



On the Least Common Multiple of Shifted Powers

Carlo Sanna

Politecnico di Torino

Department of Mathematical Sciences

Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24

10129 Torino

Italy

carlo.sanna.dev@gmail.com

Abstract

We determine the asymptotic behavior of $\log \text{lcm}(a + s_1, a^2 + s_2, \dots, a^n + s_n)$, for $a \geq 2$ an integer and $(s_n)_{n \geq 1}$ a periodic sequence in $\{-1, +1\}$. We also carry out the same analysis for $(s_n)_{n \geq 1}$ a sequence of independent and uniformly distributed random variables in $\{-1, +1\}$.

1 Introduction

Let $(F_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be the sequence of Fibonacci numbers, defined recursively by $F_1 = 1$, $F_2 = 1$, and $F_{n+2} = F_{n+1} + F_n$, for every integer $n \geq 1$. Matiyasevich and Guy [10] proved that

$$\log \text{lcm}(F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n) \sim \frac{3 \log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)}{\pi^2} \cdot n^2,$$

as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, where lcm denotes the least common multiple. This result was generalized to Lucas sequences, Lehmer sequences, and other sequences with special divisibility properties [1–4, 6, 8, 9, 16]. In particular, for every integer $a \geq 2$ we have

$$\log \text{lcm}(a - 1, a^2 - 1, \dots, a^n - 1) \sim \frac{3 \log a}{\pi^2} \cdot n^2 \quad (1)$$

and

$$\log \text{lcm}(a+1, a^2+1, \dots, a^n+1) \sim \frac{4 \log a}{\pi^2} \cdot n^2, \quad (2)$$

as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Precisely, (1) follows from [9, Lemma 3] applied to the Lucas sequence $(\frac{a^n-1}{a-1})_{n \geq 1}$, while (2) follows from [6, Théorème] applied to the companion Lucas sequence $(a^n+1)_{n \geq 1}$.

We generalize (1) and (2) by giving asymptotic formulas for least common multiples of sequences of *shifted powers* $(a^n + s_n)_{n \geq 1}$, where $(s_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a sequence of *shifts* in $\{-1, +1\}$. This is somehow similar to a previous work of the author [14], in which least common multiples of the sequence of shifted Fibonacci numbers $(F_n + s_n)_{n \geq 1}$ were studied.

Our first result regards periodic sequences of shifts.

Theorem 1. *Let $a \geq 2$ be an integer and let $\mathbf{s} = (s_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a periodic sequence in $\{-1, +1\}$. Then there exists an effectively computable rational number $C_{\mathbf{s}} > 0$ such that*

$$\log \text{lcm}(a + s_1, a^2 + s_2, \dots, a^n + s_n) \sim C_{\mathbf{s}} \cdot \frac{\log a}{\pi^2} \cdot n^2, \quad (3)$$

as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

By “effectively computable” we mean that there exists an algorithm that, given as input the period of the periodic sequence \mathbf{s} , returns as output the numerator and denominator of the rational number $C_{\mathbf{s}}$. Indeed, we implemented such algorithm and we computed the constant $C_{\mathbf{s}}$ for periodic sequences with short period, see Table 1.

\mathbf{s}	$C_{\mathbf{s}}$	\mathbf{s}	$C_{\mathbf{s}}$	\mathbf{s}	$C_{\mathbf{s}}$	\mathbf{s}	$C_{\mathbf{s}}$
-	3	-+--	27/8	---++	319/96	++++-	733/216
+	4	-++-	125/36	---+-	487/144	+++++	769/216
--	4	-+++	38/9	---++	7687/2160	++++-	487/144
+-	3	----	3	----	101/32	+++++	7687/2160
---+	13/4	+++	7/2	----+	319/96	++++-	2123/576
-+-	105/32	+++	7/2	-----	487/144	+++++	2219/576
++	173/48	++--	125/36	----+	7687/2160	++++-	487/144
+-	105/32	++++	38/9	----	733/216	+++++	7687/2160
++	173/48	+++-	27/8	----+	769/216	++++-	2123/576
++-	47/12	----+	19/6	----	2123/576	+++++	2219/576
----+	7/2	-----	101/32	----+	2219/576	++++-	2123/576
----	3	----+	319/96	----	101/32	+++++	2219/576
---+	7/2	----	101/32	----+	319/96	++++-	39/10

Table 1: Values of $C_{\mathbf{s}}$ for periodic sequences \mathbf{s} of period at most 5.

