



# A Note on Sumsets and Restricted Sumsets

Jagannath Bhanja  
Harish-Chandra Research Institute  
Chhatnag Road, Jhunsi  
Prayagraj 211019  
India

[jagannathbhanja@hri.res.in](mailto:jagannathbhanja@hri.res.in)

## Abstract

In this note we find the optimal lower bound for the size of the sumsets  $hA$  and  $h\hat{A}$  over finite sets  $H, A$  of nonnegative integers, where  $hA = \bigcup_{h \in H} hA$  and  $h\hat{A} = \bigcup_{h \in H} h\hat{A}$ . We also find the underlying algebraic structure of the sets  $A$  and  $H$  for which the size of the sumsets  $hA$  and  $h\hat{A}$  is minimum.

## 1 Introduction

For a given finite set  $A$  of integers and for a positive integer  $h$ , the *sumset*  $hA$  and the *restricted sumset*  $h\hat{A}$  are fundamental objects in the field of *additive number theory*. The sumset  $hA$  is the set of integers that can be written as the sum of  $h$  elements of  $A$ , whereas the sumset  $h\hat{A}$  is the set of integers that can be written as the sum of  $h$  *pairwise distinct* elements of  $A$ . In this regard, two of the important problems in additive number theory are to find the best possible lower bounds for the size of the sumsets  $hA$  and  $h\hat{A}$ , and to find the structure of the finite set  $A$  for which the sumsets  $hA$  and  $h\hat{A}$  contain the minimum number of elements. These two problems have been well established in the group of integers [4, 5].

**Theorem 1.** [5, Theorem 1.4, Theorem 1.6] *Let  $A$  be a finite set of  $k$  integers. Let  $h$  be a positive integer. Then*

$$|hA| \geq h(k - 1) + 1.$$

*Moreover, if this lower bound is exact with  $h \geq 2$ , then  $A$  is an arithmetic progression.*

**Theorem 2.** [4, Theorem 1, Theorem 2] Let  $A$  be a finite set of  $k$  integers. Let  $h \leq k$  be a positive integer. Then

$$|h\hat{A}| \geq h(k - h) + 1.$$

Moreover, if this lower bound is exact with  $k \geq 5$  and  $2 \leq h \leq k - 2$ , then  $A$  is an arithmetic progression.

Now let  $H$  be a given finite set of nonnegative integers. Define the sumset [1, p. 175]

$$HA := \bigcup_{h \in H} hA,$$

and the restricted sumset

$$H\hat{A} := \bigcup_{h \in H} h\hat{A}.$$

Here we are assuming that  $0A = 0\hat{A} = \{0\}$ .

For a set  $A$  and for an integer  $c$ , we let  $c \cdot A = \{ca : a \in A\}$ . For integers  $a, b$  with  $a \leq b$ , we also let  $[a, b] = \{a, a + 1, \dots, b\}$ .

The case  $H = [0, r]$  is more interesting and has been studied before (for recent papers, see [1, 2, 3]). Bajnok [1] defined the sumsets for an arbitrary finite set  $H$  of nonnegative integers, and asked to study similar problems like the sumsets  $hA$  and  $h\hat{A}$  over finite abelian groups. That is, find the optimal lower bound for the size of the sumsets  $HA$  and  $H\hat{A}$ , and the structure of the sets  $H, A$  for which the sumsets  $HA, H\hat{A}$  contain the minimum number of elements.

In this note, we study these two problems for the sumset  $HA$  in Section 2, and the sumset  $H\hat{A}$  in Section 3, for finite sets  $A$  of nonnegative integers (or nonpositive integers) and  $H$  of nonnegative integers. We consider two separate cases, namely

- (i) the set  $A$  consists of positive integers and
- (ii) the set  $A$  consists of nonnegative integers.

The cases

- (iii) the set  $A$  consists of negative integers and
- (iv) the set  $A$  consists of nonpositive integers,

follow from the cases (i) and (ii), respectively, as  $H(c \cdot A) = c \cdot HA$  and  $H(c \cdot \hat{A}) = c \cdot H\hat{A}$  for arbitrary integers  $c$ . As consequences of our results we obtain some recent results in this direction.

In Section 2 and Section 3, we use the following notation: for a set  $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{k-1}, s_k\}$  with  $k \geq 2$  and  $s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_{k-1} < s_k$ , we write  $\min(S) = s_1$ ,  $\min_+(S) = s_2$ ,  $\max(S) = s_k$ , and  $\max_-(S) = s_{k-1}$ .

