The 4-Nicol Numbers Having Five Different Prime Divisors

Qiao-Xiao Jin and Min Tang¹ Department of Mathematics Anhui Normal University Wuhu 241000 P. R. China

tangmin@mail.ahnu.edu.cn

Abstract

A positive integer n is called a Nicol number if $n \mid \varphi(n) + \sigma(n)$, and a t-Nicol number if $\varphi(n) + \sigma(n) = tn$. In this paper, we show that if n is a 4-Nicol number that has five different prime divisors, then $n = 2^{\alpha_1} \cdot 3 \cdot 5^{\alpha_3} \cdot p^{\alpha_4} \cdot q^{\alpha_5}$, or $n = 2^{\alpha_1} \cdot 3 \cdot 7^{\alpha_3} \cdot p^{\alpha_4} \cdot q^{\alpha_5}$ with $p \leq 29$.

1 Introduction

For any positive integer n, let $\phi(n)$, $\omega(n)$ and $\sigma(n)$ be the Euler function of n, the number of prime divisors of n and the sum of divisors of n, respectively. We call n is a Nicol number if $n \mid \varphi(n) + \sigma(n)$, and a t-Nicol number if $\varphi(n) + \sigma(n) = tn$. It is well-known that $t \geq 2$, and n is prime if and only if $\varphi(n) + \sigma(n) = 2n$. In 1966, Nicol [4] conjectured that Nicol numbers are all even, and proved that if α is such that $p = 2^{\alpha-2} \cdot 7 - 1$ is prime, then $n = 2^{\alpha} \cdot 3 \cdot p$ is 3-Nicol number. In 1995, Ming-Zhi Zhang [6] showed that if $n = p^{\alpha}q$ then n cannot be a Nicol number, where p and q are distinct primes and α is a positive integer. In 1997, Lin and Zhang [2] showed that if $\omega(n) = 2$, then n cannot be a Nicol number. In 2008, Luca and Sandor [3] showed that if n is a Nicol number and $\omega(n) = 3$, then either $n \in \{560, 588, 1400\}$ or $n = 2^{\alpha} \cdot 3 \cdot p$ with $p = 2^{\alpha-2} \cdot 7 - 1$ prime. In 2008, Wang [5] studied the Nicol numbers that have four different prime divisors. In 2009, Harris [1] showed that the Nicol numbers that have four different prime divisors must be one of the following forms:

¹Corresponding author. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant No. 10901002 and the SF of the Education Department of Anhui Province, Grant No. KJ2010A126.

1. $n = 2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 11$, $2^4 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$, $2^7 \cdot 5 \cdot 11 \cdot 79$, $2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 13^2$, $2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 17 \cdot 241$, $2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 17^2 \cdot 2243$;

2.
$$n \in \{2^a \cdot 3 \cdot p_3 \cdot p_4 | p_4 = \frac{(7 \cdot 2^{a-2} - 1)p_3 + 9 \cdot 2^{a-2} - 1}{p_3 - (7 \cdot 2^{a-2} - 1)}, \text{ where } p_3, p_4 \text{ are distinct primes.} \}$$

Moreover, Harris [1] proved that all but finitely many Nicol numbers that have 5 different prime divisors are divisible by 6 and not 9.

In this paper, we study the 4-Nicol numbers that have five different prime divisors and obtain the following result:

Theorem 1. If n is a 4-Nicol number with $\omega(n) = 5$, then either $n = 2^{\alpha_1}3^{\alpha_2}5^{\alpha_3}p^{\alpha_4}q^{\alpha_5}$, or $n = 2^{\alpha_1}3^{\alpha_2}7^{\alpha_3}p^{\alpha_4}q^{\alpha_5}$ with $p \le 29$, where p, q are distinct primes, and $\alpha_i(i = 1, 2, \dots, 5)$ are positive integers.

By the Harris result and Theorem 1, we have the following result:

Corollary 2. All but finitely many 4-Nicol numbers with 5 different prime divisors have the form $n = 2^{\alpha_1} \cdot 3 \cdot 5^{\alpha_3} \cdot p^{\alpha_4} \cdot q^{\alpha_5}$, or $n = 2^{\alpha_1} \cdot 3 \cdot 7^{\alpha_3} \cdot p^{\alpha_4} \cdot q^{\alpha_5}$ with $p \le 29$.

