



On the Sum of Reciprocals of Numbers Satisfying a Recurrence Relation of Order s

Takao Komatsu¹

Graduate School of Science and Technology
Hirosaki University
Hirosaki, 036-8561
Japan

komatsu@cc.hirosaki-u.ac.jp

Vichian Laohakosol²

Department of Mathematics
Kasetsart University
Bangkok 10900
Thailand

fscivil@ku.ac.th

Abstract

We discuss the partial infinite sum $\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} u_k^{-s}$ for some positive integer n , where u_k satisfies a recurrence relation of order s , $u_n = au_{n-1} + u_{n-2} + \cdots + u_{n-s}$ ($n \geq s$), with initial values $u_0 \geq 0$, $u_k \in \mathbb{N}$ ($0 \leq k \leq s-1$), where a and s (≥ 2) are positive integers. If $a = 1$, $s = 2$, and $u_0 = 0$, $u_1 = 1$, then $u_k = F_k$ is the k -th Fibonacci number. Our results include some extensions of Ohtsuka and Nakamura. We also consider continued fraction expansions that include such infinite sums.

¹ The first author was supported in part by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (No. 22540005), the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

² The second author was supported in part by the Commission on Higher Education and the Thailand Research Fund RTA5180005.

1 Introduction

The so-called *Fibonacci zeta function* is defined by

$$\zeta_F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{F_n^s},$$

where F_n is the n -th Fibonacci number satisfying the recurrence formula

$$F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2} \quad (n \geq 2), \quad F_0 = 0, \quad F_1 = 1.$$

Ohtsuka and Nakamura [8] studied the partial infinite sums of reciprocal Fibonacci numbers $\sum_{k \geq n}^{\infty} 1/F_k^s$. They gave an explicit formula for the integer part of $(\sum_{k \geq n}^{\infty} F_k^{-1})^{-1}$ and $(\sum_{k \geq n}^{\infty} F_k^{-2})^{-1}$. Holliday and Komatsu [2] generalized these results to the cases of G_n and H_n , satisfying $G_n = aG_{n-1} + G_{n-2}$ ($n \geq 2$) with $G_0 = 0$ and $G_1 = 1$, and $H_n = H_{n-1} + H_{n-2}$ ($n \geq 2$) with $H_0 = c$ and $H_1 = 1$, where $a \geq 1$ and $c \geq 0$ are integers. In this paper we shall not consider the integer part, but the nearest integer function of $(\sum_{k \geq n}^{\infty} u_k^{-1})^{-1}$, where $\{u_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ is a sequence of non-negative integers satisfying a linear recurrence formula of the type

$$u_n = au_{n-1} + u_{n-2} + \cdots + u_{n-s},$$

where a and s (≥ 2) are positive integers. Here, $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the nearest integer³, namely, $\|x\| = \lfloor x + 1/2 \rfloor$. Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1. *Let $\{u_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be an integer sequence satisfying the recurrence formula*

$$u_n = au_{n-1} + u_{n-2} + \cdots + u_{n-s} \quad (n \geq s) \tag{1}$$

with initial conditions

$$u_0 \geq 0, \quad u_k \in \mathbb{N} \quad (0 \leq k \leq s-1), \tag{2}$$

where a and s (≥ 2) are positive integers. Then there is a positive integer n_0 such that

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{u_k} \right)^{-1} \right\| = u_n - u_{n-1} \quad (n \geq n_0). \tag{3}$$

If $a = 1$, $u_0 = 0$, $u_1 = u_2 = 1$, $u_3 = 2$, \dots , $u_{s-1} = 2^{s-3}$, then the u_n 's are generalized Fibonacci numbers (sometimes called ‘‘Fibonacci s -step numbers’’ [1]). If $s = 2$, then $u_k = F_k$ are Fibonacci numbers, while if $s = 3$, then $u_k = T_k$ are Tribonacci numbers.

We need the following two lemmas in order to prove this theorem.