## 2 Regular sumset

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $A$  be a set of  $k$  positive integers. Let  $H$  be a set of  $r$  positive integers with  $\max(H) = h_r$ . Then*

$$|HA| \geq h_r(k-1) + r. \quad (1)$$

*This lower bound is optimal.*

*Proof.* Let  $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}$  and  $H = \{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_r\}$ , where  $0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_k$  and  $0 < h_1 < h_2 < \dots < h_r$ . Set

$$S_1 := h_1 A \quad (2)$$

and

$$S_i := (h_i - h_{i-1})A + h_{i-1}a_k, \quad (3)$$

for  $i = 2, 3, \dots, r$ . Clearly  $S_i \subseteq h_i A$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ , and  $\max(S_i) < \min(S_{i+1})$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r-1$ . Therefore  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_r$  are pairwise disjoint subsets of  $HA$ . Hence, by Theorem 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |HA| &\geq \sum_{i=1}^r |S_i| \\ &= |h_1 A| + \sum_{i=2}^r |S_i| \\ &\geq h_1(k-1) + 1 + \sum_{i=2}^r [(h_i - h_{i-1})(k-1) + 1] \\ &= h_r(k-1) + r. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

This proves (1).

To see that the lower bound in (1) is optimal, let  $A = [1, k]$  and  $H = [1, r]$ . Then  $HA = [1, rk]$ , and hence  $|HA| = rk$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

*Remark 4.* If  $A$  contains nonnegative integers with  $0 \in A$ , then  $HA = h_r A$ , as  $h_i A \subseteq h_r A$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r-1$ . Therefore, by Theorem 1, we have  $|HA| \geq h_r(k-1) + 1$ . Furthermore, this bound is optimal, and it can be seen by taking  $A = [0, k-1]$  and  $H = [1, r]$ .

Now we prove the inverse result of Theorem 3.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $A$  be a set of  $k \geq 2$  positive integers and  $H$  be a set of  $r \geq 2$  positive integers with  $\max(H) = h_r$ . If  $|HA| = h_r(k-1) + r$ , then  $H$  is an arithmetic progression of difference  $d$  and  $A$  is an arithmetic progression of difference  $d \cdot \min(A)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}$  and  $H = \{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_r\}$ , where  $0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_k$  and  $0 < h_1 < h_2 < \dots < h_r$ . Let  $|HA| = h_r(k-1) + r$ . Then the sumset  $HA$  contains precisely the elements of the sets  $S_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , which are defined in (2), (3).

First, we show that  $A$  is an arithmetic progression. Observe that the assumption  $|HA| = h_r(k-1) + r$  together with (4) implies  $|h_1A| = h_1(k-1) + 1$ . If  $h_1 > 1$ , then from Theorem 1, it follows that the set  $A$  is an arithmetic progression. So, let  $h_1 = 1$ . Then

$$S_1 = h_1A = A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}.$$

Set

$$S := \{a_1, h_2a_1, (h_2 - 1)a_1 + a_2, \dots, (h_2 - 1)a_1 + a_{k-1}\}.$$

Clearly  $S \subseteq HA$  and  $\max(S) = (h_2 - 1)a_1 + a_{k-1} < (h_2 - 1)a_1 + a_k = \min(S_2)$ . Thus  $S = S_1$ . In other words,  $(h_2 - 1)a_1 + a_{i-1} = a_i$  for  $i = 2, 3, \dots, k$ . Equivalently,  $a_i - a_{i-1} = (h_2 - 1)a_1$  for  $i = 2, 3, \dots, k$ . Hence,  $A$  is an arithmetic progression.

Next we show that  $H$  is an arithmetic progression. For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r-1$ , consider the integers  $(h_{i+1} - h_i)a_1 + a_{k-1} + (h_i - 1)a_k$ . Clearly

$$\begin{aligned} \max_-(S_i) &= a_{k-1} + (h_i - 1)a_k < (h_{i+1} - h_i)a_1 + a_{k-1} + (h_i - 1)a_k \\ &< (h_{i+1} - h_i)a_1 + h_ia_k = \min(S_{i+1}). \end{aligned}$$

But we already have

$$\max_-(S_i) = a_{k-1} + (h_i - 1)a_k < h_ia_k = \max(S_i) < (h_{i+1} - h_i)a_1 + h_ia_k = \min(S_{i+1}).$$

