + H, g_2 + H, \dots, g_{n-m} + H\}$. By a counting argument, this forces $\{g_1 + H, g_2 + H, \dots, g_{n-m} + H\}$ to be a perfect cover for G/H . \square

Lemma 18. *If A is a subset of a perfect cover S for a group G , and A contains no elements of order 2, then $\mathcal{T}(A)$ either contains no elements of order 2, or contains at least two distinct elements of order 2.*

Proof. If h has order 2 in G and $h \in \mathcal{T}(A)$, then $h = a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_kx_k$ for some $x_1, \dots, x_k \in A$ and all a_i odd. If x_1 has order 2^l , then $2^{l-1}x_1$ has order 2, as does $h + 2^{l-1}x_1$. By hypothesis, x_1 does not have order 2 so 2^{l-1} is even, consequently,

$$h + 2^{l-1}x_1 = (a_1 + 2^{l-1})x_1 + a_2x_2 + \cdots + a_kx_k \in \mathcal{T}(A).$$

Since h and $h + 2^{l-1}x_1$ are distinct elements of order 2, the result follows. \square

Lemma 19. *Every perfect cover for $\mathbb{Z}_{2^m} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$ contains an element of order 2.*

Proof. By way of contradiction, suppose that S is a perfect cover for $G = \mathbb{Z}_{2^m} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$ which does not contain an element of order 2. Since S covers the elements of G of order 2, there must be some subset $A \subseteq S$ of smallest size, for which $\mathcal{T}(A)$ contains an element, h , of order 2. If $A = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$, then $h = a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_kx_k$, where all a_i are odd. As in the previous lemma, let x_1 have order 2^j . Then $h + 2^{j-1}x_1 \in \mathcal{T}(A)$ as well. Suppose that $2^{j-1}x_1 \in \mathcal{T}(A)$, say $2^{j-1}x_1 = b_1x_1 + \cdots + b_kx_k$, where each b_i is either 0 or odd. If b_1 is 0, then $2^{j-1}x_1$, an element of order 2, would belong to $\mathcal{T}(A - \{x_1\})$. Since $A - \{x_1\}$ a proper subset of A , we have a contradiction. If b_1 is odd, then $(2^{j-1} - b_1)x_1 \in \mathcal{T}(A - \{x_1\})$, which contradicts Theorem 15. Thus, $2^{j-1}x_1 \notin \mathcal{T}(A)$. Note that this shows $A \neq S$. If $|x|$ is the order of x in G , then a similar argument shows that $\frac{1}{2}|x_i|x_i \notin \mathcal{T}(A)$ for any i . Since G has exactly three elements of order 2, it follows that the third element is $\frac{1}{2}|x_1|x_1 = \frac{1}{2}|x_i|x_i$ for each i .

Next, let $B = S - A$. Since $\frac{1}{2}|x_1|x_1$ is covered by S we have $\frac{1}{2}|x_1|x_1 = c_1x_1 + \cdots + c_kx_k + u$ where each c_i is 0 or odd and $u \in \mathcal{T}(B)$. If any c_i is odd, then $\frac{1}{2}|x_1|x_1 = \frac{1}{2}|x_i|x_i = c_1x_1 + \cdots + c_kx_k + u$ implies $x_i \in \mathcal{T}(S - \{x_i\})$, violating Theorem 15. Consequently, each c_i is 0, showing $\frac{1}{2}|x_1|x_1 \in \mathcal{T}(B)$. However, by Lemma 18, this forces $\mathcal{T}(B)$ to contain at least two elements of order 2. Finally, since both $\mathcal{T}(A)$ and $\mathcal{T}(B)$ contain at least two elements of order 2, one of these elements is common to $\mathcal{T}(A) \cap \mathcal{T}(B)$, contradicting Theorem 15. This concludes the proof the lemma. \square

