



The Frobenius Problem for Modified Arithmetic Progressions

Amitabha Tripathi
Department of Mathematics
Indian Institute of Technology
Hauz Khas, New Delhi – 110016
India
atripath@maths.iitd.ac.in

Abstract

For a set of positive and relatively prime integers A , let $\Gamma(A)$ denote the set of integers obtained by taking all nonnegative integer linear combinations of integers in A . Then there are finitely many positive integers that do not belong to $\Gamma(A)$. For the modified arithmetic progression $A = \{a, ha + d, ha + 2d, \dots, ha + kd\}$, $\gcd(a, d) = 1$, we determine the largest integer $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ that does not belong to $\Gamma(A)$, and the number of integers $\mathfrak{n}(A)$ that do not belong to $\Gamma(A)$. We also determine the set of integers $\mathcal{S}^*(A)$ that do not belong to $\Gamma(A)$ which, when added to any positive integer in $\Gamma(A)$, result in an integer in $\Gamma(A)$. Our results generalize the corresponding results for arithmetic progressions.

1 Introduction

Given a finite set $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$ of positive integers with $\gcd A := \gcd(a_1, \dots, a_k) = 1$, let $\Gamma(A) := \{a_1x_1 + \dots + a_kx_k : x_i \geq 0\}$ and $\Gamma^*(A) = \Gamma(A) \setminus \{0\}$. It is well known that $\Gamma^c(A) := \mathbb{N} \setminus \Gamma(A)$ is finite. Although it was Sylvester [9] who first asked to determine

$$\mathfrak{g}(A) := \max \Gamma^c(A),$$

and who showed that $\mathfrak{g}(a_1, a_2) = (a_1 - 1)(a_2 - 1) - 1$, it was Frobenius who was largely instrumental in giving this problem the early recognition, and it is after him that the problem

is named. Ramírez-Alfonsín's monograph on the Frobenius problem [5] gives an extensive survey. Related to the Frobenius problem is the problem of determining $\mathbf{n}(A) := |\Gamma^c(A)|$. As in the case of determining $\mathbf{g}(A)$, it was Sylvester who showed that $\mathbf{n}(a_1, a_2) = \frac{1}{2}(a_1-1)(a_2-1)$.

Tripathi [11] introduced the following variation of the Frobenius problem. Let

$$\mathcal{S}^*(A) := \{n \in \Gamma^c(A) : n + \Gamma^*(A) \subset \Gamma^*(A)\}.$$

Since $\mathbf{g}(A)$ is the largest integer in $\mathcal{S}^*(A)$, the determination of $\mathcal{S}^*(A)$ also provides the resolution of $\mathbf{g}(A)$. For the sake of convenience, we recall the following essential result regarding $\mathcal{S}^*(A)$ from [11]. Fix $a \in A$, and let $\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{C}}$ denote the smallest integer in $\Gamma(A) \cap \mathbf{C}$, where \mathbf{C} denotes a nonzero residue class modulo a . If \mathcal{C} denotes the set of all nonzero residue classes modulo a , then

$$\mathcal{S}^*(A) \subseteq \{\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{C}} - a : \mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{C}\}. \quad (1)$$

Moreover, if (x) denotes the residue class of x modulo a and \mathbf{m}_x the least integer in $\Gamma(A) \cap (x)$, then

$$\mathbf{m}_j - a \in \mathcal{S}^*(A) \iff \mathbf{m}_j - a \geq \mathbf{m}_{j+i} - \mathbf{m}_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq a-1. \quad (2)$$

Observe that $\mathcal{S}^*(A) \neq \emptyset$; in fact, $\mathbf{g}(A)$ is the largest integer in $\mathcal{S}^*(A)$. A complete description of $\mathcal{S}^*(A)$ would therefore lead to the determination of $\mathbf{g}(A)$.

The functions \mathbf{g} and \mathbf{n} are easily determined from the values of $\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{C}}$ by Lemma 1. Brauer and Shockley [2] proved (i) and Selmer [8] proved (ii); a short proof of both results may be found in [10].

Lemma 1. ([2, 8]) *Let $a \in A$. Then*

(i) $\mathbf{g}(A) = \max_{\mathbf{C}} \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{C}} - a$, *the maximum taken over all nonzero classes \mathbf{C} modulo a ;*

(ii) $\mathbf{n}(A) = \frac{1}{a} \sum_{\mathbf{C}} \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{C}} - \frac{1}{2}(a-1)$, *the sum taken over all nonzero classes \mathbf{C} modulo a .*

In cases when all but one integer in A have a nontrivial divisor, the following reduction formulae given by Lemma 2 is useful. Johnson [4] gave the reduction formulae for $\mathbf{g}(A)$ and Rødseth [7] for $\mathbf{n}(A)$; a short proof of both results may be found in [12].

Lemma 2. ([4, 7]) *Let $a \in A$, let $d = \gcd(A \setminus \{a\})$, and define $A' := \frac{1}{d}(A \setminus \{a\})$.*

(i) $\mathbf{g}(A) = d \cdot \mathbf{g}(A' \cup \{a\}) + a(d-1)$;

(ii) $\mathbf{n}(A) = d \cdot \mathbf{n}(A' \cup \{a\}) + \frac{1}{2}(a-1)(d-1)$.

