

Journal of Integer Sequences, Vol. 23 (2020), Article 20.11.3

Binary Recurrences for which Powers of Two are Discriminating Moduli

Adriaan A. de Clercq Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics University of Pretoria Private Bag X20 Hatfield 0028 South Africa adriaandeclercq1998@gmail.com

Florian Luca School of Maths Wits University 1 Jan Smuts Avenue Braamfontein 2000 Johannesburg South Africa and Centro de Ciencias Matemáticas UNAM Morelia Mexico florian.luca@wits.ac.za

Lilit Martirosyan Department of Mathematics and Statistics University of North Carolina, Wilmington 601 South College Road Wilmington, NC 28403-5970 USA martirosyanl@uncw.edu Maria Matthis Department of Mathematics Katharineum zu Lübeck Königsstraße 27-31 23552 Lübeck Germany matthima@katharineum.de

Pieter Moree Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik Vivatsgasse 7 D-53111 Bonn Germany moree@mpim-bonn.mpg.de

Max A. Stoumen Department of Mathematics and Statistics University of North Carolina, Wilmington 601 South College Road Wilmington, NC 28403-5970 USA mas5084@uncw.edu

> Melvin Weiß Department of Mathematics Universität Bonn Endenicher Allee 60 53115 Bonn Germany melvin@weissprivat.de

Abstract

Given a sequence $\mathbf{w} = (w_n)_{n\geq 0}$ of distinct positive integers w_0, w_1, w_2, \ldots and any positive integer n, we define the discriminator function $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{w}}(n)$ to be the smallest positive integer m such that w_0, \ldots, w_{n-1} are pairwise incongruent modulo m. In this paper, we classify all binary recurrent sequences \mathbf{w} consisting of different integer terms such that $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{w}}(2^e) = 2^e$ for every $e \geq 1$. For all of these sequences it is expected that one can actually give a fairly simple description of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{w}}(n)$ for every $n \geq 1$. For one infinite family of such sequences this has already been done by Faye, Luca, and Moree, and for another by Ciolan and Moree.

1 Introduction

The discriminator sequence of a sequence $\mathbf{w} = (w_n)_{n \ge 0}$ of distinct integers is the sequence $(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{w}}(n))_{n \ge 0}$ given by

 $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{w}}(n) = \min\{m \ge 1 : w_0, \dots, w_{n-1} \text{ are pairwise distinct modulo } m\}.$

In other words, $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{w}}(n)$ is the smallest integer m that allows one to discriminate (tell apart) the integers w_0, \ldots, w_{n-1} on reducing them modulo m. If not all integers are distinct, but say w_0, \ldots, w_k , then we can define $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{w}}(j)$ for $j = 1, \ldots, k + 1$. Obviously $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{w}}(n)$ is nondecreasing as a function of n. Note that since w_0, \ldots, w_{n-1} are in n distinct residue classes modulo $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{w}}(n)$, we must have $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{w}}(n) \geq n$. On the other hand clearly

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{w}}(n) \le \max\{w_0, \dots, w_{n-1}\} - \min\{w_0, \dots, w_{n-1}\} + 1$$

The main problem is to give an easy description or characterization of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{w}}(n)$. In many cases such a characterization does not seem to exist.

If w_j is a polynomial in j, the behavior of the discriminator is fairly well understood. See Moree [7], Zieve [11], and the references therein.

An intensively studied class of sequences is that of binary recurrent sequences, cf. the book by Everest et al. [4]. For a generic binary recurrent sequence there is currently no meaningful characterization of its discriminator. An example is provided by the discriminator for the Fibonacci sequence (see Table 1). However, if we have

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{w}}(2^e) = 2^e \quad \text{for every } e \ge 1, \tag{1}$$

the discriminator behavior tends to be much simpler. It is easy to see that then $\mathcal{D}_w(n) < 2n$. This allows one to exclude many potential discriminator values. Indeed, in general discriminator characterizations for a fixed n proceed by excluding all integers different from $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{w}}(n)$ as values. If (1) holds, then typically many powers of two occur as values (cf. Table 2). All known binary recurrent discriminators, described in Families 1 and 2 below, satisfy (1). Thus, it is natural to ask for a classification of all binary recurrent sequences $(w_n)_{n\geq 0}$ such that (1) is satisfied. Note that for any such sequence the terms w_n must be distinct.

