

Journal of Integer Sequences, Vol. 26 (2023), Article 23.8.6

On Inequalities Related to a Generalized Euler Totient Function and Lucas Sequences

Ashish Kumar Pandey and B. K. Sharma Department of Mathematics University of Allahabad Prayagraj 211002 India apandey.aumaths@gmail.com bksharma@allduniv.ac.in

Abstract

Let $\varphi(n)$ be the Euler totient function of n, defined as the number of positive integers less than or equal to n that are co-prime with n. In this paper, we consider the function φ_k , a generalization of φ , and establish some inequalities related to Lucas sequences of the first kind $(U_n)_{n\geq 1}$ with characteristic equation having real roots. As an application to these inequalities, we further establish inequalities related to Fibonacci, Pell, and balancing sequences.

1 Introduction

Mathematicians have long been interested in studying arithmetic functions and the associated problems in number theory. These problems include solving Diophantine equations, exploring generalizations, and investigating divisibility concerned with arithmetic functions (see [3, 4, 5, 9, 10, 15, 16] and references therein). The Euler totient function $\varphi(n)$ counts the number of positive integers less than or equal to n that are co-prime with n. Additionally, $\sigma_k(n)$ represents the sum of the k^{th} power of the positive divisors of n, where k is a non-negative integer. Also $\sigma_k(n)$ reduces to $\tau(n)$ for k = 0, where $\tau(n)$ denotes the number of positive divisors of n. These arithmetic functions are primarily utilized in the field of number theory. In recent times, numerous researchers have worked towards examining arithmetic functions connected to binary recurrence sequences like the Fibonacci sequence, Lucas sequence, Pell sequence, and balancing sequence (see [1, 5, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14]).

The study of inequalities related to arithmetic functions and binary recurrence sequences is one of the interesting problems, and many researchers have contributed in this direction. Before mentioning some recent results, we first define *Lucas sequence of the first kind*.

Let $r = \alpha + \beta$ and $s = -\alpha\beta$ be two non-zero co-prime integers with $\Delta = r^2 + 4s > 0$. Then α and β are roots of the quadratic equation $x^2 - rx - s = 0$. For any non-negative integer n, define

$$U_n \coloneqq U_n(r,s) = \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\alpha - \beta}$$

The sequence $(U_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is called a *Lucas sequence of the first kind* and quadratic equation $x^2 - rx - s = 0$ is the corresponding characteristic equation of $(U_n)_{n\geq 0}$. From the definition, it is clear that $U_0 = 0$, $U_1 = 1$ and that

$$U_{n+2} = rU_{n+1} + sU_n, \ n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

In 1997, Luca [7] showed that the Euler totient function for Lucas sequence of the first kind $(U_n)_{n\geq 1}$ satisfies the inequality $\varphi(|U_n|) \geq |U_{\varphi(n)}|$ for those sequences whose characteristic equation has real roots, and the inequality is not valid for the sequences with characteristic equation having complex roots. In [8], Luca proved that the n^{th} Fibonacci number satisfies $\sigma_k(F_n) \leq F_{\sigma_k(n)}$ and $\tau(F_n) \geq F_{\tau(n)}$ which are extended to the case of balancing numbers by Sahukar and Panda [17]. There are many generalizations and analogs of the Euler totient function [18]. For example, φ_k is defined as

$$\varphi_k(n) = \sum_{1 \le m < n, (m,n) = 1} m^k,$$

where $k \ge 0$ is an integer, and the Jordan totient function J_k is defined as

$$J_k(n) = n^k \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^k}\right),$$

where k is a positive integer and p is a prime number (see [18, 2]). Clearly, we have $\varphi_0(n) = \varphi(n) = J_1(n)$.

In 2019, Jaidee and Pongsriiam [6] showed that for every natural number n, the n^{th} Fibonacci number satisfies $\varphi_k(F_n) \leq F_{\varphi_k(n)}$ except for (n, k) = (6, 1) for which the inequality is reversed, and $J_k(F_n) \leq F_{J_k(n)}$ for all $n \geq 1$. They also established similar results for Lucas sequence in the same article. Motivated by the work of [6] and [7], we establish inequalities related with φ_k and Lucas sequences of the first kind $(U_n)_{n\geq 1}$ with characteristic equation having real roots. As an application, we also establish inequalities related to Fibonacci, Pell, and balancing sequences. More precisely, we prove the following result:

Theorem 1. Let n and k be positive integers, φ_k be the generalized Euler totient function, and $(U_n)_{n\geq 1}$ be Lucas sequence of the first kind with α, β as non-zero real roots of the corresponding characteristic equation. Then the following statements hold.

