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#### Abstract

We derive analytical expressions for the position of irreducible fractions in the Farey sequence $F_{N}$ of order $N$ for a particular choice of $N$, obtaining an asymptotic behavior with a lower error bound than in previous results when these fractions are in the vicinity of $0 / 1,1 / 2$, or $1 / 1$.

Franel's famous formulation of Riemann's hypothesis uses the summation of distances between irreducible fractions and evenly spaced points in $[0,1]$. We define "partial Franel sum" as a summation of these distances over a subset of fractions in $F_{N}$ and we demonstrate that the partial Franel sum in the range $[0, i / N]$, with $N=\operatorname{lcm}(1,2, \ldots, i)$, grows strictly slower than $O(\log N)$.


## 1 Introduction and statement of the main results

The Farey sequence $F_{N}$ of order $N$ is an ascending sequence of irreducible fractions between 0 and 1 whose denominators do not exceed $N$ [1]. Riemann's hypothesis implies that the irreducible fractions tend to be regularly distributed in $[0,1]$. A formulation of this statement follows [2, 3],

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\left|F_{N}\right|}\left|F_{N}(n)-\frac{n}{\left|F_{N}\right|}\right|=O\left(N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}\right)
$$

where $F_{N}(n)$ is the $n^{\text {th }}$ irreducible fraction in $F_{N}$. Here we define the partial Franel sum in the range $\left[a_{1} / b_{1}, a_{2} / b_{2}\right]$ as

$$
P\left(\frac{a_{1}}{b_{1}}, \frac{a_{2}}{b_{2}}\right)=\sum_{n=I_{N}\left(a_{1} / b_{1}\right)}^{I_{N}\left(a_{2} / b_{2}\right)}\left|F_{N}(n)-\frac{n}{\left|F_{N}\right|}\right|
$$

where $I_{N}(a / b)$ is the position that $a / b$ occupies in $F_{N}$. Dress [4] established the upper bound of the distance $\left|F_{N}(n)-n /\left|F_{N}\right|\right|$ to be $1 / N$ and to be located at $F_{N}(2)=1 / N$. This motivates the study of partial Franel sums in ranges including $1 / N$. Furthermore, another equivalent formulation of the Riemann's hypothesis involving sums over irreducible fractions in the range $[0,1 / 4]$ follows [5],

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{I_{N}(1 / 4)}\left(F_{N}(n)-\frac{I_{N}(1 / 4)}{2\left|F_{N}\right|}\right)=O\left(N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}\right),
$$

showing again the relevance of the vicinity of $1 / N$.
Guthery [6, Chapter 6] attempted to find a closed expression for the $i^{\text {th }}$ fraction in $F_{N}$ ending in an "analytical hole". This paper achieves this goal for fractions in the range $[0, i / N]$, with $N=\operatorname{lcm}(1,2, \ldots, i)$ as explained in the following. Note that $N=\operatorname{lcm}(1,2, \ldots, i)=$ $e^{\psi(i)}$, where $\psi(i)$ is the second Chebyshev function that fulfills the property $\psi(i)=(1+o(1)) i$, and hence $i=(1+o(1)) \log N$.

Let the subsequence $F_{N}^{a_{1} / b_{1}, a_{2} / b_{2}}$ of $F_{N}$, contain all the fractions of $F_{N}$ in $\left[a_{1} / b_{1}, a_{2} / b_{2}\right]$. The cardinality of $F_{N}^{a_{1} / b_{1}, a_{2} / b_{2}}$ is well known to be [7]

$$
\left|F_{N}^{a_{1} / b_{1}, a_{2} / b_{2}}\right|=\frac{3}{\pi^{2}}\left(\frac{a_{2}}{b_{2}}-\frac{a_{1}}{b_{1}}\right) N^{2}+O(N \log N) .
$$

As $I_{N}\left(a_{2} / b_{2}\right)$ is the position that $a_{2} / b_{2}$ occupies in $F_{N}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{N}\left(\frac{a_{2}}{b_{2}}\right)=\left|F_{N}^{0 / 1, a_{2} / b_{2}}\right|=\frac{3}{\pi^{2}} \frac{a_{2}}{b_{2}} N^{2}+O(N \log N) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A first result of this paper is the derivation of an analytical expression for $I_{N}(1 / q)$ where $N=\operatorname{lcm}(1,2, \ldots, i)$ and $N / i \leq q \leq N$ in Theorem 3 as

$$
I_{N}\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)=2+N \sum_{j=1}^{i} \frac{\varphi(j)}{j}-q \Phi(i)
$$

where $\varphi(i)$ is the totient function and $\Phi(i)$ is the summatory totient function defined as

$$
\Phi(i)=\sum_{j=1}^{i} \varphi(j) .
$$

Theorem 3 also includes a more general expression giving the location of other fractions in $F_{N}$. To reach this relation a series of bijections are established in Theorem 1 between $F_{i^{\prime}}$, with $i^{\prime} \leq i$, and subsequences of $F_{N}$ covering all elements in $F_{N}^{0 / 1,1 / q}$. Thanks to these bijections the cardinality of $F_{N}^{0 / 1,1 / q}$ can be expressed as function of all $\left|F_{i^{\prime}}\right|$ as shown in Corollary 2. These bijections are illustrated in Table 1 for $N=\operatorname{lcm}(1,2, \ldots, 5)=60$. This
result is used to derive the equivalent asymptotic estimate of (1) with a smaller residual error in Corollary 4 as follows:

$$
I_{N}\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)=\frac{3}{\pi^{2}} q\left(\frac{N^{2}}{q^{2}}-\left\{\frac{N}{q}\right\}^{2}\right)+O\left(N \delta_{A}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{N}{q}\right\rfloor\right)\right)
$$