Proof of Theorem 3. We begin with the recurrence relation (1). If h is an element of order 2 in G , a group of order 2^k , then by Lemma 17, G has $2^{k-1}C(G/\langle h \rangle)$ perfect covers containing h . Let $h_1 = (2^{m-1}, 0)$, $h_2 = (0, 2^{n-1})$ and $h_3 = (2^{m-1}, 2^{n-1})$ be the three elements of order 2 in $G = \mathbb{Z}_{2^m} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$. Every perfect cover contains at least one of h_1, h_2, h_3 . If a perfect cover contains two of the elements of order 2, then the elements of order 2 will form a perfect cover for H , the unique non-cyclic subgroup of order 4 in G . By inclusion-exclusion and Lemma 17, we have

$$C(G) = 2^{m+n-1}(C(G/\langle h_1 \rangle) + C(G/\langle h_2 \rangle) + C(G/\langle h_3 \rangle)) - 2^{2m+2n-4}C(G/H)C(H).$$

Now

$$G/\langle h_1 \rangle \approx \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m-1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}, \quad G/\langle h_2 \rangle \approx G/\langle h_3 \rangle \approx \mathbb{Z}_{2^m} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^{n-1}},$$

and $G/H \approx \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m-1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^{n-1}}$. Noting that $C(H) = 3$, the recurrence in Theorem 3 follows.

The m -component of the powers of 2 in recurrence (1) may be scaled away by setting $a_{m,n} = 2^{(m-1)n} 2^{m(m-1)/2} c_{m,n}$. The resulting recurrence is

$$c_{m,n} = c_{m-1,n} + 2^{n+1} c_{m,n-1} - 3 \cdot 2^{n-1} c_{m-1,n-1},$$

with initial condition $c_{m,0} = 1$. An induction argument shows that $c_{m,n} - c_{m-1,n}$ is a polynomial in m of degree $n - 1$. From this, it follows that $c_{m,n}$ is a polynomial in m of degree n . Denoting this polynomial $p(x)$, it is straightforward to show that the leading term in $p(x)$ is $\frac{2^{n(n-1)/2}}{n!} x^n$. \square

4 Proof of Theorem 4

Next, we turn our attention to Theorem 4, beginning with the group $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^m$.

Proof of Formula 3. The group $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^m$ is an m -dimensional vector space over \mathbb{Z}_2 . As a consequence, any k independent elements in G span a k -dimensional subspace of size 2^k . A set S is a basis for G as a vector space if and only if S is a perfect cover. The number of ordered bases is clearly $(2^m - 1)(2^m - 2) \cdots (2^m - 2^{m-1})$ as we select a nonzero element, then something not in its span, and so on. Since perfect covers are sets, we divide the count for ordered bases by $m!$. \square

For the recurrence in Theorem 4, we use the following notation. If $G = H \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$ is an abelian group with $|H| = 2^m$, let

$$S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{m+n}\} = \{(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_{m+n}, y_{m+n})\}$$

be a perfect cover for G , where $x_i \in H$ and $y_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$ for each i, j . Note that the colored multiset $\{y_1, \dots, y_{m+n}\}$ must be a perfect 2^m -cover for \mathbb{Z}_{2^n} . As such, the y 's must contain elements of all orders larger than 1. We base our count on how many elements of order 2^n there are. These correspond to odd values of y . We begin with two general lemmas for G , and then focus on the case where $H = (\mathbb{Z}_2)^m$.

Lemma 20. *A perfect cover S for G can have k odd y -values for any k with $1 \leq k \leq m + 1$.*

Proof. Since the colored multiset $\{y_1, \dots, y_{m+n}\}$ is a perfect 2^m cover for \mathbb{Z}_{2^n} , at least one, and no more than $m + 1$ of the y -values can be odd. Moreover, if $1 \leq k \leq m + 1$ and $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ is a perfect cover for H , then the set

$$S = \{(x_1, 0), \dots, (x_{m+1-k}, 0), (x_{m+2-k}, 1), \dots, (x_m, 1), \\ (0, 1), (0, 2), \dots, (0, 2^{n-1})\}$$

is a perfect cover for $H \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$ containing k odd y -values. This last claim follows because given any $(h, l) \in G$, one may select a subset of $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ summing to h . The corresponding subset of S will sum to an element (h, r) for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$, and one can add to (h, r) a subset sum of $\{(0, 1), (0, 2), \dots, (0, 2^{n-1})\}$ summing to $(0, l - r)$ to get (h, l) . Since S covers G and has $m + n$ elements, it must be a perfect cover. \square

Lemma 21. *Let $G = H \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$ be an abelian group, with $|H| = 2^m$. There are*

$$2^m 2^{n-1} C(H \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^{n-1}})$$

perfect covers S in which y_1 is odd but all y_i with $i > 1$ are even.