- (i) For every $k \ge 1$, $\varphi_k(|U_1|) = |U_{\varphi_k(1)}|$, and if $|U_2| = 1$ or 2, then $\varphi_k(|U_2|) = |U_{\varphi_k(2)}|$ otherwise $\varphi_k(|U_2|) > |U_{\varphi_k(2)}|$.
- (ii) $\varphi_1(|U_n|) < |U_{\varphi_1(n)}|$ whenever $n \ge 16$.
- (iii) $\varphi_k(|U_n|) < |U_{\varphi_k(n)}|$ for every $n \ge 3$ and $k \ge 2$ except for (n,k) = (3,2), (3,3), (3,4), (4,2).

Remark 2. In Theorem 1, the inequality may or may not hold for (n, 1) where $3 \le n \le 15$, and (n, k) = (3, 2), (3, 3), (3, 4), (4, 2). Therefore, the inequality can be checked for every Lucas sequence of the first kind just by computing for the values mentioned above.

As a consequence of Theorem 1, we obtain the result of Jaidee and Pongsriiam [6, Theorem 3.2(i)] concerning the Fibonacci sequence. Additionally, we establish a set of inequalities associated with the Pell sequence and the balancing sequence within the theorem, which can be expressed as follows:

Theorem 3. Let n and k be positive integers, φ_k be the generalized Euler totient function, and $(F_n)_{n\geq 1}$, $(P_n)_{n\geq 1}$, and $(B_n)_{n\geq 1}$ are Fibonacci sequence, Pell sequence and balancing sequence, respectively. Then the following statements hold.

- (i) $\varphi_k(F_n) \leq F_{\varphi_k(n)}$ for all $n \geq 1$ except for (n, k) = (6, 1) for which the inequality reverses, and equality holds for (n, k) = (1, k), (2, k), (4, 1), where $k \geq 1$.
- (ii) $\varphi_k(P_n) \leq P_{\varphi_k(n)}$ for all $n \geq 1$ except for (n,k) = (3,1), (4,1), (6,1), (3,2) for which the inequality reverses, and equality holds for (n,k) = (1,k), (2,k), where $k \geq 1$.
- (iii) $\varphi_k(B_n) \leq B_{\varphi_k(n)}$ for all $n \geq 1$ except for (n, k) = (2, k), (3, 1), (4, 1), (6, 1), (12, 1), (3, 2)for which the inequality reverses, and equality holds for (n, k) = (1, k), where $k \geq 1$.

2 Some examples and related results

In this section, we first give some examples of Lucas sequences of the first kind and some results which are needed to prove our main theorems.

Example 4 (Lucas sequences of the first kind). Some examples of Lucas sequences of the first kind are as follows:

(i) The Fibonacci sequence $(F_n)_{n\geq 0}$ defined as

$$F_0 = 0, F_1 = 1$$
 and $F_{n+2} = F_{n+1} + F_n, n \ge 0$,

is the Lucas sequence of the first kind with r = 1 and s = 1 (see [19, <u>A000045</u>]). The characteristic equation of $(F_n)_{n>0}$ is $x^2 - x - 1 = 0$ with roots $\alpha = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ and $\beta = \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}$.

(ii) The Pell sequence $(P_n)_{n>0}$ defined as

$$P_0 = 0, P_1 = 1$$
 and $P_{n+2} = 2P_{n+1} + P_n, n \ge 0$,

is the Lucas sequence of the first kind with r = 2 and s = 1 (see [19, <u>A000129</u>]). The characteristic equation of $(P_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is $x^2 - 2x - 1 = 0$ with roots $\alpha = 1 + \sqrt{2}$ and $\beta = 1 - \sqrt{2}$.

(iii) The balancing sequence $(B_n)_{n>0}$ defined as

$$B_0 = 0, B_1 = 1$$
 and $B_{n+2} = 6B_{n+1} - B_n, n \ge 0$,

is the Lucas sequence of the first kind with r = 6 and s = -1 (see [19, <u>A001109</u>]). The characteristic equation of $(B_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is $x^2 - 6x + 1 = 0$ with roots $\alpha = 3 + \sqrt{2}$ and $\beta = 3 - \sqrt{2}$.