Our second result is an asymptotic formula for random sequences of shifts (see [5, 7, 12, 13] for similar results on least common multiples of random sequences).

Theorem 2. Let $a \geq 2$ be an integer and let $(s_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of independent and uniformly distributed random variables in $\{-1, +1\}$. Then

$$\log \operatorname{lcm}(a + s_1, a^2 + s_2, \dots, a^n + s_n) \sim 6 \operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot \frac{\log a}{\pi^2} \cdot n^2, \quad (4)$$

with probability $1 - o(1)$, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, where $\operatorname{Li}_2(z) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z^k/k^2$ is the dilogarithm function.

Remark 1. It is known that $\operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = (\pi^2 - 6(\log 2)^2)/12$ (see, e.g., [17]), but in (4) we preferred to keep explicit the factor $6 \operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ in order to ease the comparison with (1), (2), and (3). Numerically, we have $6 \operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = 3.493443\dots$ so that the right-hand side of (4) is a bit less than the arithmetic mean of the right-hand sides of (1) and (2).

We leave the following questions to the interested reader:

Question 1. Is there a simple characterization of the set \mathcal{E} of sequences $\mathbf{s} = (s_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in $\{-1, +1\}$ such that the limit

$$L(\mathbf{s}) := \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log \operatorname{lcm}(a + s_1, a^2 + s_2, \dots, a^n + s_n)}{(\log a/\pi^2) \cdot n^2}$$

exists? (It follows from Lemma 3 below that $L(\mathbf{s})$ does not depend on a .)

Question 2. What is the image $L(\mathcal{E})$?

Question 3. Does (an appropriate normalization of) the random variable on the left-hand side of (4) converge to some known distribution?

2 Notation

We employ the Landau-Bachmann “Big Oh” and “little oh” notations O and o , as well as the associated Vinogradov symbol \ll , with their usual meanings. For real random variables X_n and Y_n , depending on n , we say that “ $X_n \sim Y_n$ with probability $1 - o(1)$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ ” if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ we have $\mathbb{P}[|X_n - Y_n| > \varepsilon|Y_n|] = o_\varepsilon(1)$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. We let $[m, n]$ and (m, n) denote the least common multiple and the greatest common divisor, respectively, of the two integers m and n . We reserve the letter p for prime numbers, and we let $\nu_p(n)$ denote the p -adic valuation of the positive integer n , that is, the exponent of p in the prime factorization of n . We write $\varphi(n)$ and $\tau(n)$ for the Euler function and the number of positive divisors, respectively, of a natural number n .

3 Preliminaries

Hereafter, let $a \geq 2$ be a fixed integer. Define the n th cyclotomic polynomial by

$$\Phi_n(X) := \prod_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ (n,k)=1}} \left(X - e^{\frac{2\pi i k}{n}} \right), \quad (5)$$

for every integer $n \geq 1$. It is well known that $\Phi_n(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$. Moreover, from (5) we get that

$$a^n - 1 = \prod_{d \in \mathcal{D}^-(n)} \Phi_d(a) \quad \text{and} \quad a^n + 1 = \prod_{d \in \mathcal{D}^+(n)} \Phi_d(a), \quad (6)$$

for every integer $n \geq 1$, where $\mathcal{D}^-(n) := \{d \in \mathbb{N} : d \mid n\}$ and $\mathcal{D}^+(n) := \mathcal{D}^-(2n) \setminus \mathcal{D}^-(n)$.

