

Journal of Integer Sequences, Vol. 25 (2022), Article 22.2.5

# On The Distribution of Consecutive Generalized *r*-Free Integers in Piatetski-Shapiro Sequences

Angkana Sripayap and Teerapat Srichan<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science Kasetsart University Bangkok 10900 Thailand fscianr@ku.ac.th fscitrp@ku.ac.th

Pinthira Tangsupphathawat Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science and Technology Phranakhon Rajabhat University Bangkok 10220 Thailand t.pinthira@hotmail.com

#### Abstract

We use Rieger's technique to generalize a previous result on the distribution of consecutive r-free integers in Piatetski-Shapiro sequences.

### 1 Introduction

Let r be a fixed integer  $\geq 2$ . A positive integer n is called r-free whenever it is not divisible by the r-th power of a prime. By convention, 2-free and 3-free integers are called square-free and cube-free, respectively. The Piatetski-Shapiro sequence of parameter c is defined by

 $\mathbb{N}^{c} = \{ \lfloor n^{c} \rfloor \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \qquad (c > 1, c \notin \mathbb{N}),$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Corresponding author.

where  $\lfloor z \rfloor$  is the integer part of  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ . The Piatetski-Shapiro sequence was introduced by Piatetski-Shapiro [9] to study prime numbers in a sequence of the form  $\lfloor f(n) \rfloor$ , where f(n) is a polynomial. The study of the distribution of square-free and cube-free integers in Piatetski-Shapiro sequences has a long and rich history; see [2, 3, 4, 10, 12, 16]. The distribution of consecutive square-free and cube-free integers in Piatetski-Shapiro sequences is also a topic of interest. In 2018 Dimitrov [5] proved that for every fixed 1 < c < 7/6, there exist infinitely many consecutive square-free integers of the form  $\lfloor n^c \rfloor$ ,  $\lfloor n^c \rfloor + 1$  by showing that

$$\sum_{\substack{x/2 < n \le x \\ n^c \rfloor, \ \lfloor n^c \rfloor + 1 \text{ are square-free}}} 1 = \frac{1}{2} \prod_p \left( 1 - \frac{2}{p^2} \right) x + O\left( x^{\frac{6c+1}{8} + \varepsilon} \right), \quad \text{for } 1 < c < \frac{7}{6}.$$
(1)

Very recently, Tangsupphathawat, Srichan, and Laohakosol [15] used Rieger's technique in [10] to improve the range of c and the error term in Dimitrov's work in (1). They showed that for 1 < c < 3/2, and sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\sum_{\substack{n \le x \\ \lfloor n^c \rfloor, \lfloor n^c \rfloor + 1 \text{ are square-free}}} 1 = \prod_p \left( 1 - \frac{2}{p^2} \right) x + O\left( x^{\frac{2c+1}{4} + \varepsilon} \right) \qquad (x \to \infty).$$
(2)

In the case of cube-free numbers, Zhang and Li [16] proved that, for any  $\varepsilon$  less than  $10^{-10}$ , one has

$$\sum_{\substack{n \le x \\ \lfloor n^c \rfloor \text{ is cube-free}}} 1 = \left(\frac{1}{\zeta(3)} + o(x^{\varepsilon})\right) x \quad \text{for } 1 < c < \frac{11}{6}.$$

In 2018, Dimitrov [6] used the method of Zhang and Li to prove that

$$\sum_{\substack{n \le x \\ \lfloor n^c \rfloor + 1 \text{ are cube-free}}} 1 = \prod_p \left( 1 - \frac{2}{p^3} \right) x + O(x^{1 - \delta^2/2}), \quad \text{for } 1 < c < \frac{31}{17}, \quad (3)$$

where  $0 < \delta < \min\{\frac{31-17c}{9c-9}, 10^{-10}\}$  is a sufficiently small constant.

From these articles, it is interesting to study similar problems of counting integers in Piatetski-Shapiro sequences which belong to larger classes such as the (k, r)-integers, defined below. In 1966, Subbarao and Harris [13] generalized the notion of r-free integers as follows: let k and r be fixed positive integers with 1 < r < k. A positive integer n is called a (k, r)integer if n is of the form  $n = a^k b$ , where  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$  and b is r-free. They noticed that in the limiting case when  $k \to \infty$ , a (k, r)-integer becomes an r-free integer.