Throughout this article, we consider the Lucas sequences of the first kind for which α, β are real roots of the corresponding characteristic equation such that $|\alpha| > |\beta| > 0$. Further, we obtain

$$|\alpha| = \frac{|r| + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2}, \ |\beta| = \frac{||r| - \sqrt{\Delta}|}{2}.$$

We now give results related with Lucas sequences of the first kind which will be used to prove our main results:

Lemma 5. [7, Lemma 1] Let $(U_n)_{n\geq 1}$ be Lucas sequence of the first kind, where n is positive integer and α, β be non-zero real roots of the corresponding characteristic equation. Then the following statements hold.

(i) If $|\alpha| \neq \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$, then $|\alpha| \geq 2$.

(*ii*)
$$|U_n| < 2|\alpha|^n$$
.

(iii) If 0 < m < n and m is even, then $\left|\frac{U_n}{U_m}\right| > |\alpha|^{n-m}$.

Lemma 6. Let Fibonacci sequence $(F_n)_{n\geq 0}$ as defined in Example 4 with $\alpha = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ and $\beta = \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}$ as real roots of the corresponding characteristic equation. Then the following statements hold.

- (i) (Binet formula) $F_n = \frac{\alpha^n \beta^n}{\alpha \beta}$ for all $n \ge 0$.
- (ii) $\alpha^{n-2} \leq F_n \leq \alpha^{n-1}$ for all $n \geq 0$.

Proof. The proof of statement (i) follows directly from the definition of the Lucas sequence of the first kind and statement (ii) can be proved by mathematical induction. \Box

Using the Lemma 5 and Lemma 6, we establish the following result:

Lemma 7. Let $(U_n)_{n\geq 1}$ be Lucas sequence of the first kind and α, β be non-zero real roots of the corresponding characteristic equation. Then the following statements hold.

(i) If $|\alpha| = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$, then $|U_n| = F_n$ and $|\alpha|^{n-2} \le |U_n| \le |\alpha|^{n-1}$. (ii) If $|\alpha| \ge 2$, then $|\alpha|^{n-2} < |U_n| < |\alpha|^{n+1}$.

Proof.

(i) Let $|\alpha| = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$. Since α is a real number, either $\alpha = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ or $\alpha = -\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)$. Now if $\alpha = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$, then $\beta = \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}$ is also a root of the characteristic equation. Therefore, $|U_n| = |F_n| = F_n$. Similarly, if $\alpha = -\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)$, then $\beta = \frac{-1+\sqrt{5}}{2} = -\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)$ is also a root of the characteristic equation. Therefore, in this case we have

$$|U_n| = |(-1)^{n-1}F_n| = |F_n| = F_n.$$

Hence, for either case using Lemma 6 (ii), we have $|\alpha|^{n-2} \leq |U_n| \leq |\alpha|^{n-1}$. This completes the proof of (i).

(ii) Consider $|\alpha| \geq 2$. Using Lemma 5 (ii), we have $|U_n| < 2|\alpha|^n \leq |\alpha||\alpha|^n = |\alpha|^{n+1}$. Now using Lemma 5 (iii) for m = 2, we have $|U_n| \geq |\frac{U_n}{U_2}| > |\alpha|^{n-2}$. On combining the above two inequalities, we conclude that $|\alpha|^{n-2} < |U_n| < |\alpha|^{n+1}$.

Now we establish some results related with the Euler totient function and the generalized Euler totient function φ_k .

Lemma 8. [6, Lemma 2.5] Let $n \ge 3$. Then the following statements hold.

(i) If
$$k = 1$$
, then $\varphi_k(n) = \frac{n\varphi(n)}{2}$.

(ii) If
$$k \ge 2$$
, then $\frac{n^{\kappa}\varphi(n)}{2^{k}} < \varphi_{k}(n) < \frac{n^{\kappa}\varphi(n)}{2}$

Lemma 9. [7, Lemma 3 (iv)] Let φ be the Euler totient function and n be any natural number. Then $\varphi(n) \ge 2\sqrt{n/3}$ whenever $n \ne 1, 2, 6$.

Lemma 10. Let n and k be positive integer such that $3 \le n \le 6$ and $k \ge 2$. Then for the generalized Euler totient function φ_k , the following statements hold.

- (i) $\varphi_k(3) \ge 4(k+1) + 2$ whenever $k \ge 5$.
- (*ii*) $\varphi_k(4) \ge 5(k+1) + 2$ whenever $k \ge 3$.