where $\{x\}=x-\lfloor x\rfloor$ and $\delta_{A}(x)$ is a decreasing function defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{A}(x)=\exp \left(-A \frac{\log ^{0.6} x}{(\log \log x)^{0.2}}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A>0$.
As the final result of this work Theorem 5 establishes that the partial Franel sum in the range $[0,1 /(N / i)]$ is given by

$$
P\left(\frac{0}{1}, \frac{1}{N / i}\right)=O\left(\log (N) \delta_{B}(\log N)\right)
$$

with $0<B<A$ and again $N=\operatorname{lcm}(1,2, \ldots, i)$. Therefore, this partial Franel sum grows strictly slower than $O(\log N)$. If we would assume the Riemann hypothesis and a uniform distribution density of Farey elements in $[0,1]$, we would expect this partial Franel sum to decrease as $O\left(\log (N) / N^{1 / 2-\epsilon}\right)$. Theorem 5 includes equivalent results for partial Franel sums in ranges including $1 / 2$ or $1 / 1$. The generalization to compute partial Franel sums in the vicinity of any irreducible fraction is explored. Earlier results of this work were applied to resonance diagrams [8, 9].

The following definitions are used in the rest of the paper. We say that two elements of a Farey sequence, $a_{1} / b_{1}$ and $a_{2} / b_{2}$, form a Farey pair if $\left|a_{1} b_{2}-a_{2} b_{1}\right|=1$. In this report we exceptionally allow $0 / 1$ and $1 / 0$ to form a Farey pair even if $1 / 0$ is not a proper fraction. The mediant of a Farey pair, $a_{1} / b_{1}$ and $a_{2} / b_{2}$, is given by

$$
\frac{a_{1}+a_{2}}{b_{1}+b_{2}}
$$

which is an irreducible fraction existing between $a_{1} / b_{1}$ and $a_{2} / b_{2}$ and forms two Farey pairs with $a_{1} / b_{1}$ and $a_{2} / b_{2}$.

## 2 Results

Theorem 1. Let $a_{1} / b_{1}$ and $a_{2} / b_{2}$ be a Farey pair with $b_{1}>b_{2}$. Let $N$ be multiple of $b_{1} i(i+1)$ with $i$ being a natural number such $0<i<N$. Let $q$ be an integer fulfilling

$$
\frac{N}{b_{1}(i+1)}<q \leq \frac{N}{b_{1} i} \quad \text { and } \quad b_{1} q+b_{2} \leq N .
$$

Let $F_{i}^{\prime}$ be a subsequence of $F_{i}$ defined as

$$
F_{i}^{\prime}=\left\{\frac{h}{k}: \frac{h}{k} \in F_{i}, k\left(b_{1} q+b_{2}\right)-b_{1} h \leq N\right\}
$$

noting that for $a_{1} / b_{1}=0 / 1$ and $a_{2} / b_{2}=1 / 0, F_{i}^{\prime}=F_{i}$.
There is a bijective map $M$ between $F_{i}^{\prime}$ and $F_{N}^{\frac{a_{1} q+a_{2}}{b_{1} q+b_{2}}, \frac{a_{1}(q-1)+a_{2}}{b_{1}(q-1)+b_{2}}}$, given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
M: F_{i}^{\prime} \rightarrow F_{N}^{\frac{a_{1} q+a_{2}}{b_{1} q+b_{2}}, \frac{a_{1}(q-1)+a_{2}}{b_{1}(q-1)+b_{2}}}, \quad \frac{h}{k} \mapsto \frac{k\left(a_{1} q+a_{2}\right)-a_{1} h}{k\left(b_{1} q+b_{2}\right)-b_{1} h} . \\
M^{-1}: F_{N}^{\frac{a_{1} q+a_{2}}{b_{1 q} q+b_{2}}, \frac{a_{1}(q-1)+a_{2}}{b_{1}(q-1)+b_{2}}} \rightarrow F_{i}^{\prime}, \quad \frac{u}{l} \mapsto \frac{q\left(b_{1} u-l a_{1}\right)+b_{2} u-l a_{2}}{b_{1} u-l a_{1}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

The bijective map is order-preserving when $a_{2} / b_{2}>a_{1} / b_{1}$ and order-inverting when $a_{2} / b_{2}<$ $a_{1} / b_{1}$.

Proof. We first demonstrate that $M$ is injective. The fractions $\frac{a_{1} q+a_{2}}{b_{1} q+b_{2}}$ and $\frac{a_{1}(q-1)+a_{2}}{b_{1}(q-1)+b_{2}}$ form a Farey pair since $a_{1} / b_{1}$ and $a_{2} / b_{2}$ form a Farey pair:

$$
\left|\left(a_{1} q+a_{2}\right)\left(b_{1}(q-1)+b_{2}\right)-\left(b_{1} q+b_{2}\right)\left(a_{1}(q-1)+a_{2}\right)\right|=\left|b_{2} a_{1}-a_{2} b_{1}\right|=1
$$

Let $u / l$ be the image of $h / k$ under $M$,

$$
\frac{u}{l}=\frac{k\left(a_{1} q+a_{2}\right)-a_{1} h}{k\left(b_{1} q+b_{2}\right)-b_{1} h} .
$$

By virtue of this expression $u / l$ is obtained by applying the mediant operation successively between $\frac{a_{1} q+a_{2}}{b_{1} q+b_{2}}$ and $\frac{a_{1}(q-1)+a_{2}}{b_{1}(q-1)+b_{2}}$ in the same fashion as $h / k$ is obtained by applying the mediant between $0 / 1$ and $1 / 1$, meaning

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{h}{k} & =\frac{(k-h) \cdot 0+h \cdot 1}{(k-h) \cdot 1+h \cdot 1} \\
\frac{u}{l} & =\frac{(k-h) \cdot\left(a_{1} q+a_{2}\right)+h \cdot\left(a_{1}(q-1)+a_{2}\right)}{(k-h) \cdot\left(b_{1} q+b_{2}\right)+h \cdot\left(b_{1}(q-1)+b_{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $u / l$ is a Farey fraction in the interval of interest:

$$
\left[\frac{a_{1} q+a_{2}}{b_{1} q+b_{2}}, \frac{a_{1}(q-1)+a_{2}}{b_{1}(q-1)+b_{2}}\right]
$$

The fraction $u / l$ belongs to $F_{N}$ by definition of the domain $F_{i}^{\prime}$, meaning that $h / k$ belonging to $F_{i}^{\prime}$ needs $l \leq N$. Therefore $M$ is injective.