Recently, the second author [11] studied the distribution of (k, r)-integer, considered as generalized *r*-free integers, in Piatetski-Shapiro sequences. He proved that for all pairs of exponents  $(\kappa_1, \lambda_1)$  and  $(\kappa_2, \lambda_2)$  satisfying

$$r\lambda_1 - \kappa_1 < 1, \ r\lambda_2 - \kappa_2 > 1, \ k\lambda_1 - \kappa_1 > 1, \ \frac{r(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) - (\kappa_2 - \kappa_1)}{\lambda_2(1 + \kappa_1) - \lambda_1(1 + \kappa_2)} > 1,$$

we have

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq N \\ \lfloor n^c \rfloor \text{ is a } (k,r)-\text{integer}}} 1 = \frac{\zeta(k)}{\zeta(r)} N + O(N^{(c/r) + \delta(\kappa_1, \lambda_1, \kappa_2, \lambda_2)} \log N),$$

for  $1 < c < \frac{r(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) - (\kappa_2 - \kappa_1)}{\lambda_2(1 + \kappa_1) - \lambda_1(1 + \kappa_2)}$ , where  $\delta(\kappa_1, \lambda_1, \kappa_2, \lambda_2) = \frac{(\lambda_2 \kappa_1 - \lambda_1 \kappa_2) + r^{-1}(\kappa_2 - \kappa_1)}{\lambda_2(1 + \kappa_1) - \lambda_1(1 + \kappa_2)}$ . In this work, we use use Rieger's technique [10] to generalize the previous result on the

In this work, we use use Rieger's technique [10] to generalize the previous result on the distribution of consecutive r-free integers in Piatetski-Shapiro sequences, by proving the following result:

**Theorem 1.** Let k, r be integers with 1 < r < k. For a large  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$T_c(N;k,r) := \sum_{\substack{n \leq N \\ \lfloor n^c \rfloor, \lfloor n^c \rfloor + 1 \text{ are } (k,r) \text{-integers}}} 1$$

denote the number of positive integers  $n \leq N$  such that  $\lfloor n^c \rfloor$  and  $\lfloor n^c \rfloor + 1$  are (k, r)-integers. For  $1 < c < \frac{3}{2}$ , we have, as  $N \to \infty$ ,

$$T_c(N;k,2) = N \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau(m)\lambda_{k,2}(m)}{m} + O(N^{c/2+1/4}\log N),$$
(4)

and for 1 < c < 2, we have, as  $N \to \infty$ ,

$$T_c(N;k,r) = N \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau(m)\lambda_{k,r}(m)}{m} + O(N^{c/3+1/3}\log N), \quad \text{if} \quad r \ge 3,$$

where  $\lambda_{k,r}(n)$  is a multiplicative function defined by

$$\lambda_{k,r}(p^a) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a \equiv 0 \pmod{k}; \\ -1, & \text{if } a \equiv r \pmod{k}; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
(5)

and  $\tau(n)$  denotes the number of divisors of n.

As mentioned above, in the limiting case when  $k \to \infty$ , a (k, 2)-integer becomes a squarefree integer, which leads to the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.** For  $1 < c < \frac{3}{2}$ , we have, as  $N \to \infty$ ,

$$T_c(N;\infty,2) = N \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{2}{p^2}\right) + O(N^{c/2 + 1/4} \log N),$$
(6)

where the big O-term is independent of k.

*Proof.* For a fixed large N, every positive integer a, and a positive r-free integer b, the inequality  $a^{\lfloor N \rfloor}b \leq N$  holds only when a = 1. This indicates that in the interval [1, N], every (N, r)-integer is an r-free integer. Thus, as  $N \to \infty$ , the function  $T_c(N; \infty, 2)$  counts the number of positive integers  $n \leq N$  such that  $\lfloor n^c \rfloor$  and  $\lfloor n^c \rfloor + 1$  are square-free integers. In view of (5), we have

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{N,r}(m)}{m^s} = \frac{\zeta(Ns)}{\zeta(rs)}, \quad s > \frac{1}{r}.$$

When  $N \to \infty$ , since  $\zeta(Ns) = 1$ , we have,

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{N,2}(m)}{m^s} = \frac{1}{\zeta(2s)}.$$

The main term of (6) follows from (4) of Theorem 1 and we get

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau(m)\lambda_{N,2}(m)}{m} = \sum_{\substack{d,t\\ \gcd(d,t)=1}} \frac{\mu(d)\mu(t)}{d^2t^2} = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{2}{p^2}\right).$$

Since the big O-term of (4) is independent to k, Corollary 2 follows.