In this article, we determine $\mathbf{g}(A)$, $\mathbf{n}(A)$ and $\mathcal{S}^*(A)$ for the modified arithmetic progression $A = \{a, ha + d, ha + 2d, \dots, ha + kd\}$ with $\gcd(a, d) = 1$.

2 The case $A = \{a, ha + d, ha + 2d, \dots, ha + kd\}$

For arithmetic progressions, Roberts [6] determined $\mathbf{g}(A)$, later simplified by Bateman [1], while Grant [3] determined $\mathbf{n}(A)$. A simple proof for both these results using Lemma 1 can be found in [10]. In fact, it is also possible to determine $\mathcal{S}^*(A)$ in this case; see [11]. The result about $\mathbf{g}(A)$ and $\mathbf{n}(A)$ when A consists of terms in arithmetic progression can be modified or extended in many ways. One such modification is to consider $A = \{a, ha + d, ha + 2d, \dots, ha + kd\}$ with $\gcd(a, d) = 1$ and $h, k \geq 1$. The result for $\mathbf{g}(A)$ is due to Selmer [8], but we provide a simpler proof that also leads to other results.

Henceforth let $A = \{a, ha + d, ha + 2d, \dots, ha + kd\}$ with $\gcd(a, d) = 1$ and $h, k \geq 1$. Then $\mathbf{g}(A)$ denotes the largest N such that

$$ax_0 + (ha+d)x_1 + (ha+2d)x_2 + \dots + (ha+kd)x_k = a \left(x_0 + h \sum_{i=1}^k x_i \right) + d \left(\sum_{i=1}^k ix_i \right) = N \quad (3)$$

has no solution in nonnegative integers, and $\mathbf{n}(A)$ the number of such integers N .

Lemma 3. *For each x , $1 \leq x \leq a-1$, the least positive integer of the form given by equation (3) in the class $dx \pmod{a}$ is given by $ha \left(1 + \lfloor \frac{x-1}{k} \rfloor\right) + dx$.*

Proof. Let \mathbf{m}_{dx} denote the least positive integer in the class (dx) modulo a . Then \mathbf{m}_{dx} is the minimum value attained by the expression on the left in equation (3) subject to $\sum_{i=1}^k ix_i = x$ and each $x_i \geq 0$. If $x = qk + r$, $0 \leq r \leq k-1$, the sum $x_0 + h \sum_{i=1}^k x_i$ is minimized by choosing $x_k = q$, $x_r = 1$ and $x_i = 0$ for all other i , unless $r = 0$ in which case we must choose $x_r = 0$. Thus the minimum value for $x_0 + h \sum_{i=1}^k x_i$ is $h(q+1)$ if $r \neq 0$ and hq if $r = 0$, which may be combined as $h \left(1 + \lfloor \frac{x-1}{k} \rfloor\right)$. Hence $\mathbf{m}_{dx} = ha \left(1 + \lfloor \frac{x-1}{k} \rfloor\right) + dx$. \square

Theorem 4. *Let a, d, h, k be positive integers, with $\gcd(a, d) = 1$. Then*

- (i) $\mathbf{g}(a, ha + d, ha + 2d, \dots, ha + kd) = ha \lfloor \frac{a-2}{k} \rfloor + (h-1)a + d(a-1)$;
- (ii) $\mathbf{n}(a, ha + d, ha + 2d, \dots, ha + kd) = \frac{1}{2}h(a+r) \left(1 + \lfloor \frac{a-2}{k} \rfloor\right) + \frac{1}{2}(a-1)(d-1)$, where $r \equiv a-2 \pmod{k}$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad \mathbf{g}(a, ha + d, ha + 2d, \dots, ha + kd) &= \max_{\mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{C}} \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{C}} - a \\ &= \max_{1 \leq x \leq a-1} \left(ha \left(1 + \lfloor \frac{x-1}{k} \rfloor\right) + dx \right) - a \\ &= ha \lfloor \frac{a-2}{k} \rfloor + (h-1)a + d(a-1). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Write $a - 2 = qk + r$, with $0 \leq r \leq k - 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
n(a, ha + d, ha + 2d, \dots, ha + kd) &= \frac{1}{a} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{C} \\ a-1}} \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{C}} - \frac{1}{2}(a - 1) \\
&= \frac{1}{a} \sum_{x=1}^{a-1} \left(ha \left(1 + \left\lfloor \frac{x-1}{k} \right\rfloor \right) + dx \right) - \frac{1}{2}(a - 1) \\
&= h \sum_{x=0}^{a-2} \left(1 + \left\lfloor \frac{x}{k} \right\rfloor \right) + \frac{1}{2}d(a - 1) - \frac{1}{2}(a - 1) \\
&= h(k(1 + 2 + \dots + q) + (q + 1)(r + 1)) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}(a - 1)(d - 1) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}h(a + r) \left(1 + \left\lfloor \frac{a-2}{k} \right\rfloor \right) + \frac{(a-1)(d-1)}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Observation 5. The case when A consists of integers in arithmetic progression is the special case $h = 1$ in Theorem 4.