We need two results about the sequence of integers $(\Phi_d(a))_{d \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Lemma 1. *We have $(\Phi_m(a), \Phi_n(a)) \mid m$, for all integers $m > n \geq 1$.*

Proof. Let $d := (\Phi_m(a), \Phi_n(a))$. By (6) we have that d divides $(a^m - 1, a^n - 1) = a^{(m,n)} - 1$. Moreover, since $(m, n) < m$, by (6) again we have that

$$d \mid \Phi_m(a) \mid \frac{a^m - 1}{a^{(m,n)} - 1} \equiv 1 + a^{(m,n)} + (a^{(m,n)})^2 + \dots + (a^{(m,n)})^{\frac{m}{(m,n)} - 1} \equiv \frac{m}{(m,n)} \pmod{d}.$$

Consequently, d divides $m/(m, n)$ and, a fortiori, d divides m . □

Lemma 2. *We have $\log \Phi_n(a) = \varphi(n) \log a + O_a(1)$, for every integer $n \geq 1$.*

Proof. See [11, Lemma 2.1(iii)]. □

For every sequence $\mathbf{s} = (s_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in $\{-1, +1\}$, let us define

$$\ell_{a,\mathbf{s}}(n) := \text{lcm}(a + s_1, a^2 + s_2, \dots, a^n + s_n)$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{s}}(n) := \bigcup_{k \leq n} \mathcal{D}^{(s_k)}(k),$$

for all integers $n \geq 1$.

The next lemma will be fundamental in the proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2.

Lemma 3. *We have*

$$\log \ell_{a,\mathbf{s}}(n) = \sum_{d \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{s}}(n)} \varphi(d) \log a + O_a\left(\frac{n^2}{\log n}\right),$$

for every integer $n \geq 2$.

Proof. For every integer $m \geq 1$, write $m = m^{(\leq)} \cdot m^{(>)}$, where $m^{(\leq)}$, respectively $m^{(>)}$, is a positive integer having all the prime factors not exceeding $2n$, respectively greater than $2n$.

Suppose that $p^v \parallel \ell_{a,\mathbf{s}}(n)$, for some prime number $p \leq 2n$ and some integer $v \geq 1$. Then $p^v \mid a^k + s_k$ for some positive integer $k \leq n$, and consequently $p^v \leq a^{n+1}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \log \ell_{a,\mathbf{s}}^{(\leq)}(n) &= \log \left(\prod_{\substack{p^v \parallel \ell_{a,\mathbf{s}}(n) \\ p \leq 2n}} p^v \right) \leq \log \left(\prod_{\substack{p^v \parallel \ell_{a,\mathbf{s}}(n) \\ p \leq 2n}} a^{n+1} \right) \\ &\leq \#\{p : p \leq 2n\} \cdot (n+1) \log a \ll_a \frac{n^2}{\log n}, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

since the number of primes not exceeding $2n$ is $O(n/\log n)$.

On the one hand, in light of Lemma 1, the integers $\Phi_1^{(>)}(a), \dots, \Phi_{2n}^{(>)}(a)$ are pairwise coprime. Hence, also using (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_{a,s}^{(>)}(n) &= \operatorname{lcm}_{k=1,\dots,n} (a^k + s_k)^{(>)} = \operatorname{lcm}_{k=1,\dots,n} \prod_{d \in \mathcal{D}^{(s_k)}(n)} \Phi_d^{(>)}(a) \\ &= \operatorname{lcm}_{k=1,\dots,n} \operatorname{lcm}_{d \in \mathcal{D}^{(s_k)}(n)} \Phi_d^{(>)}(a) = \operatorname{lcm}_{d \in \mathcal{L}_s(n)} \Phi_d^{(>)}(a) \mid \operatorname{lcm}_{d \in \mathcal{L}_s(n)} \Phi_d(a). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

On the other hand, using (6) again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{lcm}_{d \in \mathcal{L}_s(n)} \Phi_d(a) &= \operatorname{lcm}_{k=1,\dots,n} \operatorname{lcm}_{d \in \mathcal{D}^{(s_k)}(n)} \Phi_d(a) \mid \operatorname{lcm}_{k=1,\dots,n} \prod_{d \in \mathcal{D}^{(s_k)}(n)} \Phi_d(a) \\ &= \operatorname{lcm}_{k=1,\dots,n} (a^k + s_k) = \ell_{a,s}(n). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Therefore, putting together (7), (8), and (9), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \log \ell_{a,s}(n) &= \log \left(\prod_{d \in \mathcal{L}_s(n)} \Phi_d(a) \right) + O(\log \ell_{a,s}^{(\leq)}(n)) \\ &= \log \left(\prod_{d \in \mathcal{L}_s(n)} \Phi_d(a) \right) + O_a \left(\frac{n^2}{\log n} \right) \\ &= \sum_{d \in \mathcal{L}_s(n)} \varphi(d) \log a + O_a(\#\mathcal{L}_s(n)) + O_a \left(\frac{n^2}{\log n} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we used Lemma 2. The claim follows since $\mathcal{L}_s(n) \subseteq [1, 2n]$ and so $\#\mathcal{L}_s(n) \leq 2n$. \square