Proof. Every element of the form $(h, 2k) \in H \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$ must be covered by the elements in $S - \{(x_1, y_1)\}$. Consequently, $S - \{(x_1, y_1)\}$ is a perfect cover for $H \oplus 2\mathbb{Z}_{2^n} \approx H \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^{n-1}}$. Similarly, for every perfect cover for $H \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^{n-1}}$, one may double each y -component and add a term (u, v) with v odd to produce a perfect cover for $H \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$. Since there are $2^m 2^{n-1}$ ways to select u and v , this means that $H \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$ has $2^m 2^{n-1} C(H \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^{n-1}})$ perfect covers with one odd y -value. \square

Corollary 22. *The group $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^m \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$ has $2^m 2^{n-1} a_{m,n-1}$ perfect covers S in which y_1 is odd but y_i is even for every $i > 1$.*

We now investigate the case where a cover of $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^m \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$ has a prescribed number of odd y -terms.

Lemma 23. *Suppose that $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^m \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$ has a perfect cover S for which y_1, \dots, y_{k+1} are odd and the remaining y_i are even. As subspaces of the vector space $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^m$ over \mathbb{Z}_2 , let*

$$\mathcal{U} = \text{Span}\{x_1 + x_2, x_1 + x_3, \dots, x_1 + x_{k+1}\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{V} = \text{Span}\{x_{k+2}, \dots, x_{m+n}\}.$$

Then $\dim \mathcal{U} = k$, $\dim \mathcal{V} = m - k$ and $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{V} = \{0\}$. In particular, $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^m = \mathcal{U} \oplus \mathcal{V}$.

Proof. The vector space \mathcal{U} has an alternate description, that \mathcal{U} is the set of all combinations of $\{x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}\}$ which contain an even number of terms. Let $h \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^m$. Since S is a perfect cover for $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^m \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$, there is a subset A of S which covers $(h, 0)$. This cover must contain an even number of elements s_i with $i \leq k+1$. As a consequence, $h = h_1 + h_2$ where $h_1 \in \mathcal{U}$ and $h_2 \in \mathcal{V}$. This shows $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^m = \mathcal{U} + \mathcal{V}$.

Next, suppose $h \neq 0$ and $h \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{V}$. Then there are elements $(h, 2u)$ and $(h, 2v)$ in $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^m \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$ so that $(h, 2u)$ is covered by some subset of $\{s_1, \dots, s_{k+1}\}$ and $(h, 2v)$ is covered by some subset of $\{s_{k+2}, \dots, s_{m+n}\}$. Since $h \neq 0$, there must be a positive even number of s_i with $i \leq k+1$ in the sum giving $(h, 2u)$. Let l be the largest index less than or equal to $k+1$ so that

$$(h, 2u) = (x_{i_1}, y_{i_1}) + \dots + (x_l, y_l).$$

There is an odd number α so that $\alpha y_l + (2u - y_l) = 2v$ in \mathbb{Z}_{2^n} . Consequently, if $S' = (S - \{s_l\}) \cup \{\alpha s_l\}$, then S' is a perfect cover for $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^m \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$, by Theorem 10. However, since $\alpha x_i = x_i$ in $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^m$, the corresponding subset sums in S' cover $(h, 2v)$ twice, once from the first $k+1$ values and once from the remaining values. That is, S' cannot be a perfect cover, a contradiction. Thus, $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{V} = \{0\}$, showing $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^m = \mathcal{U} \oplus \mathcal{V}$.