Thus

$$(h_{i+1} - h_i)a_1 + a_{k-1} + (h_i - 1)a_k = h_ia_k \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, r-1.$$

This implies

$$a_k - a_{k-1} = (h_{i+1} - h_i)a_1 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, r-1. \quad (5)$$

Therefore

$$h_2 - h_1 = h_3 - h_2 = \dots = h_r - h_{r-1},$$

and hence the set  $H$  is an arithmetic progression. Furthermore, by (5), the set  $A$  is an arithmetic progression of difference  $(h_{i+1} - h_i)a_1$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

### 3 Restricted sumset

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $A$  be a set of  $k$  positive integers and  $H = \{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_r\}$  be a set of positive integers with  $h_1 < h_2 < \dots < h_r \leq k$ . Set  $h_0 = 0$ . Then*

$$|H \hat{\wedge} A| \geq \sum_{i=1}^r (h_i - h_{i-1})(k - h_i) + r. \quad (6)$$

*This lower bound is optimal.*

*Proof.* Let  $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}$ , where  $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_k$ . Set

$$S_1 := h_1 \hat{A} \tag{7}$$

and

$$S_i := (h_i - h_{i-1}) \hat{A}_i + \max(h_{i-1} \hat{A}), \tag{8}$$

for  $i = 2, 3, \dots, r$ , where  $A_i = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k-h_{i-1}}\}$ . Clearly  $S_i \subseteq h_i \hat{A}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ , and  $\max(S_i) < \min(S_{i+1})$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r-1$ . Therefore  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_r$  are pairwise disjoint subsets of  $H \hat{A}$ . Hence, by Theorem 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |H \hat{A}| &\geq \sum_{i=1}^r |S_i| \\ &= |h_1 \hat{A}| + \sum_{i=2}^r |S_i| \\ &\geq h_1(k - h_1) + 1 + \sum_{i=2}^r [(h_i - h_{i-1})(k - h_i) + 1] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r (h_i - h_{i-1})(k - h_i) + r. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

This proves (6).

Next to see that the lower bound in (6) is optimal, let  $A = [1, k]$  and  $H = [1, r]$  with  $r \leq k$ . Then  $H \hat{A} \subseteq [1, k + (k-1) + \dots + (k-r+1)]$ . Therefore  $|H \hat{A}| \leq rk - \frac{r(r-1)}{2}$ . This together with (6) implies  $|H \hat{A}| = rk - \frac{r(r-1)}{2}$ , and hence completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

As a consequence of Theorem 6, we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 7.** *Let  $A$  be a set of  $k$  nonnegative integers with  $0 \in A$ . Let  $H = \{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_r\}$  be a set of positive integers with  $h_1 < h_2 < \dots < h_r \leq k-1$ . Set  $h_0 = 0$ . Then*

$$|H \hat{A}| \geq \sum_{i=1}^r (h_i - h_{i-1})(k - h_i - 1) + r + 1. \tag{10}$$

*This lower bound is optimal.*

*Proof.* Let  $A' = A \setminus \{0\}$ . Then  $H \hat{A}' \cup \{0\} \subseteq H \hat{A}$ . This implies

$$|H \hat{A}| \geq |H \hat{A}'| + 1 \geq \sum_{i=1}^r (h_i - h_{i-1})(k - h_i - 1) + r + 1.$$

Furthermore, the optimality of the lower bound in (10) can be checked by taking  $A = [0, k-1]$  and  $H = [1, r]$ , where  $k, r$  are positive integers with  $r \leq k-1$ .  $\square$

The following result (which has recently been proved) is a particular case of Theorem 6 and Corollary 7.

**Corollary 8.** [3, Theorem 2.1, Corollary 2.1] *Let  $A$  be a set of  $k$  nonnegative integers and  $H = [0, r]$  with  $r \leq k$ . If  $0 \notin A$ , then*

$$|H \hat{A}| \geq rk - \frac{r(r-1)}{2} + 1.$$

*If  $0 \in A$  and  $r \leq k-1$ , then*

$$|H \hat{A}| \geq rk - \frac{r(r+1)}{2} + 1.$$

*These lower bounds are optimal.*

Now we prove the inverse theorem of Theorem 6.

