



# On the Coefficients of the Distinct Monomials in the Expansion of

$$x_1(x_1 + x_2) \cdots (x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n)$$

Sela Fried<sup>1</sup>

Department of Computer Science  
Ben-Gurion University of the Negev  
David Ben Gurion Blvd 1  
Be'er Sheva  
Israel

[friedsela@gmail.com](mailto:friedsela@gmail.com)

## Abstract

We initiate the study of the coefficients of the distinct monomials in the expansion of the multivariate polynomials  $x_1(x_1 + x_2) \cdots (x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the number of which was shown by Shallit to be counted by the Catalan numbers  $C_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In particular, we obtain an exact formula for the coefficients and reduce the complexity of the search for their maximum from the order of  $C_n$  to the order of the number of partitions of  $n$  with distinct parts.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be indeterminates. It is well known that among the multitude of their combinatorial interpretations, the Catalan numbers also count the distinct monomials in the expansion of the multivariate polynomials

$$p_n = x_1(x_1 + x_2) \cdots (x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n), \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

---

<sup>1</sup>The author is a postdoctoral fellow in the Department of Computer Science at the Ben-Gurion University of the Negev and a teaching fellow in the Department of Computer Science at the Israel Academic College in Ramat Gan.

a result that goes back at least to [8]. It seems that despite the naturalness of these polynomials, the coefficients of their distinct monomials have not been studied extensively. In particular, to the best of our knowledge, a closed formula for their maximum is not known. In this work, we initiate the study of these coefficients and accomplish the following: upon establishing several elementary properties including a closed formula for the coefficients (Lemma 4), we show that, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a maximal coefficient in the expansion of  $p_n$  is attained at a monomial belonging to a certain set  $\mathcal{M}_n$  that has the same cardinality as the set of all partitions of  $n$  with distinct parts (Theorem 17 and Lemma 18). Finally, we provide an algorithm (Algorithm 1) that successively (and greedily) generates a sequence of monomials  $(r_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $r_n \in \mathcal{M}_n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and conjecture that the corresponding coefficients are actually maximal.

Our interest in the polynomials  $p_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , was triggered during our work [5] on the restrictiveness of stochastic orders in which we established a closed formula ([5, Lemma 3.6]) for the probability that a random probability distribution that is uniformly drawn from the probability  $n$ -simplex is greater than a fixed probability distribution, with respect to the usual stochastic order. The formula involves a sum over all distinct monomials of  $p_{n-1}$ . Thus, the distinct monomials of  $p_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , have a direct application in probability theory.

## 2 Main results

This section consists of two parts: in the first, we establish several elementary properties of the coefficients of  $p_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , including a closed formula for them and, in the second, we address the problem of finding the maximal coefficients of  $p_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Before we begin, let us, for completeness, prove the claim stated in the introduction that the distinct monomials in the expansion of  $p_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , are counted by the Catalan numbers which have the explicit formula  $C_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n}$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  where  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  (e.g., [9, Theorem 1.4.1]). To simplify formulations throughout this work, whenever we refer to a monomial of  $p_n$ , we mean a monomial in the expansion of  $p_n$  after combining like terms. In particular, in our terminology, the monomials of  $p_n$  are distinct. Likewise, whenever we refer to a coefficient (of a monomial) of  $p_n$ , we mean the coefficient (of the monomial) after expanding  $p_n$  and combining like terms. Finally, unless stated otherwise,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{P}_n$  denote the set of all monomials of  $p_n$ . Then  $|\mathcal{P}_n| = C_n$ .*

*Proof.* The Catalan numbers have several fundamental interpretations. Thus, it suffices to construct a bijection between  $\mathcal{P}_n$  and a set consisting of the elements in one of these interpretations. Following [9], we shall prove that there is a bijection between  $\mathcal{P}_n$  and the set  $\mathcal{T}_n$  of plane trees with  $n+1$  vertices (cf. [9, p. 6 and Theorem 1.5.1]). The bijection goes through two auxiliary sets  $\mathcal{A}_n$  and  $\mathcal{B}_n$ .