Our main result completely answers this question.

Theorem 1. For integers w_0, w_1, p and q, let $(w_n)_{n\geq 0}$ be the sequence defined by

$$w_{n+2} = pw_{n+1} + qw_n \quad \text{for all } n \ge 0.$$
 (2)

If $w_0 + w_1$ is even and $k \ge 1$, then $\#\{w_n \pmod{2^k} : 0 \le n \le 2^k - 1\} < 2^k$. If $(p \mod 4, q \mod 4) = (2, 3)$ and $w_0 + w_1$ is odd, then $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{w}}(2^k) = 2^k$ for every $k \ge 1$. If $(p \mod 4, q \mod 4) \ne (2, 3)$ and $k \ge 3$, then $\#\{w_n \pmod{2^k} : 0 \le n \le 2^k - 1\} < 2^k$.

Representing the residue classes modulo m by \overline{a} , with $0 \leq a \leq m-1$, we can reformulate property (1) as saying that the map from $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ to $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ given by $\overline{a} \mapsto \overline{u_a}$ is a *permutation* for every m that is a power of two.

We next describe the binary recurrent sequences for which the discriminator has been characterized. They fall into two families. Theorem 1 shows at a glance that for all of them (1) is satisfied.

Family 1. In Faye et al. [5], and its continuation by Ciolan et al. [2], the discriminator $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{U}(k)}(n)$ is studied, where the *Shallit sequence* $\mathbf{U}(k)$ is given by $\mathbf{U}(k) = (U_n(k))_{n\geq 0}$ with $U_0(k) = 0, \ U_1(k) = 1$ and

$$U_{n+2}(k) = (4k+2)U_{n+1}(k) - U_n(k)$$

for all $n \ge 0$. By Theorem 1, we have $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{U}(k)}(2^e) = 2^e$ for every $e \ge 1$.

Family 2. Let $q \ge 5$ be a prime and put $q^* = (-1)^{(q-1)/2} \cdot q$. The sequence $u_q(1), u_q(2), \ldots$, with

$$u_q(j) = \frac{3^j - q^*(-1)^j}{4},$$

we call the Browkin-Sălăjan sequence for q. The sequence u_q satisfies the recursive relation $u_q(j) = 2u_q(j-1) + 3u_q(j-2)$ for $j \ge 3$, with initial values

$$u_q(1) = (3+q^*)/4$$
 and $u_q(2) = (9-q^*)/4$.

We denote its discriminator by \mathcal{D}_q . In the context of the discriminator, the sequence u_5 (2, 1, 8, 19, 62, 181, 548, 1639, 4922,...) was first considered by Sabin Sălăjan during an internship carried out in 2012 under the guidance of Moree (for this reason we call it the *Sălăjan sequence*). Disregarding signs this is sequence <u>A084222</u>. Moree and Zumalacárregui [8] determined $\mathcal{D}_5(n)$ (cf. Table 2).

Theorem 2. Let $n \ge 1$ be an arbitrary integer. Let e be the smallest integer such that $2^e \ge n$ and f be the smallest integer such that $5^f \ge 5n/4$. Then $\mathcal{D}_5(n) = \min\{2^e, 5^f\}$.

More recently Ciolan and Moree [3] completely characterized \mathcal{D}_q for arbitrary primes q > 5. Noting that $u_q(1) + u_q(2) = 3$, one sees that Theorem 1 applies and hence $\mathcal{D}_q(2^e) = 2^e$ for every $e \ge 1$.

In order to prove Theorem 1, we will deal with the special case where \mathbf{w} is a Lucas sequence first in Section 3. In the general case, we express \mathbf{w} as a linear combination of a Lucas and a shifted Lucas sequence (Section 4). Our arguments require some consideration of the two divisibility of binomial coefficients (Section 2).