(iii) $\varphi_k(5) \ge 6(k+1) + 2$ whenever $k \ge 2$.

(iv) $\varphi_k(6) \ge 7(k+1) + 2$ whenever $k \ge 2$.

Proof. By definition of φ_k , $\varphi_k(3) = 1 + 2^k$. Therefore, $\varphi_k(3) \ge 4(k+1) + 2$ if and only if $2^k \ge 4k + 5$ and by induction, $2^k \ge 4k + 5$ is true whenever $k \ge 5$. This implies that $\varphi_k(3) \ge 4(k+1) + 2$ whenever $k \ge 5$. This completes the proof of (i). The proof of (ii), (iii), and (iv) follows similarly using definition of function φ_k and mathematical induction. \Box

3 Proof of main results

3.1 Proof of Theorem 1

- (i) Using the definition of φ_k , we have $\varphi_k(1) = 1$ and $\varphi_k(2) = 1$ for every $k \ge 1$. Therefore, using the definition of the Lucas sequence of the first kind for n = 1, we have $\varphi_k(|U_1|) = \varphi_k(1) = 1 = |U_1| = |U_{\varphi_k(1)}|$ for every $k \ge 1$. Similarly, using the definition of the Lucas sequence of the first kind for n = 2, we have $|U_2| = |\alpha + \beta| = |r| \ge 1$. Therefore, $\varphi_k(|U_2|) = \varphi_k(|r|) \ge \varphi_k(1) = 1 = |U_1| = |U_{\varphi_k(2)}|$ for every $k \ge 1$. Hence, if $|U_2| = |r| = 1$ or 2, then $\varphi_k(|U_2|) = |U_{\varphi_k(2)}|$ otherwise $\varphi_k(|U_2|) = \varphi_k(|r|) > 1 = |U_{\varphi_k(2)}|$ for every $k \ge 1$.
- (ii) Let n be positive integer such that $n \ge 16$. By Lemma 5(i), we divide our problem in two cases:

Case I: $|\alpha| = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$.

If $|\alpha| = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$, then using Lemma 8 and Lemma 7, we obtain

$$\varphi_1(|U_n|) = \frac{|U_n|\varphi(|U_n|)}{2} < \frac{|U_n||U_n|}{2} = \frac{|U_n|^2}{2} \le \frac{|\alpha|^{2(n-1)}}{2} \le |\alpha|^{2(n-1)-1},$$

and $|U_{\varphi_1(n)}| \ge |\alpha|^{\varphi_1(n)-2}$. Therefore, in order to prove $\varphi_1(|U_n|) < |U_{\varphi_1(n)}|$, it is sufficient to show that $\varphi_1(n) - 2 \ge 2(n-1) - 1$, i.e.,

$$\varphi_1(n) \ge 2n - 1. \tag{1}$$

Case II: $|\alpha| \ge 2$.

If $|\alpha| \geq 2$, again using Lemma 8 and Lemma 7, we obtain

$$\varphi_1(|U_n|) = \frac{|U_n|\varphi(|U_n|)}{2} < \frac{|U_n||U_n|}{2} = \frac{|U_n|^2}{2} < \frac{|\alpha|^{2(n+1)}}{2} \le |\alpha|^{2(n+1)},$$

and $|U_{\varphi_1(n)}| > |\alpha|^{\varphi_1(n)-2}$. Hence, in order to prove $\varphi_1(|U_n|) < |U_{\varphi_1(n)}|$, it is sufficient to show that $\varphi_1(n) - 2 \ge 2(n+1)$, i.e.,

$$\varphi_1(n) \ge 2n + 4. \tag{2}$$

Since 2n + 4 > 2n - 1 for every $n \ge 16$, therefore, in order to prove (1) and (2), it is sufficient to prove (2), i.e., $\varphi_1(n) \ge 2n + 4$. By Lemma 8, $\varphi_1(n) = \frac{n\varphi(n)}{2}$ and using Lemma 9, for $n \ge 16$, $\varphi(n) \ge 2\sqrt{n/3}$. Hence, in order to prove (2), it is sufficient to prove that

$$n\sqrt{n/3} \ge 2n+4. \tag{3}$$

Consider the function $f: [16, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$f(x) = x\sqrt{x/3} - 2x - 4$$

If $x \ge 16$, then $f'(x) = \sqrt{3x}/2 - 2 > 2\sqrt{3} - 2 > 0$, and therefore, f is an increasing function on $[16, \infty)$. Therefore, $f(x) \ge f(16) = 16\sqrt{16/3} - 2 \cdot 16 - 4 = 0.95 > 0$. This implies that

$$x\sqrt{x/3} - 2x - 4 \ge 0$$
 for $x \ge 16$.

