



Representation of Integers Using $a^2 + b^2 - dc^2$

Peter Cho-Ho Lam
Department of Mathematics
Simon Fraser University
Burnaby, BC V5A1S6
Canada
chohol@sfu.ca

Abstract

A positive integer d is called *special* if every integer m can be expressed as $a^2 + b^2 - dc^2$ for some nonzero integers a, b, c . A necessary condition for special numbers was recently given by Nowicki, and in this paper we prove its sufficiency. Thus, we give a complete characterization for special numbers.

1 Introduction

Many problems in number theory are concerned with the representation of integers by multivariate polynomials with integral coefficients and variables. For example, the well-known theorem of Lagrange asserts that every positive integer is the sum of four squares. Ramanujan [3] gave a complete list of general quadratic forms with four variables,

$$Q(x, y, z, w) = ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 + dw^2,$$

that represent all positive integers, where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that it is not possible to represent all positive integers if we reduce one variable in $Q(x, y, z, w)$; in fact, it cannot even represent all integers from 1 to 10 by a very elementary argument. However, three variables are sufficient if we use indefinite quadratic forms. For example, the form $Q(x, y, z) = x^2 + y^2 - z^2$ can represent all integers with integral x, y, z since $x^2 - z^2$ represents all odd integers and one can pick $y = 0, 1$. To generalize this, Nowicki [2] defined special numbers and proved a necessary condition for them, which is stated in Theorem 2:

Definition 1. A positive integer d is *special* if for every integer m there exist nonzero integers a, b, c such that $m = a^2 + b^2 - dc^2$.

Theorem 2. Every special number d is of the form q or $2q$, where either $q = 1$ or q is a product of primes of the form $4m + 1$.

Nowicki [2] further verified that the converse is true when $d \leq 50$ through various identities. For example, when $d = 13$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(2k - 4)^2 + (3k - 10)^2 - 13(k - 3)^2 &= (2k - 30)^2 + (3k - 36)^2 - 13(k - 13)^2 = 2k - 1, \\ (2k - 3)^2 + (3k - 2)^2 - 13(k - 1)^2 &= (2k - 29)^2 + (3k - 54)^2 - 13(k - 17)^2 = 2k.\end{aligned}$$

We need two identities for each parity since we require a, b, c to be nonzero. Similarly, when $d = 34$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(3k - 7)^2 + (5k - 16)^2 - 34(k - 3)^2 &= (3k - 24)^2 + (5k - 33)^2 - 34(k - 7)^2 = 2k - 1, \\ (3k - 11)^2 + (5k - 27)^2 - 34(k - 5)^2 &= (3k - 45)^2 + (5k - 61)^2 - 34(k - 13)^2 = 4k, \\ (3k - 1)^2 + (5k + 1)^2 - 34(k)^2 &= (3k - 69)^2 + (5k - 135)^2 - 34(k - 26)^2 = 4k + 2.\end{aligned}$$

In this paper, we prove the converse of Theorem 2, and hence give a complete characterization of special numbers:

Theorem 3. If d is of the form q or $2q$, where either $q = 1$ or q is a product of primes of the form $4m + 1$, then d is special.

2 Proof of Theorem 3

First, we invoke the following well-known lemma, where the proof is given in [1, Theorem 3.20]:

Lemma 4. A positive integer n can be expressed as the form q or $2q$ where q is a product of primes of the form $4m + 1$ if and only if n can be expressed as the form $n = x^2 + y^2$ where $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\gcd(x, y) = 1$.

Proof of Theorem 3. In what follows, we assume $d > 1$, since $d = 1$ is already known to be special.

Suppose d is odd. Then all prime factors of d are of the form $4m + 1$. By Lemma 4, we can write $d = x^2 + y^2$ where $\gcd(x, y) = 1$, and $x \not\equiv y \pmod{2}$. Now let $a = xk + \alpha$, $b = yk + \beta$ and $c = k$, where α and β are integers which will be chosen later. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}a^2 + b^2 - dc^2 &= (xk + \alpha)^2 + (yk + \beta)^2 - (x^2 + y^2)(k)^2 \\ &= 2(x\alpha + y\beta)k + \alpha^2 + \beta^2.\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

We consider the solution pairs (α, β) to the equation

$$x\alpha + y\beta = 1.\tag{2}$$

It suffices to show that $\alpha^2 + \beta^2$ cover both parities. Note that (2) must have an integral solution (α_0, β_0) since $\gcd(x, y) = 1$. If we define $\alpha_1 = \alpha_0 + y$ and $\beta_1 = \beta_0 - x$, then (α_1, β_1) is another solution of (2). Now observe