Beyond the polynomial and the recurrence sequence case there is very little known. Haque and Shallit [6] considered the discriminator for k-regular sequences. For these also property (1) is satisfied. Sun [10] made some conjectures regarding the discriminator for various sequences.

2 Preliminaries

We recall a celebrated result of Kummer, cf. Ribenboim [9, pp. 30–33].

Theorem 3 (Kummer, 1852). Let p be a prime number. The exponent of p in $\binom{n}{m}$ is the number of base p carries when summing m with n - m in base p.

Here and in what follows we write $\nu_2(a)$ for the exponent of 2 in the factorization of the integer a.

Lemma 4. We have

$$\nu_2\left(\binom{\ell}{k}2^{3k}\right) > \nu_2(2\ell)$$

for all $k \geq 1$. Further,

$$\nu_2\left(\binom{2^k}{\ell}2^\ell\right) \ge k+3$$

for $\ell = 3$ and $\ell \geq 5$.

Proof. We use Theorem 3 with p = 2. For the first inequality, we note that it is clear for k = 1, so we may assume that $k \ge 2$. Write $\ell = 2^{\ell_0} \ell_1$ with integers $\ell_0 \ge 0$ and ℓ_1 odd. The inequality is clear for $\ell_0 \le 1$. It is also clear if $k > (\ell_0 + 1)/3$. So, we may assume that $k \le (\ell_0 + 1)/3$. Write $k = 2^{k_0} k_1$, where $k_0 \ge 0$ and k_1 is odd. Then $k_0 < k \le (\ell_0 + 1)/3 < \ell_0$. It follows that by summing up k with $\ell - k$, we have at least $\ell_0 - k_0$ carries in base 2. Thus,

$$\nu_2\left(\binom{\ell}{k}2^{3k}\right) \ge (\ell_0 - k_0) + 3k > \ell_0 + 2k \ge \ell_0 + 2,$$

which is what we wanted to prove.

We will now prove the second inequality. Assume first that $\ell \in [3, 2^k - 1]$. Then the number of carries from summing up ℓ with $2^k - \ell$ is, by the previous argument, $k - \ell_0$, where again $\ell = 2^{\ell_0} \ell_1$ with ℓ_1 odd. Hence,

$$\nu_2\left(\binom{2^k}{\ell}2^\ell\right) = k - \ell_0 + \ell.$$

This is at least k+3 if $\ell \geq 3$ is odd (since then $\ell_0 = 0$). It is also at least $k - \ell_0 + 2^{\ell_0} > k+3$ if $\ell_0 \geq 3$. If $\ell_0 = 1$, then $\ell > 4$ so $k - \ell_0 + \ell \geq k+3$. Finally, if $\ell_0 = 2$, then since $\ell \neq 4$, we have $\ell \geq 8$ (since $4 \mid \ell$), so the above expression is at least k - 2 + 8 > k + 3. This was for $\ell < 2^k$. Finally, when $\ell = 2^k$, we have

$$\nu_2\left(\binom{2^k}{\ell}2^\ell\right) = 2^k > k+3$$

because $k \geq 3$.

3 The Lucas sequence

A basic role in the theory of binary recurrent sequences is played by Lucas sequences.

Theorem 5. Let $(u_n)_{n\geq 0}$ be a Lucas sequence with $u_0 = 0$, $u_1 = 1$ and

$$u_{n+2} = pu_{n+1} + qu_n, \quad \text{for all } n \ge 0.$$

Then $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{u}}(2^k) = 2^k$ for all $k \ge 1$ if and only if $(p \mod 4, q \mod 4) = (2, 3)$.

Proof. We look at $\{u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3\} = \{0, 1, p, p^2 + q\}$. Since these are all the residues modulo 4, it follows that either $(p \mod 4, q \mod 4) = (2, 3)$ or $(p \mod 4, q \mod 4) = (3, 1)$. The second possibility entails $(p \mod 8, q \mod 8) \in \{(3, 1), (7, 1), (3, 5), (7, 5)\}$ and one checks computationally that none of these 4 possibilities gives that $\{u_k \pmod{8} : 0 \le k \le 7\}$ covers all residue classes modulo 8. Thus, we must have $(p \mod 4, q \mod 4) = (2, 3)$.