Similarly, since an  $(\infty, 3)$ -integer becomes a cube-free integer, we obtain an improved result for (3) in the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.** For 1 < c < 2, we have, as  $N \to \infty$ ,

$$T_c(N;\infty,3) = N \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{2}{p^3}\right) + O(N^{c/3 + 1/3} \log N).$$

### 2 Lemmas

We collect now some lemmas needed later.

Lemma 4. [14, Lemma 2.6] Let

$$q_{k,r}(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n \text{ is a } (k,r)\text{-integer}; \\ 0, & \text{if } n \text{ is not a } (k,r)\text{-integer}, \end{cases}$$

denote the characteristic function of the set of (k, r)-integers. Then

$$q_{k,r}(n) = \sum_{a^k b^r c = n} \mu(b).$$

Let

$$d(r,k,n):=\sum_{n_1^rn_2^k=n}1$$

denote the number of ways of writing an integer n in the form  $n = n_1^r n_2^k$ , and put

$$D(r,k,x) = \sum_{n \le x} d(r,k,n).$$

In the proof of our main result, we need the following estimate for the function D(r, k, x) whose proof can be found in [8, Section 14.3].

**Lemma 5.** For a sufficiently large  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$D(r,k,x) \ll x^{1/r}.$$

**Lemma 6.** For  $x \ge 1$ , we have

$$\sum_{m \le x} \lambda_{k,r}(m) \ll x^{1/r}.$$

*Proof.* In view of (5), Lemmas 4 and 5, we have

$$\sum_{m \le x} \lambda_{k,r}(m) = \sum_{a^k b^r \le x} \mu(b) \ll \sum_{a^k b^r \le x} 1 \ll x^{1/r}.$$

The proof of Theorem 1 makes use of the following estimate, due originally to Rieger [10], for the number of integers n up to x such that  $\lfloor n^c \rfloor$  belongs to an arithmetic progression.

**Lemma 7.** ([10]) For 1 < c < 2, let x be a positive real number, and let q and a be two integers such that  $0 \le a < q \le x^c$ . Then

$$\sum_{\substack{n \le x \\ \lfloor n^c \rfloor \equiv a \pmod{q}}} 1 = \frac{x}{q} + \begin{cases} O\left(\frac{x^{(c+4)/7}}{q^{1/7}}\right), & \text{for } q < x^{c-5/4}; \\ O\left(\frac{x^{(c+1)/3}}{q^{1/3}}\right), & \text{for } x^{c-5/4} \le q < x^{c-1/2}; \\ O\left(\frac{x^c}{q}\right), & \text{for } x^{c-1/2} \le q < x^c. \end{cases}$$

The proofs of the next two lemmas make use of ideas similar to those in Lemma 2.1 of [1].

**Lemma 8.** Let  $A_c(x; k, r)$  and  $B_c(x; k, r)$  denote the number of 6-tuples  $(d_1, t_1, d_2, t_2, u, v)$  satisfying the conditions

$$d_2^k t_1^r v - d_1^k t_1^r u = 1, \qquad d_1^k t_1^r u \le x^c.$$
(7)

I) If 
$$x^{c} < d_{1}^{k}t_{1}^{r}d_{2}^{k}t_{2}^{r} \le x^{4c/3}$$
, then  
 $A_{c}(x;k,r) \ll x^{4c/3r}\log x$ .  
II) If  $x^{4c/3} < d_{1}^{k}t_{1}^{r}d_{2}^{k}t_{2}^{r} \le x^{2c}$ , then  
 $B_{c}(x;k,r) \ll x^{2c/3}\log x$ .

