



# Common Values of Generalized Fibonacci and Leonardo Sequences

Bibhu Prasad Tripathy and Bijan Kumar Patel

Department of Mathematics  
School of Applied Sciences  
KIIT University Bhubaneswar  
Odisha 751024  
India

[bptbibhu@gmail.com](mailto:bptbibhu@gmail.com)

[bijan.patelfma@kiit.ac.in](mailto:bijan.patelfma@kiit.ac.in)

## Abstract

For an integer  $k \geq 2$ , let  $F_n^{(k)}$  be the  $k$ -generalized Fibonacci sequence that starts with  $0, \dots, 0, 1, 1$  ( $k$  terms) and each term afterwards is the sum of  $k$  preceding terms. In this paper, we find all the  $k$ -generalized Fibonacci numbers that are Leonardo numbers. More explicitly, we solve the Diophantine equation  $F_n^{(k)} = \text{Le}_m$  in positive integers  $n, k, m$  with  $k \geq 2$ .

## 1 Introduction

The Fibonacci and Lucas sequence are two fascinating topics in integer sequences. The Leonardo sequence  $(\text{Le}_m)_{m \geq 0}$  is an integer sequence that is related to the Fibonacci and Lucas sequences. Leonardo numbers are discussed by Catarino and Borges [9]. It is the sequence [A001595](#) in the OEIS satisfying the recurrence relation

$$\text{Le}_m = \text{Le}_{m-1} + \text{Le}_{m-2} + 1 \tag{1}$$

for  $m \geq 2$  with the initial terms  $\text{Le}_0 = 1$  and  $\text{Le}_1 = 1$ . The first few terms of  $(\text{Le}_m)_{m \geq 0}$  are

1, 1, 3, 5, 9, 15, 25, 41, 67, 109, 177, 287, 465, 753, 1219, 1973, . . .

In the recent past, many aspects of Leonardo sequence have been studied such as hybrid Leonardo numbers [1], incomplete Leonardo numbers [10], Leonardo Pisano polynomials, hybridomials [14] and  $q$ -Leonardo hybrid numbers [16].

The Fibonacci sequence  $(F_n)_{n \geq 0}$  is the binary recurrence sequence given by

$$F_{n+2} = F_{n+1} + F_n \text{ for } n \geq 0$$

with the initial terms  $F_0 = 0$  and  $F_1 = 1$ .

Let  $k \geq 2$  be an integer. One of numerous generalizations of the Fibonacci sequence, called the  $k$ -generalized Fibonacci sequence  $(F_n^{(k)})_{n \geq -(k-2)}$  is given by the recurrence

$$F_n^{(k)} = F_{n-1}^{(k)} + F_{n-2}^{(k)} + \cdots + F_{n-k}^{(k)} = \sum_{i=1}^k F_{n-i}^{(k)} \text{ for all } n \geq 2, \quad (2)$$

with the initial conditions  $F_{-(k-2)}^{(k)} = F_{-(k-3)}^{(k)} = \cdots = F_0^{(k)} = 0$  and  $F_1^{(k)} = 1$ . Here,  $F_n^{(k)}$  denotes the  $n$ th  $k$ -generalized Fibonacci number.

Note that for  $k = 2$ , we have  $F_n^{(2)} = F_n$ , the  $n$ th Fibonacci number. For  $k = 3$ , we have  $F_n^{(3)} = T_n$ , the  $n$ th Tribonacci number. They are followed by the Tetranacci numbers for  $k = 4$ , and so on.

A Leonardo number is called  $k$ -Fibonacci Leonardo number if it is a  $k$ -generalized Fibonacci number. The aim of this paper is to determine all the  $k$ -Fibonacci Leonardo numbers.

Finding the intersection of two recurrent sequences of positive integers is a topic that has been extensively studied in number theory. Currently, several researchers have been interested in finding the intersection of the  $k$ -generalized Fibonacci sequence with other number sequences. For instance, one can go through [4, 5, 6, 13, 17, 18].

Motivated by the above literature, we study the Diophantine equation

$$F_n^{(k)} = \text{Le}_m. \quad (3)$$

In particular, our main result is the following.