We consider the quadratic polynomial $x^2 - px - q$ having discriminant $\Delta = p^2 + 4q$. The equation $x^2 - px - q = 0$ is the characteristic equation for the Lucas sequence. We consider the cases $\Delta = 0$ and $\Delta \neq 0$ separately.

The degenerate case $(\Delta = 0)$. In this case $u_n = np_0^{n-1}$ with $p_0 = p/2$. We have $\{u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3\} = \{0, 1, 2p_0, 3p_0^2\}$ and since p_0 is odd, these are distinct modulo 4. We claim that $\nu_2(u_m - u_n) = \nu_2(m - n)$ for m > n. Notice that this claim implies (1).

We have $u_m - u_n \equiv m - n \pmod{2}$. So $\nu_2(u_m - u_n) = 0$ if and only if $\nu_2(m - n) = 0$. Next assume that $m \equiv n \pmod{2}$. Write $m = n + 2\ell$. Then

$$u_m - u_n = (n+2\ell)p_0^{n+2\ell-1} - np_0^{n-1} = (n+2\ell)p_0^{n-1}(p_0^{2\ell}-1) + 2\ell p_0^{n-1}.$$
 (3)

We can write $p_0^2 = 1 + 8p_1$ with p_1 an integer. Thus,

$$p_0^{2\ell} = (1+8p_1)^\ell = 1+8\ell p_1 + \binom{\ell}{2}(8p_1)^2 + \cdots$$

This in combination with (3) leads to

$$u_m - u_n = p_0^{n-1} \left(2\ell + \sum_{k \ge 1} (n+2\ell) \binom{\ell}{k} (8p_1)^k \right).$$

Since by Lemma 4 for every $k \ge 1$ we have

$$\nu_2\left(\binom{\ell}{k}(8p_1)^k\right) > \nu_2(2\ell),$$

we conclude that

$$\nu_2(u_m - u_n) = \nu_2(2\ell) = \nu_2(m - n),$$

thus establishing the claim.

The non-degenerate case $(\Delta \neq 0)$. Since $p = 2p_0$ and $q \mod 4 = 3$, it follows that $\Delta = 4(p_0^2 + q) = 16\Delta_0$, where Δ_0 is an integer. Let

$$\alpha = p_0 + 2\sqrt{\Delta_0}$$
 and $\beta = p_0 - 2\sqrt{\Delta_0}$

be the roots of $x^2 - px - q = 0$. The Binet formula for u_n is

$$u_n = \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\alpha - \beta}.$$
(4)

While not necessary for this proof, we take a detour and prove a property concerning the index of appearance of powers of 2. In the course of proving it, we will show that $2||v_n$, with $(v_n)_{n\geq 0}$ the companion sequence of our Lucas sequence. This fact we actually do need in our proof.

For a positive integer m let z(m) be the order of appearance of m in the sequence $(u_n)_{n\geq 0}$. It is the minimal positive integer k such that $m \mid u_k$. It is known (see Bilu et al. [1]) that this exists for all m which are coprime to q. Further, $m \mid u_n$ if and only if $z(m) \mid n$. For us, z(2) = 2 since $p \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and z(4) = 4. It follows easily by induction on k that

$$z(2^k) = 2^k.$$

One way to see this is to introduce the companion sequence $(v_n)_{n\geq 0}$ given by $v_0 = 2$, $v_1 = p$ and $v_{n+2} = pv_{n+1} + qv_n$ for all $n \geq 0$. By induction, we get that $2||v_n|$ for all $n \geq 0$. The Binet formula for v_n is

$$v_n = \alpha^n + \beta^n \quad \text{for all } n \ge 0. \tag{5}$$

We have $u_{2n} = u_n v_n$ by the Binet formulas (4) and (5). We are now ready to show that $z(2^k) = 2^k$. Assume that $k \ge 3$ and that $2^k \mid u_n$. This implies that $n = 2^{\ell} n_1$ for some integers ℓ and n, with $\ell \ge 2$ and n_1 odd. Now we use repeatedly the formula $u_{2m} = u_m v_m$ for $m = n/2, n/4, \ldots$, resulting in

$$u_n = u_{2^{\ell_{n_1}}} = v_{2^{\ell-1}n_1} v_{2^{\ell-2}n_1} \cdots v_{n_1} u_{n_1}.$$