For all integers $r \geq 0$ and $m \geq 1$, and for every $x \geq 1$, let us define the arithmetic progression

$$\mathcal{A}_{r,m}(x) := \{n \leq x : n \equiv r \pmod{m}\}.$$

We need an asymptotic formula for a sum of the Euler totient function over an arithmetic progression.

Lemma 4. *Let r, m be positive integers and let $z \in [0, 1)$. Then we have*

$$\sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}_{r,m}(x)} \varphi(n) (1 - z^{\lfloor x/n \rfloor}) = \frac{3}{\pi^2} \cdot c_{r,m} \cdot \frac{(1-z) \operatorname{Li}_2(z)}{z} \cdot x^2 + O_{r,m}(x(\log x)^2),$$

for every $x \geq 2$, where

$$c_{r,m} := \frac{1}{m} \prod_{\substack{p \mid m \\ p \nmid r}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} \prod_{\substack{p \mid m \\ p \nmid r}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^2}\right)^{-1},$$

while for $z = 0$ the factor involving $\operatorname{Li}_2(z)$ is meant to be equal to 1, and the error term can be improved to $O_{r,m}(x \log x)$.

Proof. See [14, Lemma 3.4, Lemma 3.5]. \square

4 Proof of Theorem 1

For all integers $r, m \geq 1$, let us define the sets

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_{r,m}^- &:= \{t \in \{1, \dots, 2m\} : \exists v \geq 1 \text{ s.t. } tv \equiv r \pmod{m}\}, \\ \mathcal{T}_{r,m}^+ &:= \{t \in \{1, \dots, 2m\} : 2 \mid t, \exists v \geq 1 \text{ s.t. } 2 \nmid v \text{ and } \frac{t}{2}v \equiv r \pmod{m}\}\end{aligned}$$

and the associated values

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_{r,m}^-(t) &:= (\min\{v \geq 1 : tv \equiv r \pmod{m}\})^{-1} && \text{for each } t \in \mathcal{T}_{r,m}^-, \\ \theta_{r,m}^+(t) &:= 2(\min\{v \geq 1 : 2 \nmid v \text{ and } \frac{t}{2}v \equiv r \pmod{m}\})^{-1} && \text{for each } t \in \mathcal{T}_{r,m}^+.\end{aligned}$$

The next lemma regards unions of $\mathcal{D}^-(k)$, respectively $\mathcal{D}^+(k)$, with $k \in \mathcal{A}_{r,m}(x)$.

Lemma 5. *Let $r, m \geq 1$ be integers and let $u \in \{-1, +1\}$. Then we have*

$$\bigcup_{k \in \mathcal{A}_{r,m}(x)} \mathcal{D}^{(u)}(k) = \bigcup_{t \in \mathcal{T}_{r,m}^{(u)}} \mathcal{A}_{t,2m}(\theta_{r,m}^{(u)}(t)x),$$

for every $x \geq 1$.

Proof. For $u = +1$, the claim is [14, Lemma 3.3]. For $u = -1$, the claim is [14, Lemma 3.2], taking into account that $\mathcal{A}_{t,m}(x) = \mathcal{A}_{t,2m}(x) \cup \mathcal{A}_{t+m,2m}(x)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\mathbf{s} = (s_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a periodic sequence in $\{-1, +1\}$, and let m be the length of its period. Moreover, let $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{s}}^{(u)} := \{r \in \{1, \dots, m\} : s_r = u\}$ for $u \in \{-1, +1\}$.