Hence, (3) holds and this proves that $\varphi_1(|U_n|) < |U_{\varphi_1(n)}|$ whenever $n \ge 16$.

(iii) We proceed in similar manner as in proof of (i). Let n and k be positive integer such that $n \ge 7$ and $k \ge 2$. By Lemma 5(i), we divide our problem in two cases:

Case I: $|\alpha| = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$.

If $|\alpha| = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$, then using Lemma 8 and Lemma 7, we obtain

$$\varphi_{k}(|U_{n}|) < \frac{|U_{n}|^{k}\varphi(|U_{n}|)}{2} < \frac{|U_{n}|^{k}|U_{n}|}{2} = \frac{|U_{n}|^{k+1}}{2} \leq \frac{|\alpha|^{(n-1)(k+1)}}{2} < |\alpha|^{(n-1)(k+1)-1},$$

and $|U_{\varphi_k(n)}| \ge |\alpha|^{\varphi_k(n)-2}$. Therefore, in order to prove $\varphi_k(|U_n|) < |U_{\varphi_k(n)}|$, it is sufficient to show that $\varphi_k(n) - 2 \ge (n-1)(k+1) - 1$, i.e.,

$$\varphi_k(n) \ge (n-1)(k+1) + 1.$$
 (4)

Case II: $|\alpha| \ge 2$.

If $|\alpha| \geq 2$, again using Lemma 8 and Lemma 7, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_k(|U_n|) &< \frac{|U_n|^k \varphi(|U_n|)}{2} \\ &< \frac{|U_n|^k |U_n|}{2} = \frac{|U_n|^{k+1}}{2} \\ &< \frac{|\alpha|^{(n+1)(k+1)}}{2} \le |\alpha|^{(n+1)(k+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

and $|U_{\varphi_k(n)}| > |\alpha|^{\varphi_k(n)-2}$. Similar to Case I, in order to prove $\varphi_k(|U_n|) < |U_{\varphi_k(n)}|$, it suffices to show that $\varphi_k(n) - 2 \ge (n+1)(k+1)$, i.e.,

$$\varphi_k(n) \ge (n+1)(k+1) + 2.$$
 (5)

Since $(n+1)(k+1) + 2 \ge (n-1)(k+1) + 1$ for every $n \ge 1$ and $k \ge 2$, therefore in order to prove (4) and (5), it is sufficient to prove (5), i.e., $\varphi_k(n) \ge (n+1)(k+1) + 2$. Now we claim that $\varphi(n) \ge 4$ for every $n \ge 7$. For this, suppose that $n \ge 7$. If there exists a prime $p \ge 5$ dividing n, then by multiplicativity of φ , we obtain

$$\varphi(n) \ge \varphi(p) \ge p - 1 \ge 4.$$

Suppose $n = 2^a 3^b$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. If $a \ge 3$, then $\varphi(n) \ge \varphi(2^3) = 2^3 - 2^2 = 4$. If $a = 2, b \ge 1$, then $\varphi(n) \ge \varphi(2^2)\phi(3) = (2^2 - 2)(3 - 1) = 4$. If $a \le 1, b \ge 2$, then $\varphi(n) \ge \varphi(3^2) = 6$. Thus, $\varphi(n) \ge 4$ for every $n \ge 7$.

Now by Lemma 8, we have

$$\varphi_k(n) \ge \varphi(n) \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^k \ge 4 \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^k.$$

Hence, in order to prove (5), it is sufficient to prove that

$$4\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^k \ge (n+1)(k+1) + 2.$$
 (6)

Consider the function $f: [7, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$f(x) = 4\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^k - (x+1)(k+1) - 2.$$

If $x \ge 7$, then $f'(x) = 2k \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{k-1} - (k+1) > 6k - (k+1) > 0$, and so f is an increasing function on $[7, \infty)$. Therefore, $f(x) \ge f(7) = 4 \left(\frac{7}{2}\right)^k - 8(k+1) - 2 > 4(3)^k - (8)(k+1) - 2 > 0$, where $k \ge 2$. This implies that

$$4\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^k \ge (x+1)(k+1) + 2 \text{ for } x \ge 7.$$

Hence, (6) holds and this proves that $\varphi_k(|U_n|) < |U_{\varphi_k(n)}|$ for every $n \ge 7$ and $k \ge 2$. 2. By Lemma 10, for $3 \le n \le 6$ and $k \ge 2$, (5) holds except for for (n,k) = (3,2), (3,3), (3,4), (4,2). This completes the proof of (iii).

