

# On the Gcd-Sum Function

Yoshio Tanigawa Graduate School of Mathematics Nagoya University Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-8602 Japan

tanigawa@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp

Wenguang Zhai
School of Mathematical Sciences
Shandong Normal University
Jinan 250014, Shandong
P. R. China

zhaiwg@hotmail.com

#### Abstract

In this paper we give a unified asymptotic formula for the partial gcd-sum function. We also study the mean-square of the error in the asymptotic formula.

### 1 Introduction

Pillai [6] first defined the gcd-sum (Pillai's function) by the relation

$$g(n) := \sum_{j=1}^{n} \gcd(j, n), \tag{1}$$

The second-named author is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 10771127) and National Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province(Grant No. Y2006A31).

where gcd(a, b) denotes the greatest common divisor of a and b. This is Sequence A018804 in Sloane's Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences. Pillai [6] proved that

$$g(n) = n \sum_{d|n} \frac{\varphi(n)}{d},$$

where  $\varphi(n)$  is Euler's function. This fact was proved again by Broughan [2]. He also obtained the asymptotic formula of the partial sum function

$$G_{\alpha}(x) := \sum_{n \le x} g(n) n^{-\alpha}$$

for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , which was further improved in Bordellès [1] (the case  $\alpha = 0$ ) and Broughan [3] (the general case) respectively.

The estimate of  $G_{\alpha}(x)$  is closely related to the well-known Dirichlet divisor problem. For any x > 0, define

$$\Delta(x) := \sum_{n \le x} d(n) - x(\log x + 2\gamma - 1),$$

where d(n) denotes the Dirichlet divisor function and  $\gamma$  is Euler's constant. Dirichlet first proved that  $\Delta(x) = O(x^{1/2})$ . The exponent 1/2 was improved by many authors. The latest result reads

$$\Delta(x) \ll x^{131/416} (\log x)^{26947/8320},\tag{2}$$

due to Huxley [4]. It is conjectured that

$$\Delta(x) = O(x^{1/4+\varepsilon}),\tag{3}$$

which is supported by the classical mean square result

$$\int_{1}^{T} \Delta^{2}(x)dx = \frac{\zeta^{4}(3/2)}{6\pi^{2}\zeta(3)}T^{3/2} + O(T\log^{5}T)$$
(4)

proved by Tong [7].

In the sequel of this paper,  $\theta$  denotes the number defined by

$$\theta := \inf\{a \mid \Delta(x) \ll x^a\}. \tag{5}$$

Broughan [3] proved that

(1) If  $\alpha \leq 1 + \theta$ , then

$$G_{\alpha}(x) = \frac{x^{2-\alpha} \log x}{(2-\alpha)\zeta(2)} + \frac{x^{2-\alpha}}{(2-\alpha)\zeta(2)} \left(2\gamma - \frac{1}{2-\alpha} - \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)}\right) + O(x^{\theta+1-\alpha+\varepsilon}); \tag{6}$$

(2) If  $1 + \theta < \alpha < 2$ , then

$$G_{\alpha}(x) = \frac{x^{2-\alpha} \log x}{(2-\alpha)\zeta(2)} + \frac{x^{2-\alpha}}{(2-\alpha)\zeta(2)} \left(2\gamma - \frac{1}{2-\alpha} - \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)}\right) + O(1); \tag{7}$$

(3) If  $\alpha = 2$ , then

$$G_{\alpha}(x) = \frac{\log^2 x}{2\zeta(2)} + \frac{\log x}{\zeta(2)} \left(2\gamma - \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)}\right) + O(1); \tag{8}$$

(4) If  $\alpha > 2$ , then

$$G_{\alpha}(x) = \frac{x^{2-\alpha} \log x}{(2-\alpha)\zeta(2)} + \frac{x^{2-\alpha}}{(2-\alpha)\zeta(2)} \left(2\gamma - \frac{1}{2-\alpha} - \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)}\right) + \frac{\zeta^{2}(\alpha-1)}{\zeta(\alpha)} + O(x^{\theta+1-\alpha+\varepsilon}).$$

$$(9)$$

In this paper we first give a unified asymptotic formula of  $G_{\alpha}(x)$ . Before stating our result, we introduce the following definitions:

$$M_{\alpha}(x) := \begin{cases} \frac{x^{2-\alpha} \log x}{(2-\alpha)\zeta(2)} + \frac{x^{2-\alpha}}{(2-\alpha)\zeta(2)} \left(2\gamma - \frac{1}{2-\alpha} - \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)}\right), & \text{if } \alpha \neq 2; \\ \frac{\log^2 x}{2\zeta(2)} - \left(\frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta^2(2)} + \frac{2\gamma}{\zeta(2)}\right) \log x, & \text{if } \alpha = 2, \end{cases}$$
(10)

