



A New Approach to Multivariate q -Euler Polynomials Using the Umbral Calculus

Serkan Araci
Atatürk Street
31290 Hatay
Turkey
mtsrxn@hotmail.com

Xiangxing Kong
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Central South University
Changsha 410075
China
xiangxingkong@gmail.com

Mehmet Acikgoz
Department of Mathematics
University of Gaziantep
27310 Gaziantep
Turkey
acikgoz@gantep.edu.tr

Erdoğan Şen
Department of Mathematics
Namik Kemal University
59030 Tekirdağ
Turkey
erdogan.math@gmail.com

Abstract

We derive numerous identities for multivariate q -Euler polynomials by using the umbral calculus.

1 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we use the following notation, where \mathbb{C} denotes the set of complex numbers, \mathcal{F} denotes the set of all formal power series in the variable t over \mathbb{C} with $\mathcal{F} = \left\{ f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \frac{t^k}{k!} \mid a_k \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$, $\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{C}[x]$ and \mathcal{P}^* denotes the vector space of all linear functional on \mathcal{P} , $\langle L \mid p(x) \rangle$ denotes the action of the linear functional L on the polynomial $p(x)$, and it is well-known that the vector space operation on \mathcal{P}^* is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle L + M \mid p(x) \rangle &= \langle L \mid p(x) \rangle + \langle M \mid p(x) \rangle, \\ \langle cL \mid p(x) \rangle &= c \langle L \mid p(x) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where c is some constant in \mathbb{C} (for details, see [5, 6, 8, 11]).

The formal power series are known by the rule

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \frac{t^k}{k!} \in \mathcal{F}$$

which defines a linear functional on \mathcal{P} as $\langle f(t) \mid x^n \rangle = a_n$ for all $n \geq 0$ (for details, see [5, 6, 8, 11]). Additionally,

$$\langle t^k \mid x^n \rangle = n! \delta_{n,k}, \quad (1)$$

where $\delta_{n,k}$ is the Kronecker symbol. When we take $f_L(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \langle L \mid x^k \rangle \frac{t^k}{k!}$, then we obtain $\langle f_L(t) \mid x^n \rangle = \langle L \mid x^n \rangle$ and so as linear functionals $L = f_L(t)$ (see [5, 6, 8, 11]). Additionally, the map $L \rightarrow f_L(t)$ is a vector space isomorphism from \mathcal{P}^* onto \mathcal{F} . Henceforth, \mathcal{F} denotes both the algebra of the formal power series in t and the vector space of all linear functionals on \mathcal{P} , and so an element $f(t)$ of \mathcal{F} can be thought of as both a formal power series and a linear functional. The algebra \mathcal{F} is called the umbral algebra (see [5, 6, 8, 11]).

Also, the evaluation functional for y in \mathbb{C} is defined to be power series e^{yt} . We can write that $\langle e^{yt} \mid x^n \rangle = y^n$ and so $\langle e^{yt} \mid p(x) \rangle = p(y)$ (see [5, 6, 8, 11]). We note that for all $f(t)$ in \mathcal{F}

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \langle f(t) \mid x^k \rangle \frac{t^k}{k!} \quad (2)$$

and for all polynomials $p(x)$,

$$p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \langle t^k \mid p(x) \rangle \frac{x^k}{k!}, \quad (3)$$

(for details, see [5, 6, 8, 11]). The order $o(f(t))$ of the power series $f(t) \neq 0$ is the smallest integer k for which a_k does not vanish. It is considered $o(f(t)) = \infty$ if $f(t) = 0$. We see that $o(f(t)g(t)) = o(f(t)) + o(g(t))$ and $o(f(t) + g(t)) \geq \min \{o(f(t)), o(g(t))\}$. The series $f(t)$ has a multiplicative inverse, denoted by $f(t)^{-1}$ or $\frac{1}{f(t)}$, if and only if $o(f(t)) = 0$. Such series

is called an invertible series. A series $f(t)$ for which $o(f(t)) = 1$ is called a delta series (see [5, 6, 8, 11]). For $f(t), g(t) \in \mathcal{F}$, we have $\langle f(t)g(t) | p(x) \rangle = \langle f(t) | g(t)p(x) \rangle$.

A delta series $f(t)$ has a compositional inverse $\bar{f}(t)$ such that $f(\bar{f}(t)) = \bar{f}(f(t)) = t$.