Lemma 2. *Let $a, s \in \mathbb{N}$, $s \geq 2$ and let*

$$f(x) = x^s - ax^{s-1} - x^{s-2} - \cdots - x - 1.$$

Then

³In other contexts, this notation is sometimes used for the distance from the nearest integer.

(a) $f(x)$ has exactly one positive simple zero $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a < \alpha < a + 1$;

(b) the remaining $s - 1$ zeros of $f(x)$ lie within the unit circle in the complex plane.

Proof. The case where $a = 1$ can be found in [7], so we assume from now on that $a \geq 2$.

By Descartes's rule of signs, we see that $f(x)$ has at most one positive real zero. Since $f(a) < 0$ and $f(a + 1) > 0$, its unique positive real zero, say α , satisfies $(2 \leq) a < \alpha < a + 1$. Since multiple roots are counted separately by Descartes's rule again, part (a) is proved. Observe from part (a) that

$$\text{for real } x > \alpha, \text{ we have } f(x) > 0, \quad (4)$$

$$\text{while for real } 0 < x < \alpha, \text{ we have } f(x) < 0. \quad (5)$$

Next, let

$$g(x) = (x - 1)f(x) = x^{s+1} - (a + 1)x^s + (a - 1)x^{s-1} + 1.$$

Observe further that

$$\text{for real } x > \alpha, \text{ we have } g(x) > 0, \quad (6)$$

$$\text{while for real } 1 < x < \alpha, \text{ we have } g(x) < 0. \quad (7)$$

To prove part (b), we proceed by establishing several claims.

Claim 1. $f(x)$ has no complex zero z_1 with $|z_1| > \alpha$.

Proof of Claim 1. If $0 = f(z_1) = z_1^s - az_1^{s-1} - z_1^{s-2} - \dots - z_1 - 1$, then

$$|z_1|^s \leq a|z_1|^{s-1} + |z_1|^{s-2} + \dots + |z_1| + 1,$$

which implies that $f(|z_1|) \leq 0$, contradicting (4).

Claim 2. $f(x)$ has no complex zero z_2 with $1 < |z_2| < \alpha$.

Proof of Claim 2. If $f(z_2) = 0$, then $0 = g(z_2) = z_2^{s+1} - (a + 1)z_2^s + (a - 1)z_2^{s-1} + 1$ and so

$$(a + 1)|z_2|^s \leq |z_2|^{s+1} + (a - 1)|z_2|^{s-1} + 1,$$

i.e., $g(|z_2|) \geq 0$, contradicting (7).

Claim 3. $f(x)$ has no complex zero $z_3 \neq \alpha$, with either $|z_3| = \alpha$ or $|z_3| = 1$.

Proof of Claim 3. If $f(z_3) = 0$, then

$$0 = g(z_3) = z_3^{s+1} - (a + 1)z_3^s + (a - 1)z_3^{s-1} + 1 \quad (8)$$

so that

$$(a + 1)|z_3|^s \leq |z_3|^{s+1} + (a - 1)|z_3|^{s-1} + 1. \quad (9)$$

If $|z_3| = \alpha$ or $|z_3| = 1$, then $g(|z_3|) = 0$ and so (9) must be an equality. Then the two conditions z_3^{s+1} and $z_3^{s-1} \in \mathbb{R}$, or $z_3^{s+1} = -(a-1)z_3^{s-1}$ follow from two applications of the fact that

$$|z_1 + z_2| = |z_1| + |z_2| \iff \frac{z_1}{z_2} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \quad (\text{for } z_2 \neq 0)$$

and from

$$(z_1 + z_2 \in \mathbb{R} \wedge \frac{z_1}{z_2} \in \mathbb{R}) \implies (z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R} \vee z_1 = -z_2).$$