By periodicity of \mathbf{s} and by Lemma 5, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{s}}(n) &= \bigcup_{u \in \{-1, +1\}} \bigcup_{r \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{s}}^{(u)}} \bigcup_{k \in \mathcal{A}_{r,m}(n)} \mathcal{D}^{(u)}(k) \\ &= \bigcup_{u \in \{-1, +1\}} \bigcup_{r \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{s}}^{(u)}} \bigcup_{t \in \mathcal{T}_{r,m}^{(u)}} \mathcal{A}_{t,2m}(\theta_{r,m}^{(u)}(t)n) \\ &= \bigcup_{t \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{s}}} \mathcal{A}_{t,2m}(\theta_{\mathbf{s}}(t)n)\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{s}} := \bigcup_{u \in \{-1, +1\}} \bigcup_{r \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{s}}^{(u)}} \mathcal{T}_{r,m}^{(u)} = \bigcup_{r=1}^m \mathcal{T}_{r,m}^{(s_r)}$$

and

$$\theta_{\mathbf{s}}(t) := \max\{\theta_{r,m}^{(u)}(t) : t \in \mathcal{T}_{r,m}^{(u)} \text{ for some } u \in \{-1, +1\}, r \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{s}}^{(u)}\}.$$

Hence, from Lemma 3 and Lemma 4 (with $z = 0$), we get that

$$\begin{aligned}\log \ell_{a,\mathbf{s}}(n) &= \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{s}}} \sum_{d \in \mathcal{A}_{t,2m}(\theta_{\mathbf{s}}(t)n)} \varphi(d) \log a + O_a\left(\frac{n^2}{\log n}\right) \\ &= C_{\mathbf{s}} \cdot \frac{\log a}{\pi^2} \cdot n^2 + O_{a,m}\left(\frac{n^2}{\log n}\right),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_{\mathbf{s}} := 3 \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{s}}} c_{t,2m} \theta_{\mathbf{s}}(t)^2$$

is a positive rational number effectively computable in terms of s_1, \dots, s_m . \square

Example 1. Let \mathbf{s} be the periodic sequence with period $-1, +1, +1, +1$ of length $m = 4$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_{1,4}^- &= \{1, 3, 5, 7\}, & \theta_{1,4}^-(1) &= 1, & \theta_{1,4}^-(3) &= \frac{1}{3}, & \theta_{1,4}^-(5) &= 1, & \theta_{1,4}^-(7) &= \frac{1}{3}, \\ \mathcal{T}_{2,4}^+ &= \{4\}, & \theta_{2,4}^+(4) &= 2, \\ \mathcal{T}_{3,4}^+ &= \{2, 6\}, & \theta_{3,4}^+(2) &= \frac{2}{3}, & \theta_{3,4}^+(6) &= 2, \\ \mathcal{T}_{4,4}^+ &= \{8\}, & \theta_{4,4}^+(8) &= 2,\end{aligned}$$

so that $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{s}} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8\}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_{\mathbf{s}}(1) &= 1, & \theta_{\mathbf{s}}(2) &= \frac{2}{3}, & \theta_{\mathbf{s}}(3) &= \frac{1}{3}, & \theta_{\mathbf{s}}(4) &= 2, \\ \theta_{\mathbf{s}}(5) &= 1, & \theta_{\mathbf{s}}(6) &= 2, & \theta_{\mathbf{s}}(7) &= \frac{1}{3}, & \theta_{\mathbf{s}}(8) &= 2.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $c_{r,8} = \frac{1}{6}$ if r is odd, and $c_{r,8} = \frac{1}{12}$ if r is even. Therefore, we have

$$C_{\mathbf{s}} = 3 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{6} \cdot 1^2 + \frac{1}{12} \cdot \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{6} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{12} \cdot 2^2 + \frac{1}{6} \cdot 1^2 + \frac{1}{12} \cdot 2^2 + \frac{1}{6} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{12} \cdot 2^2 \right) = \frac{38}{9}.$$

5 Proof of Theorem 2

Let $\mathbf{s} = (s_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of independent and uniformly distributed random variables in $\{-1, +1\}$. Moreover, define

$$I_{\mathbf{s}}(n, d) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } d \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{s}}(n); \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for all integers $n, d \geq 1$. The next lemma gives two expected values involving $I_{\mathbf{s}}(n, d)$.