Let us define

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \left\{ (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n \mid \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = n \text{ and } \sum_{i=k+1}^n a_i \leq n - k \text{ for every } 1 \leq k \leq n - 1 \right\}.$$

We shall show that there are two maps  $\Theta: \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_n$  and  $\Phi: \mathcal{P}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_n$  such that  $\Theta \circ \Phi = \text{id}_{\mathcal{P}_n}$  and  $\Phi \circ \Theta = \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}_n}$ . For  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n$ , let

$$\Theta((a_1, \dots, a_n)) = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n}.$$

The proof that  $x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n} \in \mathcal{P}_n$  relies on the following observation: suppose  $i_1, \dots, i_n \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  are such that  $1 \leq i_k \leq k$  for every  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Then  $x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_n} \in \mathcal{P}_n$ . We define  $i_1, \dots, i_n$  as follows: first, if  $a_n = 1$  then we set  $i_n = n$ . Now, suppose we have already defined  $i_1, \dots, i_n$  for some  $1 < k \leq n$  such that  $1 \leq i_r \leq r$  for every  $n+1 - \sum_{i=k}^n a_i \leq r \leq n$ . Set  $i_{n+1 - \sum_{i=k-1}^n a_i} = \dots = i_{n - \sum_{i=k}^n a_i} = k - 1$ . Since  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n$ , we have

$$\sum_{i=k-1}^n a_i \leq n + 2 - k \iff n + 1 - \sum_{i=k-1}^n a_i \geq k - 1.$$

It follows that  $1 \leq i_r \leq r$  for  $n + 1 - \sum_{i=k-1}^n a_i \leq r \leq n$ .

In the other direction, for  $x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n} \in \mathcal{P}_n$  we let  $\Phi(x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n}) = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ . To see that  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n$ , first notice that  $a_n \leq 1 = n - (n - 1)$ . Suppose now that we have already shown that  $\sum_{i=k+1}^n a_i \leq n - k$  for some  $1 < k \leq n - 1$ . By definition of  $p_n$ , the indeterminate  $x_k$  can appear in at most  $n - k + 1$  places, of which  $\sum_{i=k+1}^n a_i$  places are already taken. Thus,

$$a_k \leq n - k + 1 - \sum_{i=k+1}^n a_i \iff \sum_{i=k}^n a_i \leq n - (k - 1).$$

Now consider the set

$$\mathcal{B}_n = \left\{ (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{-1\} \mid \sum_{i=1}^n b_i = 0 \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^k b_i \geq 0 \text{ for every } 1 \leq k \leq n - 1 \right\}.$$

One verifies immediately that the map  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mapsto (a_1 - 1, \dots, a_n - 1)$  gives a bijection between  $\mathcal{A}_n$  and  $\mathcal{B}_n$ .

It remains to show that there is a bijection between  $\mathcal{B}_n$  and  $\mathcal{T}_n$ . By [9, 82 on p. 71], the following procedure provides such a bijection: perform a depth-first search through a plane tree with  $n + 1$  vertices and every time a vertex is encountered for the first time, record one less than its number of children, except that the last vertex is ignored. We prove by induction on  $n$  that the resulting sequence belongs to  $\mathcal{B}_n$ : for  $n = 1$ , the plane tree has 2 vertices and the corresponding sequence is necessarily (0) which obviously belongs to  $\mathcal{B}_1$ . Suppose that the claim holds for plane trees with  $n$  vertices and consider a plane tree  $T$  with  $n + 1$  vertices together with the corresponding sequence  $(b_1, \dots, b_n)$ . Deleting the last vertex from  $T$  gives a plane tree  $T'$  with  $n$  vertices. Let  $1 \leq l \leq n$  be the index of the parent of the last vertex of  $T$ . We distinguish between two cases:

1. Suppose that  $l = n$ . Then  $T$  must end with a sequence of three vertices that make a tree of depth two. Thus,  $b_n = 0$  and  $(b_1, \dots, b_{n-1})$  is the sequence corresponding to  $T'$ . Using the induction hypothesis and putting back  $b_n = 0$ , we see that  $(b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathcal{B}_n$ .

2. Suppose that  $l < n$ . Then the  $n$ th vertex of  $T$  is a leaf and therefore  $b_n = -1$ . Now,  $b_l \geq 0$  and  $(b_1, \dots, b_{l-1}, b_l - 1, b_{l+1}, \dots, b_{n-1})$  is the sequence corresponding to  $T'$ , which, by the induction hypothesis, belongs to  $\mathcal{B}_{n-1}$ . Putting back  $b_n = -1$  and replacing  $b_l - 1$  with  $b_l$ , we obtain the original sequence and conclude that it belongs to  $\mathcal{B}_n$ .