Throughout this paper, let a and m be relatively prime positive integers, the least positive integer x such that $a^x \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ is called the order of a modulo m. We denote the order of a modulo m by $\operatorname{ord}_m(a)$. Let $V_p(m)$ be the exponent of the highest power of p that divides m.

2 Lemmas

The following three lemmas are motivated by the work of Luca and Sándor [3]. Here we make some minor revisions.

Lemma 3. Let a, b be two natural numbers and p be an odd prime. If $V_p(a-1) \ge 1$, then

$$V_p(a^b - 1) = V_p(b) + V_p(a - 1).$$

Proof. Let $V_p(b) = m$ and $V_p(a-1) = n$. We may assume that $b = p^m t$ with $p \nmid t$ and $a = 1 + p^n a_0$ with $p \nmid a_0$.

Since $n \geq 1$, we have

$$a^{t} = (1 + p^{n}a_{0})^{t} = 1 + C_{t}^{1}p^{n}a_{0} + \dots + C_{t}^{t}(p^{n}a_{0})^{t} = 1 + p^{n}c, \quad p \nmid c.$$

Thus

$$a^{tp} = (1 + p^n c)^p = 1 + C_p^1 p^n c + \dots + C_p^p (p^n c)^p = 1 + p^{n+1} a_1, \quad p \nmid a_1.$$

By induction on m, for all $m \geq 0$ we have $a^b = a^{tp^m} = 1 + p^{m+n}a_m$ with $p \nmid a_m$. Hence

$$V_p(a^b - 1) = m + n = V_p(b) + V_p(a - 1).$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.

Lemma 4. Let t be a natural number and p, q be two primes. We have

$$V_p(q^t - 1) \le V_p(q^f - 1) + V_p(t),$$

where $f = \operatorname{ord}_p(q)$, if $p \neq 2$; and f = 2, if p = 2.

Proof. (i) p = 2. By [3, Lemma 1], we have

$$V_2(q^t - 1) \le V_2(q^2 - 1) + V_2(t).$$

(ii) p > 2. Now consider the following two cases:

Case 1. $q^t \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. The above inequality is obvious.

Case 2. $q^t \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Then $\operatorname{ord}_p(q) \mid t$ and $\operatorname{ord}_p(q) \mid p-1$. Let $V_p(t) = m$. We may assume that $t = \operatorname{ord}_p(q) \cdot p^m \cdot k$ with $p \nmid k$. Thus

$$\begin{split} V_p(q^t-1) &= V_p\big((q^{\operatorname{ord}_p(q)})^{p^m \cdot k} - 1\big) \\ &= V_p(q^{\operatorname{ord}_p(q)} - 1) + V_p(p^m \cdot k) \\ &= V_p(q^{\operatorname{ord}_p(q)} - 1) + m \\ &= V_p(q^{\operatorname{ord}_p(q)} - 1) + V_p(t). \end{split}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.

Lemma 5. Let $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k}$ be the standard factorization of n and $X = \max\{\alpha_j \mid j = 1, 2, \cdots, k\}$. We fix $i \in \{1, \cdots, k\}$ such that $X = \alpha_i$. If n is a Nicol number, then we have

$$X - 1 \le \sum_{j=1, j \ne i}^{k} V_{p_i}(p_j^{f_j} - 1) + \frac{k - 1}{\log p_i} \log(X + 1),$$

where $f_j = ord_{p_i}(p_j)$, if $p_i \neq 2$; and $f_j = 2$, if $p_i = 2$.