Finally, since $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^m$ is the direct sum of \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} , showing $\dim \mathcal{U} = k$ will also establish that $\dim \mathcal{V} = m - k$. To that end, suppose

$$\epsilon_1(x_1 + x_2) + \cdots + \epsilon_{k+1}(x_1 + x_{k+1}) = 0$$

where each ϵ_i is 0 or 1. This sum corresponds to adding the x_i in some subset, A , of $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k+1}\}$ where A has even size. Now $x_1 \in A$ if an odd number of the ϵ_i are 1 and $x_1 \notin A$ if an even number of the ϵ_i are 1. If A is nonempty, of size $2j$, then without loss of generality, $A = \{x_1, \dots, x_{2j}\}$. Now $\sum_{i=1}^{2j-1} (x_i, y_i) = (u, v)$ where $u = x_{2j}$ and v is odd. We now form a new cover, S' by replacing (x_{2j}, y_{2j}) by $(x_{2j}, 2^n - y_{2j})$, which we can do by part 2 of Theorem 10, as multiplying x_{2j} by any odd number does not change x_{2j} . In this new cover, $\sum_{i=1, x_i \in A}^{2j} (x_i, y_i) = (0, 0)$, providing two different covering sets for $(0, 0)$, a contradiction. \square

Lemma 24. *Under the same conditions as in Lemma 23,*

$$\{s_{k+2}, \dots, s_{m+n}\}$$

is a perfect cover for $\mathcal{V} \oplus 2\mathbb{Z}_{2^n} \approx (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{m-k} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^{n-1}}$.

Proof. The subset sums of $\{s_{k+2}, \dots, s_{m+n}\}$ are all distinct, since this set is a subset of a perfect cover. Moreover, every subset sum belongs to $\mathcal{V} \oplus 2\mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$ by the previous Lemma. Since $|\mathcal{V} \oplus 2\mathbb{Z}_{2^n}| = 2^{m+n-k-1}$ and $|\{s_{k+2}, \dots, s_{m+n}\}| = m + n - k - 1$, the result follows. \square

With these preliminaries, we proceed to the proof.

Proof of the recurrence in Theorem 4. We count the number of perfect covers by cases based on how many odd y_i there are in the cover. If there is a single odd y -value, then by Lemma 22, there are $2^m 2^{n-1} a_{m,n-1}$ such covers. For the case where there are $k + 1$ odd y -values, the previous lemma shows that every such perfect cover corresponds to a perfect cover for $\mathcal{V} \oplus 2\mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$. Suppose now that \mathcal{V} is a subspace of $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^m$ of dimension $m - k$. We extend a perfect cover for $\mathcal{V} \oplus 2\mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$ to perfect covers for $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^m \oplus 2\mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$ by denoting the cover for $\mathcal{V} \oplus 2\mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$ to be $\{(x_{k+2}, y_{k+2}), \dots, (x_{m+n}, y_{m+n})\}$, and selecting $k + 1$ additional elements (x_i, y_i) . The y_i may be arbitrary odd elements of \mathbb{Z}_{2^n} , so each can be selected in 2^{n-1} ways. The set $\{x_1 + x_2, \dots, x_1 + x_{k+1}\}$ must generate a k -dimensional vector space that intersects trivially with \mathcal{V} . We select the x 's as follows. First select independent vectors $u_1, \dots, u_k \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^m$, not in \mathcal{V} . The first can be selected in $2^m - 2^{m-k}$ ways, the next in $2^m - 2^{m-k+1}$, and so on, with u_k selected in $2^m - 2^{m-1}$ ways. Now let x_1 be arbitrary, and let $x_{i+1} = u_i - x_1$. Thus, as an ordered list, one may select s_1, \dots, s_{k+1} in

$$2^m(2^m - 2^{m-k}) \cdots (2^m - 2^{m-1}) 2^{(k+1)(n-1)}$$

ways. To convert from a list to a set, we divide this by $(k + 1)!$. Consequently, for every subspace \mathcal{V} of dimension $m - k$ we can construct

$$\frac{2^m(2^m - 2^{m-k}) \cdots (2^m - 2^{m-1})}{(k + 1)!} 2^{(k+1)(n-1)} a_{m-k,n-1} \quad (7)$$

perfect covers. Finally, we must count how many distinct subspaces \mathcal{V} of dimension $m - k$ there are. This is well known [17, Proposition 1.3.18] to be

$$\frac{(2^m - 1)(2^m - 2^1) \cdots (2^m - 2^{m-k-1})}{(2^{m-k} - 1)(2^{m-k} - 2^1) \cdots (2^{m-k} - 2^{m-k-1})}. \quad (8)$$