One can show, again by induction, that any  $(b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathcal{B}_n$  induces a unique plane tree with  $n + 1$  vertices. We omit the details.  $\square$

*Remark 2.* It follows from the proof of Lemma 1 that we may identify  $\mathcal{P}_n$  with  $\mathcal{A}_n$  and we shall exploit this equivalent representation freely throughout this work. In particular, we shall refer to the elements of  $\mathcal{A}_n$  as ‘monomials’.

## 2.1 Elementary properties of the coefficients of $p_n$

Our first result is an explicit formula for the coefficients of  $p_n$ . We shall use the following notation:

**Definition 3.** For  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n$ , we denote by  $c_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)}$  the corresponding coefficient.

**Lemma 4.** Let  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n$ . Then

$$c_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)} = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{n - k + 1 - \sum_{i=k+1}^n a_i}{a_k!}.$$

*Proof.* Beginning with  $a_n$ , we notice that  $x_n$  can be taken solely from the last term of the product in the definition of  $p_n$ . Thus, there are  $\binom{1}{a_n}$  possibilities to do that. Proceeding to  $a_{n-1}$ , we notice that  $x_{n-1}$  can be taken only from the last two terms of the product, but not from those that contributed  $x_n$ . This gives  $\binom{2-a_n}{a_{n-1}}$  possibilities. Continuing so until we reach  $a_1$ , we conclude that the number of possibilities to obtain  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{k+1 - \sum_{i=n-k+1}^n a_i}{a_{n-k}} &= \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\left(k - \sum_{i=n-(k-1)}^n a_i\right)! \left(k+1 - \sum_{i=n-(k-1)}^n a_i\right)!}{a_{n-k}! \left(k+1 - \sum_{i=n-k}^n a_i\right)!} \\ &= \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{k+1 - \sum_{i=n-(k-1)}^n a_i}{a_{n-k}!} \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{n - k + 1 - \sum_{i=k+1}^n a_i}{a_k!}. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

It is desirable, when writing down the expansion of the different  $p_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , to maintain consistency regarding the order of their terms. To this end, we define an ordering on  $\mathcal{A}_n$ . This, of course, induces an ordering of the corresponding coefficients. A reasonable choice is lexicographic and in decreasing order:



□

The coefficients of  $p_n$  sum to  $n!$ :

**Lemma 10.** *We have  $\sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n} c_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)} = n!$ .*

*Proof.* The assertion follows immediately from specializing  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (1, \dots, 1)$  in the definition of  $p_n$ . □

In the following lemma, we calculate the sum of the coefficients of the monomials of  $p_n$  that contain  $x_i$ . It provides additional interpretation to several known sequences (cf. Table 1).

**Lemma 11.** *Let  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then*

$$\sum_{\substack{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n \\ a_i > 0}} c_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)} = (n - i + 1)(n - 1)!.$$

*Proof.* Specializing,  $f_n(x_i) := p_n(1, \dots, 1, x_i, 1, \dots, 1)$  is a (univariate) polynomial in  $x_i$  whose free coefficient  $r$  is the sum of the coefficients corresponding to the monomials that do not contain  $x_i$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} r &= f_n(0) \\ &= p_n(1, \dots, 1, x_i, 1, \dots, 1)|_{x_i=0} \\ &= p_n(1, \dots, 1, 0, 1, \dots, 1) \\ &= 1 \cdot 2 \cdots (i - 1)(i - 1)i \cdots (n - 1) \\ &= (i - 1)(n - 1)!. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n \\ a_i > 0}} c_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)} &= \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n} c_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)} - \sum_{\substack{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n \\ a_i = 0}} c_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)} \\ &= n! - r = (n - i + 1)(n - 1)!, \end{aligned}$$

where the second and third equalities are due to Lemma 10 and (1). □

Sequence	OEIS Number
$n!$	<a href="#">A000142</a>
$(n - 1)(n - 1)!$	<a href="#">A001563</a>
$(n - 2)(n - 1)!$	<a href="#">A062119</a>
$(n - 3)(n - 1)!$	<a href="#">A052571</a>

Table 1: Several sequences for which Lemma 11 provides an additional interpretation.