Since $v_{2^{i}n_{1}} \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, \ell - 1$ and $u_{n_{1}}$ is odd, we infer that

$$\nu_2(u_{2^{\ell}n_1}) = \ell$$

It follows that $k \ge \ell$. In particular, $z(2^k) = 2^k$.

Next we show that

$$u_{n+2^k} \equiv u_n + 2^k \,(\text{mod } 2^{k+1}) \tag{6}$$

for all $k \ge 1$. One checks it easily by hand for k = 1 and n = 0, 1 as well as for k = 2 and n = 0, 1, 2, 3. Assume next $k \ge 3$. In what follows, for three algebraic integers a, b, c, we write $a \equiv b \pmod{c}$ if (a - b)/c is an algebraic integer. We have

$$\alpha^{2^{k}} = (p_{0} + 2\sqrt{\Delta_{0}})^{2^{k}} = p_{0}^{2^{k}} + 2^{k} p_{0}^{2^{k}-1} (2\sqrt{\Delta_{0}}) + {\binom{2^{k}}{2}} p_{0}^{2^{k}-2} (2\sqrt{\Delta_{0}})^{2} + {\binom{2^{k}}{4}} p_{0}^{2^{k}-4} (2\sqrt{\Delta_{0}})^{4} + \sum_{\substack{\ell \ge 3\\ \ell \ne 4}} {\binom{2^{k}}{\ell}} p_{0}^{2^{k}-\ell} (2\sqrt{\Delta_{0}})^{\ell}.$$

Then, by Lemma 4,

$$\alpha^{2^{k}} \equiv p_{0}^{2^{k}} + 2^{k} p_{0}^{2^{k}-1} (2\sqrt{\Delta_{0}}) + {\binom{2^{k}}{2}} p_{0}^{2^{k}-2} (2\sqrt{\Delta_{0}})^{2} + {\binom{2^{k}}{4}} p_{0}^{2^{k}-1} (2\sqrt{\Delta_{0}})^{4} (\text{mod } 2^{k+3}\sqrt{\Delta_{0}}).$$

Changing α to β , the same calculation yields

$$\beta^{2^{k}} \equiv p_{0}^{2^{k}} - 2^{k} p_{0}^{2^{k}-1} (2\sqrt{\Delta_{0}}) + {\binom{2^{k}}{2}} p_{0}^{2^{k}-2} (2\sqrt{\Delta_{0}})^{2} + {\binom{2^{k}}{4}} p_{0}^{2^{k}-4} (2\sqrt{\Delta_{0}})^{4} \pmod{2^{k+3}\sqrt{\Delta_{0}}}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{split} \alpha^{n+2^{k}} - \beta^{n+2^{k}} &\equiv \alpha^{n} \left(p_{0}^{2^{k}} + 2^{k} p_{0}^{2^{k}-1} (2\sqrt{\Delta_{0}}) + \binom{2^{k}}{2} p_{0}^{2^{k}-2} (2\sqrt{\Delta_{0}})^{2} + \binom{2^{k}}{4} p_{0}^{2^{k}-4} (2\sqrt{\Delta_{0}})^{4} \right) \\ &- \beta^{n} \left(p_{0}^{2^{k}} - 2^{k} p_{0}^{2^{k}-1} (2\sqrt{\Delta_{0}}) + \binom{2^{k}}{2} p_{0}^{2^{k}-2} (2\sqrt{\Delta_{0}})^{2} + \binom{2^{k}}{4} p_{0}^{2^{k}-4} (2\sqrt{\Delta_{0}})^{4} \right) \\ &\equiv p_{0}^{2^{k}} (\alpha^{n} - \beta^{n}) + 2^{k} p_{0}^{2^{k}-1} (2\sqrt{\Delta_{0}}) (\alpha^{n} + \beta^{n}) \\ &+ \binom{2^{k}}{2} p_{0}^{2^{k}-2} (2\sqrt{\Delta_{0}})^{2} (\alpha^{n} - \beta^{n}) \\ &+ \binom{2^{k}}{4} p_{0}^{2^{k}-4} (2\sqrt{\Delta_{0}})^{4} (\alpha^{n} - \beta^{n}) \pmod{2^{k+3}\sqrt{\Delta_{0}}}. \end{split}$$