The product of the expressions in (7) and (8) is

$$B_{m,k} 2^{k(m-k)} 2^{(k+1)(n-1)} a_{m-k,n-1},$$

and the proof follows. \square

Proof of formula (5) in Theorem 4. Again, we may scale away some powers of 2 in the recurrence. Letting $b_{m,n} = 2^{m(n-1)} 2^{n(n-1)/2} d_{m,n}$, the resulting recurrence is

$$d_{m,n} = d_{m,n-1} + \sum_{k=1}^m B_{m,k} 2^{(k-1)(m-k)} d_{m-k,n-1}.$$

For a fixed m , this recurrence implies the existence of a polynomial $q(x)$ of degree m for which $d_{m,n} = q(n)$. The leading term for $q(x)$ is $\frac{(2^m-1)(2^m-2^1)\cdots(2^m-2^{m-1})}{m!} x^m$. \square

5 Comments

The number of perfect covers for \mathbb{Z}_{2^m} gives OEIS sequence [A006125](#) and the number of perfect covers for $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^m}$ is related to [A123903](#). These OEIS sequences have an aspect in common: the first is the number of tournaments on n labeled nodes, and the second is the number of tournaments where one player beats every other player. We have not investigated this possible link to perfect covers, though we suspect it to be a coincidence.

We do not have general formulas for $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ in Theorem 3 and Theorem 4. The cases where their degrees are small are straightforward.

Corollary 25. *For groups of rank 2, we have the counts*

$$C(\mathbb{Z}_{2^n} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2) = 2^{n-1} 2^{n(n-1)/2} (n+2), \quad (9)$$

$$C(\mathbb{Z}_{2^n} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4) = 2^{2n-2} 2^{n(n-1)/2} (n^2 + 11n + 4), \quad (10)$$

$$C(\mathbb{Z}_{2^n} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_8) = 2^{3n-3} 2^{n(n-1)/2} \frac{4n^3 + 108n^2 + 512n - 384}{3}. \quad (11)$$

The first formula holds for all $n \geq 0$, and is a scaled, translated version of a sequence in OEIS. Specifically, if $a_n = 2^{n-1} 2^{n(n-1)/2} (n+2)$ then $2a_{n-2}$ gives sequence [A123903](#). The second formula in Corollary 25 is valid for $n \geq 1$ and the third is valid for $n \geq 2$.

Corollary 26. For groups covered by Theorem 4, we have special cases

$$C(\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}) = 2^{2n-2} 2^{n(n-1)/2} (3n^2 + 13n + 12), \quad (12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} C(\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}) \\ = 2^{3n-3} 2^{n(n-1)/2} (28n^3 + 196n^2 + 392n + 224), \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} C((\mathbb{Z}_2)^4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}) &= 2^{4n-4} 2^{n(n-1)/2} \times \\ &(840n^4 + 8400n^3 + 27160n^2 + 22488n + 13440), \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

each holding for all $n \geq 0$.

We are currently far short of a count for the number of perfect covers for the general abelian group of order 2^n . We do not have a count for the general rank 3 case, $C(\mathbb{Z}_{2^m} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^k} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^{n-m-k}})$, though we conjecture a recurrence for this case.