Now we demonstrate that $M^{-1}$ is also injective. Let $u / l$ belong to $F_{N}^{\frac{a_{1} q+a_{2}}{b_{1}+b_{2}}, \frac{a_{1}(q-1)+a_{2}}{b_{1}(q-1)+b_{2}}}$ and assume $a_{2} / b_{2}>a_{1} / b_{1}$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a_{1} q+a_{2}}{b_{1} q+b_{2}} \leq \frac{u}{l} \leq \frac{a_{1}(q-1)+a_{2}}{b_{1}(q-1)+b_{2}} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $h / k$ be the image of $u / l$ under $M^{-1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{h}{k}=\frac{q\left(b_{1} u-l a_{1}\right)+u b_{2}-l a_{2}}{b_{1} u-l a_{1}} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equality implies $\operatorname{gcd}(h, k)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(u b_{2}-l a_{2}, b_{1} u-l a_{1}\right)$. Using

$$
\operatorname{gcd}(u, l)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right)=1
$$

$a_{2} b_{1}-a_{1} b_{2}=1$, and known equalities [10] implies $\operatorname{gcd}(h, k)=1$. Hence, $h / k$ is an irreducible fraction. Furthermore, operating with the inequalities in (3):

$$
q\left(b_{1} u-l a_{1}\right) \geq-\left(u b_{2}-l a_{2}\right) \geq\left(b_{1} u-l a_{1}\right)(q-1)
$$

and therefore $0 \leq h \leq k$. This shows that $h / k$ belongs to $F_{k}$. In the following we demonstrate that $k \leq i$ so that $h / k$ belongs to $F_{i}$ too.

From relations (3) and (4)

$$
k=b_{1} u-l a_{1} \leq b_{1} l \frac{a_{1}(q-1)+a_{2}}{b_{1}(q-1)+b_{2}}-l a_{1}=\frac{l}{b_{1}(q-1)+b_{2}}
$$

and using that $l \leq N$ and $b_{1}(q-1) \geq \frac{N}{i+1}$, which derives from $q>\frac{N}{b_{1}(i+1)}$,

$$
k \leq \frac{N}{\frac{N}{i+1}+b_{2}}=\frac{i+1}{1+\frac{i+1}{N} b_{2}}<i+1
$$

If $b_{2}>0$ this implies $k \leq i$ and gathering the above results $0 \leq h \leq k \leq i$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(h, k)=1$, hence $h / k \in F_{i}$. To demonstrate that $h / k$ belongs to $F_{i}^{\prime}$ it is easy to verify that $k\left(b_{1} q+b_{2}\right)-$ $b_{1} h \leq N$.

If $b_{2}=0$ we are in the exceptional case included in this report of $a_{1} / b_{1}=0 / 1$ and $a_{2} / b_{2}=1 / 0$, that implies $h / k=(q u-l) / u$. Note that $k=u$. We only need to show that $k \leq i$ also in this case. From the inequalities in (3) and $\frac{N}{i} \geq q>\frac{N}{i+1}$,

$$
\frac{i}{N} \leq \frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{u}{l} \leq \frac{1}{q-1} \leq \frac{i+1}{N}
$$

The fraction $(i+1) / N$ is not irreducible, as $N$ is taken as a multiple of $i(i+1)$, and therefore it does not belong to $F_{N}$. Similarly for $i / N$ when $i>1$. In the range $[i / N,(i+1) / N]$ there cannot be fractions with denominator $N$ other than $1 / N$ when $i=1$. Therefore if $i=1$ we directly have $k=u \leq i$ and for $i>1$ we have $l \leq N-1$ and hence

$$
k=u \leq l \frac{i+1}{N} \leq i
$$

Corollary 2. The cardinalities of $F_{i}, F_{i}^{\prime}$ and $F_{N}^{\frac{a_{1} q+a_{2}}{b_{1} q+b_{2}}, \frac{a_{1}(q-1)+a_{2}}{b_{1}(q-1)+b_{2}}}$ are related as follows:

- If $q=N /\left(b_{1} i\right)$, then

$$
\left|F_{i}\right| \geq\left|F_{i}^{\prime}\right|=\left|F_{N}^{\frac{a_{1} q+a_{2}}{b_{1} q+b_{2}}, \frac{a_{1}(q-1)+a_{2}}{b_{1}(q-1)+b_{2}}}\right|>\left|F_{i}\right|-i
$$

- If $q<N /\left(b_{1} i\right)$ or $b_{2}=0$, then

$$
\left|F_{i}\right|=\left|F_{i}^{\prime}\right|=\left|F_{N}^{\frac{a_{1} q+a_{2}}{b_{1} q+b_{2}}, \frac{a_{1}(q-1)+a_{2}}{b_{1}(q-1)+b_{2}}}\right| .
$$

Proof. The first inequality is evident from the definition of $F_{i}^{\prime}$. The first equality derives from the bijective map in Theorem 1.