Lemma 6. *We have*

$$\mathbb{E}[I_{\mathbf{s}}(n, d)] = 1 - 2^{-\lfloor n(2,d)/d \rfloor} \tag{10}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[I_{\mathbf{s}}(n, d_1)I_{\mathbf{s}}(n, d_2)] &= 1 - 2^{-\lfloor n(2, d_1)/d_1 \rfloor} - 2^{-\lfloor n(2, d_2)/d_2 \rfloor} \\ &\quad + 2^{-\lfloor n(2, d_1)/d_1 \rfloor - \lfloor n(2, d_2)/d_2 \rfloor + \lfloor n(2, [d_1, d_2])/[d_1, d_2] \rfloor} \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \nu_2(d_1) = \nu_2(d_2); \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

for all integers $d, d_1, d_2 \geq 1$.

Proof. On the one hand, by the definitions of $I_{\mathbf{s}}(n, d)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{s}}(n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[I_{\mathbf{s}}(n, d)] &= \mathbb{P}[d \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{s}}(n)] = 1 - \mathbb{P}\left[\bigwedge_{k \leq n} (d \notin \mathcal{D}^{(s_k)}(k))\right] = 1 - \mathbb{P}\left[\bigwedge_{\substack{k \leq n \\ d | 2k}} (d \notin \mathcal{D}^{(s_k)}(k))\right] \\ &= 1 - \mathbb{P}\left[\bigwedge_{\substack{k \leq n \\ d | 2k}} ((d | k \wedge s_k = +1) \vee (d \nmid k \wedge s_k = -1))\right] = 1 - 2^{-\#\{k \leq n : d | 2k\}} \\ &= 1 - 2^{-\lfloor n(2, d)/d \rfloor}, \end{aligned}$$

which is (10).

On the other hand, by linearity of the expectation and by (10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[I_{\mathbf{s}}(n, d_1)I_{\mathbf{s}}(n, d_2)] &= \mathbb{E}[I_{\mathbf{s}}(n, d_1) + I_{\mathbf{s}}(n, d_2) - 1 + (1 - I_{\mathbf{s}}(n, d_1))(1 - I_{\mathbf{s}}(n, d_2))] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[I_{\mathbf{s}}(n, d_1)] + \mathbb{E}[I_{\mathbf{s}}(n, d_2)] - 1 + \mathbb{E}[(1 - I_{\mathbf{s}}(n, d_1))(1 - I_{\mathbf{s}}(n, d_2))] \\ &= 1 - 2^{-\lfloor n(2, d_1)/d_1 \rfloor} - 2^{-\lfloor n(2, d_2)/d_2 \rfloor} + \mathbb{P}[d_1 \notin \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{s}}(n) \wedge d_2 \notin \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{s}}(n)]. \quad (11) \end{aligned}$$

Let P be the probability at the end of (11).

Suppose for a moment that $[d_1, d_2] \leq 2n$ and that d_1 and d_2 have different 2-adic valuations, say $\nu_2(d_1) < \nu_2(d_2)$, without loss of generality. Let $h := [d_1, d_2]/2$ and note that h is an integer not exceeding n . Furthermore, $d_1 \in \mathcal{D}^-(h)$ and $d_2 \in \mathcal{D}^+(h)$. Hence, no matter the value of s_h , at least one of d_1, d_2 belongs to $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{s}}(n)$, and consequently $P = 0$.