Dividing across by $\alpha - \beta$ (which is equal to $4\sqrt{\Delta_0}$), we obtain

$$u_{n+2^{k}} \equiv p_{0}^{2^{k}} u_{n} + 2^{k} p_{0}^{2^{k}-1} (v_{n}/2) + {\binom{2^{k}}{2}} p_{0}^{2^{k}-2} (4\Delta_{0}) u_{n} + {\binom{2^{k}}{4}} p_{0}^{2^{k}-4} (16\Delta_{0}^{2}) u_{n} \pmod{2^{k+1}}.$$
(7)

We have $p_0^{2^k} \equiv 1 \pmod{2^{k+1}}$ and $v_n/2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. Finally,

$$\binom{2^k}{2} p_0^{2^k - 2} (4\Delta_0) = 2^{k+1} (2^k - 1) p_0^{2^k - 2} \Delta_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{k+1}},$$

and also

$$\binom{2^k}{4}p_0^{2^k-4}(16\Delta_0^2) = \frac{2^{k-2}(2^k-1)(2^{k-1}-1)(2^k-3)}{3}2^4\Delta_0^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{k+1}}.$$

We thus get from (7) that (6) holds for all $k \ge 1$. This implies by induction on k that $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{u}}(2^k) = 2^k$.

4 The general case: the proof of Theorem 1

In the previous section we dealt with the Lucas sequence (Theorem 5). We will make crucial use of that result in order to deal with a more general recurrence $(w_n)_{n\geq 0}$ as in (2).

Proof of Theorem 1. If $\#\{w_n \pmod{2^k} : 0 \le n \le 2^k - 1\} = 2^k$ for all k, it holds for k = 1 in particular. Thus, w_0 , w_1 have different parities which is equivalent to $w_0 + w_1$ being odd. This proves the first assertion. Conversely, write

$$w_n = au_n + bu_{n+1}.$$

Note that $au_n + bu_{n+1}$ satisfies the same recurrence relation as w_n . On setting n = 0, respectively n = 1, we find $b = w_0$ and $a = w_1 - pw_0$. Thus, $a + b = (w_1 + w_0) - pw_0$ is odd. By (6), we obtain

$$w_{n+2^k} = au_{n+2^k} + bu_{n+1+2^k} \equiv a(u_n + 2^k) + b(u_{n+1} + 2^k)$$
$$\equiv (au_n + bu_{n+1}) + (a+b)2^k \equiv w_n + 2^k \pmod{2^{k+1}}$$

for $k \ge 1$. This shows that $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{w}}(2^k) = 2^k$ for every $k \ge 1$.

It remains to prove the final assertion. Note that it is enough to prove it for k = 3. This can be done by doing a computer calculation modulo 8. We consider all integers a, b, p, q with $0 \le a, b, p, q \le 7$ and compute $\#\{w_n \pmod{8} : 0 \le n \le 7\}$. It turns out that if $(p \mod 4, q \mod 4) \ne (2, 3)$, then this cardinality is < 8.