*Proof.* I) For a fixed choice of  $d_1, t_1, d_2$  and  $t_2$  satisfying (7), we have  $d_1^k t_1^r u \equiv -1 \pmod{d_2^k t_2^r}$ , which fixes the value of u modulo  $d_2^k t_2^r$ . In view of (7), the total number of possibilities for u is  $O(1 + x^c/d_1^k t_1^r d_2^k t_2^r)$ . By (7), the value of v is fixed for a given choice of  $d_1, t_1, d_2, t_2, u$ . Then, by Lemma 5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_c(x;k,r) &\ll \sum_{x^c < d_1^k t_1^r d_2^k t_2^r \le x^{4c/3}} \left(1 + \frac{x^c}{d_1^k t_1^r d_2^k t_2^r}\right) \\ &\ll \sum_{x^c < m \le x^{4c/3}} \tau(m) d(k,r;m) \left(1 + \frac{x^c}{m}\right) \\ &\ll \sum_{x^c < m \le x^{4c/3}} d(k,r;m) (\log m + \frac{x^c \log m}{m}) \\ &\ll x^{4c/3r} \log x + x^{c/r} \log x \ll x^{4c/3r} \log x. \end{aligned}$$

II) From (7), we have  $uvd_1^kt_1^rd_2^kt_2^r \leq x^c(x^c+1)$ , whence  $uv \leq x^c(x^c+1)x^{-4c/3}$  for every 6-tuple counted by  $B_c(x)$ . From a divisor argument, the total number of choices for u, v is therefore bounded by  $O(x^{2c/3} \log x)$ . For ever such choice of u, v, the number of solutions in  $d_1, t_1, d_2, t_2$  of the equation  $d_2^kt_2^rv - d_1^kt_1^ru = 1$  is  $O(\log x)$ ; see [7].

# 3 Proof of Theorem 1

From  $q_{k,r}(n) = \sum_{d|n} \lambda_{k,r}(d)$ , we have

$$T_{c}(N;k,r) = \sum_{n \leq N} q_{k,r}(\lfloor n^{c} \rfloor) q_{k,r}(\lfloor n^{c} \rfloor + 1)$$
  
$$= \sum_{n \leq N} \left( \sum_{\substack{d \mid \lfloor n^{c} \rfloor \\ d \mid \lfloor n^{c} \rfloor}} \lambda_{k,r}(d) \right) \left( \sum_{\substack{t \mid \lfloor n^{c} \rfloor + 1 \\ t \mid \lfloor n^{c} \rfloor + 1}} \lambda_{k,r}(t) \right)$$
  
$$= \left( \sum_{\substack{d,t \\ \gcd(d,t)=1 \\ dt \leq N^{c}}} + \sum_{\substack{d,t \\ \gcd(d,t)=1 \\ dt > N^{c}}} \right) \lambda_{k,r}(d) \lambda_{k,r}(t) \sum_{\substack{n \leq N \\ \lfloor n^{c} \rfloor = 0 \pmod{d} \\ \lfloor n^{c} \rfloor + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{d}}} 1.$$

In view of Lemma 8, we have

$$T_{c}(N;k,r) = \sum_{\substack{d,t\\\gcd(d,t)=1\\dt \le N^{c}}} \lambda_{k,r}(d)\lambda_{k,r}(t) \sum_{\substack{n \le N\\\lfloor n^{c} \rfloor + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{d}\\\lfloor n^{c} \rfloor + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{d}}} 1 + \sum_{\substack{d,t\\\gcd(d,t)=1\\dt > N^{c}}} \lambda_{k,r}(d)\lambda_{k,r}(t) \sum_{\substack{n \le N\\\lfloor n^{c} \rfloor = 0 \pmod{d}\\\lfloor n^{c} \rfloor + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{d}}} 1$$
$$=: \Sigma_{1} + O(N^{2c/3}\log N).$$

By the Chinese remainder theorem, there is a positive integer  $\alpha$ , unique modulo dt, satisfying the congruence system  $\alpha \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$  and  $\alpha + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{t}$ . Thus,

$$\Sigma_1 = \sum_{\substack{d,t\\ \gcd(d,t)=1\\ dt \leq N^c}} \lambda_{k,r}(d) \lambda_{k,r}(t) \sum_{\substack{n \leq N\\ \lfloor n^c \rfloor \equiv \alpha \pmod{dt}}} 1.$$