Now suppose that $[d_1, d_2] > 2n$ or $\nu_2(d_1) = \nu_2(d_2)$. In the second case, note that for every integer k such that $[d_1, d_2] | 2k$ we have that either $d_1 | k$ and $d_2 | k$, or $d_1 \nmid k$ and $d_2 \nmid k$.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
P &= \mathbb{P} \left[\begin{aligned} &\bigwedge_{\substack{k \leq n \\ d_1 | 2k \wedge d_2 \nmid 2k}} \left((d_1 | k \wedge s_k = +1) \vee (d_1 \nmid k \wedge s_k = -1) \right) \\ &\wedge \bigwedge_{\substack{k \leq n \\ d_1 \nmid 2k \wedge d_2 | 2k}} \left((d_2 | k \wedge s_k = +1) \vee (d_2 \nmid k \wedge s_k = -1) \right) \\ &\wedge \bigwedge_{\substack{k \leq n \\ d_1 | 2k \wedge d_2 | 2k}} \left((d_1 | k \wedge d_2 | k \wedge s_k = +1) \vee (d_1 \nmid k \wedge d_2 \nmid k \wedge s_k = -1) \right) \end{aligned} \right] \\
&= 2^{-\#\{k \leq n : d_1 | 2k \vee d_2 | 2k\}} \\
&= 2^{-\lfloor n(2, d_1)/d_1 \rfloor - \lfloor n(2, d_2)/d_2 \rfloor + \lfloor n(2, [d_1, d_2])/[d_1, d_2] \rfloor},
\end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete. \square

The following lemma is a simple upper bound for a sum of greatest common divisors.

Lemma 7. *We have*

$$\sum_{[d_1, d_2] \leq n} (d_1, d_2) \ll n^2,$$

for every integer $n \geq 1$.

Proof. Let $a_i := d_i/d$ for $i = 1, 2$, where $d := (d_1, d_2)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{[d_1, d_2] \leq n} (d_1, d_2) &= \sum_{d \leq n} d \sum_{\substack{a_1 a_2 \leq n/d \\ (a_1, a_2) = 1}} 1 \leq \sum_{d \leq n} d \sum_{m \leq n/d} \tau(m) \\
&\ll n \sum_{d \leq n} \log\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) = n(n \log n - \log(n!)) < n^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the upper bound $\sum_{m \leq x} \tau(m) \ll x \log x$ (see, e.g., [15, Ch. I.3, Theorem 3.2]) and the inequality $n! > (n/e)^n$. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. Let us define the random variable

$$X := \sum_{d \leq 2n} \varphi(d) I_{\mathbf{s}}(n, d).$$

From the linearity of expectation, Lemma 6, and Lemma 4, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[X] &:= \sum_{d \leq 2n} \varphi(d) \mathbb{E}[I_{\mathbf{s}}(n, d)] \\
&= \sum_{d \leq 2n} \varphi(d) (1 - 2^{-\lfloor n(2, d)/d \rfloor}) \\
&= \sum_{d \in \mathcal{A}_{1,2}(n)} \varphi(d) (1 - 2^{-\lfloor n/d \rfloor}) + \sum_{d \in \mathcal{A}_{2,2}(2n)} \varphi(d) (1 - 2^{-\lfloor 2n/d \rfloor}) \\
&= \frac{3}{\pi^2} (c_{1,2} + 4c_{2,2}) \operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) n^2 + O(n(\log n)^2) \\
&= \frac{6}{\pi^2} \operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) n^2 + O(n(\log n)^2). \tag{12}
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, from Lemma 6 and Lemma 7, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{V}[X] &= \mathbb{E}[X^2] - \mathbb{E}[X]^2 \\
&= \sum_{d_1, d_2 \leq 2n} \varphi(d_1) \varphi(d_2) \left(\mathbb{E}[I_{\mathbf{s}}(n, d_1) I_{\mathbf{s}}(n, d_2)] - \mathbb{E}[I_{\mathbf{s}}(n, d_1)] \mathbb{E}[I_{\mathbf{s}}(n, d_2)] \right) \\
&\leq \sum_{[d_1, d_2] \leq 2n} d_1 d_2 2^{-\lfloor n(2, d_1)/d_1 \rfloor - \lfloor n(2, d_2)/d_2 \rfloor + \lfloor n(2, [d_1, d_2])/[d_1, d_2] \rfloor} (1 - 2^{-\lfloor n(2, [d_1, d_2])/[d_1, d_2] \rfloor}) \\
&\leq \sum_{[d_1, d_2] \leq 2n} d_1 d_2 \left[\frac{n(2, [d_1, d_2])}{[d_1, d_2]} \right] \ll n \sum_{[d_1, d_2] \leq 2n} (d_1, d_2) \ll n^3, \tag{13}
\end{aligned}$$

where we also used the inequality $1 - 2^{-k} \leq k/2$, which holds for every integer $k \geq 0$.