Combining Lemma 1 together with Lemma 10 we immediately obtain the following result.

**Corollary 12.** *The average of the coefficients of  $p_n$  is  $\frac{n!}{C_n}$ .*

*Remark 13.* The sequence  $\left(\frac{n!}{C_n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is rational and the sequences of the corresponding numerators and denominators are [A144187](#) and [A144186](#) in the OEIS, respectively. Corollary 12 provides an additional interpretation for these sequences that correspond to the denominator and numerator in the series expansion of the EGF for the Catalan numbers, respectively.

In the following lemma, we calculate the sum of the coefficients of  $p_n$  whose corresponding monomials have  $x_i$  as a variable with maximal index. This result seems to provide the first interpretation for [A299504](#) in the OEIS. These monomials were used in the proof of [5, Lemma 3.6].

**Lemma 14.** *Let  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then*

$$\sum_{\substack{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n \\ a_{i+1} = \dots = a_n = 0}} c_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)} = i!i^{n-i}.$$

*Proof.* Clearly,

$$\sum_{\substack{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n \\ a_{i+1} = \dots = a_n = 0}} = p_n(\overbrace{1, \dots, 1}^{i \text{ times}}, \overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^{n-i \text{ times}}) = i!i^{n-i}.$$

□

## 2.2 The maximal coefficients of $p_n$ , $n \in \mathbb{N}$

Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . The multinomial theorem (e.g., [3, Theorem 3.9]) states that

$$(x_1 + \dots + x_n)^m = \sum \binom{m}{k_1, \dots, k_n} x_1^{k_1} \dots x_n^{k_n}, \quad (2)$$

where the sum is over all nonnegative integers  $k_1, \dots, k_n$  such that  $k_1 + \dots + k_n = m$ . It seems to be folklore that the maximal coefficient on the right-hand side of (2) is obtained whenever  $r$  of the  $k_1, \dots, k_n$  are equal to  $q+1$  and the rest are equal to  $q$  where  $m = qn + r$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $0 \leq r < n$ . In this section, we address the analogous problem of finding the maximal coefficients of  $p_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the sequence of which we denote by  $(m_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  ([A349404](#) in the OEIS). For example,

$$(m_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = 1, 1, 2, 4, 9, 27, 96, 384, 1536, \dots$$

The quotients of consecutive elements of  $(m_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  exhibit a nontrivial pattern and the induced sequence is denoted by  $(q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , i.e.,  $q_n = \frac{m_{n+1}}{m_n}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Table 2 lists  $(m_n)_{n=1}^{29}$  and  $(q_n)_{n=1}^{28}$ , which were established by brute force. The last column in the table lists a monomial

of  $p_n$  whose coefficient is  $m_n$ . Notice that such a monomial is, in general, not unique and in Table 3 we list all other monomials of  $p_1, \dots, p_{29}$  whose coefficients are maximal.

The problem of finding a maximal coefficient of  $p_n$  may be formulated as a combinatorial optimization problem as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{maximize} \quad \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{n-k+1 - \sum_{i=k+1}^n a_i}{a_k!} \\ & \text{subject to} \quad (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n. \end{aligned}$$

This problem is intractable already for small values of  $n$  since  $C_n$  is asymptotically  $\frac{4^n}{\sqrt{\pi n^{3/2}}}$  (e.g., [2, Problem 12-4]). The following two lemmas reduce the complexity of the problem. More precisely, in Lemma 15, we show that it suffices to perform the search over elements of  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n$  such that  $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_n$  and, in Lemma 16, we show that the search may be performed over  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n$  with consecutive differences bounded by 1, i.e.,  $a_i - a_{i+1} \leq 1$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . In Lemma 18, we show that the subset  $\mathcal{M}_n$  of  $\mathcal{A}_n$  consisting of elements that have both properties has the same cardinality as the set of all partitions of  $n$  with distinct parts, reducing the complexity of the problem to the order of  $\frac{3^{3/4}}{12n^{3/4}} e^{\pi\sqrt{n/3}}$  (e.g., [4, (50) on p. 48]).