Proof. Since $n \mid \phi(n) + \sigma(n)$ and $p_i^{X-1} \mid \phi(n)$, we have

$$p_i^{X-1} \mid \sigma(n) = \prod_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{p_j^{\alpha_j+1} - 1}{p_j - 1} \right).$$

Hence

$$p_i^{X-1} \Big| \prod_{j=1}^k (p_j^{\alpha_j+1} - 1).$$

The above relation implies that

$$X - 1 \le \sum_{j=1}^{k} V_{p_i}(p_j^{\alpha_j + 1} - 1) = \sum_{j=1, j \ne i}^{k} V_{p_i}(p_j^{\alpha_j + 1} - 1).$$

By Lemma 4

$$X - 1 \leq \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{k} V_{p_i}(p_j^{f_j} - 1) + \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{k} V_{p_i}(\alpha_j + 1)$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{k} V_{p_i}(p_j^{f_j} - 1) + \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{k} \frac{\log(\alpha_j + 1)}{\log p_i}$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{k} V_{p_i}(p_j^{f_j} - 1) + \frac{k - 1}{\log p_i} \log(X + 1).$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 5.

Lemma 6. If n is a 4-Nicol number and $\omega(n) = 5$, then n must be one of the following three forms:

- 1. $n = 2^{\alpha_1} \cdot 3^{\alpha_2} \cdot 5^{\alpha_3} \cdot p^{\alpha_4} \cdot q^{\alpha_5}$, p, q are distinct primes and $7 \le p < q$.
- 2. $n = 2^{\alpha_1} \cdot 3^{\alpha_2} \cdot 7^{\alpha_3} \cdot p^{\alpha_4} \cdot q^{\alpha_5}$, p < q are distinct primes and $p \le 29$.
- 3. $n = 2^{\alpha_1} \cdot 3^{\alpha_2} \cdot 11^{\alpha_3} \cdot 13^{\alpha_4} \cdot p^{\alpha_5}, p \le 23 \text{ is prime.}$

Proof. Let $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} p_3^{\alpha_3} p_4^{\alpha_4} p_5^{\alpha_5}$ be the standard factorization of n. Put $l = \frac{\sigma(n)}{n}$.

Noting that n is a 4-Nicol number and $\varphi(n)\sigma(n) < n^2$, we have $4 = \frac{\varphi(n)}{n} + \frac{\sigma(n)}{n} < l + l^{-1}$,

hence $l > 2 + \sqrt{3}$. By $\frac{n}{\varphi(n)} > \frac{\sigma(n)}{n} = l$, we have

$$\frac{n}{\varphi(n)} = \frac{p_1}{p_1 - 1} \frac{p_2}{p_2 - 1} \frac{p_3}{p_3 - 1} \frac{p_4}{p_4 - 1} \frac{p_5}{p_5 - 1} > l > 2 + \sqrt{3}.$$

If $p_2 \geq 5$ then

$$\frac{n}{\varphi(n)} = \frac{p_1}{p_1 - 1} \frac{p_2}{p_2 - 1} \frac{p_3}{p_3 - 1} \frac{p_4}{p_4 - 1} \frac{p_5}{p_5 - 1} \le 2 \cdot \frac{5}{4} \cdot \frac{7}{6} \cdot \frac{11}{10} \cdot \frac{13}{12} < 2 + \sqrt{3},$$

a contradiction. Thus $p_2 = 3$ and $p_1 = 2$.

If $p_3 \geq 13$ then

$$\frac{n}{\varphi(n)} = \frac{p_1}{p_1 - 1} \frac{p_2}{p_2 - 1} \frac{p_3}{p_3 - 1} \frac{p_4}{p_4 - 1} \frac{p_5}{p_5 - 1} \le 2 \cdot \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{13}{12} \cdot \frac{17}{16} \cdot \frac{19}{18} < 2 + \sqrt{3},$$

a contradiction, thus $p_3 \leq 11$.

Case 1. $p_3 = 7$. Then $p_4 \leq 29$. In fact, if $p_4 \geq 31$ then

$$\frac{n}{\varphi(n)} = \frac{p_1}{p_1 - 1} \frac{p_2}{p_2 - 1} \frac{p_3}{p_3 - 1} \frac{p_4}{p_4 - 1} \frac{p_5}{p_5 - 1} \le 2 \cdot \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{7}{6} \cdot \frac{31}{30} \cdot \frac{37}{36} < 2 + \sqrt{3},$$

a contradiction.