5 Tables

We tabulate the discriminator for a sequence that does not (Fibonacci sequence) and a sequence that does (Sălăjan sequence) satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1. We give the prime factorization of the values. Note the big difference in behavior.

n	$D_F(n)$	n	$D_F(n)$	n	$D_F(n)$
1	1	21 - 24	59	69 - 80	431
2	2	25 - 26	79	81 - 113	$3 \cdot 197$
3	3	27 - 32	83	114 - 115	$3 \cdot 283$
4	5	33 - 35	$2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$	116 - 152	1039
5	2^{3}	36 - 39	157	153 - 158	$5 \cdot 13 \cdot 17$
6	3^{2}	40 - 44	173	159 - 162	1171
7 - 8	$2 \cdot 7$	45 - 55	193	163 - 166	1451
9 - 11	$3 \cdot 5$	56 - 59	311	167 - 184	$3 \cdot 487$
12 - 16	$2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$	60 - 64	337	185 - 208	1609
17 - 20	$5 \cdot 7$	65 - 68	409	209 - 281	$3 \cdot \overline{761}$

Table 1: <u>A270151</u>: Discriminator for the Fibonacci sequence $1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, \ldots$

n	$D_S(n)$	n	$D_S(n)$
1	1	129 - 256	2^{8}
2	2	257 - 512	2^{9}
3 - 4	2^{2}	513 - 1024	2^{10}
5 - 8	2^{3}	1025 - 2048	2^{11}
9 - 16	2^{4}	2049 - 2500	5^{5}
17 - 20	5^{2}	2501 - 4096	2^{12}
21 - 32	2^{5}	4097 - 8192	2^{13}
33 - 64	2^{6}	8193 - 12500	5^{6}
65 - 100	5^{3}	12501 - 16384	2^{14}
101 - 128	2^{7}	16385 - 32768	2^{15}

Table 2: Discriminator for the Sălăjan sequence $2, 1, 8, 19, 62, 181, \ldots$

Table 2 demonstrates Theorem 2.

6 Acknowledgments

The problem of characterizing the binary recurrences \mathbf{w} such that $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{w}}(2^e) = 2^e$ for every $e \ge 1$ was posed by Moree to many interns. Eventually it was solved, in essence, independently by de Clercq, Matthis, and Weiss (interns in 2019) and Stoumen (a student of Martirosyan). Their work was completed by Luca. Ciolan kindly commented on an earlier version.

The authors, except Stoumen who has not visited the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics (yet!), are grateful for the pleasant working conditions and inspiring research atmosphere.

References

- Yu. Bilu, G. Hanrot, and P. M. Voutier, Existence of primitive divisors of Lucas and Lehmer numbers. With an appendix by M. Mignotte. J. Reine Angew. Math. 539 (2001), 75–122.
- [2] A. Ciolan, M. Ferrari, F. Luca, and P. Moree, On the discriminator of Lucas sequences II: Effective aspects, in preparation.
- [3] A. Ciolan and P. Moree, Browkin's discriminator conjecture, Colloq. Math. 156 (2019), 25–56.
- [4] G. Everest, A. van der Poorten, I. Shparlinski, and T. Ward, *Recurrence Sequences*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 104, American Mathematical Society, 2003.
- [5] B. Faye, F. Luca, and P. Moree, On the discriminator of Lucas sequences, Ann. Math. Qué. 43 (2019), 51–71.
- [6] S. Haque and J. Shallit, Discriminators and k-regular sequences, Integers 16 (2016), Paper #A76.
- [7] P. Moree, The incongruence of consecutive values of polynomials, *Finite Fields Appl.* 2 (1996), 321–335.
- [8] P. Moree and A. Zumalacárregui, Salajan's conjecture on discriminating terms in an exponential sequence, J. Number Theory 160 (2016), 646–665.
- [9] P. Ribenboim, The New Book of Prime Number Records, Springer-Verlag, 1996.
- [10] Z.-W. Sun, On functions taking only prime values, J. Number Theory 133 (2013), 2794–2812.
- [11] M. Zieve, A note on the discriminator, J. Number Theory 73 (1998), 122–138.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11B50, Secondary 11A07, 11B39. Keywords: congruence, discriminator, binary recurrent sequence, Lucas sequence, Binet formula, permutation.

(Concerned with sequences $\underline{A084222}$ and $\underline{A270151}$.)

Received March 10 2020; revised versions received September 28 2020; October 23 2020. Published in *Journal of Integer Sequences*, November 28 2020.

Return to Journal of Integer Sequences home page.