**Lemma 15.** *Let  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n$  such that  $a_i < a_{i+1}$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . For every  $1 \leq k \leq n$  we define*

$$a'_k = \begin{cases} a_k, & k \neq i, i+1; \\ a_{i+1}, & k = i; \\ a_i, & k = i+1. \end{cases}$$

*Then  $(a'_1, \dots, a'_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n$  and  $c_{(a'_1, \dots, a'_n)} > c_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)}$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly,  $\prod_{k=1}^n a_k! = \prod_{k=1}^n a'_k!$ . Furthermore, for every  $k \in \{1, \dots, i-1, i+1, \dots, n\}$ , we have

$$n - k + 1 - \sum_{j=k+1}^n a_j = n - k + 1 - \sum_{j=k+1}^n a'_j.$$

It follows from Lemma 4 that

$$\begin{aligned} c_{(a'_1, \dots, a'_n)} > c_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)} & \iff n - i + 1 - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a'_j > n - i + 1 - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_j \\ & \iff -a'_{i+1} - \sum_{j=i+2}^n a'_j > -\sum_{j=i+1}^n a_j \\ & \iff a_i < a_{i+1}. \end{aligned}$$

□



**Lemma 16.** Let  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n$  such that  $a_i > a_{i+1} + 1$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ . For every  $1 \leq k \leq n$  we define

$$a'_k = \begin{cases} a_k, & k \neq i, i + 1; \\ a_i - 1, & k = i; \\ a_{i+1} + 1, & k = i + 1. \end{cases}$$

Then  $(a'_1, \dots, a'_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n$  and  $c_{(a'_1, \dots, a'_n)} \geq c_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)}$ .

*Proof.* Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 15, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_{(a'_1, \dots, a'_n)} \geq c_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)} &\iff \frac{n - i - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_j}{a_{i+1} + 1} \geq \frac{n - i - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_j + 1}{a_i} \\ &\iff n - i - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_j \geq \frac{a_{i+1} + 1}{a_i - (a_{i+1} + 1)} \\ &\iff n - (i - 1) - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_j \geq \frac{a_i}{a_i - (a_{i+1} + 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $a_i - (a_{i+1} + 1) \geq 1$ , it suffices to show that  $n - (i - 1) - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_j \geq a_i$  or, equivalently, that  $\sum_{j=i}^n a_j \leq n - (i - 1)$ , which holds true by the definition of  $\mathcal{A}_n$ .  $\square$

Combining Lemma 15 and Lemma 16, we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 17.** A maximal coefficient of  $p_n$  is attained at a monomial belonging to  $\mathcal{M}_n$ , where

$$\mathcal{M}_n = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n \mid a_{i+1} \leq a_i \leq a_{i+1} + 1 \text{ for every } 1 \leq i \leq n - 1\}.$$

**Lemma 18.**

1. There is a bijection between the set

$$\{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n \mid a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_n\}$$

considered in Lemma 15 and the set of all partitions of  $n$ . In particular, Lemma 15 reduces the complexity of the problem to the order of  $\frac{1}{4n\sqrt{3}}e^{\pi\sqrt{2n/3}}$ .

2. There is a bijection between  $\mathcal{M}_n$  and the set of all partitions of  $n$  with distinct parts. In particular, Theorem 17 reduces the complexity of the problem to the order of  $\frac{3^{3/4}}{12n^{3/4}}e^{\pi\sqrt{n/3}}$ .

*Proof.* Recall that, by definition (e.g., [1, Definition 1.1]), a partition of  $n$  is a nonincreasing sequence  $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_r$  of  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  natural numbers such that  $\sum_{i=1}^r a_i = n$ . One also writes  $(a_1, \dots, a_r)$  for such a partition.

1. Let  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n$  such that  $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_n$  and let  $r = \max\{1 \leq i \leq n \mid a_i > 0\}$ . Then  $(a_1, \dots, a_r)$  is a partition of  $n$ . Conversely, let  $a_1, \dots, a_r \in \mathbb{N}$  be a partition of  $n$  with  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  parts. Extend  $(a_1, \dots, a_r)$  with  $n - r$  zeros to obtain  $(a_1, \dots, a_r, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ . Let  $1 \leq l \leq r - 1$  and suppose  $\sum_{i=l+1}^n a_i > n - l$ . Since  $a_r \geq 1$ , by monotonicity, also  $a_1, \dots, a_{r-1} \geq 1$ . Thus,

$$n = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = \sum_{i=1}^l a_i + \sum_{i=l+1}^n a_i > l + n - l = n,$$

a contradiction. This shows that  $(a_1, \dots, a_r, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathcal{A}_n$ . The claim regarding the complexity is due to [6, 7, 10].