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $A$  be a set of  $k \geq 6$  positive integers. Let  $H = \{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_r\}$  be a set of  $r \geq 2$  positive integers with  $h_1 < h_2 < \dots < h_r \leq k-1$ . Set  $h_0 = 0$ . If*

$$|H \hat{A}| = \sum_{i=1}^r (h_i - h_{i-1})(k - h_i) + r,$$

*then  $H = h_1 + [0, r-1]$  and  $A = \min(A) \cdot [1, k]$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}$ , where  $0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_k$ . Let  $|H \hat{A}| = \sum_{i=1}^r (h_i - h_{i-1})(k - h_i) + r$ . Then the sumset  $H \hat{A}$  contains precisely the elements of the sets  $S_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , which are defined in (7), (8).

First, we show that  $A$  is an arithmetic progression. Since  $|H \hat{A}| = \sum_{i=1}^r (h_i - h_{i-1})(k - h_i) + r$ , from (9), it follows that  $|h_1 \hat{A}| = h_1(k - h_1) + 1$ . If  $h_1 \geq 2$ , then by Theorem 2, the set  $A$  is an arithmetic progression. Therefore, let  $h_1 = 1$ .

If  $h_2 \geq 3$ , then  $h_2 - h_1 \geq 2$ . By (9), we get  $|S_2| = |(h_2 - h_1) \hat{A}_2| = (h_2 - h_1)(k - h_2) + 1$ , where  $A_2 = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k-1}\}$ . Therefore, by Theorem 2, the set  $A_2$  is an arithmetic progression. To show that  $A$  is an arithmetic progression, it is left to show that  $a_k - a_{k-1} = a_{k-1} - a_{k-2}$ . Consider the following integers:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{k-2} < a_1 + \dots + a_{h_2-1} + a_{k-2} < a_1 + \dots + a_{h_2-1} + a_{k-1} < a_1 + \dots + a_{h_2-1} + a_k \\ &= \min(S_2). \end{aligned}$$

But we already have

$$a_{k-2} < a_{k-1} < a_k < a_1 + \dots + a_{h_2-1} + a_k = \min(S_2),$$

where  $\{a_{k-2}, a_{k-1}, a_k\} \subseteq S_1$ . Thus

$$a_1 + \dots + a_{h_2-1} + a_{k-2} = a_{k-1} \text{ and } a_1 + \dots + a_{h_2-1} + a_{k-1} = a_k.$$

This implies

$$a_k - a_{k-1} = a_1 + \cdots + a_{h_2-1} = a_{k-1} - a_{k-2},$$

and we are done.

Now let  $h_2 = 2$ ; i.e.,  $h_2 - h_1 = 1$ . Set  $T_1 := \{a_1, a_2, a_1 + a_2, a_1 + a_3, \dots, a_1 + a_{k-1}\}$ . Clearly  $T_1 \subseteq h_1 \hat{A} \cup h_2 \hat{A} \subseteq H \hat{A}$  and  $\max(T_1) = a_1 + a_{k-1} < a_1 + a_k = \min(S_2)$ . Therefore  $T_1 = S_1$ . That is

$$\{a_1, a_2, a_1 + a_2, a_1 + a_3, \dots, a_1 + a_{k-1}\} = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_k\}.$$

Thus  $a_i = a_1 + a_{i-1}$  for  $i = 3, 4, \dots, k$ . Equivalently,  $a_i - a_{i-1} = a_1$  for  $i = 3, 4, \dots, k$ . To show that  $A$  is an arithmetic progression it is enough to show  $a_2 - a_1 = a_k - a_{k-1}$ . Consider the integer  $a_2 + a_{k-1}$ . Since  $\max(S_1) = \max(T_1) = a_1 + a_{k-1} < a_2 + a_{k-1} < a_2 + a_k = \min^+(S_2)$  and  $\max(S_1) = \max(T_1) = a_1 + a_{k-1} < a_1 + a_k = \min(S_2) < a_2 + a_k = \min^+(S_2)$ , we must have  $a_2 + a_{k-1} = a_1 + a_k$ . This proves  $A$  is an arithmetic progression.