In view of Lemma 7, we have

$$\Sigma_{1} = N \sum_{\substack{d,t \\ \gcd(d,t)=1 \\ dt \leq N^{c}}} \frac{\lambda_{k,r}(d)\lambda_{k,r}(t)}{dt} + O\left(N^{(c+4)/7} \Big| \sum_{\substack{d,t \\ \gcd(d,t)=1 \\ dt \leq N^{c-5/4}}} \frac{\lambda_{k,r}(d)\lambda_{k,r}(t)}{(dt)^{1/7}} \Big| \right) + O\left(N^{(c+1)/3} \Big| \sum_{\substack{d,t \\ \gcd(d,t)=1 \\ N^{c-5/4} < dt \leq N^{c-1/2}}} \frac{\lambda_{k,r}(d)\lambda_{k,r}(t)}{(dt)^{1/3}} \Big| \right) + O\left(N^{c} \Big| \sum_{\substack{d,t \\ \gcd(d,t)=1 \\ N^{c-1/2} < dt \leq N^{c}}} \frac{\lambda_{k,r}(d)\lambda_{k,r}(t)}{dt} \Big| \right).$$

In view of Lemma 6, we note that,

$$\sum_{\substack{d,t \\ \gcd(d,t)=1 \\ dt \le N^{c-5/4}}} \frac{\lambda_{k,r}(d)\lambda_{k,r}(t)}{(dt)^{1/7}} = \sum_{m \le N^{c-5/4}} \frac{\tau(m)\lambda_{k,r}(m)}{m^{1/7}}$$
$$\ll \sum_{m \le N^{c-5/4}} \frac{\lambda_{k,r}(m)}{m^{1/7-\varepsilon}} \qquad \ll \begin{cases} (N^{c-5/4})^{\varepsilon+1/r-1/7}, & \text{if } r < 7; \\ \log N, & \text{if } r \ge 7, \end{cases}$$

$$\sum_{\substack{d,t\\ \gcd(d,t)=1\\ N^{c-5/4} < dt \le N^{c-1/2}}} \frac{\lambda_{k,r}(d)\lambda_{k,r}(t)}{(dt)^{1/3}} = \sum_{N^{c-5/4} < m \le N^{c-1/2}} \frac{\tau(m)\lambda_{k,r}(m)}{m^{1/3}}$$
$$\ll \sum_{N^{c-5/4} < m \le N^{c-1/2}} \frac{\lambda_{k,r}(m)}{m^{1/3-\varepsilon}} \qquad \ll \begin{cases} (N^{c-1/2})^{\varepsilon+1/r-1/3}, & \text{if } r = 2;\\ \log N, & \text{if } r \ge 3, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\sum_{\substack{d,t\\ \gcd(d,t)=1\\N^{c-1/2} < dt \le N^c}} \frac{\lambda_{k,r}(d)\lambda_{k,r}(t)}{dt} = \sum_{N^{c-1/2} < m \le N^c} \frac{\tau(m)\lambda_{k,r}(m)}{m}$$
$$\ll \sum_{N^{c-1/2} < m \le N^c} \frac{\lambda_{k,r}(m)}{m^{1-\varepsilon}} \ll (N^{c-1/2})^{\varepsilon+1/r-1}.$$

Thus,

$$\Sigma_1 = N \sum_{\substack{d,t \\ \gcd(d,t)=1 \\ dt \le N^c}} \frac{\lambda_{k,r}(d)\lambda_{k,r}(t)}{dt} + \begin{cases} O(N^{c/2+1/4})\log N, & \text{if } r = 2; \\ O(N^{c/3+1/3})\log N, & \text{if } r \ge 3. \end{cases}$$

Note that

$$\sum_{\substack{d,t\\ \gcd(d,t)=1\\ dt \leq N^c}} \frac{\lambda_{k,r}(d)\lambda_{k,r}(t)}{dt} = \Big(\sum_{\substack{d,t\\ \gcd(d,t)=1}} + \sum_{\substack{d,t\\ \gcd(d,t)=1}} \Big) \Big(\frac{\lambda_{k,r}(d)\lambda_{k,r}(t)}{dt}\Big)$$
$$= \sum_{\substack{d,t\\ \gcd(d,t)=1}} \frac{\lambda_{k,r}(d)\lambda_{k,r}(t)}{dt} + O\Big(\sum_{m>N^c} \frac{\tau(m)\lambda_{k,r}(m)}{m}\Big)$$
$$= \sum_{\substack{d,t\\ \gcd(d,t)=1}} \frac{\lambda_{k,r}(d)\lambda_{k,r}(t)}{dt} + O\Big(N^{c/r-c}\log N\Big). \tag{8}$$