**Theorem 1.** *All the solutions of the Diophantine equation (3) in positive integers with  $k \geq 2$  are given by*

$$(n, k, m) \in \{(1, k, 0), (2, k, 0), (1, k, 1), (2, k, 1), (4, 2, 2), (5, 2, 3), (6, 4, 5)\}.$$

*Thus, the only  $k$ -Fibonacci Leonardo numbers are 1, 3, 5, and 15.*

## 2 Auxiliary results

Our proof of Theorem 1 is mainly based on linear forms in logarithms of algebraic numbers and a reduction algorithm originally introduced by Baker and Davenport [3] (and improved by Dujella and Pethö [12]). Here, we use a variant due to de Weger [19], but first, recall some basic notation from algebraic number theory.

## 2.1 Linear forms in logarithms

Let  $\gamma$  be an algebraic number of degree  $d$  with minimal primitive polynomial

$$f(X) := a_0X^d + a_1X^{d-1} + \cdots + a_d = a_0 \prod_{i=1}^d (X - \gamma^{(i)}) \in \mathbb{Z}[X],$$

where the  $a_i$  are relatively prime integers,  $a_0 > 0$ , and the  $\gamma^{(i)}$  are conjugates of  $\gamma$ . Then

$$h(\gamma) = \frac{1}{d} \left( \log a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^d \log(\max\{|\gamma^{(i)}|, 1\}) \right) \quad (4)$$

is called the *logarithmic height* of  $\gamma$ .

With the established notation, Matveev (see [15] or [8, Theorem 9.4]) proved the following result.

**Theorem 2.** *Assume that  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_t$  are positive real algebraic numbers in a real algebraic number field  $\mathbb{K}$  of degree  $D$ ,  $b_1, \dots, b_t$  are rational integers, and*

$$\Lambda := \eta_1^{b_1} \cdots \eta_t^{b_t} - 1,$$

*is not zero. Then*

$$|\Lambda| \geq \exp(-1.4 \cdot 30^{t+3} \cdot t^{4.5} \cdot D^2(1 + \log D)(1 + \log B)A_1 \cdots A_t),$$

where

$$B \geq \max\{|b_1|, \dots, |b_t|\},$$

and

$$A_i \geq \max\{Dh(\eta_i), |\log \eta_i|, 0.16\}, \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, t.$$

## 2.2 The de Weger reduction algorithm

Here we present a variant of the reduction method of Baker and Davenport [3] (and improved by Dujella and Pethö [12]) due to de Weger [19].

Let  $\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$  be given and let  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  be unknowns. Let

$$\Lambda = \delta + x_1\vartheta_1 + x_2\vartheta_2. \quad (5)$$

Set  $X = \max\{|x_1|, |x_2|\}$ . Let  $X_0, Y$  be positive. Assume that

$$|\Lambda| < c \exp(-\rho Y) \quad (6)$$

and

$$Y \leq X \leq X_0, \quad (7)$$

where  $c, \rho$  be positive constants. When  $\delta = 0$  in (5), we get

$$\Lambda = x_1\vartheta_1 + x_2\vartheta_2.$$

Put  $\vartheta = -\vartheta_1/\vartheta_2$ . We assume that  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are coprime. Let the continued fraction expansion of  $\vartheta$  be given by

$$[a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots],$$

and let the  $k$ th convergent of  $\vartheta$  be  $p_k/q_k$  for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . We may assume without loss of generality that  $|\vartheta_1| < |\vartheta_2|$  and  $x_1 > 0$ . We have the following results.

**Lemma 3.** [19, Lemma 3.1] *If (6) and (7) hold for  $x_1, x_2$  with  $X \geq 1$  and  $\delta = 0$ , then  $(-x_2, x_1) = (p_k, q_k)$  for an index  $k$  that satisfies*

$$k \leq -1 + \frac{\log(1 + X_0\sqrt{5})}{\log\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)} := Y_0.$$

**Lemma 4.** [19, Lemma 3.2] *Let*

$$A = \max_{0 \leq k \leq Y_0} a_{k+1}.$$

*If (6) and (7) hold for  $x_1, x_2$  with  $X \geq 1$  and  $\delta = 0$ , then*

$$Y < \frac{1}{\rho} \log\left(\frac{c(A+2)}{|\vartheta_2|}\right) + \frac{1}{\rho} \log X < \frac{1}{\rho} \log\left(\frac{c(A+2)X_0}{|\vartheta_2|}\right).$$

*When  $\delta \neq 0$  in (5), put  $\vartheta = -\vartheta_1/\vartheta_2$  and  $\psi = \delta/\vartheta_2$ . Then we have*

$$\frac{\Lambda}{\vartheta_2} = \psi - x_1\vartheta + x_2.$$

Let  $p/q$  be a convergent of  $\vartheta$  with  $q > X_0$ . For a real number  $x$ , we let  $\|x\| = \min\{|x-n| : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  be the distance from  $x$  to the nearest integer. We have the following result.