For $f(t), g(t) \in \mathcal{F}$, we have $\langle f(t)g(t) | p(x) \rangle = \langle f(t) | g(t)p(x) \rangle$. By (3), we have

$$p^{(k)}(x) = \frac{d^k p(x)}{dx^k} = \sum_{l=k}^{\infty} \frac{\langle t^l | p(x) \rangle}{l!} l(l-1)\cdots(l-k+1)x^{l-k}. \quad (4)$$

Thus, we see that

$$p^{(k)}(0) = \langle t^k | p(x) \rangle = \langle 1 | p^{(k)}(x) \rangle. \quad (5)$$

By (4), we get

$$t^k p(x) = p^{(k)}(x) = \frac{d^k p(x)}{dx^k}. \quad (6)$$

So, we have

$$e^{yt} p(x) = p(x+y). \quad (7)$$

Let $f(t)$ be a delta series and let $g(t)$ be an invertible series. Then there exists a unique sequence $S_n(x)$ of polynomials, with $\deg S_n(x) = n$, such that $\langle g(t)f(t)^k | S_n(x) \rangle = n! \delta_{n,k}$ for all $n, k \geq 0$. The sequence $S_n(x)$ is called the Sheffer sequence for $(g(t), f(t))$ or that $S_n(t)$ is Sheffer for $(g(t), f(t))$.

The Sheffer sequence for $(1, f(t))$ is called the associated sequence for $f(t)$; we also say $S_n(x)$ is associated with $f(t)$. The Sheffer sequence for $(g(t), t)$ is called the Appell sequence for $g(t)$; we also say $S_n(x)$ is Appell for $g(t)$.

Let $p(x) \in \mathcal{P}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \frac{e^{yt} - 1}{t} | p(x) \right\rangle &= \int_0^y p(u) du, \\ \langle f(t) | xp(x) \rangle &= \langle \partial_t f(t) | p(x) \rangle = \langle f'(t) | p(x) \rangle, \\ \langle e^{yt} - 1 | p(x) \rangle &= p(y) - p(0), \text{ (see [5, 6, 8, 11])}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Let $S_n(x)$ be Sheffer for $(g(t), f(t))$. Then the following results are known in [11]:

$$\begin{aligned} h(t) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle h(t) | S_k(x) \rangle}{k!} g(t) f(t)^k, \quad h(t) \in \mathcal{F} \\ p(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle g(t) f(t)^k | p(x) \rangle}{k!} S_k(x), \quad p(x) \in \mathcal{P}, \\ \frac{1}{g(\bar{f}(t))} e^{y\bar{f}(t)} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_k(y) \frac{t^k}{k!}, \quad \text{for all } y \in \mathbb{C}, \\ f(t)S_n(x) &= nS_{n-1}(x). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Let $a_1, \dots, a_r, b_1, \dots, b_r$ be positive integers. Kim and Rim [1] defined the generating function for multivariate q -Euler polynomials as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} F_q(t, x \mid a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n,q}(x \mid a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r) \frac{t^n}{n!} \\ &= \frac{2^r}{(q^{b_1} e^{a_1 t} + 1) \cdots (q^{b_r} e^{a_r t} + 1)} e^{xt}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Note that

$$E_{0,q}(x \mid a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r) = \frac{2^r}{[2]_{q^{b_1}} [2]_{q^{b_2}} \cdots [2]_{q^{b_r}}},$$

where $[x]_q$ is q -extension of x defined by

$$[x]_q = \frac{q^x - 1}{q - 1} = 1 + q + q^2 + \cdots + q^{x-1}.$$

We assume that $q \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|q| < 1$. Also, we note that $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} [x]_q = x$ (see [1]–[11]). In the special case, $x = 0$, $E_{n,q}(0 \mid a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r) := E_{n,q}(a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r)$ are called multivariate q -Euler numbers. By (10), we obtain the following:

$$E_{n,q}(x \mid a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^k E_{n-k,q}(a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r). \quad (11)$$

Kim and Kim [5] studied some interesting identities for Frobenius-Euler polynomials arising from umbral calculus. They derived not only new but also fascinating identities in modern classical umbral calculus.

By the same motivation, we also get numerous identities for multivariate q -Euler polynomials by utilizing from the umbral calculus.

2 On the multivariate q -Euler polynomials arising from umbral calculus

Assume that $S_n(x)$ is an Appell sequence for $g(t)$. By (9), we have

$$\frac{1}{g(t)} x^n = S_n(x) \text{ if and only if } x^n = g(t) S_n(x), \quad (n \geq 0). \quad (12)$$

Let us take

$$g(t \mid a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r) = \frac{(q^{b_1} e^{a_1 t} + 1) \cdots (q^{b_r} e^{a_r t} + 1)}{2^r} \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Then we readily see that $g(t | a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r)$ is an invertible series. By (12), we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n,q}(x | a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{1}{g(t | a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r)} e^{xt}. \quad (13)$$

By (13), we obtain the following

$$\frac{1}{g(t | a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r)} x^n = E_{n,q}(x | a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r). \quad (14)$$

Also, by (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} tE_{n,q}(x | a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r) &= E'_{n,q}(x | a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r) \\ &= nE_{n-1,q}(x | a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

By (14) and (15), we have the following proposition.