2. Let  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{M}_n$  and let  $r = \max\{1 \leq i \leq n \mid a_i > 0\}$ . Then  $(a_1, \dots, a_r)$  is a partition of  $n$  such that  $a_i - a_{i+1} \leq 1$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq r - 1$  and  $a_r = 1$ . This means that each of the numbers  $1, 2, \dots, a_1 =: m$  appears as a part in  $(a_1, \dots, a_r)$ . We claim that the conjugate  $(a'_1, \dots, a'_m)$  of  $(a_1, \dots, a_r)$  (cf. [1, Definition 1.8]) has distinct parts. To see that, let  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and recall that  $a'_i$  is defined to be the number of parts of  $(a_1, \dots, a_r)$  that are  $\geq i$ . Suppose  $a'_k = a'_{k+1}$  for some  $1 \leq k \leq m - 1$ . This means that for every  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , if  $a_i \geq k$ , then also  $a_i \geq k + 1$ . Thus,  $k$  cannot be a part of  $(a_1, \dots, a_r)$ , a contradiction.

Conversely, let  $(a'_1, \dots, a'_m)$  be a partition of  $n$  with  $1 \leq m \leq n$  distinct parts and let  $(a_1, \dots, a_r)$  be its conjugate, where  $1 \leq r \leq n$ . Suppose that  $a_i \geq a_{i+1} + 2$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq m - 1$ . Thus, there are distinct  $1 \leq k, l \leq m$  such that  $i \leq a'_k$  and  $a'_l < i + 1$ . It follows that  $a'_k = i = a'_l$ , a contradiction. It remains to show that  $a_r = 1$ . Since the parts of  $(a'_1, \dots, a'_m)$  are distinct,  $a'_1 > a'_i$  for every  $2 \leq i \leq m$ . Thus,  $r = a'_1$  and  $a_r = 1$  since  $a_r$  is the number of parts of  $(a'_1, \dots, a'_m)$  that are  $\geq r$ .

The claim regarding the complexity may be found, for example, in [4, (50) on p. 48].

□

*Remark 19.* The idea of the proof of the second statement in Lemma 18 is due to Grahl and Adams-Watters (see the comments to [A000009](#) in the OEIS).

Despite the complexity reduction described above, the problem remains intractable. We provide a simple algorithm that for a prescribed  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  successively generates a sequence of monomials  $(r_n)_{n=1}^l$  such that  $r_n \in \mathcal{A}_n$  for every  $1 \leq n \leq l$ . In Lemma 20, we show that actually  $r_n \in \mathcal{M}_n$ . The algorithm also returns a sequence  $(s_n)_{n=1}^l$  of the corresponding coefficients. It uses a method `Coefficient(·)` that upon receiving a monomial of  $p_n$  as input returns its corresponding coefficient, e.g., by using the formula given by Lemma 4. We applied the algorithm with  $l = 100$  and Table 4 lists the elements of  $(s_n)_{n=29}^{100}$  returned by the algorithm (the first 29 elements of  $(s_n)_{n=1}^{100}$  coincide with  $(m_n)_{n=1}^{29}$  that were already listed in Table 2). We consider the correctness of the first 29 numbers returned by the algorithm and the preservation of the pattern that the elements of  $(q_n)_{n=1}^{28}$  exhibit (this is

illustrated in the third column of Table 4) to be a strong indication that the algorithm actually returns the true sequence  $(m_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Before we present the pseudocode of the algorithm, let us illustrate by an example its idea: assume that the algorithm arrived at, say,  $r_{15} = (3, 3, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$ . First, a zero is attached at the end of  $r_{15}$  and the result is denoted by  $r'_{16}$ . Thus,  $r'_{16} = (3, 3, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$ . Now, beginning at the end of  $r'_{16}$ , we iterate backwards and every time the value is about to increase, we add 1 at this point (actually, every time is wasteful and we also increase the first entry by 1 if it does not result in a gap of 2 between the first and the second entries). These are the candidates to pick  $r_{16}$  from. In our example, these are

$$(3,3,2,2,1,1,1,1,1,0,0,0,0,0,0), (3,3,2,2,1,1,1,1,1,0,0,0,0,0,0), (3,3,3,2,1,1,1,1,1,0,0,0,0,0,0), (4,3,2,2,1,1,1,1,1,0,0,0,0,0,0).$$

For each of the candidates we calculate the corresponding coefficient. Here, we obtain

$$370594350, 361267200, 321126400, 48168960.$$

We take  $r_{16}$  to be the monomial with the largest coefficient. In this case,

$$r_{16} = (3, 3, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0).$$

Now, we repeat the procedure described above with  $r_{16}$ .