If $q=N /\left(b_{1} i\right)$, let $u / l$ be the image of $h / k$ via the map $M$ in Theorem 1 , then $l=$ $k\left(N / i+b_{2}\right)-b_{1} h$. To prove that $\left|F_{i}^{\prime}\right|>\left|F_{i}\right|-i$ we should count how many $h / k \in F_{i}$ fulfill $k\left(N / i+b_{2}\right)-b_{1} h>N$. Dividing both sides of the later inequality by $k$ and operating we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
b_{2}-b_{1} \frac{h}{k}>\frac{N}{k}-\frac{N}{i}=N \frac{i-k}{k i} \\
b_{2} \geq b_{2}-b_{1} \frac{h}{k}>N \frac{i-k}{k i} \geq 0
\end{gathered}
$$

To fulfill these inequalities it is required that $k=i$. Otherwise for any $k<i$ and recalling that $N$ is a multiple of $b_{1} i(i+1)$ :

$$
b_{2}>N \frac{i-k}{k i} \geq b_{1} \frac{i+1}{k}(i-k)>b_{1}
$$

which is inconsistent with the assumption $b_{2}<b_{1}$ in Theorem 1. Then, $k=i$ implies $h / i<b_{2} / b_{1}<1$ and in $F_{i}$ there are fewer than $i$ irreducible fractions of the form $h / i$ below $b_{2} / b_{1}$, hence $\left|F_{i}^{\prime}\right|>\left|F_{i}\right|-i$.

If $q<N /\left(b_{1} i\right)$ we define $g>0$ such that $q=N /\left(b_{1} i\right)-g$; therefore, $l=k\left(N / i-g b_{1}+\right.$ $\left.b_{2}\right)-b_{1} h$. Now, we need to count how many $h / k$ in $F_{i}$ have $l>N$,

$$
b_{2}-b_{1} \frac{h}{k}-b_{1} g>N \frac{i-k}{k i},
$$

and there are no $h / k$ which can fulfill this equation as $b_{2}-b_{1} g<0$. Hence, $\left|F_{i}\right|=\left|F_{i}^{\prime}\right|$ when $q<N /\left(b_{1} i\right)$.

If $b_{2}=0$ we should show that there are no $h / k$ in $\left|F_{i}\right|$ fulfilling $k b_{1} q-b_{1} h>N$. The largest possible value of $q$ is $N /\left(b_{1} i\right)$ and therefore $k b_{1} q-b_{1} h \leq k N / i-b_{1} h<N$, for $i>1$, so there is no $h / k$ fulfilling the previous condition and $\left|F_{i}\right|=\left|F_{i}^{\prime}\right|$. Note that $i=1$ and $h / k=0 / 1$ would not have given $k b_{1} q-b_{1} h>N$ as $b_{1} q+b_{2} \leq N$ from the assumptions in Theorem 1.

Theorem 3. Let $N=b_{1} \operatorname{lcm}\left(1,2 \ldots, i_{\max }\right), \frac{N}{b_{1}(i+1)}<q \leq \frac{N}{b_{1} i}$, with $a_{1} / b_{1}$ and $a_{2} / b_{2}$ forming a Farey pair, $b_{1}>b_{2}$ and $i<i_{\max }$. Then

- For $b_{1}>1$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{N}\left(\frac{a_{1} q+a_{2}}{b_{1} q+b_{2}}\right)=I_{N}\left(\frac{a_{1}}{b_{1}}\right)+s\left(\frac{N}{b_{1}} \sum_{j=1}^{i} \frac{\varphi(j)}{j}-q \Phi(i)\right)+O\left(i^{2}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $s=+1$ when $a_{1} / b_{1}<a_{2} / b_{2}$ and $s=-1$ otherwise.

- For $a_{1} / b_{1}=0 / 1$ and $a_{2} / b_{2}=1 / 0$ :

$$
I_{N}\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)=2+N \sum_{j=1}^{i} \frac{\varphi(j)}{j}-q \Phi(i) .
$$

Proof. To simplify equations we assume $s=+1$ in the following. We count the number of elements in $F_{N}^{\frac{a_{1}}{b_{1}}, \frac{a_{1} q+a_{2}}{b_{1} q+b_{2}}}$ using the bijective maps described in Theorem 1 and adding up the cardinalities of the sets involved from Corollary 2. Thanks to the fact that $N$ is a multiple of all natural numbers $i^{\prime}$ such that $i^{\prime} \leq i$ we can establish bijections between $F_{i}^{\prime}$ and $F_{N}^{\frac{a_{1} p+a_{2}}{b_{p} p+b_{2}}, \frac{a_{1}(p-1)+a_{2}}{b_{1}(p-1)+b_{2}}}$ where $p$ can take all values fulfilling $\frac{N}{b_{1}\left(i^{\prime}+1\right)}<p \leq \frac{N}{b_{1} i^{\prime}}$, covering all elements in $F_{N}^{\frac{a_{1}}{b_{1}} \frac{a_{1} q+a_{2}}{b_{1} q+b_{2}}}$ when scanning over all $i^{\prime} \leq i$ and the corresponding $p$. For a given $i^{\prime}$ the number of values $p$ takes is given by

$$
\frac{N}{b_{1} i^{\prime}}-\frac{N}{b_{1}\left(i^{\prime}+1\right)}=\frac{N}{b_{1}}\left(\frac{1}{i^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{i^{\prime}+1}\right) .
$$