Proposition 1. For $n \geq 0$, $E_{n,q}(x | a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r)$ is an Appell sequence for

$$g(t | a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r) = \frac{(q^{b_1} e^{a_1 t} + 1) \cdots (q^{b_r} e^{a_r t} + 1)}{2^r}.$$

By (10), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{n+1,q}(x | a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r) \frac{t^n}{n!} &= \frac{xg e^{xt} - g' e^{xt}}{g^2} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(x \frac{1}{g} x^n - \frac{g'}{g} \frac{1}{g} x^n \right) \frac{t^n}{n!} \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where we used $g := g(t | a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r)$. Because of (14) and (16), we discover the following:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{n+1,q}(x | a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r) & \\ &= xE_{n,q}(x | a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r) - \frac{g'}{g} E_{n,q}(x | a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Therefore, we deduce the following theorem.

Theorem 2. Let $g := g(t | a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r) = \frac{(q^{b_1} e^{a_1 t} + 1) \cdots (q^{b_r} e^{a_r t} + 1)}{2^r} \in \mathcal{F}$. Then we have for $n \geq 0$:

$$E_{n+1,q}(x | a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r) = \left(x - \frac{g'}{g} \right) E_{n,q}(x | a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r). \quad (18)$$

From (10), we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (q^{br} E_{n,q}(x + a_r | a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r) + E_{n,q}(x | a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r)) \frac{t^n}{n!} \\ = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n,q}(x | a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}; b_1, \dots, b_{r-1}) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

By comparing the coefficients in the both sides of $\frac{t^n}{n!}$ on the above, we obtain the following

$$\begin{aligned} 2E_{n,q}(x | a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}; b_1, \dots, b_{r-1}) = q^{br} E_{n,q}(x + a_r | a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r) \\ + E_{n,q}(x | a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

From Theorem 2, we get the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} gE_{n+1,q}(x | a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r) \\ = gx E_{n,q}(x | a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r) - g' E_{n,q}(x | a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

By using (20) and (21), we arrive at the desired theorem.

Theorem 3. For $n \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2E_{n,q}(x | a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}; b_1, \dots, b_{r-1}) = q^{br} E_{n,q}(x + a_r | a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r) \\ + E_{n,q}(x | a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r). \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Now, we consider that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_x^{x+y} E_{n,q}(u | a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r) du \\ &= \frac{1}{n+1} (E_{n+1,q}(x+y | a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r) - E_{n+1,q}(x | a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r)) \\ &= \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \binom{n+1}{j} E_{n+1-j,q}(x | a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r) y^j \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{n(n-1)(n-2) \cdots (n-j+2)}{j!} E_{n+1-j,q}(x | a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r) y^j \\ &= \frac{1}{t} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^j t^j}{j!} - 1 \right) E_{n,q}(x | a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r) \\ &= \frac{e^{yt} - 1}{t} E_{n,q}(x | a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we discover the following theorem:

Theorem 4. For $n \geq 0$, we have

$$\int_x^{x+y} E_{n,q}(u \mid a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r) du = \frac{e^{yt} - 1}{t} E_{n,q}(x \mid a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r). \quad (23)$$

By (15) and Proposition 1, we have

$$t \left\{ \frac{1}{n+1} E_{n+1,q}(x \mid a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r) \right\} = E_{n,q}(x \mid a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r). \quad (24)$$

Thanks to (24), we readily derive the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle e^{yt} - 1 \mid \frac{E_{n+1,q}(x \mid a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r)}{n+1} \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \frac{e^{yt} - 1}{t} \mid t \left\{ \frac{E_{n+1,q}(x \mid a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r)}{n+1} \right\} \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \frac{e^{yt} - 1}{t} \mid E_{n,q}(x \mid a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r) \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

On account of (8) and (24), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \frac{e^{yt} - 1}{t} \mid E_{n,q}(x \mid a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r) \right\rangle &= \left\langle e^{yt} - 1 \mid \frac{E_{n+1,q}(x \mid a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r)}{n+1} \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{n+1} \{E_{n+1,q}(y \mid a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r) - E_{n+1,q}(a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r)\} \\ &= \int_0^y E_{n,q}(u \mid a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r) du. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 5. For $n \geq 0$, we have

$$\left\langle \frac{e^{yt} - 1}{t} \mid E_{n,q}(x \mid a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r) \right\rangle = \int_0^y E_{n,q}(u \mid a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r) du. \quad (26)$$

Assume that

$$\mathcal{P}(q \mid a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r) = \{p(x) \in Q(q \mid a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r)[x] \mid \deg p(x) \leq n\}$$

is a vector space over $Q(q \mid a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r)$ which are the space of all polynomials including coefficients $q, a_1, \dots, a_r, b_1, \dots, b_r$.