Case 2. $p_3 = 11$. Then $p_4 = 13$ and $p_5 \le 23$. In fact, if $p_4 \ge 17$ then

$$\frac{n}{\varphi(n)} = \frac{p_1}{p_1 - 1} \frac{p_2}{p_2 - 1} \frac{p_3}{p_3 - 1} \frac{p_4}{p_4 - 1} \frac{p_5}{p_5 - 1} \le 2 \cdot \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{11}{10} \cdot \frac{17}{16} \cdot \frac{19}{18} < 2 + \sqrt{3},$$

a contradiction.

If $p_5 \geq 29$ then

$$\frac{n}{\varphi(n)} = \frac{p_1}{p_1 - 1} \frac{p_2}{p_2 - 1} \frac{p_3}{p_3 - 1} \frac{p_4}{p_4 - 1} \frac{p_5}{p_5 - 1} \le 2 \cdot \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{11}{10} \cdot \frac{13}{12} \cdot \frac{29}{28} < 2 + \sqrt{3},$$

a contradiction.

This completes the proof of Lemma 6.

3 Proof of Theorem 1

By Lemma 6, it is enough to show that there is no 4-Nicol numbers $n = 2^{\alpha_1} \cdot 3^{\alpha_2} \cdot 11^{\alpha_3} \cdot 13^{\alpha_4} \cdot p^{\alpha_5}$ with $p \le 23$.

Assume that $n = 2^{\alpha_1} \cdot 3^{\alpha_2} \cdot 11^{\alpha_3} \cdot 13^{\alpha_4} \cdot p^{\alpha_5}$ with $p \le 23$ be a 4-Nicol number, then by $\frac{\varphi(n)}{n} + \frac{\sigma(n)}{n} = 4$ we have:

$$2^{\alpha_1+6} \cdot 3^{\alpha_2+1} \cdot 5 \cdot 11^{\alpha_3-1} \cdot 13^{\alpha_4-1} \cdot p^{\alpha_5-1} \cdot (133p+10) \cdot (p-1)$$

$$= (2^{\alpha_1+1}-1) \cdot (3^{\alpha_2+1}-1) \cdot (11^{\alpha_3+1}-1) \cdot (13^{\alpha_4+1}-1) \cdot (p^{\alpha_5+1}-1).$$

Case 1. p = 17, $n = 2^{\alpha_1} \cdot 3^{\alpha_2} \cdot 11^{\alpha_3} \cdot 13^{\alpha_4} \cdot 17^{\alpha_5}$. Then

$$2^{\alpha_1+10} \cdot 3^{\alpha_2+2} \cdot 5 \cdot 11^{\alpha_3-1} \cdot 13^{\alpha_4-1} \cdot 17^{\alpha_5-1} \cdot 757$$

$$= (2^{\alpha_1+1}-1)(3^{\alpha_2+1}-1)(11^{\alpha_3+1}-1)(13^{\alpha_4+1}-1)(17^{\alpha_5+1}-1). \tag{1}$$

By Lemma 5 we have $X \leq 35$. Noting that

$$\operatorname{ord}_{757}(2) = 756, \operatorname{ord}_{757}(3) = 9, \operatorname{ord}_{757}(11) = \operatorname{ord}_{757}(13) = \operatorname{ord}_{757}(17) = 189,$$

thus

$$757 \nmid 2^{\alpha_1+1} - 1,757 \nmid 11^{\alpha_3+1} - 1,757 \nmid 13^{\alpha_4+1} - 1,757 \nmid 17^{\alpha_5+1} - 1.$$

By (1) we have 757 | $3^{\alpha_2+1} - 1$, thus $\alpha_2 + 1 = 9k, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. By $X \le 35$, we have k = 1, 2, 3. Subcase 1: $k = 1, \alpha_2 + 1 = 9$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{\alpha_1+9} \cdot 3^{10} \cdot 5 \cdot 11^{\alpha_3-1} \cdot 13^{\alpha_4-2} \cdot 17^{\alpha_5-1} \\ &= (2^{\alpha_1+1}-1)(11^{\alpha_3+1}-1)(13^{\alpha_4+1}-1)(17^{\alpha_5+1}-1). \end{aligned}$$