**Lemma 5.** [19, Lemma 3.3] *Suppose that*

$$\|q\psi\| > \frac{2X_0}{q}.$$

*Then the solutions of (6) and (7) satisfy*

$$Y < \frac{1}{\rho} \log\left(\frac{q^2 c}{|\vartheta_2| X_0}\right).$$

## 2.3 Properties of the Leonardo sequence

The characteristic equation of  $(\text{Le}_m)_{m \geq 0}$  is  $x^3 - 2x^2 - 1 = 0$ , which has roots  $\alpha = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$  and  $\beta = \frac{-1}{\alpha}$  (see [2]). The Binet formula for  $\text{Le}_m$  is

$$\text{Le}_m = 2 \left( \frac{\alpha^{m+1} - \beta^{m+1}}{\alpha - \beta} \right) - 1 = \frac{\alpha(2\alpha^m - 1) - \beta(2\beta^m - 1)}{\alpha - \beta} \quad \text{for all } m \geq 0. \quad (8)$$

**Lemma 6.** *The inequality*

$$\alpha^m \leq \text{Le}_m \leq \alpha^{m+1}, \quad (9)$$

*holds for all positive integers  $m \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* This can be easily proved by the method of induction on  $m$ . □

**Lemma 7.** [9, Lemma 2.1] *For all  $m \geq 0$ , the  $m$ -th Leonardo number  $\text{Le}_m$  is an odd number.*

## 2.4 Properties of the $k$ -generalized Fibonacci sequence

In this subsection, we recall some facts and properties of the  $k$ -generalized Fibonacci sequence which will be used later. The characteristic polynomial of the  $k$ -generalized Fibonacci sequence is

$$\Psi_k(x) = x^k - x^{k-1} - \dots - x - 1.$$

The polynomial  $\Psi_k(x)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$  and has just one root outside the unit circle. It is real and positive, so it satisfies  $\varphi(k) > 1$ . The other roots are strictly inside the unit circle. Throughout this paper,  $\varphi := \varphi(k)$  denotes that single root, which is located between  $2(1 - 2^{-k})$  and 2 (see [20]). To simplify the notation, in general, we omit the dependence of  $k$  on  $\varphi$ .

Dresden and Du [11] gave the following simplified Binet-like formula for  $F_n^{(k)}$ :

$$F_n^{(k)} = \sum_{i=1}^k f_k(\varphi_i) \varphi_i^{n-1} = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\varphi_i - 1}{2 + (k+1)(\varphi_i - 2)} \varphi_i^{n-1}, \quad (10)$$

where  $\varphi := \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_k$  are the roots of the characteristic polynomial  $\Psi_k(x)$ . It was also proved in [11] that the contribution of the roots that lie inside the unit circle to the formula (10) is very small, namely that the approximation

$$|F_n^{(k)} - f_k(\varphi) \varphi^{n-1}| < \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{holds for all } n \geq 2 - k. \quad (11)$$

Furthermore, it was shown by Bravo and Luca in [7] that the inequality

$$\varphi^{n-2} \leq F_n^{(k)} \leq \varphi^{n-1} \quad \text{holds for all } n \geq 1 \text{ and } k \geq 2. \quad (12)$$

The first direct observation is that the first  $k + 1$  non-zero terms in  $F_n^{(k)}$  are powers of 2, namely

$$F_1^{(k)} = 1, F_2^{(k)} = 1, F_3^{(k)} = 2, F_4^{(k)} = 4, \dots, F_{k+1}^{(k)} = 2^{k-1},$$

while the next term in the above sequence is  $F_{k+2}^{(k)} = 2^k - 1$ . Thus, we have that

$$F_n^{(k)} = 2^{n-2} \text{ holds for all } 2 \leq n \leq k + 1.$$

We also observe that the recursion (2) implies the three-term recursion

$$F_n^{(k)} = 2F_{n-1}^{(k)} - F_{n-k-1}^{(k)} \text{ for all } n \geq 3,$$

which shows that the  $k$ -generalized Fibonacci sequence grows at a rate less than  $2^{n-2}$ . In fact, the inequality  $F_n^{(k)} < 2^{n-2}$  holds for all  $n \geq k + 2$  (see [7, Lemma 2]).