Conjecture 27. If $a_{m,n,k} = C(\mathbb{Z}_{2^m} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^n} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^k})$, then when $m \geq n \geq k$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{m,n,k} &= 2^{m+n+k-1} (a_{m-1,n,k} + 2a_{m,n-1,k} + 4a_{m,n,k-1}) \\ &\quad - 3 \cdot 4^{m+n+k-2} (a_{m-1,n-1,k} + 2a_{m-1,n,k-1} + 4a_{m,n-1,k-1}) \\ &\quad + 28 \cdot 8^{m+n+k-3} a_{m-1,n-1,k-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

The initial conditions for this recurrence are that $a_{m,n,0} = C(\mathbb{Z}_{2^m} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^n})$, as given in Theorem 3, and that $a_{m,n,k}$ is symmetric in m, n, k . This recurrence agrees with the count in Formula (12), where $n = k = 1$. The recurrence in conjecture 27 also agrees with the number of perfect covers calculated for $\mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$, $\mathbb{Z}_8 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$, $\mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{16} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Conjecture 27 would follow from the rank 3 case of the following conjecture.

Conjecture 28. If G is an abelian group of order 2^n , then every perfect cover for G contains an element of order 2.

We have calculated the number of perfect covers for every group of order 2^n with $n \leq 7$, as listed in the following tables.

Group	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}_4	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$	\mathbb{Z}_8	$\mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$	$(\mathbb{Z}_2)^3$
Covers	1	2	3	8	16	28

Table 1: Cover counts for groups of size 8 or less.

Group	\mathbb{Z}_{16}	$\mathbb{Z}_8 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4$	$\mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$	$(\mathbb{Z}_2)^4$
Covers	64	160	240	400	840

Table 2: Cover counts for groups of order 16.

Group	\mathbb{Z}_{32}	$\mathbb{Z}_{16} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_8 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4$	$\mathbb{Z}_8 \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_2)^2$
Covers	1,024	3,072	5,888	9,984
Group	$\mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3$	$(\mathbb{Z}_2)^5$	
Covers	16,128	32,256	83,328	

Table 3: Cover counts for groups of order 32.

Group	\mathbb{Z}_{64}	$\mathbb{Z}_{32} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_{16} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4$	$\mathbb{Z}_{16} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_2)^2$
Covers	32,768	114,688	262,144	458,752
Group	$\mathbb{Z}_8 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_8$	$\mathbb{Z}_8 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_8 \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3$	$(\mathbb{Z}_4)^3$
Covers	132,096	970,752	2,007,040	1,863,680
Group	$(\mathbb{Z}_4)^2 \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_2)^2$	$\mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_2)^4$	$(\mathbb{Z}_2)^6$	
Covers	3,477,504	8,630,272	27,998,208	

Table 4: Cover counts for groups of order 64.

Group	\mathbb{Z}_{128}	$\mathbb{Z}_{64} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_{32} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4$	$\mathbb{Z}_{32} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_2)^2$
Covers	2,097,152	8,388,608	22,020,096	39,845,888
Group	$\mathbb{Z}_{16} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_8$	$\mathbb{Z}_{16} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_{16} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3$	$\mathbb{Z}_8 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_8 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$
Covers	39,845,888	101,711,872	220,200,960	153,354,240
Group	$\mathbb{Z}_8 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4$	$\mathbb{Z}_8 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_2)^2$	$\mathbb{Z}_8 \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_2)^4$	$(\mathbb{Z}_4)^3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$
Covers	266,600,448	510,656,512	1,337,720,832	1,024,327,680
Group	$(\mathbb{Z}_4)^2 \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3$	$\mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_2)^5$	$(\mathbb{Z}_2)^7$	
Covers	2,513,960,960	7,850,164,224	32,509,919,232	

Table 5: Cover counts for groups of order 128.

Theorem 1 provides a recursive scheme for finding a perfect cover for a group G of order 2^n . One selects any nonzero element x of G and builds a cover from perfect covers for $\langle x \rangle$ and for $H = G/\langle x \rangle$. Given that a perfect cover for H might not be obvious, one iterates the procedure. We have not attempted to build an algorithm from this approach.

Theorem 15 and Lemma 16 suggest an alternate scheme for constructing perfect covers:

- (1) Select an element $x_1 \neq 0$ from G , let $S_1 = \{x_1\}$, and set $j = 1$.
- (2) If $j = n$, stop, S_n is a perfect cover for G . Otherwise, given a set S_j , select $x_{j+1} \notin \mathcal{T}(S_j)$.
- (3) Let $S_{j+1} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_j, x_{j+1}\}$ and go to step (2).

