



# A Note on the Generating Function for the Stirling Numbers of the First Kind

Ricky X. F. Chen

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science  
University of Southern Denmark  
Campusvej 55  
DK-5230, Odense M  
Denmark

[chen.ricky1982@gmail.com](mailto:chen.ricky1982@gmail.com)

## Abstract

In this short note, we present a simple constructive proof for the generating function for the unsigned Stirling numbers of the first kind using the equidistribution of pilots and cycles of permutations.

## 1 Introduction

There are many studies on different statistics of permutations in the literature, e.g., inversion number, excedance and descent [4]. In this note, we study another simple statistic of permutations which we call pilots (while they could be called right-to-left minima as well). For a permutation  $\pi = \pi_1\pi_2 \cdots \pi_n$  on  $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $\pi_i$  is called a *pilot* of  $\pi$  if  $\pi_i < \pi_j$  for all  $j > i$ . Note that  $\pi_n$  is always a pilot of  $\pi$ . We relate pilots to a representation of a permutation as a product of its disjoint cycles, that allows us to give a simple constructive proof for the generating function for the unsigned Stirling numbers of the first kind.

The unsigned Stirling number of the first kind  $c(n, k)$  (see [A132393](#) [3]) is the number of permutations on  $[n]$  consisting of  $k$  disjoint cycles [2, 4]. Our main result is to prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.** For  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n c(n, k)x^k = x(x+1)(x+2)\cdots(x+n-1). \quad (1)$$

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1

There are four proofs of Eq. (1) in Stanley [4] and one in Callan [1]. In Stanley [4], when a permutation  $\pi$  is written as product of its disjoint cycles, a standard representation is defined as follows: each cycle is written with its largest element first, and all the cycles are written in increasing order of their largest element. By this standard representation, we can obtain a bijection between permutations with  $k$  cycles and permutations with  $k$  left-to-right maxima. However, to make use of pilots, we define a different representation as follows: we write  $\pi = C_1C_2\cdots C_k$  so that  $\min\{C_i\} < \min\{C_j\}$  for all  $j > i$  and each cycle  $C_i$  ends with  $\min\{C_i\}$  for all  $i$ . We call this new representation as the standard representation of type  $P$ . For example,  $\pi = 76154832$  has three cycles: (173), (268) and (45). Then, in the standard representation of type  $P$ , we write  $\pi = (731)(682)(54)$ .

For a permutation  $\pi$  with  $k$  cycles written in the standard representation of type  $P$ , if we erase the parentheses of the cycles, we obtain a permutation as a word  $\pi'$ . For example, from  $\pi = (731)(682)(54)$  we obtain  $\pi' = 73168254$ . Reversely, each pilot of  $\pi'$  induces a cycle of  $\pi$ , e.g.,  $1 \rightarrow (731)$ ,  $2 \rightarrow (682)$ ,  $4 \rightarrow (54)$ . It is easy to observe that such a correspondence between permutations with  $k$  cycles and permutations with  $k$  pilots is a bijection, that is, we have

**Lemma 2.** The number of permutations with  $k$  pilots equals to the number of permutations with  $k$  cycles.

Let  $pil(\pi)$  denote the number of pilots of  $\pi$ . Our idea to prove Eq. (1) is to show that

$$\sum_{\pi} x^{pil(\pi)} = x(x+1)(x+2)\cdots(x+n-1),$$

where the sum is over all permutations  $\pi$  on  $[n]$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Note that  $\pi_1$  is a pilot of  $\pi = \pi_1\pi_2\cdots\pi_n$  if and only if  $\pi_1 = 1$ ; the other  $n-1$  cases will not make  $\pi_1$  a pilot. The element  $\pi_2$  is a pilot of  $\pi$  if and only if  $\pi_2 = \min\{[n] \setminus \{\pi_1\}\}$ ; the remaining  $n-2$  cases, i.e.,  $\pi_2 \in [n] \setminus \{\pi_1, \min\{[n] \setminus \{\pi_1\}\}\}$ , will not make  $\pi_2$  a pilot; and so on and so forth. In summary, to construct a permutation  $\pi$  starting from an empty word, suppose  $\pi_j$  has been determined for  $1 \leq j \leq i-1$ , then  $\pi_i$  has only one chance to be a pilot of  $\pi$ , i.e.,  $\pi_i = \min\{[n] \setminus \{\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_{i-1}\}\}$ , and the other  $n-i$  cases

not. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\pi} x^{\text{pil}(\pi)} &= (x + n - 1) && \text{by } \pi_1 \\ &\times (x + n - 2) && \text{by } \pi_2 \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\times (x + 1) && \text{by } \pi_{n-1} \\ &\times x && \text{by } \pi_n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, Eq. (1) holds from Lemma 2. □

### 3 Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank the referee for his/her useful comments which helped to improve the presentation of this note.

### References

- [1] D. Callan, Notes on Stirling cycle numbers, preprint, <http://www.stat.wisc.edu/~callan/papersother/>.
- [2] J. H. van Lint and R. M. Wilson, *A Course in Combinatorics*, 2nd edition, Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- [3] N. J. A. Sloane, The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, <http://oeis.org>.
- [4] R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics*, Vol. 1, 2nd edition, Cambridge University Press, 1997.

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 05A05.  
*Keywords*: permutation, pilot, Stirling number of the first kind.

---

(Concerned with sequence [A132393](#).)

---

Received January 25 2015; revised version received February 16 2015. Published in *Journal of Integer Sequences*, March 25 2015.

---

Return to [Journal of Integer Sequences home page](#).