**Lemma 20.** *Let  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $(r_n)_{n=1}^l$  be the sequence of monomials returned by Algorithm 1. Then  $r_n \in \mathcal{M}_n$  for every  $1 \leq n \leq l$ .*

*Proof.* First notice that for each  $2 \leq n \leq l$  the condition in the inner **for** loop is satisfied at least once. Indeed, if the first two conditions are not satisfied, then the third must be. Now, for  $n = 1$  we have  $r_1 = (1) \in \mathcal{M}_1$ . Assume that  $r_n = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{M}_n$ . The monomial  $r_{n+1}$  is chosen from the set  $R$  and therefore it suffices to show that  $x \in \mathcal{M}_{n+1}$  for every  $x \in R$ . By definition of  $\mathcal{M}_n$ , we have  $a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_n$  and  $a_i - a_{i+1} \leq 1$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ . We give the details only in the case that  $x \in R$  due to  $i > 1, a_i \neq a_{i-1}$  and  $a_i = a_{i+1}$ , the other two cases being similar. Let  $a_{n+1} = 0$  and notice that, necessarily,  $a_n \in \{0, 1\}$ . Thus,  $a_n \geq a_{n+1}$  and  $a_n - a_{n+1} \leq 1$ . Conclude that  $x = (a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_i + 1, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{n+1}) \in \mathcal{A}_{n+1}$ . By the assumptions,  $a_{i-1} = a_i + 1$  and  $a_i + 1 - a_{i+1} = 1$ . Therefore,  $x \in \mathcal{M}_{n+1}$ .  $\square$

### 3 Open questions

The following questions remain open and are left for future research:

1. Is there a closed formula for the maximal coefficients  $(m_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ?
2. Prove or disprove: the sequence  $(s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  returned by Algorithm 1 is equal to  $(m_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

---

**Algorithm 1:** Generate a sequence of monomials.

---

**Input:** The length  $l$  of the desired sequence

**Output:** A sequence  $(r_n)_{n=1}^l$  of monomials and the sequence  $(s_n)_{n=1}^l$  of their corresponding coefficients

$r_1 \leftarrow (1)$

$s_1 \leftarrow 1$

**for**  $n \leftarrow 2$  **to**  $l$  **do**

$r'_n \leftarrow r_{n-1} \cup 0$

$R \leftarrow \{\}$

$S \leftarrow \{\}$

**for**  $i \leftarrow n$  **to**  $1$  **do**

**if**  $(i = n$  **and**  $r'_n[n] \neq r'_n[n-1])$  **or**  
         $(i > 1$  **and**  $r'_n[i] \neq r'_n[i-1]$  **and**  $r'_n[i] = r'_n[i+1])$  **or**  
         $(i = 1$  **and**  $r'_n[1] = r'_n[2])$  **then**

$\text{temp} \leftarrow r'_n$

$\text{temp}[i] \leftarrow \text{temp}[i] + 1$

$R \leftarrow R \cup \text{temp}$

$S \leftarrow S \cup \text{Coefficient}(\text{temp})$

$k \leftarrow \arg \max S$

$r_n \leftarrow R[k]$

$s_n \leftarrow S[k]$

**return**  $(r_n)_{n=1}^l, (s_n)_{n=1}^l$

---

3. The sequence  $(q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  exhibits a nontrivial pattern. In particular, some of its elements are natural. Can we predict for which  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  this happens? Furthermore, certain natural numbers seem to be missing from  $(q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . According to the output of Algorithm 1, for  $n \leq 200$ , these numbers are 15, 51, 54 and 73. Is there a characterization for these numbers?
4. For  $n < 30$ , the maximal coefficient of  $p_n$  is not uniquely attained only for  $n = 2, 5, 6, 12, 13, 14$  and 15. Are these the only cases when this happens? If not, can we predict when?