3.2 Proof of Theorem 3

- (i) The Fibonacci sequence $(F_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is the Lucas sequence of the first kind with r = 1 and s = 1. The characteristic equation of $(F_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is $x^2 x 1 = 0$ with roots $\alpha = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ and $\beta = \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}$. Since both α and β are real roots of the characteristic equation, all hypothesis of Theorem 1 holds. Hence, $|U_n| = F_n$ and by using Theorem 1 (i), for every $k \geq 1$, $\varphi_k(F_1) = F_{\varphi_k(1)}$ and since $F_2 = 1$, this implies that $\varphi_k(F_2) = F_{\varphi_k(2)}$. Now, using Theorem 1 (ii), $\varphi_1(F_n) < F_{\varphi_1(n)}$ whenever $n \geq 16$. Using definition of φ and a straightforward calculation for (n, 1) where $3 \leq n \leq 15$, we obtain that $\varphi_1(F_n) \leq F_{\varphi_1(n)}$ except for (n, k) = (6, 1) for which the inequality is reversed, and equality holds for (n, k) = (4, 1). Using Theorem 1 (iii), $\varphi_k(F_n) < F_{\varphi_k(n)}$ for every $n \geq 3$ and $k \geq 2$ except for (n, k) = (3, 2), (3, 3), (3, 4), (4, 2). Again using definition of φ and a straightforward calculation, we obtain $\varphi_k(F_n) < F_{\varphi_k(n)}$ for (n, k) = (3, 2), (3, 3), (3, 4), (4, 2). This completes the proof of (i).
- (ii) The Pell sequence $(P_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is the Lucas sequence of the first kind with r = 2 and s = 1. The characteristic equation of $(P_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is $x^2 2x 1 = 0$ with roots $\alpha = 1 + \sqrt{2}$ and $\beta = 1 \sqrt{2}$. Since both α and β are real roots of the characteristic equation, all hypothesis of Theorem 1 holds. Hence, $|U_n| = P_n$ and by using Theorem 1 (i), for every $k \geq 1$, $\varphi_k(P_1) = P_{\varphi_k(1)}$ and since $P_2 = 2$, this implies that $\varphi_k(P_2) = P_{\varphi_k(2)}$. Now, using Theorem 1 (ii), $\varphi_1(P_n) < P_{\varphi_1(n)}$ whenever $n \geq 16$. Using definition of φ and a straightforward calculation for (n, 1) where $3 \leq n \leq 15$, we obtain that $\varphi_1(P_n) \leq P_{\varphi_1(n)}$ except for (n, k) = (3, 1), (4, 1), (6, 1) for which the inequality is reversed. Using Theorem 1 (iii), we have $\varphi_k(P_n) < P_{\varphi_k(n)}$ for every $n \geq 3$ and $k \geq 2$ except for (n, k) = (3, 2), (3, 3), (3, 4), (4, 2). Again using definition of φ and a straightforward calculation , we obtain $\varphi_k(P_n) < P_{\varphi_k(n)}$ for (n, k) = (3, 3), (3, 4), (4, 2). Again using definition of φ and a straightforward calculation , (n, k) = (3, 2). This completes the proof of (ii).
- (iii) The balancing sequence $(B_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is the Lucas sequence of the first kind with r = 6 and s = -1. The characteristic equation of $(B_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is $x^2 6x + 1 = 0$ with roots $\alpha = 3 + \sqrt{2}$ and $\beta = 3 \sqrt{2}$. Since both α and β are real roots of the characteristic equation, all hypothesis of Theorem 1 holds. Hence, $|U_n| = B_n$ and by using Theorem 1 (i), for every $k \geq 1$, $\varphi_k(B_1) = B_{\varphi_k(1)}$ and since $B_2 = 6$, this implies that $\varphi_k(B_2) > B_{\varphi_k(2)}$. Now, using Theorem 1 (ii), $\varphi_1(B_n) < B_{\varphi_1(n)}$ whenever $n \geq 16$. Using definition of φ and a straightforward calculation for (n, 1) where $3 \leq n \leq 15$, we obtain that $\varphi_1(B_n) \leq B_{\varphi_1(n)}$ except for (n, k) = (3, 1), (4, 1), (6, 1), (12, 1) for which the inequality is reversed. Using Theorem 1 (iii), $\varphi_k(B_n) < B_{\varphi_k(n)}$ for every $n \geq 3$ and $k \geq 2$ except for (n, k) = (3, 2), (3, 3), (3, 4), (4, 2). Again using definition of φ and a straightforward calculation, we obtain $\varphi_k(F_n) < F_{\varphi_k(n)}$ for (n, k) = (3, 3), (3, 4), (4, 2) and $\varphi_k(B_n) > B_{\varphi_k(n)}$ for (n, k) = (3, 2). This completes the proof of (iii).