• If z_3^{s+1} and $z_3^{s-1} \in \mathbb{R}$, then (8) shows that $z_3^s \in \mathbb{R}$, which in turn forces $z_3 \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, $z_3 = \pm \alpha$ or $z_3 = \pm 1$. The possibility $z_3 = \alpha$ is ruled out by the hypothesis, and the possibility $z_3 = 1$ is ruled out by (5). To rule out the remaining two possibilities of negative zeros, consider

$$g(-x) = \begin{cases} -x^{s+1} - (a+1)x^s - (a-1)x^{s-1} + 1, & \text{if } s \text{ is even;} \\ x^{s+1} + (a+1)x^s + (a-1)x^{s-1} + 1, & \text{if } s \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

By Descartes's rule of signs applied to $g(-x)$, we deduce that $g(x)$ and so also $f(x)$, has at most one real negative zero if s is even and has no real negative zeros if s is odd. When s is even, since $f(0) = -1$, $f(-1) = a > 0$, should $f(x)$ have a real negative zero, such zero must lie in the interval $(-1, 0)$ and so can neither be $-\alpha$ nor -1 .

• If $z_3^{s+1} = -(a-1)z_3^{s-1}$, then (8) gives $z_3^s = \frac{1}{a+1}$. Thus, either

$$2^s \leq a^s < |\alpha|^s = |z_3|^s = \frac{1}{a+1} \leq \frac{1}{3} \quad \text{or} \quad 1 = |z_3|^s = \frac{1}{a+1} \leq \frac{1}{3}.$$

Both possibilities are untenable and Claim 3 is proved.

Part (b) now follows from Claims 1–3. □

We shall keep the notation of Lemma 2 throughout the rest of the paper.

Lemma 3. *Let $s \geq 2$ and let $\{u_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be an integer sequence satisfying the recurrence formula (1) and the initial conditions (2). Then there are real numbers $c > 0$, $d > 1$, and $\alpha > a$ such that*

$$u_n = c\alpha^n + \mathcal{O}(d^{-n}) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \quad (11)$$

Proof. Let $\alpha_1 = \alpha$, $\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_t$ with $|\alpha_j| < 1$ ($2 \leq t \leq s$) be the distinct roots of $f(x)$, and let r_j for $j = 2, 3, \dots, t$ denote the multiplicity of the root α_j . Then the expansion formula (11) follows from the shape of u_n , which is given by

$$u_n = c\alpha^n + \sum_{j=2}^t P_j(n)\alpha_j^n,$$

where

$$P_j(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x], \quad \deg P_j = r_j - 1, \quad 1 + r_2 + r_3 + \dots + r_t = s. \quad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Applying Lemma 3 and the expansion formula

$$\frac{1}{1 \pm \epsilon} = 1 \mp \epsilon + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) = 1 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \quad (\epsilon \rightarrow 0),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{u_k} &= \frac{1}{c\alpha^k + \mathcal{O}(d^{-k})} = \frac{1}{c\alpha^k(1 + \mathcal{O}((\alpha d)^{-k}))} \\ &= \frac{1}{c\alpha^k}(1 + \mathcal{O}((\alpha d)^{-k})) = \frac{1}{c\alpha^k} + \mathcal{O}((\alpha^2 d)^{-k}), \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{u_k} &= \frac{1}{c} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha^k} + \mathcal{O}\left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} (\alpha^2 d)^{-k}\right) \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{c(\alpha - 1)} \alpha^{-n} + \mathcal{O}((\alpha^2 d)^{-n}), \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{u_k}\right)^{-1} &= \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} c\alpha^n + \mathcal{O}(d^{-n}) \\ &= u_n - u_{n-1} + \mathcal{O}(d^{-n}). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1 follows by choosing $n \geq n_0$ sufficient large so that the modulus of the last error term becomes less than $1/2$. \square

2 Related results

The following results are similarly obtained. Here, n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 and n_5 are positive integers depending only on a .

Theorem 4.