- (i) $\alpha_4 = 2$. By $\text{ord}_{61}(13) = 3$ we have $61 \mid 13^3 1$, this is impossible.
- (ii) $\alpha_4 > 2$. Then $13 \mid (2^{\alpha_1+1}-1)(11^{\alpha_3+1}-1)(17^{\alpha_5+1}-1)$. On the other hand, we have the following facts: If $13 \mid 2^{\alpha_1+1}-1$, by $\operatorname{ord}_{13}(2) = 12$, thus $12 \mid \alpha_1+1$, and noting that $\operatorname{ord}_7(2) = 3$ we have $7 \mid 2^{\alpha_1+1}-1$, which is impossible. If $13 \mid 11^{\alpha_3+1}-1$, by $\operatorname{ord}_{13}(11) = 12$, thus $12 \mid \alpha_3+1$, and noting that $\operatorname{ord}_7(11) = 3$ we have $7 \mid 11^{\alpha_3+1}-1$, which is impossible. If $13 \mid 17^{\alpha_5+1}-1$, by $\operatorname{ord}_{13}(17) = 6$, thus $6 \mid \alpha_5+1$, and noting that $\operatorname{ord}_7(17) = 6$ we have $7 \mid 17^{\alpha_5+1}-1$, which is impossible. Thus $13 \nmid (2^{\alpha_1+1}-1)(11^{\alpha_3+1}-1)(17^{\alpha_5+1}-1)$, a contradiction.

Subcase 2: k = 2, $\alpha_2 + 1 = 18$. By $\operatorname{ord}_7(3) = 6$, we have $7 \mid 3^{18} - 1$, thus $7 \mid 3^{\alpha_2 + 1} - 1$, which contradicts (1).

Subcase 3: k = 3, $\alpha_2 + 1 = 27$. By $\operatorname{ord}_{757}(3) = 9$, we have 757 | $3^{27} - 1$, thus 757 | $3^{\alpha_2+1} - 1$, which contradicts (1).

Case 2. $p = 19, n = 2^{\alpha_1} \cdot 3^{\alpha_2} \cdot 11^{\alpha_3} \cdot 13^{\alpha_4} \cdot 19^{\alpha_5}$. Then

$$2^{\alpha_1+7} \cdot 3^{\alpha_2+3} \cdot 5 \cdot 11^{\alpha_3-1} \cdot 13^{\alpha_4-1} \cdot 19^{\alpha_5-1} \cdot 43 \cdot 59$$

$$= (2^{\alpha_1+1}-1)(3^{\alpha_2+1}-1)(11^{\alpha_3+1}-1)(13^{\alpha_4+1}-1)(19^{\alpha_5+1}-1). \tag{2}$$

By Lemma 5 we have $X \leq 33$. Noting that

$$\operatorname{ord}_{59}(2) = \operatorname{ord}_{59}(11) = \operatorname{ord}_{59}(13) = 58, \operatorname{ord}_{59}(3) = \operatorname{ord}_{59}(19) = 29,$$

we have

$$59 \nmid 2^{\alpha_1+1} - 1, 59 \nmid 11^{\alpha_3+1} - 1, 59 \nmid 13^{\alpha_4+1} - 1.$$

By (2) we have $59 \mid 3^{\alpha_2+1}-1$ or $59 \mid 19^{\alpha_5+1}-1$. If $59 \mid 3^{\alpha_2+1}-1$, then $29 \mid \alpha_2+1$. Since $\operatorname{ord}_{28537}(3)=29$, we have $28537 \mid 3^{\alpha_2+1}-1$, which contradicts with (2), thus $59 \nmid 3^{\alpha_2+1}-1$. If $59 \mid 19^{\alpha_5+1}-1$, then $29 \mid \alpha_5+1$. Since $\operatorname{ord}_{233}(19)=29$, we have $233 \mid 19^{\alpha_5+1}-1$, which contradicts with (2), thus $59 \nmid 19^{\alpha_5+1}-1$.