Next we show that  $H$  is an arithmetic progression. For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r-1$ , consider the following integers:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_-(S_i) &= a_{k-h_i} + a_{k-h_i+2} + \cdots + a_k < a_{k-h_i+1} + \cdots + a_k = \max(S_i) \\ &< a_1 + \cdots + a_{h_{i+1}-h_i} + a_{k-h_i+1} + \cdots + a_k = \min(S_{i+1}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \max_-(S_i) &= a_{k-h_i} + a_{k-h_i+2} + \cdots + a_k < a_1 + \cdots + a_{h_{i+1}-h_i} + a_{k-h_i} + a_{k-h_i+2} + \cdots + a_k \\ &< a_1 + \cdots + a_{h_{i+1}-h_i} + a_{k-h_i+1} + \cdots + a_k = \min(S_{i+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$a_{k-h_{i+1}} + \cdots + a_k = a_1 + \cdots + a_{h_{i+1}-h_i} + a_{k-h_i} + a_{k-h_i+2} + \cdots + a_k$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r-1$ . This implies

$$a_{k-h_{i+1}} - a_{k-h_i} = a_1 + \cdots + a_{h_{i+1}-h_i} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, r-1. \quad (11)$$

Since  $A$  is an arithmetic progression, the difference between any two consecutive elements in  $A$  is same. Therefore

$$a_2 - a_1 = a_{k-h_{i+1}} - a_{k-h_i} = a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_{h_{i+1}-h_i} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, r-1.$$

This holds, only if  $h_{i+1} - h_i = 1$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r-1$ . Hence,  $H = h_1 + [0, r-1]$  and  $A = a_1 \cdot [1, k]$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Corollary 10.** *Let  $A$  be a set of  $k \geq 7$  nonnegative integers with  $0 \in A$ . Let  $H = \{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_r\}$  be a set of  $r \geq 2$  positive integers with  $h_1 < h_2 < \cdots < h_r \leq k-1$ . Set  $h_0 = 0$ . If*

$$|H \hat{A}| = \sum_{i=1}^r (h_i - h_{i-1})(k - h_i - 1) + r + 1,$$

*then  $H = h_1 + [0, r-1]$  and  $A = \min(A \setminus \{0\}) \cdot [0, k-1]$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A' := A \setminus \{0\}$ . Then the equality  $|H \hat{A}| = \sum_{i=1}^r (h_i - h_{i-1})(k - h_i - 1) + r + 1$  implies  $|H \hat{A}'| = \sum_{i=1}^r (h_i - h_{i-1})(k - 1 - h_i) + r$ . Thus by Theorem 9, we get  $H = h_1 + [0, r - 1]$  and  $A' = \min(A') \cdot [1, k - 1]$ . Hence,  $H = h_1 + [0, r - 1]$  and  $A = \min(A') \cdot [0, k - 1]$ . This proves the theorem.  $\square$

The following inverse result (which has recently been proved) is a particular case of Theorem 9 and Corollary 10.

**Corollary 11.** [3, Theorem 2.2, Corollary 2.3] *Let  $A$  be a set of  $k \geq 7$  nonnegative integers and  $H = [0, r]$  with  $2 \leq r \leq k - 1$ . If  $0 \notin A$  and  $|H \hat{A}| = rk - \frac{r(r-1)}{2} + 1$ , then  $A = d \cdot [1, k]$  for some positive integer  $d$ .*

*If  $0 \in A$  and  $|H \hat{A}| = rk - \frac{r(r+1)}{2} + 1$ , then  $A = d \cdot [0, k - 1]$  for some positive integer  $d$ .*

## 4 Acknowledgement

We would like to thank Professor R. Thangadurai for carefully going through this manuscript. We would also like to thank the editor-in-chief and the anonymous referee for the helpful comments and suggestions which helped improve the article. We also acknowledge the support of the PDF scheme of Harish-Chandra Research Institute, Prayagraj.

## References

- [1] B. Bajnok, *Additive Combinatorics: A Menu of Research Problems*, Discrete Mathematics and its Applications, CRC Press, 2018.
- [2] É. Balandraud, Addition theorems in  $\mathbb{F}_p$  via the polynomial method, preprint, 2017. Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1702.06419>.
- [3] J. Bhanja and R. K. Pandey, Inverse problems for certain subsequence sums in integers, *Discrete Math.* **343** (2020), 112148.
- [4] M. B. Nathanson, Inverse theorems for subset sums, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **347** (1995), 1409–1418.
- [5] M. B. Nathanson, *Additive Number Theory: Inverse Problems and the Geometry of Sumsets*, Springer, 1996.

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11P70; Secondary 11B75, 11B13.

*Keywords*: sumset, restricted sumset.

---



Received August 22 2020; revised versions received August 23 2020; February 1 2021; March 27 2021; March 28 2021. Published in *Journal of Integer Sequences*, March 28 2021.

---

Return to [Journal of Integer Sequences home page](#).