$n$	$m_n$	$q_n$	$(a_1, \dots, a_n)$
1	1	1	(1)
2	1	2	(1, 1)
3	2	2	(2, 1, 0)
4	4	$\frac{9}{4}$	(2, 1, 1, 0)
5	9	3	(2, 2, 1, 0, 0)
6	27	$\frac{32}{9}$	(2, 2, 1, 1, 0, 0)
7	96	4	(3, 2, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0)
8	384	4	(3, 2, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0)
9	1536	$\frac{625}{128}$	(3, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0)
10	7500	5	(3, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0)
11	37500	$\frac{648}{125}$	(3, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0)
12	194400	6	(3, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
13	1166400	$\frac{16807}{2592}$	(3, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
14	7563150	7	(3, 3, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
15	52942050	$\frac{262144}{36015}$	(3, 3, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
16	385351680	8	(4, 3, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
17	3082813440	$\frac{531441}{65536}$	(4, 3, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
18	24998984640	9	(4, 3, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
19	224990861760	9	(4, 3, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
20	2024917755840	$\frac{5000000}{531441}$	(4, 3, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
21	19051200000000	10	(4, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
22	190512000000000	$\frac{214358881}{21000000}$	(4, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
23	1944663768432000	11	(4, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
24	21391301452752000	$\frac{214990848}{19487171}$	(4, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
25	235998033739776000	12	(4, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
26	2831976404877312000	12	(4, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
27	33983716858527744000	$\frac{10604499373}{85963392}$	(4, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
28	419064703766444736000	13	(4, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
29	5447841148963781568000		(4, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)

Table 2: The maximal coefficients of  $p_n$  and their consecutive quotients. The last column lists a monomial of  $p_n$  whose coefficient is equal to  $m_n$ .

$n$	$(a_1, \dots, a_n)$
2	(2, 0)
5	(3, 1, 1, 0, 0)
6	(3, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0)
12	(3, 3, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0), (4, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
13	(4, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0), (3, 3, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
14	(4, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
15	(4, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)

Table 3: Additional monomials of  $p_n$  whose coefficients are equal to  $m_n$  for  $n < 30$ .



## 4 Acknowledgments

We thank the anonymous referee for the careful reading of the manuscript and for the helpful suggestions.

## References

- [1] G. E. Andrews, *The Theory of Partitions*, Cambridge University Press, 1984.
- [2] T. H. Cormen, C. E. Leiserson, R. L. Rivest, and C. Stein, *Introduction to Algorithms*, MIT Press, 2009.
- [3] D. DeTemple and W. Webb, *Combinatorial Reasoning: An Introduction to the Art of Counting*, John Wiley & Sons, 2014.
- [4] P. Flajolet and R. Sedgewick, *Analytic Combinatorics*, Cambridge University Press, 2009.
- [5] S. Fried, On the restrictiveness of the usual stochastic order and the likelihood ratio order, *Statist. Probab. Lett.* **170** (2021), Article 109012.
- [6] G. H. Hardy and S. Ramanujan, Asymptotic formulae in combinatory analysis, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **17** (1918), 75–115.
- [7] H. Rademacher, On the partition function  $p(n)$ , *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **43** (1938), 241–254.
- [8] J. O. Shallit, Elementary Problem E2972: Another appearance of the Catalan numbers, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **93** (1982), 217–218.
- [9] R. P. Stanley, *Catalan Numbers*, Cambridge University Press, 2015.
- [10] Y. V. Uspensky, Asymptotic expressions of numerical functions occurring in problems concerning the partition of numbers into summands, *Bull. Acad. Sci. de Russie* **14** (1920), 199–218.

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 05A99; Secondary 11P81, 90C27.

*Keywords*: Catalan number, combinatorial optimization problem, integer partition, multivariate polynomial.

---

(Concerned with sequences [A000009](#), [A000108](#), [A000142](#), [A001563](#), [A052571](#), [A062119](#), [A144186](#), [A144187](#), [A299504](#), [A347917](#) and [A349404](#).)

---

Received November 20 2021; revised versions received November 21 2021; March 28 2022.  
Published in *Journal of Integer Sequences*, March 30 2022.

---

Return to [Journal of Integer Sequences home page](#).