In a first step we compute the number of elements in $F_{N}^{\frac{a_{1}}{b_{1}}, \frac{a_{1} q^{\prime}+a_{2}}{b_{1} q^{\prime}+b_{2}}}$ with $q^{\prime}=N /\left(b_{1} i\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{N}\left(\frac{a_{1} q^{\prime}+a_{2}}{b_{1} q^{\prime}+b_{2}}\right)-I_{N}\left(\frac{a_{1}}{b_{1}}\right) & =\frac{N}{b_{1}} \sum_{i^{\prime}=1}^{i-1}\left(\frac{1}{i^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{i^{\prime}+1}\right)\left(\left|F_{i^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right|-1\right) \\
& =\frac{N}{b_{1}} \sum_{i^{\prime}=1}^{i-1}\left[\left(\frac{1}{i^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{i^{\prime}+1}\right) \Phi\left(i^{\prime}\right)+O\left(i^{\prime}\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{N}{b_{1}} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\varphi(j)}{j}-\frac{N}{b_{1}} \frac{\Phi(i-1)}{i}+O\left(i^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, when $b_{2}=0$ the term $O\left(i^{2}\right)$ does not appear according to Corollary 2. In a second step we compute the number of elements in $F_{N}^{\frac{a_{1} q^{\prime}+a_{2}}{b_{1} q^{\prime}+b_{2}}, \frac{a_{1 q+a_{2}}^{b_{1} q+b_{2}}}{}}$, that is, $\Phi(i)\left(q^{\prime}-q\right)+O(i)$. Adding both contributions gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{N}\left(\frac{a_{1} q+a_{2}}{b_{1} q+b_{2}}\right)-I_{N}\left(\frac{a_{1}}{b_{1}}\right)= & \frac{N}{b_{1}} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\varphi(j)}{j}-\frac{N}{b_{1}} \frac{\Phi(i-1)}{i} \\
& +\Phi(i)\left(\frac{N}{b_{1} i}-q\right)+O\left(i^{2}\right) \\
= & \frac{N}{b_{1}} \sum_{j=1}^{i} \frac{\varphi(j)}{j}-q \Phi(i)+O\left(i^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which demonstrates the theorem for $s=1$. For $s=-1$, following the same steps leads to the desired result.
Corollary 4. Let $N=b_{1} \operatorname{lcm}\left(1,2, \ldots, i_{\max }\right)$ and $\frac{N}{b_{1}(i+1)}<q \leq \frac{N}{b_{1} i}$, with $i<i_{\max }$. Then,

$$
I_{N}\left(\frac{a_{1} q+a_{2}}{b_{1} q+b_{2}}\right)=I_{N}\left(\frac{a_{1}}{b_{1}}\right)+s \frac{3}{\pi^{2}} q\left(\frac{N^{2}}{b_{1}^{2} q^{2}}-\left\{\frac{N}{b_{1} q}\right\}^{2}\right)+O\left(N \delta_{A}(i)\right)
$$

with $\delta_{A}(x)$ defined in (2). In particular, for $a_{1} / b_{1}=0 / 1$ and $a_{2} / b_{2}=1 / 0$,

$$
I_{N}\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)=\frac{3}{\pi^{2}} q\left(\frac{N^{2}}{q^{2}}-\left\{\frac{N}{q}\right\}^{2}\right)+O\left(N \delta_{A}(i)\right)
$$

and for $a_{1} / b_{1}=1 / 2$ and $a_{2} / b_{2}=1 / 1$,

$$
I_{N}\left(\frac{q+1}{2 q+1}\right)=\frac{\left|F_{N}\right|}{2}+\frac{3}{\pi^{2}} q\left(\frac{N^{2}}{2^{2} q^{2}}-\left\{\frac{N}{2 q}\right\}^{2}\right)+O\left(N \delta_{A}(i)\right)
$$

Proof. The following known relations $[11,12]$ are needed:

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{k=1}^{N} \varphi(k) & =\frac{3}{\pi^{2}} N^{2}+E(N)  \tag{6}\\
\sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{\varphi(k)}{k} & =\frac{6}{\pi^{2}} N+H(N) \\
E(x) & =O\left(x \log ^{2 / 3} x(\log \log x)^{4 / 3}\right), \\
E(x) & =x H(x)+O\left(x \delta_{A}(x)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

with $A>0$ and $\delta_{A}(x)$ is a decreasing factor. From the definitions of $i, q$, and $N$ it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
i & =\left\lfloor\frac{N}{q b_{1}}\right\rfloor=\frac{N}{q b_{1}}+O(1) \\
i & <i_{\max }=(1+o(1)) \log N / b_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Inserting the above equalities in expression (5) of Theorem 3,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{N}\left(\frac{a_{1} q+a_{2}}{b_{1} q+b_{2}}\right) & =I_{N}\left(\frac{a_{1}}{b_{1}}\right)+s \frac{N}{b_{1}} \frac{6}{\pi^{2}} i-s q \frac{3}{\pi^{2}} i^{2}+s \frac{N}{b_{1}} H(i)-s q E(i)+O\left(i^{2}\right) \\
& =I_{N}\left(\frac{a_{1}}{b_{1}}\right)+s \frac{N}{b_{1}} \frac{6}{\pi^{2}} i-s q \frac{3}{\pi^{2}} i^{2}+s q(i H(i)-E(i))+O\left(i^{2}\right) \\
& =I_{N}\left(\frac{a_{1}}{b_{1}}\right)+s \frac{N}{b_{1}} \frac{6}{\pi^{2}} i-s q \frac{3}{\pi^{2}} i^{2}+O\left(N \delta_{A}(i)\right) \\
& =I_{N}\left(\frac{a_{1}}{b_{1}}\right)+s \frac{3}{\pi^{2}} \frac{N^{2}}{b_{1}^{2} q}-s \frac{3}{\pi^{2}} q\left\{\frac{N}{b_{1} q}\right\}^{2}+O\left(N \delta_{A}(i)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 5. Let $N$ be $N=b_{1} \operatorname{lcm}(1,2, \ldots, i)$. Then the partial Franel sum over all Farey fractions in the range $\left[\frac{a_{1}}{b_{1}}, \frac{a_{1} \frac{N}{b_{1} i}+a_{2}}{b_{1} \frac{N}{b_{1} i}+b_{2}}\right]$ is given by the following expressions:

- For $a_{1} / b_{1}=0 / 1, a_{2} / b_{2}=1 / 0$ and for $a_{1} / b_{1}=1 / 2, a_{2} / b_{2}=0 / 1$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
P\left(\frac{0}{1}, \frac{1}{N / i}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{I_{N}\left(\frac{1}{N / i}\right)}\left|F_{N}(j)-\frac{j}{\left|F_{N}\right|}\right|=O\left(\log (N) \delta_{B}(\log N)\right) \\
P\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{N /(2 i)}{N / i+1}\right)=\sum_{j=I_{N}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}^{I_{N}\left(\frac{N /(2 i)}{N / i+1}\right)}\left|F_{N}(j)-\frac{j}{\left|F_{N}\right|}\right|=O\left(\log (N) \delta_{B}(\log N)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

with $0<B<A$. The same result holds for $a_{1} / b_{1}=1 / 2, a_{2} / b_{2}=1 / 1$.