For $p(x) \in \mathcal{P}(q \mid a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r)$, let us consider

$$p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_k E_{k,q}(x \mid a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r). \quad (27)$$

By Proposition 1, $E_{n,q}(u | a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r)$ is an Appell sequence for

$$g := g(t | a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r) = \frac{(q^{b_1} e^{a_1 t} + 1) \cdots (q^{b_r} e^{a_r t} + 1)}{2^r}.$$

Thus we have

$$\langle g(t | a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r) t^k | E_{n,q}(x | a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r) \rangle = n! \delta_{n,k}. \quad (28)$$

From (27) and (28), we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g(t | a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r) t^k | p(x) \rangle &= \sum_{l=0}^n b_l \langle g t^k | E_{l,q}(x | a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^n b_l l! \delta_{l,k} = k! b_k. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Thus, by (29), we derive

$$\begin{aligned} b_k &= \frac{1}{k!} \langle g t^k | p(x) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2^r k!} \langle (q^{b_1} e^{a_1 t} + 1) \cdots (q^{b_r} e^{a_r t} + 1) | p^{(k)}(x) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

It is not difficult to show the following

$$(q^{b_1} e^{a_1 t} + 1) \cdots (q^{b_r} e^{a_r t} + 1) = \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_r \geq 0 \\ k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_r = 1}} q^{\sum_{l=1}^r b_l k_l} e^{t \sum_{j=1}^r a_j k_j}. \quad (31)$$

Via the results (30) and (31), we easily see that

$$\begin{aligned} b_k &= \frac{1}{2^r k!} \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_r \geq 0 \\ k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_r = 1}} q^{\sum_{l=1}^r b_l k_l} \langle e^{t \sum_{j=1}^r a_j k_j} | p^{(k)}(x) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2^r k!} \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_r \geq 0 \\ k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_r = 1}} q^{\sum_{l=1}^r b_l k_l} p^{(k)} \left(\sum_{j=1}^r a_j k_j \right). \end{aligned}$$

As a result, we state the following theorem.

Theorem 6. For $p(x) \in \mathcal{P}(q | a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r)$, when we consider

$$p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_k E_{k,q}(x | a_1, \dots, a_r; b_1, \dots, b_r),$$

we obtain

$$b_k = \frac{1}{2^r k!} \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_r \geq 0 \\ k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_r = 1}} q^{\sum_{l=1}^r b_l k_l} p^{(k)} \left(\sum_{j=1}^r a_j k_j \right).$$

3 Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for several helpful comments.

References

- [1] T. Kim and S. H. Rim, New Changhee q -Euler numbers and polynomials associated with p -adic q -integrals, *Computers & Mathematics with Applications* **54** (2007) 484–489.
- [2] T. Kim, p -adic q -integrals associated with the Changhee–Barnes’ q -Bernoulli polynomials, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **15** (2004) 415–420.
- [3] T. Kim, Symmetry of power sum polynomials and multivariate fermionic p -adic invariant integral on \mathbb{Z}_p , *Russ. J. Math. Phys.* **16** (2009), 93–96.
- [4] T. Kim, Non-Archimedean q -integrals associated with multiple Changhee q -Bernoulli polynomials, *Russ. J. Math. Phys.* **10** (2003) 91–98.
- [5] D. S. Kim and T. Kim, Some identities of Frobenius-Euler polynomials arising from umbral calculus, *Advances in Difference Equations* 2012, 2012:196.
- [6] T. Kim, D. S. Kim, S.-H. Lee, and S.-H. Rim, Umbral calculus and Euler polynomials, *Ars Combinatoria*, to appear.
- [7] M. Maldonado, J. Prada, and M. J. Senosiain, Appell bases on sequence spaces, *J. Nonlinear Math. Physics* **18**, Suppl. 1 (2011) 189–194.
- [8] R. Dere and Y. Simsek, Applications of umbral algebra to some special polynomials, *Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math.* **22** (2012), 433–438.
- [9] S. Araci, D. Erdal, and J. J. Seo, A study on the fermionic p -adic q -integral representation on \mathbb{Z}_p associated with weighted q -Bernstein and q -Genocchi polynomials, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, Volume **2011**, Article ID 649248.
- [10] S. Araci, M. Acikgoz, and J. J. Seo, Explicit formulas involving q -Euler numbers and polynomials, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, Volume **2012**, Article ID 298531. pages.
- [11] S. Roman, *The Umbral Calculus*, Dover, 2005.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11S80; Secondary 11B68.

Keywords: Appell sequence, Sheffer sequence, multivariate q -Euler polynomial, formal power series.

Received January 23 2013; revised versions received June 5 2013; December 7 2013. Published in *Journal of Integer Sequences*, December 8 2013.

Return to [Journal of Integer Sequences home page](#).