The following result was proved by Bravo and Luca [7].

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $k \geq 2$ ,  $\varphi$  be the dominant root of  $(F_n^{(k)})_{n \geq -(k-2)}$ , and consider the function defined in (10). Then the inequalities*

$$\frac{1}{2} < f_k(\varphi) < \frac{3}{4} \text{ and } |f_k(\varphi^{(i)})| < 1 \text{ hold for all } 2 \leq i \leq k.$$

In addition, they proved that the logarithmic height of  $f$  satisfies

$$h(f_k(\varphi)) < \log(k + 1) + \log 4 \text{ for all } k \geq 2. \quad (13)$$

We finish this subsection with the following estimate due to Bravo, Gómez, and Luca [5], which will be used later.

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $k \geq 2$  and suppose that  $n < 2^{k/2}$ . Then*

$$F_n^{(k)} = 2^{n-2}(1 + \xi) \text{ where } |\xi| < \frac{1}{2^{k/2}}.$$

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1

*Proof.* First, note that  $F_1^{(k)} = F_2^{(k)} = 1 = \text{Le}_0 = \text{Le}_1$ . Therefore, we may assume that  $n \geq 3$ . For  $3 \leq n \leq k + 1$ , we have that  $F_n^{(k)} = 2^{n-2}$ , but  $\text{Le}_m$  is an odd number for  $m \geq 0$ . Thus, there is no solution of (3) in this range. From now, we assume that  $n \geq k + 2$  and  $k \geq 2$ .

Combining the inequalities (9) and (12) together with equation (3), we have

$$\varphi^{n-2} \leq \alpha^{m+1} \text{ and } \alpha^m \leq \varphi^{n-1}.$$

Then, we deduce that

$$(n - 2) \left( \frac{\log \varphi}{\log \alpha} \right) - 1 \leq m \leq (n - 1) \left( \frac{\log \varphi}{\log \alpha} \right).$$

Using the fact  $2(1 - 2^{-k}) < \varphi(k) < 2$  for all  $k \geq 2$ , it follows that

$$0.8n - 2.6 < m < 1.5n - 1.5. \quad (14)$$

### 3.1 An inequality for $n$ and $m$ in terms of $k$

By using (3), (8), (11) and taking absolute value, we obtain

$$\left| f_k(\varphi)\varphi^{n-1} - \frac{2\alpha^{m+1}}{\sqrt{5}} \right| < \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2|\beta|^{m+1}}{\sqrt{5}} + \frac{|-\alpha|}{\sqrt{5}} + \frac{|\beta|}{\sqrt{5}}. \quad (15)$$

Dividing both sides of the above inequality by  $\frac{2\alpha^{m+1}}{\sqrt{5}}$ , we conclude that

$$\left| \left( \frac{\sqrt{5}f_k(\varphi)}{2} \right) \varphi^{n-1}\alpha^{-(m+1)} - 1 \right| < 4\alpha^{-m}. \quad (16)$$

Let

$$\Lambda_1 := \left( \frac{\sqrt{5}f_k(\varphi)}{2} \right) \varphi^{n-1}\alpha^{-(m+1)} - 1, \quad (17)$$

and inequality (16) becomes

$$|\Lambda_1| < 4\alpha^{-m}. \quad (18)$$

Before applying Theorem 2, we need to prove that  $\Lambda_1 \neq 0$ . Assume that  $\Lambda_1 = 0$ , then we get

$$f_k(\varphi) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}\varphi^{-(n-1)}\alpha^{(m+1)},$$

and so  $f_k(\varphi)$  is an algebraic integer, which is impossible. Thus,  $\Lambda_1 \neq 0$ . Therefore, we apply Theorem 2 to get a lower bound for  $\Lambda_1$  given by (17) with the parameters:

$$\eta_1 := \frac{\sqrt{5}f_k(\varphi)}{2}, \quad \eta_2 := \varphi, \quad \eta_3 := \alpha,$$

and

$$b_1 := 1, \quad b_2 := n - 1, \quad b_3 := -(m + 1).$$

Note that  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3$  are positive real numbers and belong to the field  $\mathbb{K} := \mathbb{Q}(\varphi, \sqrt{5})$ . So we can take  $D := [\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{Q}] \leq 2k$ . Since  $h(\eta_2) = (\log \varphi)/k < (\log 2)/k$  and  $h(\eta_3) = (\log \alpha)/2$ , we choose

$$\max\{2kh(\eta_2), |\log \eta_2|, 0.16\} = 2 \log 2 := A_2$$

and

$$\max\{2kh(\eta_3), |\log \eta_3|, 0.16\} = k \log \alpha := A_3.$$

By using the estimate (13) and the properties of logarithmic height, we get that for all  $k \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned} h(\eta_1) &\leq h(f_k(\varphi)) + h\left(\frac{\sqrt{5}}{2}\right) \\ &< \log(k+1) + \log 4 + \log(2\sqrt{5}) \\ &< 5.8 \log k. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\max\{2kh(\eta_1), |\log \eta_1|, 0.16\} = 11.6k \log k := A_1.$$

In addition, by (14) we take  $B := 1.5n$ . Then by Theorem 2, we have

$$|\Lambda_1| > \exp(-1.432 \times 10^{11}(2k)^2(1 + \log 2k)(1 + \log 1.5n)(11.6k \log k)(2 \log 2)(k \log \alpha)). \quad (19)$$

Comparing (18) and (19), taking logarithms and then performing the respective calculations, we get that

$$m \log \alpha - \log 4 < 4.43 \times 10^{12} k^4 \log k (1 + \log 2k)(1 + \log 1.5n).$$

Taking into consideration the facts  $1 + \log 2k < 3.5 \log k$  for all  $k \geq 2$  and  $1 + \log 1.5n < 2.1 \log n$  for all  $n \geq 4$ , we conclude that

$$m < 6.77 \times 10^{13} k^4 \log^2 k \log n.$$

Using (14), the last inequality becomes

$$\frac{n}{\log n} < 8.47 \times 10^{13} k^4 \log^2 k. \quad (20)$$

Since the function  $x \mapsto x/\log x$  is increasing for all  $x > e$ , it is easy to check that

$$\frac{x}{\log x} < S \implies x < 2S \log S \quad \text{whenever } S \geq 3. \quad (21)$$

Then, taking  $x := n$  and  $S := 8.47 \times 10^{13} k^4 \log^2 k$ , inequality (21) together with  $32.07 + 4 \log k + 2 \log \log k < 49.3 \log k$  for all  $k \geq 2$ , yields

$$\begin{aligned} n &< 2 (8.47 \times 10^{13} k^4 \log^2 k) \log (8.47 \times 10^{13} k^4 \log^2 k) \\ &< (1.69 \times 10^{14} k^4 \log^2 k) (32.07 + 4 \log k + 2 \log \log k) \\ &< 8.35 \times 10^{15} k^4 \log^3 k. \end{aligned}$$

By combining the above results, we obtain the following lemma.

**Lemma 10.** *If  $(n, k, m)$  is a solution in integers of equation (3) with  $k \geq 2$  and  $n \geq k + 2$ , then the inequalities*

$$0.6m < n < 8.35 \times 10^{15} k^4 \log^3 k \quad (22)$$

*hold.*



### 3.2 The case of small $k$

Suppose now that  $k \in [2, 230]$ . In order to apply Lemma 5, we let

$$\Gamma_1 := (n-1) \log \varphi - (m+1) \log \alpha + \log \left( \frac{\sqrt{5} f_k(\varphi)}{2} \right). \quad (23)$$