Therefore, by Chebyshev's inequality, (12), and (13), it follows that

$$\mathbb{P}\left[|X - \mathbb{E}[X]| > \varepsilon \mathbb{E}[X]\right] \leq \frac{\mathbb{V}[X]}{(\varepsilon \mathbb{E}[X])^2} \ll \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2 n} = o_\varepsilon(1),$$

as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Hence, again by (12), we have

$$X \sim \mathbb{E}[X] \sim \frac{6}{\pi^2} \operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) n^2,$$

with probability $1 - o(1)$. Finally, thanks to Lemma 3, we have

$$\log \ell_{a, \mathbf{s}}(n) = X \log a + O_a\left(\frac{n^2}{\log n}\right),$$

and the asymptotic formula (4) follows. \square

6 Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to the anonymous referee for providing many suggestions that improved the quality of the paper. The author is a member of GNSAGA of INdAM and of CryptTO, the group of Cryptography and Number Theory of Politecnico di Torino.

References

- [1] S. Akiyama, Lehmer numbers and an asymptotic formula for π , *J. Number Theory* **36** (1990), 328–331.
- [2] S. Akiyama, A new type of inclusion exclusion principle for sequences and asymptotic formulas for $\zeta(k)$, *J. Number Theory* **45** (1993), 200–214.
- [3] S. Akiyama, A criterion to estimate the least common multiple of sequences and asymptotic formulas for $\zeta(3)$ arising from recurrence relation of an elliptic function, *Japan. J. Math. (N.S.)* **22** (1996), 129–146.
- [4] S. Akiyama and F. Luca, On the least common multiple of Lucas subsequences, *Acta Arith.* **161** (2013), 327–349.
- [5] G. Alsmeyer, Z. Kabluchko, and A. Marynych, Limit theorems for the least common multiple of a random set of integers, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **372** (2019), 4585–4603.
- [6] J.-P. Bézivin, Plus petit commun multiple des termes consécutifs d’une suite récurrente linéaire, *Collect. Math.* **40** (1989), 1–11 (1990).
- [7] J. Cilleruelo, J. Rué, P. Šarka, and A. Zumalacárregui, The least common multiple of random sets of positive integers, *J. Number Theory* **144** (2014), 92–104.
- [8] J. P. Jones and P. Kiss, An asymptotic formula concerning Lehmer numbers, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **42** (1993), 199–213.
- [9] P. Kiss and F. Mátyás, An asymptotic formula for π , *J. Number Theory* **31** (1989), 255–259.
- [10] Y. V. Matiyasevich and R. K. Guy, A new formula for π , *Amer. Math. Monthly* **93** (1986), 631–635.
- [11] C. Sanna, Practical numbers in Lucas sequences, *Quaest. Math.* **42** (2019), 977–983.
- [12] C. Sanna, On the l.c.m. of random terms of binary recurrence sequences, *J. Number Theory* **213** (2020), 221–231.
- [13] C. Sanna, On the least common multiple of random q -integers, *Res. Number Theory* **7** (2021), 16.
- [14] C. Sanna, On the l.c.m. of shifted Fibonacci numbers, *Int. J. Number Theory* (in press) <https://doi.org/10.1142/S1793042121500743>.
- [15] G. Tenenbaum, *Introduction to Analytic and Probabilistic Number Theory*, 3rd edition, Vol. 163 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*, American Mathematical Society, 2015.

- [16] B. Tropic, Some asymptotic properties of Lucas numbers, In *Proceedings of the Regional Mathematical Conference (Kalsk, 1988)*, pp. 49–55. Pedagog. Univ. Zielona Góra, 1990.
- [17] D. Zagier, The dilogarithm function. In *Frontiers in Number Theory, Physics, and Geometry. II*, pp. 3–65. Springer, 2007.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11B39; Secondary 11B37, 11N37.

Keywords: asymptotic formula, least common multiple, power.

Received March 24 2021; revised versions received May 22 2021; June 12 2021. Published June 12 2021.

Return to [Journal of Integer Sequences home page](#).