- For $b_{1}>2$ and $b_{2}<b_{1}$ :

$$
\sum_{j=I_{N}\left(\frac{a_{1}}{b_{1}}\right)}^{I_{N}\left(\frac{a_{1} \frac{N}{b_{11}+a_{2}}}{b_{1} \frac{N}{b_{1}+}+b_{2}}\right)}\left|F_{N}(j)-\frac{j}{\left|F_{N}\right|}\right| \leq\left|\frac{a_{1}}{b_{1}}-\frac{I_{N}\left(\frac{a_{1}}{b_{1}}\right)}{\left|F_{N}\right|}\right| O(i N)+O\left(i \delta_{B}(i)\right)
$$

which cannot be further developed as no general expression for $I_{N}\left(a_{1} / b_{1}\right)$ is known.

Proof. By virtue of Theorem 1 the partial Franel sum under study is written as

$$
P\left(\frac{a_{1}}{b_{1}}, \frac{a_{1} \frac{N}{b_{1} i}+a_{2}}{b_{1} \frac{N}{b_{1} i}+b_{2}}\right)=\sum_{i^{\prime}=1}^{i-1} \sum_{q=\frac{N}{b_{1}\left(i^{\prime}+1\right)}}^{\frac{N}{b_{1} i^{\prime}}} \sum_{n=2}^{\left|F_{i^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right|}\left|\frac{k\left(a_{1} q+a_{2}\right)-a_{1} h}{k\left(b_{1} q+b_{2}\right)-b_{1} h}-\frac{I_{N}\left(\frac{k\left(a_{1} q+a_{2}\right)-a_{1} h}{k\left(b_{1} q+b_{2}\right)-b_{1} h}\right)}{\left|F_{N}\right|}\right|
$$

where the sum over $n$ runs over the elements $h / k$ in $F_{i^{\prime}}^{\prime}$, approximately $n=I_{i^{\prime}}(h / k)+O\left(i^{\prime}\right)$. By virtue of Theorem 1 and Corollary 4

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{N}\left(\frac{k\left(a_{1} q+a_{2}\right)-a_{1} h}{k\left(b_{1} q+b_{2}\right)-b_{1} h}\right)=I_{N}\left(\frac{a_{1} q+a_{2}}{b_{1} q+b_{2}}\right)+s I_{i^{\prime}}\left(\frac{h}{k}\right)+O\left(i^{\prime}\right) \\
& =I_{N}\left(\frac{a_{1}}{b_{1}}\right)+s \frac{3}{\pi^{2}} \frac{N^{2}}{b_{1}^{2} q}-s \frac{3}{\pi^{2}} q\left\{\frac{N}{b_{1} q}\right\}^{2}+s \frac{3}{\pi^{2}}\left\lfloor\frac{N}{b_{1} q}\right\rfloor^{2} \frac{h}{k}+O\left(N \delta_{A}\left(i^{\prime}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used $i^{\prime}=\left\lfloor\frac{N}{q b_{1}}\right\rfloor$. Furthermore

$$
\frac{I_{N}\left(\frac{k\left(a_{1} q+a_{2}\right)-a_{1} h}{k\left(b_{1} q+b_{2}\right)-b_{1} h}\right)}{\left|F_{N}\right|}=\frac{I_{N}\left(\frac{a_{1}}{b_{1}}\right)}{\left|F_{N}\right|}+\frac{s}{b_{1}^{2} q}-\frac{s q}{N^{2}}\left\{\frac{N}{b_{1} q}\right\}^{2}+\frac{s}{N^{2}}\left\lfloor\frac{N}{b_{1} q}\right\rfloor^{2} \frac{h}{k}+O\left(\frac{\delta_{A}\left(i^{\prime}\right)}{N}\right)
$$

The Farey element inside the partial Franel sum is approximated as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{k\left(a_{1} q+a_{2}\right)-a_{1} h}{k\left(b_{1} q+b_{2}\right)-b_{1} h} & =\frac{k\left(a_{1} q+a_{2}\right)-a_{1} h}{k\left(b_{1} q+b_{2}\right)-b_{1} h}-\frac{a_{1}}{b_{1}}+\frac{a_{1}}{b_{1}} \\
& =\frac{s}{b_{1}^{2} q} \frac{1}{1+\frac{b_{2}}{q b_{1}}-\frac{h}{q k}}+\frac{a_{1}}{b_{1}} \\
& =\frac{s}{b_{1}^{2} q}\left(1-\frac{b_{2}}{q b_{1}}+\frac{h}{q k}\right)+\frac{a_{1}}{b_{1}}+O\left(1 / q^{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used $\left(b_{1} a_{2}-a_{1} b_{2}\right)=s$. The partial Franel sum under study becomes

$$
\left.\sum_{i^{\prime}=1}^{i-1} \sum_{q=\frac{N}{b_{1}\left(i^{\prime}+1\right)}}^{\frac{N}{b_{1} i^{\prime}}}\left|F_{i^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right| \frac{a_{1}}{b_{1}}-\frac{I_{N}\left(\frac{a_{1}}{b_{1}}\right)}{\left|F_{N}\right|}-\frac{s b_{2}}{b_{1}^{3} q^{2}}+\frac{s q}{N^{2}}\left\{\frac{N}{b_{1} q}\right\}^{2}+O\left(\frac{\delta_{A}\left(i^{\prime}\right)}{N}\right) \right\rvert\,
$$

where the terms proportional to $1 / q$ and $h / k$ have canceled out leaving a negligible residue. The sum over $n$ has been evaluated just by multiplying by $\left|F_{i^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right|$ as the dependency on $h / k$ disappeared. Evaluating the asymptotics of the sums of the individual terms within the
absolute value gives