Then  $e^{\Gamma_1} - 1 := \Lambda_1$ , where  $\Lambda_1$  is defined by (17). Therefore, (18) can be written as

$$|e^{\Gamma_1} - 1| < 4\alpha^{-m}. \quad (24)$$

Note that  $\Gamma_1 \neq 0$ . Since  $\Lambda_1 \neq 0$ , we distinguish the following cases. If  $\Gamma_1 > 0$ , then  $e^{\Gamma_1} - 1 > 0$ . Using the fact  $x \leq e^x - 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and the inequality (24), we obtain

$$0 < \Gamma_1 < 4\alpha^{-m}.$$

If, on the contrary,  $\Gamma_1 < 0$ , then  $4\alpha^{-m} < 1/2$  holds for all  $m \geq 5$ . Thus, from (24), we have  $|e^{\Gamma_1} - 1| < 1/2$  and therefore  $e^{|\Gamma_1|} < 2$ . Since  $\Gamma_1 < 0$ , we have

$$0 < |\Gamma_1| \leq e^{|\Gamma_1|} - 1 = e^{|\Gamma_1|} |e^{\Gamma_1} - 1| < 8\alpha^{-m}.$$

In both cases, we have

$$0 < |\Gamma_1| < 8\alpha^{-m} < 8 \exp(-0.48 \times m). \quad (25)$$

Let

$$c := 8, \quad \rho := 0.48, \quad \psi := \frac{\log \left( \frac{\sqrt{5} f_k(\varphi)}{2} \right)}{\log \varphi},$$

and

$$\vartheta := \frac{\log \alpha}{\log \varphi}, \quad \vartheta_1 := -\log \alpha, \quad \vartheta_2 := \log \varphi, \quad \delta := \log \left( \frac{\sqrt{5} f_k(\varphi)}{2} \right).$$

For each  $k \in [2, 230]$ , we find a good approximation of  $\varphi$  and a convergent  $p_l/q_l$  of the continued fraction of  $\vartheta$  such that  $q_l > X_0$ , where  $X_0 = \lfloor 8.35 \times 10^{15} k^4 \log^3 k \rfloor$ , which is an upper bound of  $\max\{n-1, m\}$  from Lemma 10. After doing this, we use Lemma 5 on inequality (25). A computer search with *Mathematica* revealed that if  $k \in [2, 230]$ , then the maximum value of  $\left\lfloor \frac{1}{\rho} \log(q^2 c / |\vartheta_2| X_0) \right\rfloor$  is 318, which is an upper bound on  $m$  according to Lemma 5. Hence, we deduce that the possible solutions  $(n, m, k)$  of the equation (3) for which  $k \in [2, 230]$  have  $m \leq 318$ , therefore we use inequalities (14) to obtain  $n \leq 401$ .

Finally, we used *Mathematica* to compare  $F_n^{(k)}$  and  $\text{Le}_m$  for the range  $4 \leq n \leq 401$  and  $2 \leq m \leq 318$ , with  $m < n/0.6$  and checked that the solutions of equation (3) are

$$F_4^{(2)} = 3 = \text{Le}_2, \quad F_5^{(2)} = 5 = \text{Le}_3, \quad \text{and} \quad F_6^{(4)} = 15 = \text{Le}_5.$$

### 3.3 The case of large $k$

From now on, we assume that  $k > 230$ . Here, it follows from Lemma 10 that

$$0.6m < n < 8.35 \times 10^{15} k^4 \log^3 k < 2^{k/2}.$$

Using (8) and Lemma 9, we can write (3) as

$$2^{n-2} - \frac{2\alpha^{m+1}}{\sqrt{5}} = 2^{n-2}\xi - \frac{2\beta^{m+1}}{\sqrt{5}} - \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{5}}. \quad (26)$$

Taking absolute values on both sides of (26), we have that

$$\left| 2^{n-2} - \frac{2\alpha^{m+1}}{\sqrt{5}} \right| < \frac{2^{n-2}}{2^{k/2}} + \frac{5}{\sqrt{5}}.$$

Dividing both sides of the above inequality by  $2^{n-2}$  and taking into account that  $1/2^{n-2} < 1/2^{k/2}$  for  $n \geq k+2$ , we obtain

$$\left| 1 - 2^{-n} \frac{8}{\sqrt{5}} \alpha^{m+1} \right| < \frac{3.23}{2^{k/2}}. \quad (27)$$