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{u_{2k}} \right)^{-1} \right\| = u_{2n} - u_{2n-2} \quad (n \geq n_1). \quad (12)$$

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{u_{2k-1}} \right)^{-1} \right\| = u_{2n-1} - u_{2n-3} \quad (n \geq n_2). \quad (13)$$

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{u_k} \right)^{-1} \right\| = (-1)^n (u_n + u_{n-1}) \quad (n \geq n_3). \quad (14)$$

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{u_{2k}} \right)^{-1} \right\| = (-1)^n (u_{2n} + u_{2n-2}) \quad (n \geq n_4). \quad (15)$$

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{u_{2k-1}} \right)^{-1} \right\| = (-1)^n (u_{2n-1} + u_{2n-3}) \quad (n \geq n_5). \quad (16)$$

Proof. We shall prove only (14). The other identities are proved similarly. By (11) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{u_k} &= \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{c\alpha^k + \mathcal{O}(d^{-k})} \\ &= \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{c\alpha^k} (1 + \mathcal{O}((\alpha d)^{-k})) \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{c(-\alpha)^n(\alpha + 1)} + \mathcal{O}((- \alpha^2 d)^{-n}). \end{aligned}$$

By taking its reciprocal, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{u_k} \right)^{-1} &= \frac{c(-\alpha)^n(\alpha + 1)}{\alpha} (1 + \mathcal{O}((\alpha d)^{-n})) \\ &= (-1)^n (c\alpha^n + c\alpha^{n-1}) + \mathcal{O}((-d)^{-n}) \\ &= (-1)^n (u_n + u_{n-1}) + \mathcal{O}(d^{-n}). \end{aligned}$$

The identity (14) follows by choosing $n \geq n_3$ sufficiently large so that the modulus of the last error term becomes less than $1/2$. \square

3 The sum of reciprocal Tribonacci numbers

The so-called Tribonacci numbers T_n ([6, Ch. 46], [9, sequence [A000073](#)], [3]) are defined by

$$T_n = T_{n-1} + T_{n-2} + T_{n-3} \quad (n \geq 3), \quad T_0 = 0, \quad T_1 = T_2 = 1.$$

By setting $a = 1$, $s = 3$ and $u_k = T_k$ ($k \geq 0$) in Theorem 1 and Theorem 4, we get some identities about the partial Tribonacci zeta functions. Numerical evidences imply that identities hold for smaller positive integers n , as indicated in the identities. The detailed explanations for small n can be seen in [5].

Corollary 5.

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{T_k} \right)^{-1} \right\| = T_n - T_{n-1} \quad (n \geq 1). \quad (17)$$

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{T_{2k}} \right)^{-1} \right\| = T_{2n} - T_{2n-2} \quad (n \geq 1). \quad (18)$$

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{T_{2k-1}} \right)^{-1} \right\| = T_{2n-1} - T_{2n-3} \quad (n \geq 2). \quad (19)$$

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{T_k} \right)^{-1} \right\| = (-1)^n (T_n + T_{n-1}) \quad (n \geq 2). \quad (20)$$

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{T_{2k}} \right)^{-1} \right\| = (-1)^n (T_{2n} + T_{2n-2}) \quad (n \geq 1). \quad (21)$$

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{T_{2k-1}} \right)^{-1} \right\| = (-1)^n (T_{2n-1} + T_{2n-3}) \quad (n \geq 2). \quad (22)$$

4 Continued fraction expansion of generalized m -step zeta functions

The first author [4] studied several continued fraction expansions of some types of Fibonacci zeta functions $\zeta_F(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n^{-s}$ and Lucas zeta functions in $\zeta_L(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} L_n^{-s}$, where L_n is the n -th Lucas number defined by

$$L_n = L_{n-1} + L_{n-2} \quad (n \geq 2) \quad L_0 = 2, \quad L_1 = 1.$$

A continued fraction expansion of the generalized m -step zeta functions defined by $\zeta_{u^{(m)}}(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n^{-s}$, where

$$u_n = au_{n-1} + u_{n-2} + \cdots + u_{n-m} \quad (n \geq m)$$

with initial positive integral values u_k ($0 \leq k \leq m-1$), is given by

$$\zeta_{u^{(m)}}(s) = \frac{1}{u_1^s - \frac{u_1^{2s}}{u_1^s + u_2^s - \frac{u_2^{2s}}{u_2^s + u_3^s - \frac{u_3^{2s}}{u_3^s + u_4^s - \dots - \frac{u_{n-1}^{2s}}{u_{n-1}^s + u_n^s - \dots}}}}}$$