and

$$c(\beta) := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \beta \leq 0; \\ \beta \int_0^\infty \Delta(x) x^{-\beta - 1} dx, & \text{if } 0 < \beta < 1; \\ 2\gamma - 1 + \int_1^\infty \Delta(x) x^{-2} dx, & \text{if } \beta = 1; \\ \zeta^2(\beta), & \text{if } \beta > 1. \end{cases}$$

$$(11)$$

We then have

**Theorem 1.** Suppose  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  is fixed. Then

$$G_{\alpha}(x) = M_{\alpha}(x) + C(\alpha) + O(x^{\theta + 1 - \alpha + \varepsilon}), \tag{12}$$

where

$$C(\alpha) := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \alpha \leq 1; \\ c(\alpha - 1)/\zeta(\alpha), & \text{if } 1 < \alpha < 2; \\ \frac{c(1)}{\zeta(2)} + \frac{2\zeta'^2(2) - \zeta(2)\zeta''(2)}{2\zeta^3(2)} - \frac{2\gamma\zeta'(2)}{\zeta^2(2)}, & \text{if } \alpha = 2; \\ \frac{\zeta^2(\alpha - 1)}{\zeta(\alpha)}, & \text{if } \alpha > 2. \end{cases}$$

**Remark 1.** Theorem 1 slightly improves Broughan's result in the case  $1 + \theta < \alpha \le 2$ .

Define the error term  $E_{\alpha}(x)$  by

$$E_{\alpha}(x) := G_{\alpha}(x) - M_{\alpha}(x) - C(\alpha).$$

For this error term, we have the following mean square results.

**Theorem 2.** For any fixed  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have the asymptotic formula

$$\int_{1}^{T} x^{2\alpha - 2} E_{\alpha}^{2}(x) dx = C_{2} T^{3/2} + O(T^{5/4 + \varepsilon}), \tag{13}$$

where

$$C_2 = \frac{1}{6\pi^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_0^2(n) n^{-3/2}, \quad h_0(n) = \sum_{n=ml} \mu(m) d(l) m^{-1/2}.$$

Corollary 1. If  $\alpha < 7/4$ , then

$$\int_{1}^{T} E_{\alpha}^{2}(x)dx = \frac{3C_{2}}{7 - 4\alpha}T^{7/2 - 2\alpha} + O(T^{13/4 - 2\alpha + \varepsilon}). \tag{14}$$

For the upper bound of  $E_{\alpha}(x)$ , we propose the following conjecture.

Conjecture. The estimate

$$E_{\alpha}(x) \ll x^{5/4-\alpha+\varepsilon}$$

holds for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Throughout this paper,  $\varepsilon$  denotes an arbitrary small positive number which does not need to be the same at each occurrence. When the summation conditions of a sum are complicated, we write the conditions separately like  $SC(\Sigma)$ .

#### 2 Proof of Theorem 1

In this section we prove Theorem 1. First we prove the following

**Lemma 2.1.** Suppose  $\beta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  and define  $D_{\beta}(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} d(n) n^{-\beta}$ . Then we have

$$D_{\beta}(x) = \frac{x^{1-\beta} \log x}{1-\beta} + \frac{x^{1-\beta}}{1-\beta} \left( 2\gamma - \frac{1}{1-\beta} \right) + c(\beta) + x^{-\beta} \Delta(x) + O(x^{-\beta}) \quad \text{for } \beta \neq 1$$
 (15)

and

$$D_1(x) = \frac{\log^2 x}{2} + 2\gamma \log x + c(1) + \Delta(x)x^{-1} + O(x^{-1}), \tag{16}$$

where  $c(\beta)$  is defined in (1.10).

*Proof.* First consider the case  $\beta < 1$ . By integration by parts we have

$$D_{\beta}(x) = \sum_{0 < n \le x} d(n)n^{-\beta} = \int_0^x t^{-\beta} dD(t)$$

$$= \int_0^x t^{-\beta} dH(t) + \int_0^x t^{-\beta} d\Delta(t)$$

$$= \int_0^x t^{-\beta} (\log t + 2\gamma) dt + t^{-\beta} \Delta(t) \Big|_0^x + \beta \int_0^x \Delta(t) t^{-\beta - 1} dt$$

$$= \frac{x^{1-\beta} \log x}{1-\beta} + \frac{x^{1-\beta}}{1-\beta} \left( 2\gamma - \frac{1}{1-\beta} \right) + x^{-\beta} \Delta(x)$$