Applying Theorem 2 for the left-hand side, we set

$$\Lambda_2 := 2^{-n} \frac{8}{\sqrt{5}} \alpha^{m+1} - 1.$$

Note that  $\Lambda_2 \neq 0$ . Indeed, if  $\Lambda_2 = 0$ , then  $\alpha^{2(m+1)}$  is a rational number, which is not possible for all positive integers  $m$ . Therefore  $\Lambda_2 \neq 0$ . We take  $t := 3$ ,

$$\eta_1 := 2, \quad \eta_2 := \frac{8}{\sqrt{5}}, \quad \eta_3 := \alpha,$$

and

$$b_1 := -n, \quad b_2 := 1, \quad b_3 := m+1.$$

Note that  $\mathbb{K} := \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  contains  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3$  and has  $D := 2$ . Since  $m < 1.5n$ , we deduce that  $B := \max\{|b_1|, |b_2|, |b_3|\} = 1.5n$ . The logarithmic heights for  $\eta_1, \eta_2$ , and  $\eta_3$  are calculated as follows:

$$h(\eta_1) = \log 2, \quad h(\eta_2) = \log(8\sqrt{5}) \quad \text{and} \quad h(\eta_3) = \frac{\log \alpha}{2}.$$

Thus, we can take

$$A_1 := 2 \log 2, \quad A_2 := 5.8 \quad \text{and} \quad A_3 := \log \alpha.$$

As before, by applying Theorem 2, we have

$$|\Lambda_2| > \exp(-8.27 \times 10^{12} \log n), \quad (28)$$

where  $1 + \log 1.5n < 2.1 \log n$  holds for all  $n \geq 4$ . Comparing (27) and (28), we obtain

$$k < 2.39 \times 10^{13} \log n.$$

By Lemma 10 and using the fact  $36.66 + 4 \log k + 3 \log \log k < 11.7 \log k$  for all  $k > 230$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} k &< 2.39 \times 10^{13} \log (8.35 \times 10^{15} k^4 \log^2 k) \\ &< (2.39 \times 10^{13})(36.66 + 4 \log k + 3 \log \log k) \\ &< 2.8 \times 10^{14} \log k. \end{aligned}$$

Solving the above inequality by using the relation (21) gives

$$k < 1.87 \times 10^{16}.$$

Again from Lemma 10, we obtain

$$n < 5.38 \times 10^{85} \quad \text{and} \quad m < 8.97 \times 10^{85}. \quad (29)$$

Let

$$\Gamma_2 := (m + 1) \log \alpha - n \log 2 + \log \left( \frac{8}{\sqrt{5}} \right). \quad (30)$$

Using a similar method to show the inequality (25), one can see that

$$0 < |\Gamma_2| < \frac{6.46}{2^{k/2}} < 6.46 \times \exp(-0.34 \times k) \quad (31)$$

holds for all  $k > 230$ . The inequality (29) implies that we can take  $X_0 := 8.97 \times 10^{85}$ . Further, we choose

$$c := 6.46, \quad \rho := 0.34, \quad \psi := -\frac{\log \left( \frac{8}{\sqrt{5}} \right)}{\log 2},$$

and

$$\vartheta := \frac{\log \alpha}{\log 2}, \quad \vartheta_1 := \log \alpha, \quad \vartheta_2 := -\log 2, \quad \delta := \log \left( \frac{8}{\sqrt{5}} \right).$$

Using Lemma 3 with  $c := 6.46$ ,  $\rho := 0.34$  and  $X_0 := 8.97 \times 10^{85}$ , we get  $Y_0 := 411.954\dots$ . Let

$$[a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots] := [0, 1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3, 2, 4, 2, 1, 2, 11, 2, 1, 11, 1, 1, 134, 2, 2, 2, 1, 4, 1, 1, 3, 1, \dots]$$

be the continued fraction expansion of  $\log \alpha / \log 2$ . With the help of *Mathematica*, we find that

$$\max_{0 \leq k \leq Y_0} a_{k+1} = 880 := A.$$

Then by Lemma 4, we have

$$k < \frac{1}{0.34} \cdot \log \left( \frac{6.46 \cdot 882 \cdot 8.97 \cdot 10^{85}}{\log 2} \right) < 609.$$

With the above upper bound on  $k$  and by Lemma 10, we have

$$n < 3.1 \times 10^{29} \quad \text{and} \quad m < 5.2 \times 10^{29}. \quad (32)$$

We apply again Lemma 4 with  $X_0 := 5.2 \times 10^{29}$ . Hence by Lemma 3, we obtain  $Y_0 := 142.863\dots$  and  $A := 134$ . According to Lemma 4, it becomes

$$k < \frac{1}{0.34} \cdot \log \left( \frac{6.46 \cdot 136 \cdot 5.2 \cdot 10^{29}}{\log 2} \right) < 223,$$

which contradicts our assumption that  $k > 230$ . Hence, we have shown that there are no solutions  $(n, k, m)$  to equation (3) with  $k > 230$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.  $\square$

## 4 Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for their useful comments and suggestions that improved the exposition of the paper.