Define A_n (respectively, B_n) as the numerator (respectively, denominator) of the n^{th} convergent of the continued fraction expansion given for $\zeta_{u^{(m)}}(s)$:

$$\frac{A_n}{B_n} = \frac{1}{u_1^s - \frac{u_1^{2s}}{u_1^s + u_2^s - \frac{u_2^{2s}}{u_2^s + u_3^s - \frac{u_3^{2s}}{u_3^s + u_4^s - \dots - \frac{u_{n-1}^{2s}}{u_{n-1}^s + u_n^s}}}}}$$

Hence $\{A_\nu\}_{\nu \geq 0}$ and $\{B_\nu\}_{\nu \geq 0}$ satisfy the following recurrence formulas.

$$\begin{aligned} A_\nu &= (u_{\nu-1}^s + u_\nu^s)A_{\nu-1} - u_{\nu-1}^{2s}A_{\nu-2} & (\nu \geq 2), & & A_0 &= 0, & & A_1 &= 1; \\ B_\nu &= (u_{\nu-1}^s + u_\nu^s)B_{\nu-1} - u_{\nu-1}^{2s}B_{\nu-2} & (\nu \geq 2), & & B_0 &= 1, & & B_1 &= u_1^s \end{aligned}$$

In fact, A_ν and B_ν can be expressed explicitly as follows.

Lemma 6. For $n = 1, 2, \dots$

$$A_n = (u_1 u_2 \cdots u_n)^s \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{1}{u_\nu^s}, \quad B_n = (u_1 u_2 \cdots u_n)^s.$$

Proof. By induction we have $B_n = (u_1 u_2 \cdots u_n)^s$. Thus,

$$A_n = B_n \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{1}{u_\nu^s} = (u_1 u_2 \cdots u_n)^s \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{1}{u_\nu^s}.$$

□

Theorem 1 provides us with interesting information about the nearest integer of the reciprocal of $\zeta_{u^{(m)}}(s) - A_n/B_n$.

References

- [1] Eric Weisstein, World of Mathematics, published electronically at <http://mathworld.wolfram.com/Fibonacci-StepNumber.html>.
- [2] S. H. Holliday and T. Komatsu, On the sum of reciprocal generalized Fibonacci numbers, submitted.
- [3] E. Kiliç, Tribonacci sequences with certain indices and their sums, *Ars Combin.* **86** (2008), 13–22.
- [4] T. Komatsu, On continued fraction expansions of Fibonacci and Lucas Dirichlet series, *Fibonacci Quart.* **46/47** (2008/2009), 268–278.
- [5] T. Komatsu, On the sum of reciprocal Tribonacci numbers, *Ars Combin.*, to appear.
- [6] T. Koshy, *Fibonacci and Lucas Numbers with Applications*, John Wiley & Sons, 2001.
- [7] M. D. Miller, On generalized Fibonacci numbers, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **78** (1971), 1108–1109.
- [8] H. Ohtsuka and S. Nakamura, On the sum of reciprocal Fibonacci numbers, *Fibonacci Quart.* **46/47** (2008/2009), 153–159.
- [9] N. J. A. Sloane, (2010), The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, published electronically at <http://www.research.att.com/~njas/sequences/>.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11A55; Secondary 11B39.

Keywords: Fibonacci numbers, recurrence relations of s -th order, partial infinite sum.

(Concerned with sequence [A000073](#).)

Received January 20 2010; revised version received May 19 2010. Published in *Journal of Integer Sequences*, May 20 2010.

Return to [Journal of Integer Sequences home page](#).