This approach seems to produce a perfect cover most of the time. It is guaranteed to produce a perfect cover if step (2) can execute $n - 1$ times, giving $j = n$. However, this approach can fail, as shown by the set

$$S_7 = \{(0, 0, 0, 1), (0, 0, 1, 0), (0, 1, 0, 0), (1, 0, 0, 0), (1, 2, 3, 1), (1, 1, 2, 3), (1, 3, 1, 2)\},$$

a subset of $G = (\mathbb{Z}_4)^4$. Here, $\mathcal{T}(S_7) = G$ but $n = 8$ so the subset sums only cover half of G . Thus, $\mathcal{T}(S_j)$ can fill the group G before $j = n$. We think that a group must have rank 4 before this approach can fail. It would be interesting to find a modification of this approach that is guaranteed to produce perfect covers.

We have extensive numerical evidence for Conjecture 27, and Conjecture 28. In particular, Conjecture 27 holds for every relevant group of order up to 128. Moreover, consistent with Conjecture 28, all perfect covers for groups of order up to 128 contain elements of order 2. It would be nice to see proofs for these conjectures.

References

- [1] A. Agarwal, Rogers-Ramanujan identities for n -color partitions, *J. Number Theory* **28** (1988), 299–305.
- [2] W. Alford, A. Granville, and C. Pomerance, There are infinitely many Carmichael numbers, *Ann. of Math.* **140** (1994), 703–722.
- [3] G. Andrews, A survey of multipartitions: Congruences and identities, *Amer. J. Math.* **5** (1982), 251–330.
- [4] B. Bajnok, C. Berson, and H. A. Just, On perfect bases in finite abelian groups, *Involve* **15** (2022), 525–536.
- [5] R. C. Baker and W. M. Schmidt, Diophantine problems in variables restricted to the values 0 and 1, *J. Number Theory* **12** (1980), 460–486.

- [6] P. Erdős, A. Ginzburg, and A. Ziv, A theorem in additive number theory, *Bull. Res. Council Israel* **10** (1961), 41–43.
- [7] W. Gao, On Davenport’s constant of finite abelian groups with rank three, *Discrete Math.* **222** (2000), 111–124.
- [8] W. Gao, M. Huang, W. Hui, Y. Li, C. Liu, and J. Peng, Sums of sets of abelian group elements, *J. Number Theory* **208** (2020), 208–229.
- [9] W. Gao and A. Geroldinger, Zero-sum problems in finite abelian groups: A survey, *Expo. Math.* **24** (2006), 337–369.
- [10] W. Gao and A. Geroldinger, On the number of subsequences with given sum of sequences over finite abelian p -groups. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **37** (2007), 1541–1550.
- [11] A. Geroldinger, Sets of lengths, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **123** (2016), 960–988.
- [12] V. F. Lev, A nonlinear bound for the number of subsequence sums, *European J. Combin.* **118** (2024), 103907.
- [13] OEIS Foundation Inc., The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, 2025. Published electronically at <https://oeis.org>.
- [14] J. Olson, An addition theorem mod p , *J. Combin. Theory* **5** (1968), 45–52.
- [15] J. Olson, A combinatorial problem on finite Abelian groups, I, *J. Number Theory* **1** (1969), 8–10.
- [16] J. Olson, A combinatorial problem on finite Abelian groups, II, *J. Number Theory* **1** (1969), 195–199.
- [17] R. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics, Volume 1*, Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole, 1986.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11P70; Secondary 11B13, 05A99.

Keywords: subset sum, finite abelian group.

(Concerned with sequences [A006125](#), [A053601](#), and [A123903](#).)

Received December 23 2024; revised versions received December 31 2024; February 11 2025; December 5 2025; December 8 2025. Published in *Journal of Integer Sequences*, December 10 2025.

Return to [Journal of Integer Sequences home page](#).