Recall that $\mathcal{S}^*(A) := \{n \in \Gamma^c(A) : n + \Gamma^*(A) \subset \Gamma^*(A)\}$. Since $\mathbf{g}(A)$ is the *largest* element in $\mathcal{S}^*(A)$, the set $\mathcal{S}^*(A)$ is intimately linked with the Frobenius problem.

Theorem 6. *Let a, d, h, k be positive integers, with $\gcd(a, d) = 1$. Write $a - 1 = qk + r$, with $1 \leq r \leq k$. Then*

$$\mathcal{S}^*(a, ha + d, ha + 2d, \dots, ha + kd) = \{ha \lfloor \frac{x-1}{k} \rfloor + (h-1)a + dx : a - r \leq x \leq a - 1\}.$$

Proof. Fix $k \geq 1$, and let $A = \{a, ha + d, ha + 2d, \dots, ha + kd\}$. By equation (1) and Lemma 3,

$$\mathcal{S}^*(A) \subseteq \{ha \lfloor \frac{x-1}{k} \rfloor + (h-1)a + dx : 1 \leq x \leq a - 1\}.$$

By equation (2), $ha \lfloor \frac{x-1}{k} \rfloor + (h-1)a + dx \in \mathcal{S}^*$ if and only if for each y with $1 \leq y \leq a - 1$,

$$ha \lfloor \frac{(x+y) \bmod a - 1}{k} \rfloor + d((x+y) \bmod a) \leq ha \left(\lfloor \frac{x-1}{k} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{y-1}{k} \rfloor \right) + (h-1)a + d(x+y). \quad (4)$$

Suppose $k \leq a - 1$, and write $a - 1 = qk + r$ with $1 \leq r \leq k$. Then unless $x = a - 1$, $x + y \leq a - 1$ for at least one y . For such a y , equation (4) reduces to proving the inequality

$$\lfloor \frac{x+y-1}{k} \rfloor \leq \lfloor \frac{x-1}{k} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{y-1}{k} \rfloor.$$

If we now write $x = q_1k + r_1$, $y = q_2k + r_2$ with $1 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq k$, the reduced inequality above fails to hold precisely when $r_1 + r_2 \geq k + 1$. Given x , and hence r_1 , the choice $y = r_2 = k + 1 - r_1$ will thus ensure that equation (4) fails to hold provided $x + y \leq a - 1$. However, such a choice for y is not possible precisely when $x \geq qk + 1 = a - r$, so that equation (4) always holds in only these cases. Finally, it is easy to verify that equation (4)

holds if $x = a - 1$. This shows $\mathcal{S}^* = \{ha\lfloor \frac{x-1}{k} \rfloor + (h-1)a + dx : a-r \leq x \leq a-1\}$ if $1 \leq k \leq a-1$.

If $k \geq a$, equation (4) reduces to $d((x+y) \bmod a) \leq d(x+y) + (h-1)a$. Thus $\mathcal{S}^*(A) = \{(h-1)a + dx : 1 \leq x \leq a-1\}$, as claimed, since $r = a-1$ and $\lfloor \frac{x-1}{k} \rfloor = 0$ in this case. This completes the proof. \square

Observation 7. The case when A consists of integers in arithmetic progression is the special case $h = 1$ in Theorem 6. Moreover, the result in the first part of Theorem 4 follows directly from Theorem 6.

3 Acknowledgments

The author wishes to thank the anonymous referee for his comments.

References

- [1] P. T. Bateman, Remark on a recent note on linear forms, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **65** (1958), 517–518.
- [2] A. Brauer and J. E. Shockley, On a problem of Frobenius, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **211** (1962), 215–220.
- [3] D. D. Grant, On linear forms whose coefficients are in arithmetic progression, *Israel J. Math.*, **15** (1973), 204–209.
- [4] S. M. Johnson, A linear diophantine problem, *Canad. J. Math.*, **12** (1960), 390–398.
- [5] J. L. Ramírez Alfonsín, *The Diophantine Frobenius Problem*, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, No. 30, Oxford University Press, 2005.
- [6] J. B. Roberts, Note on linear forms, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **7** (1956), 465–469.
- [7] Ø. J. Rødseth, On a linear diophantine problem of Frobenius, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **301** (1978), 171–178.
- [8] E. S. Selmer, On the linear diophantine problem of Frobenius, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **293/294** (1977), 1–17.
- [9] J. J. Sylvester, Problem 7382, in W. J. C. Miller, ed., *Mathematical Questions, with their Solutions, from the “Educational Times”*, **41** (1884), p. 21. Solution by W. J. Curran Sharp. Available at <http://tinyurl.com/oe344rs>.
- [10] A. Tripathi, The coin exchange problem for arithmetic progressions, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **101** (1994), 779–781.

- [11] A. Tripathi, On a variation of the coin exchange problem for arithmetic progressions, *Integers*, **3** (2003), Article A01, 1–5.
- [12] A. Tripathi, On a linear diophantine problem of Frobenius, *Integers*, **6** (2006), Article A14, 1–6.