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{i^{\prime}=1}^{i-1} \sum_{q=\frac{N}{b_{1}\left(i^{\prime}+1\right)}}^{\frac{N}{b_{1} i^{\prime}}}\left|F_{i^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right|=O(i N) \\
\sum_{i^{\prime}=1}^{i-1} \sum_{q=\frac{N}{b_{1}}}^{\frac{N}{b_{1} i^{\prime}}} \frac{\left|F_{i^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right|}{q^{2}}=O\left(\frac{i^{4}}{N}\right) \\
\sum_{i^{\prime}=1}^{i-1} \sum_{\left.q=\frac{N}{b_{1}}+1\right)}^{b_{b_{1}\left(i^{\prime}\right.}^{\prime}}\left|F_{i^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right| \frac{q}{N^{2}}=O(\log i) \\
\left.\sum_{i^{\prime}=1}^{i-1} \sum_{q=\frac{N}{b_{1}\left(i^{\prime}+1\right)}+1}^{\frac{N}{b_{i^{\prime}}}} \right\rvert\, F_{i^{\prime}}^{\prime} \frac{\delta_{A}\left(i^{\prime}\right)}{N}=O\left(i \delta_{B}(i)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

with $0<B<A$. Keeping the two dominant terms gives

$$
P\left(\frac{a_{1}}{b_{1}}, \frac{a_{1} \frac{N}{b_{1} i}+a_{2}}{b_{1} \frac{N}{b_{1} i}+b_{2}}\right) \leq\left|\frac{a_{1}}{b_{1}}-\frac{I_{N}\left(\frac{a_{1}}{b_{1}}\right)}{\left|F_{N}\right|}\right| O(i N)+O\left(i \delta_{B}(i)\right)
$$

which is the searched result for $b_{1}>2$. For $1 \leq b_{1} \leq 2$ we have

$$
\frac{0}{1}-\frac{I_{N}\left(\frac{0}{1}\right)}{\left|F_{N}\right|}=O\left(1 / N^{2}\right), \quad \frac{1}{2}-\frac{I_{N}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\left|F_{N}\right|}=O\left(1 / N^{2}\right), \quad \frac{1}{1}-\frac{I_{N}\left(\frac{1}{1}\right)}{\left|F_{N}\right|}=0
$$

and $O\left(i \delta_{B}(i)\right)$ dominates. The theorem is demonstrated.

## Appendix

Table 1 illustrates the bijections between the first 90 elements in $F_{60}$ and other Farey sequences of lower order.