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_1^2 + \beta_1^2 &= (\alpha_0 + y)^2 + (\beta_0 - x)^2 \\ &= (\alpha_0^2 + \beta_0^2) + x^2 + y^2 + 2(\alpha_0 y + \beta_0 x) \\ &\equiv (\alpha_0^2 + \beta_0^2) + x^2 + y^2 \pmod{2}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $x^2 + y^2 = d$ is odd, $\alpha_0^2 + \beta_0^2 \not\equiv \alpha_1^2 + \beta_1^2 \pmod{2}$. The two identities given by

$$(xk + \alpha_i)^2 + (yk + \beta_i)^2 - (x^2 + y^2)(k)^2 = 2k + \alpha_i^2 + \beta_i^2,$$

where $i = 0, 1$, cover both odd and even integers, and hence every integer can be expressed as the form $a^2 + b^2 - dc^2$ for some integers a, b, c .

However, one of the variables a, b, c becomes zero in the representations of

$$m = \alpha_i^2 + \beta_i^2, -\frac{2\alpha_i}{x} + \alpha_i^2 + \beta_i^2, -\frac{2\beta_i}{y} + \alpha_i^2 + \beta_i^2 \quad (3)$$

for $i = 0, 1$. To fix this problem, we can simply set $\alpha_n = \alpha_0 + ny$ and $\beta_n = \beta_0 - nx$ to generate more identities, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. As $n \rightarrow \infty$, the absolute values of α_n and β_n approach infinity. Thus for sufficiently large n , the new exceptional cases do not overlap with the original ones, and the values in (3) can be represented using the new identities.

Now suppose d is even. Then $d = 2q$ where q is a product of primes of the form $4m + 1$. Again by Lemma 4, we can write $d = x^2 + y^2$ where $\gcd(x, y) = 1$, but this time $x \equiv y \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. We have a similar expansion as (1), and if $x\alpha + y\beta = 1$, then $\alpha \not\equiv \beta \pmod{2}$ and $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. Therefore we have an identity that generates all odd integers.

But in this case, shifting the solution (α, β) of (2) does not produce an identity for even integers. Therefore in (1) we consider the linear equation

$$x\alpha + y\beta = 2. \quad (4)$$

Now we pick a pair of solution (α_0, β_0) , and construct the second solution pair (α_1, β_1) in a similar manner, and then

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_1^2 + \beta_1^2 &= (\alpha_0 + y)^2 + (\beta_0 - x)^2 \\ &= (\alpha_0^2 + \beta_0^2) + x^2 + y^2 + 2(\alpha_0 y + \beta_0 x) \\ &\equiv (\alpha_0^2 + \beta_0^2) + 2 + 2(\alpha_0 y + \beta_0 x) \pmod{4}.\end{aligned}$$

Since x and y are odd, and the right hand side of (4) is even, we deduce that $\alpha_0 \equiv \beta_0 \pmod{2}$. Therefore $2 \mid (\alpha_0 y + \beta_0 x)$ and

$$\alpha_1^2 + \beta_1^2 \equiv \alpha_0^2 + \beta_0^2 + 2 \pmod{4}.$$

Also note that $\alpha_0^2 + \beta_0^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Thus, the two identities given by

$$(xk + \alpha_i)^2 + (yk + \beta_i)^2 - (x^2 + y^2)(k)^2 = 2k + \alpha_i^2 + \beta_i^2,$$

where $i = 0, 1$, cover both integers of the form $4m$ and $4m + 2$, and hence every integer can be expressed as the form $a^2 + b^2 - dc^2$ for some integers a, b, c . The exceptional cases can be handled similarly as in the $d = q$ case. \square

References

- [1] I. Niven, H. S. Zuckerman, and H. L. Montgomery, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1991.
- [2] A. Nowicki, The numbers $a^2 + b^2 - dc^2$, *J. Integer Seq.* **18** (2015), [Article 15.2.3](#).
- [3] S. Ramanujan, On the expression of a number in the form $ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 + dw^2$, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **19** (1917), 11–21. Reprinted in *Collected Papers of Srinivasa Ramanujan*, AMS Chelsea Publishing, 2000, pp. 169–178.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11D09.

Keywords: sum of squares, sum of two coprime squares.

Received July 14 2015; revised version received July 29 2015. Published in *Journal of Integer Sequences*, July 29 2015.

Return to [Journal of Integer Sequences home page](#).