Case 3. p = 23, $n = 2^{\alpha_1} \cdot 3^{\alpha_2} \cdot 11^{\alpha_3} \cdot 13^{\alpha_4} \cdot 23^{\alpha_5}$. Then

$$2^{\alpha_1+7} \cdot 3^{\alpha_2+3} \cdot 5 \cdot 11^{\alpha_3+1} \cdot 13^{\alpha_4-1} \cdot 23^{\alpha_5-1} \cdot 31$$

$$= (2^{\alpha_1+1} - 1)(3^{\alpha_2+1} - 1)(11^{\alpha_3+1} - 1)(13^{\alpha_4+1} - 1)(23^{\alpha_5+1} - 1). \tag{3}$$

By Lemma 5 we have $X \leq 34$. Noting that

$$\operatorname{ord}_{31}(2) = 5, \operatorname{ord}_{31}(3) = \operatorname{ord}_{31}(11) = \operatorname{ord}_{31}(13) = 30, \operatorname{ord}_{31}(23) = 10,$$

by $\operatorname{ord}_{31}(3) = \operatorname{ord}_{31}(11) = \operatorname{ord}_{31}(13) = 30$, we know that $30 \mid \alpha_i + 1, i = 2, 3, 4$. Noting that $\operatorname{ord}_{61}(3) = 10, \operatorname{ord}_{19}(11) = \operatorname{ord}_{61}(13) = 3$, we have $61 \mid 3^{\alpha_2+1}-1, 19 \mid 11^{\alpha_3+1}-1, 61 \mid 13^{\alpha_4+1}-1$. Which contradicts with (3), then we have

$$31 \nmid 3^{\alpha_2+1} - 1, 31 \nmid 11^{\alpha_3+1} - 1, 31 \nmid 13^{\alpha_4+1} - 1.$$

By (3) we know that $31 \mid 23^{\alpha_5+1} - 1$ or $31 \mid 2^{\alpha_1+1} - 1$.

If $31 \mid 23^{\alpha_5+1} - 1$, then by $\operatorname{ord}_{31}(23) = 10$, we know that $10 \mid \alpha_5 + 1$. Noting that $\operatorname{ord}_{41}(23) = 5$ we have $41 \mid 23^{\alpha_5+1} - 1$, which contradicts (3).

If $31 \mid 2^{\alpha_1+1}-1$, then $\alpha_1+1=5k, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. By $X \leq 34$, we have k=1,2,3,4,5,6.

Subcase 1: k = 1, $\alpha_1 + 1 = 5$, $n = 2^4 \cdot 3^{\alpha_2} \cdot 11^{\alpha_3} \cdot 13^{\alpha_4} \cdot 23^{\alpha_5}$. Put $m = 3^{\alpha_2} \cdot 11^{\alpha_3} \cdot 13^{\alpha_4} \cdot 23^{\alpha_5}$. Then

$$\frac{\sigma(m)}{m} < \frac{m}{\varphi(m)} = \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{11}{10} \cdot \frac{13}{12} \cdot \frac{23}{22} = \frac{299}{160} = 1.86875.$$

On the other hand, noting that $\varphi(n) + \sigma(n) = 4n$, then $8\varphi(m) + 31\sigma(m) = 64m$, thus

$$\frac{\sigma(m)}{m} > 1.9264 > 1.86875,$$

a contradiction.

Subcase 2: k = 2, $\alpha_1 + 1 = 10$. Thus

$$2^{16} \cdot 3^{\alpha_2+2} \cdot 5 \cdot 11^{\alpha_3} \cdot 13^{\alpha_4-1} \cdot 23^{\alpha_5-1}$$

= $(3^{\alpha_2+1} - 1)(11^{\alpha_3+1} - 1)(13^{\alpha_4+1} - 1)(23^{\alpha_5+1} - 1).$

Noting that the following facts:

- (i) If $2^{\alpha} \mid 3^{\alpha_2+1} 1$, then $\alpha \leq 4$. In fact, if $\alpha \geq 5$, then by $\operatorname{ord}_{32}(3) = 8$, we have $\alpha_2 + 1 = 8s, s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Noting that $\operatorname{ord}_{41}(3) = 8$, thus $41 \mid 3^{\alpha_2+1} 1$, this is impossible.
- (ii) If $2^{\alpha} \mid 11^{\alpha_3+1} 1$, then $\alpha \leq 3$. In fact, if $\alpha \geq 4$, then by $\operatorname{ord}_{16}(11) = 4$, we have $\alpha_3 + 1 = 4s, s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Noting that $\operatorname{ord}_{61}(11) = 4$, thus $61 \mid 11^{\alpha_3+1} 1$, this is impossible.
- (iii) If $2^{\alpha} \mid 13^{\alpha_4+1} 1$, then $\alpha \leq 3$. In fact, if $\alpha \geq 4$, then by $\operatorname{ord}_{16}(13) = 4$, thus $\alpha_4 + 1 = 4s, s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Noting that $\operatorname{ord}_7(13) = 2$, thus $7 \mid 13^{\alpha_4+1} 1$, this is impossible.
- (iv) If $2^{\alpha} \mid 23^{\alpha_5+1} 1$, then $\alpha \leq 4$. In fact, if $\alpha \geq 5$, then by $\operatorname{ord}_{32}(23) = 4$, we have $\alpha_5 + 1 = 4s, s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Noting that $\operatorname{ord}_{53}(23) = 4$, thus $53 \mid 23^{\alpha_5+1} 1$, this is impossible. Let

$$A = (3^{\alpha_2+1} - 1)(11^{\alpha_3+1} - 1)(13^{\alpha_4+1} - 1)(23^{\alpha_5+1} - 1),$$

$$B = 2^{16} \cdot 3^{\alpha_2+2} \cdot 5 \cdot 11^{\alpha_3} \cdot 13^{\alpha_4-1} \cdot 23^{\alpha_5-1}.$$

We have $V_2(A) \leq 14$ and $V_2(B) = 16$, this is impossible.

Subcase 3: k = 3, $\alpha_1 + 1 = 15$. By $\text{ord}_7(2) = 3$, we have $7 \mid 2^{\alpha_1 + 1} - 1$, which contradicts (3).

Subcase 4: k = 4, $\alpha_1 + 1 = 20$. By $\operatorname{ord}_{41}(2) = 20$, we have $41 \mid 2^{\alpha_1 + 1} - 1$, which contradicts (3).

Subcase 5: $k = 5, \alpha_1 + 1 = 25$. By $\operatorname{ord}_{601}(2) = 25$, we have $601 \mid 2^{\alpha_1 + 1} - 1$, which contradicts (3).

Subcase 6: $k = 6, \alpha_1 + 1 = 30$. By $\operatorname{ord}_{151}(2) = 15$, we have $151 \mid 2^{\alpha_1 + 1} - 1$, which contradicts (3).

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

References

- [1] K. Harris, On the classification of integer n that divide $\varphi(n) + \sigma(n)$, J. Number Theory **129** (2009), 2093–2110.
- [2] Da-Zheng Lin and Ming-Zhi Zhang, On the divisibility relation $n \mid \varphi(n) + \sigma(n)$, J. Sichuan Daxue Xuebao **34** (1997), 121–123.
- [3] F. Luca and J. Sándor, On a problem of Nicol and Zhang, J. Number Theory 128 (2008), 1044–1059.
- [4] C. A. Nicol, Some Diophantine equations involving arithmetic functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **15** (1966), 154–161.
- [5] Wei Wang, The research on Nicol problems, Master Degree Theses of Nanjing Normal University, 2008.

[6] Ming-Zhi Zhang, A divisibility problem, J. Sichuan Daxue Xuebao 32 (1995), 240–242.

 $2010\ Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification:\ Primary\ 11A25.$

Keywords: Nicol number; Euler's totient function.

Received April 12 2011; revised version received July 7 2011. Published in *Journal of Integer Sequences*, September 4 2011. Revised, April 11 2012.

Return to Journal of Integer Sequences home page.