Since  $\frac{c}{r} - c < 0$ , the sum on the right hand side of (8) converges when r > 1. Thus,

$$\Sigma_1 = N \sum_{\substack{d,t \\ \gcd(d,t)=1}} \frac{\lambda_{k,r}(d)\lambda_{k,r}(t)}{dt} + \begin{cases} O(N^{c/2+1/4})\log N, & \text{if } r = 2; \\ O(N^{c/3+1/3})\log N, & \text{if } r \ge 3; \end{cases}$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 1.

#### 4 Acknowledgments

This work was financially supported by Office of the Permanent Secretary, Ministry of Higher Education, Science, Research and Innovation, Grant No. RGNS 63-40.

# References

- J. Brüdern, A. Perelli, and T. D. Wooley, Twins of k-free numbers and their exponential sum, Michigan Math. J. 47 (2000), 173–190.
- [2] X. D. Cao and W. G. Zhai, The distribution of square-free numbers of the form [n<sup>c</sup>], J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux 10 (1998), 287–299.
- [3] X. D. Cao and W. G. Zhai, On the distribution of square-free numbers of the form [n<sup>c</sup>] (II), Acta Math. Sinica (Chin. Ser.) 51 (2008), 1187–1194.
- [4] J. M. Deshouillers, A remark on cube-free numbers in Segal-Piatetski-Shapiro sequences, Hardy Ramanujan J. 41 (2019), 127–132.

- [5] S. I. Dimitrov, Consecutive square-free numbers of a special form, 2018. Preprint available at https://arxiv.org/abs/1702.03983.
- [6] S. I. Dimitrov, Consecutive cube-free numbers of the form  $\lfloor n^c \rfloor$ ,  $\lfloor n^c \rfloor + 1$ , Appl. Math.in Eng. and Econ.—44th. Int. Conf., AIP Conf. Proc. (2018), 2048, 050004.
- [7] T. Estermann, On the representation of a number as the sum of two numbers not divisible by k-th powers, J. London Math. Soc. 6 (1931), 37–40.
- [8] A. Ivić, The Theory of the Riemann Zeta Function, Wiley, 1985.
- [9] I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro, On the distribution of prime numbers in sequences of the form |f(n)|, Mat. Sbornik N.S. **33** (1953), 559–566.
- [10] G. J. Rieger, Remark on a paper of Stux concerning squarefree numbers in non-linear sequences, *Pacific. J. Math.* 78 (1978), 241–242.
- [11] T. Srichan, On the distribution of (k, r)-integers in Piatetski-Shapiro sequences, Czech. Math. J. 71 (2021), 1063–1070.
- [12] I. E. Stux, Distribution of squarefree integers in non-linear sequences, *Pacific. J. Math.* 59 (1975), 577–584.
- [13] M. V. Subbarao and V. C. Harris, A new generalization of Ramanujan's sum, J. London. Math. Soc. 44 (1966), 595–604.
- [14] M. V. Subbarao and D. Suryanarayana, On the order of the error function of the (k, r)-integers, J. Number Theory 6 (1974), 112–123.
- [15] P. Tangsupphathawat, T. Srichan, and V. Laohakosol, Consecutive square-free numbers in Piatetski-Shapiro sequences, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* (2021), to appear.
- [16] M. Zhang and J. Li, Distribution of cube-free numbers with form  $\lfloor n^c \rfloor$ , Front. Math. China **12** (2017), 1515–1525.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11L07; Secondary 11N37. Keywords: generalized r-free integer, Piatetski-Shapiro sequence.

Received October 27 2021; revised version received January 12 2022; February 2 2022. Published in *Journal of Integer Sequences*, February 2 2022.

Return to Journal of Integer Sequences home page.