## References

- [1] Y. Alp and E. G. Kocer, Hybrid Leonardo numbers, *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **150** (2021), 111–128.
- [2] Y. Alp and E. G. Kocer, Some properties of Leonardo numbers, *Konuralp J. Math.* **9** (2021), 183–189.
- [3] A. Baker and H. Davenport, The equations  $3x^2 - 2 = y^2$  and  $8x^2 - 7 = z^2$ , *Q. J. Math.* **20** (1969), 129–137.
- [4] J. J. Bravo and C. A. Gómez, Mersenne  $k$ -Fibonacci numbers, *Glas. Mat.* **51** (2016), 307–319.
- [5] J. J. Bravo, C. A. Gómez, and J. L. Herrera, On the intersection of  $k$ -Fibonacci and Pell numbers, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **56** (2019), 535–547.
- [6] J. J. Bravo and J. L. Herrera, Fermat  $k$ -Fibonacci and  $k$ -Lucas numbers, *Math. Bohem.* **145** (2020), 19–32.
- [7] J. J. Bravo and F. Luca, Powers of two in generalized Fibonacci sequences, *Rev. Colomb. de Mat.* **46** (2012), 67–79.

- [8] Y. Bugeaud, M. Mignotte, and S. Siksek, Classical and modular approaches to exponential Diophantine equations. I. Fibonacci and Lucas perfect powers, *Ann. of Math.* **163** (2006), 969–1018.
- [9] P. M. Catarino and A. Borges, On Leonardo numbers, *Acta Math. Univ. Comen.* **89** (2019), 75–86.
- [10] P. Catarino and A. Borges, A note on incomplete Leonardo numbers, *Integers* **20** (2020), #A43.
- [11] G. P. Dresden and Z. Du, A simplified Binet formula for  $k$ -generalized Fibonacci numbers, *J. Integer Sequences* **17** (2014), [Article 14.4.7](#).
- [12] A. Dujella and A. Pethö, A generalization of a theorem of Baker and Davenport, *Q. J. Math.* **49** (1998), 291–306.
- [13] A. Gueye, S. E. Rihane, and A. Togbé, Coincidence between  $k$ -Fibonacci numbers and products of two Fermat numbers, *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc.* **53** (2022), 541–552.
- [14] F. Kürüz, A. Dağdeviren, and P. Catarino, On Leonardo Pisano hybridomials, *Mathematics* **9** (2021), 2923.
- [15] E. M. Matveev, An explicit lower bound for a homogeneous rational linear form in the logarithms of algebraic numbers II, *Izv. Math.* **64** (2000), 1217–1269.
- [16] H. Özimamoğlu, A new generalization of Leonardo hybrid numbers with  $q$ -integers, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* (2023), 1–10.
- [17] S. E. Rihane, On  $k$ -Fibonacci balancing and  $k$ -Fibonacci Lucas-balancing numbers, *Carpathian J. Math.* **13** (2021), 259–274.
- [18] S. E. Rihane and A. Togbé,  $k$ -Fibonacci numbers which are Padovan or Perrin numbers, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* (2022), 1–15.
- [19] B. M. M. de Weger, *Algorithms for Diophantine Equations*, Stichting Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam, 1989.
- [20] D. A. Wolfram, Solving generalized Fibonacci recurrences, *Fibonacci Quart.* **36** (1998), 129–145.

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11B39; Secondary 11J86, 11R52.

*Keywords*:  $k$ -generalized Fibonacci number, Leonardo number, linear form in logarithms, reduction method.

---

(Concerned with sequences [A000032](#), [A000045](#), and [A001595](#).)

---

Received January 31 2023; revised versions received February 8 2023; May 17 2023; May 26 2023. Published in *Journal of Integer Sequences*, June 12 2023.

---

Return to [Journal of Integer Sequences home page](#).