| $i^{\prime}$ | $q$ | $\begin{aligned} & h / k \\ & \in F_{i^{\prime}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} u / l \\ \in F_{N} \end{gathered}$ | $I_{N}\left(\frac{u}{l}\right)$ | $i^{\prime}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & h / k \\ & \in F_{i^{\prime}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} u / l \\ \in F_{N} \end{gathered}$ | $I_{N}\left(\frac{u}{l}\right)$ | $i^{\prime}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & h / k \\ & \in F_{i^{\prime}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} u / l \\ \in F_{N} \end{gathered}$ | $I_{N}\left(\frac{u}{l}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | - | $\frac{0}{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 32 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{31}$ | 31 | 3 | 18 | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{3}{53}$ | 61 |
| 1 | 60 | $\frac{0}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{60}$ | 2 | 1 | 31 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{30}$ | 32 | 3 | 18 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{2}{35}$ | 62 |
| 1 | 60 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{59}$ | 3 | 2 | 30 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{2}{59}$ | 33 | 3 | 18 | $\frac{2}{3}$ | $\frac{3}{52}$ | 63 |
| 1 | 59 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{58}$ | 4 | 2 | 30 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{29}$ | 34 | 3 | 18 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{17}$ | 64 |
| 1 | 58 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{57}$ | 5 | 2 | 29 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{2}{57}$ | 35 | 3 | 17 | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{3}{50}$ | 65 |
| 1 | 57 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{56}$ | 6 | 2 | 29 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{28}$ | 36 | 3 | 17 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{2}{33}$ | 66 |
| 1 | 56 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{55}$ | 7 | 2 | 28 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{2}{55}$ | 37 | 3 | 17 | $\frac{2}{3}$ | $\frac{3}{49}$ | 67 |
| 1 | 55 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{54}$ | 8 | 2 | 28 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{27}$ | 38 | 3 | 17 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{16}$ | 68 |
| 1 | 54 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{53}$ | 9 | 2 | 27 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{2}{53}$ | 39 | 3 | 16 | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{3}{47}$ | 69 |
| 1 | 53 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{52}$ | 10 | 2 | 27 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{26}$ | 40 | 3 | 16 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{2}{31}$ | 70 |
| 1 | 52 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{51}$ | 11 | 2 | 26 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{2}{51}$ | 41 | 3 | 16 | $\frac{2}{3}$ | $\frac{3}{46}$ | 71 |
| 1 | 51 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{50}$ | 12 | 2 | 26 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{25}$ | 42 | 3 | 16 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{15}$ | 72 |
| 1 | 50 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{49}$ | 13 | 2 | 25 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{2}{49}$ | 43 | 4 | 15 | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{4}{59}$ | 73 |
| 1 | 49 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{48}$ | 14 | 2 | 25 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{24}$ | 44 | 4 | 15 | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{3}{44}$ | 74 |
| 1 | 48 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{47}$ | 15 | 2 | 24 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{2}{47}$ | 45 | 4 | 15 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{2}{29}$ | 75 |
| 1 | 47 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{46}$ | 16 | 2 | 24 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{23}$ | 46 | 4 | 15 | $\frac{2}{3}$ | $\frac{3}{43}$ | 76 |
| 1 | 46 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{45}$ | 17 | 2 | 23 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{2}{45}$ | 47 | 4 | 15 | $\frac{3}{4}$ | $\frac{4}{57}$ | 77 |
| 1 | 45 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{44}$ | 18 | 2 | 23 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{22}$ | 48 | 4 | 15 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{14}$ | 78 |
| 1 | 44 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{43}$ | 19 | 2 | 22 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{2}{43}$ | 49 | 4 | 14 | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{4}{55}$ | 79 |
| 1 | 43 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{42}$ | 20 | 2 | 22 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{21}$ | 50 | 4 | 14 | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{3}{41}$ | 80 |
| 1 | 42 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{41}$ | 21 | 2 | 21 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{2}{41}$ | 51 | 4 | 14 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{2}{27}$ | 81 |
| 1 | 41 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{40}$ | 22 | 2 | 21 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{20}$ | 52 | 4 | 14 | $\frac{2}{3}$ | $\frac{3}{40}$ | 82 |
| 1 | 40 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{39}$ | 23 | 3 | 20 | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{3}{59}$ | 53 | 4 | 14 | $\frac{3}{4}$ | $\frac{4}{53}$ | 83 |
| 1 | 39 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{38}$ | 24 | 3 | 20 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{2}{39}$ | 54 | 4 | 14 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{13}$ | 84 |
| 1 | 38 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{37}$ | 25 | 3 | 20 | $\frac{2}{3}$ | $\frac{3}{58}$ | 55 | 4 | 13 | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{4}{51}$ | 85 |
| 1 | 37 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{36}$ | 26 | 3 | 20 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{19}$ | 56 | 4 | 13 | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{3}{38}$ | 86 |
| 1 | 36 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{35}$ | 27 | 3 | 19 | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{3}{56}$ | 57 | 4 | 13 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{2}{25}$ | 87 |
| 1 | 35 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{34}$ | 28 | 3 | 19 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{2}{37}$ | 58 | 4 | 13 | $\frac{2}{3}$ | $\frac{3}{37}$ | 88 |
| 1 | 34 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{33}$ | 29 | 3 | 19 | $\frac{2}{3}$ | $\frac{3}{55}$ | 59 | 4 | 13 | $\frac{3}{4}$ | $\frac{4}{49}$ | 89 |
| 1 | 33 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{32}$ | 30 | 3 | 19 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{18}$ | 60 | 4 | 13 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{12}$ | 90 |

Table 1: Correspondence between elements in $F_{i^{\prime}}$, with $0<i^{\prime}<5$ and first 90 elements in $F_{N}$, given by $u / l=h /(h q-k)$ with $N /\left(i^{\prime}+1\right)<q \leq N / i^{\prime}$ and $N=\operatorname{lcm}(1,2,3,4,5)=60$. Note that the images of elements $1 / 1$ and $0 / 1$ of adjacent maps are equal and only the $1 / 1$ case is shown on the table. The illustrated maps originate from the map $M$ in Theorem 1 with $a_{1} / b_{1}=0 / 1$ and $a_{2} / b_{2}=1 / 0$.

## References

[1] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers, Fifth Edition, Oxford Science Publications, 1996.
[2] J. Franel, Les suites de Farey et le problème des nombres premiers, Nachr. von der Ges. der Wiss. zu Göttingen Math.-Phys. Kl. (1924), 198-201.
[3] E. Landau, Bemerkungen zu der vorstehenden Abhandlung von Herrn Franel, Nachr. von der Ges. der Wiss. zu Göttingen Math.-Phys. Kl. (1924), 202-206.
[4] F. Dress, Discrépance des suites de Farey, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux 11 (1999), 345-367.
[5] S. Kanemitsu and M. Yoshimoto, Farey series and the Riemann hypothesis, Acta Arith. 75 (1996), 351-374.
[6] S. B. Guthery, A Motif of Mathematics: History and Application of the Mediant and the Farey Sequence, Docent Press, 2011.
[7] C. Cobeli, M. Vâjâitu, and A. Zaharescu, On the intervals of a third between Farey fractions, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie, 53 (2010), 239-250.
[8] R. Tomás, From Farey sequences to resonance diagrams, Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams 17 (2014), 014001.
[9] R. Tomás, Asymptotic behavior of a series of Euler's totient function $\varphi(k)$ times the index of $1 / k$ in a Farey sequence, preprint, 2014. Available at https://arxiv.org/ pdf/1406.6991.pdf.
[10] R. Tomás, Equalities between greatest common divisors involving three coprime pairs, Notes Number Theory Discrete Math. 26 (2020), 5-7.
[11] S. Kanemitsu, T. Kuzumaki, and M. Yoshimoto, Some sums involving Farey fractions II, J. Math. Soc. Japan 52 (2000), 915-947.
[12] A. Walfisz, Weylsche Exponentialsummen in der neueren Zahlentheorie, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1963.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11B57; Secondary 11M26.
Keywords: Farey sequence, Riemann hypothesis.

Received September 2 2021; revised version received September 5 2021; September 12 2021; January 2 2022; January 11 2022. Published in Journal of Integer Sequences, January 12 2022.

Return to Journal of Integer Sequences home page.