4 Acknowledgments

The authors are thankful to the referee for the valuable suggestions. The first author is grateful to the University Grant Commission (U.G.C.), India for providing financial support (U.G.C. Ref. no. 191620159617) for this research work. The authors would also like to thank Dr. Anup Kumar Singh and Mr. Shubham Gupta for the fruitful discussion related with computational results.

References

- A. Behera and G. K. Panda, On the square roots of triangular numbers, *Fibonacci Quart.* 37 (1999), 98–105.
- [2] M. Esfandiari, On the means of Jordan's totient function, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. 46 (2020), 1753-1765.
- [3] B. Faye, F. Luca, and A. Tall, On the equation $\varphi(5^m 1) = 5^n 1$, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **52** (2015), 513–524.
- [4] B. Faye and F. Luca, On the equation $\varphi(X^m 1) = X^n 1$, Int. J. Number Theory **11** (2015), 1691–1700.
- [5] B. Faye and F. Luca, Pell numbers whose Euler function is a Pell number, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) 101 (115) (2017), 231–245.
- [6] M. Jaidee and P. Pongsriiam, Arithmetic functions of Fibonacci and Lucas numbers, Fibonacci Quart. 57 (2019), 246–254.
- [7] F. Luca, Euler indicators of Lucas sequences, Bull. Math. Soc. Sc. Math. Roumanie 40(88) (1997), 151–163.
- [8] F. Luca, Arithmetic functions of Fibonacci numbers, Fibonacci Quart. 37 (1998), 265–268.
- [9] F. Luca, Equations involving arithmetic functions of Fibonacci and Lucas numbers, *Fibonacci Quart.* 38 (2000), 49–55.
- [10] F. Luca and F. Nicolae, $\varphi(F_m) = F_n$, Integers 9 (2009), Paper A30.
- [11] F. Luca, V. J. M. Huguet, and F. Nicolae, On the Euler function of Fibonacci numbers, J. Integer Sequences 12 (2009), Article 09.6.6.
- [12] F. Luca and P. T. Young, On the number of divisors of n! and of the Fibonacci numbers, Glas. Mat. Ser. III 47 (2012), 285–293.

- [13] G. K. Panda, Some fascinating properties of balancing numbers, Proceedings of the Eleventh International Conference on Fibonacci Numbers and their Applications, Congr. Numerantium 194 (2009), 185–189.
- [14] P. Pongsriiam, Exact Divisibility by powers of the Fibonacci and Lucas numbers, J. Integer Sequences 17 (2014), Article 14.11.2.
- [15] P. Pongsriiam and R. C. Vaughan, The divisor function on residue classes I, Acta Arith. 168 (2015), 369–381.
- [16] P. Pongsriiam and R. C. Vaughan, The divisor function on residue classes II, Acta Arith. 182 (2018), 133–181.
- [17] M. K. Sahukar and G. K. Panda, Arithmetic functions of balancing numbers, *Fibonacci Quart.* 56 (2018), 246–251.
- [18] J. Sándor and B. Crstici, Handbook of Number Theory II, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2004.
- [19] N. J. A. Sloane et al., The On-line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, 2020. Available at https://oeis.org/.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11A25, Secondary 11B39. Keywords: Euler's totient function, Lucas sequence, Fibonacci number, Pell number, balancing number.

(Concerned with sequences $\underline{A000045}$, $\underline{A000129}$, and $\underline{A001109}$.)

Received July 24 2023; revised versions received July 31 2023; September 29 2023; October 6 2023. Published in *Journal of Integer Sequences*, October 14 2023.

Return to Journal of Integer Sequences home page.