$$+ \beta \int_0^x \Delta(t) t^{-\beta - 1} dt, \tag{17}$$

where

$$D(t) = \sum_{n \le t} d(n)$$

and

$$H(t) = t \log t + (2\gamma - 1)t.$$

Note that, from the definition of  $\Delta(x)$ ,

$$\Delta(x) = -x \log x - (2\gamma - 1)x$$

for 0 < x < 1, which implies that the integral  $\int_0^1 \Delta(t) t^{-\beta-1} dt$  is convergent. To treat the last integral in (2.3) we recall the well-known formula (see Voronoï [8])

$$\int_{0}^{T} \Delta(x)dx = T/4 + O(T^{3/4}),\tag{18}$$

which combined with integration by parts gives

$$\beta \int_0^x \Delta(t) t^{-\beta - 1} dt \ll x^{-\beta} \qquad (\beta < 0) \tag{19}$$

and

$$\beta \int_{T}^{\infty} \Delta(t) t^{-\beta - 1} dt \ll x^{-\beta} \qquad (\beta > 0). \tag{20}$$

Especially, (2.6) shows that the infinite integral  $\int_0^\infty \Delta(t) t^{-\beta-1} dt$  converges in the case  $\beta > 0$ . The assertion of Lemma 2.1 for the case  $\beta < 1$  follows from (2.3), (2.5) and (2.6).

Next consider the case  $\beta > 1$ . Since the infinite series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d(n) n^{-\beta}$  converges to  $\zeta^2(\beta)$ , we may write

$$D_{\beta}(x) = \zeta^{2}(\beta) - \sum_{n>x} d(n)n^{-\beta}.$$
 (21)

By integration by parts and (2.6) we get

$$\sum_{n>x} d(n)n^{-\beta} = \int_x^\infty t^{-\beta} dH(t) + \int_x^\infty t^{-\beta} d\Delta(t)$$

$$= \int_x^\infty t^{-\beta} (\log t + 2\gamma) dt + t^{-\beta} \Delta(t)|_x^\infty + \beta \int_x^\infty \Delta(t) t^{-\beta - 1} dt$$

$$= -\frac{x^{1-\beta} \log x}{1-\beta} - \frac{x^{1-\beta}}{1-\beta} \left( 2\gamma - \frac{1}{1-\beta} \right) - x^{-\beta} \Delta(x) + O(x^{-\beta}). \tag{22}$$

The assertion of Lemma 2.1 for the case  $\beta > 1$  follows from (2.7) and (2.8). Finally consider the case  $\beta = 1$ . We have

$$D_{1}(x) = 1 + \sum_{1 < n \le x} d(n)n^{-1}$$

$$= 1 + \int_{1}^{x} t^{-1} dH(t) + \int_{1}^{x} t^{-1} d\Delta(t)$$

$$= 1 + \int_{1}^{x} t^{-1} (\log t + 2\gamma) dt + \Delta(t)t^{-1} \Big|_{1}^{x} + \int_{1}^{x} \Delta(t)t^{-2} dt$$

$$= \frac{\log^{2} x}{2} + 2\gamma \log x + 1 + \Delta(x)x^{-1} - \Delta(1) + \int_{1}^{\infty} \Delta(t)t^{-2} dt + O(x^{-1})$$

$$= \frac{\log^{2} x}{2} + 2\gamma \log x + 2\gamma - 1 + \int_{1}^{\infty} \Delta(t)t^{-2} dt + \Delta(x)x^{-1} + O(x^{-1}), \tag{23}$$

where we used (2.6) and the fact  $\Delta(1) = 2 - 2\gamma$  which follows from the definition of  $\Delta(x)$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 1. Broughan [3] proved that

$$G_{\alpha}(x) = \sum_{m \le x} \mu(m) m^{-\alpha} \sum_{n \le x/m} d(n) n^{1-\alpha}.$$
 (24)

From (2.10), Lemma 2.1 and some easy calculations, we get

$$G_{\alpha}(x) = M_{\alpha}(x) + C(\alpha) + E_{\alpha}(x), \tag{25}$$

where

$$E_{\alpha}(x) = x^{1-\alpha} \sum_{m \le x} \frac{\mu(m)}{m} \Delta(\frac{x}{m}) + O(x^{1-\alpha} \log x), \tag{26}$$

which is  $O(x^{\theta+1-\alpha+\varepsilon})$ , completing the proof of Theorem 1.

Remark 2. Voronoï [8] actually proved

$$\int_0^T \Delta(x)dx = \frac{T}{4} + \frac{T^{3/4}}{2\sqrt{2}\pi^2} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{d(n)}{n^{5/4}} \sin(4\pi\sqrt{nT} - \pi/4) + O(T^{1/4}). \tag{27}$$

Replacing the formula (2.4) in the proof of Lemma 2.1 it is easy to check that  $\log x$  in the error term of (2.12) can be removed.

#### 3 Proof of Theorem 2

In order to prove Theorem 2 we need the following well-known Voronoï formula (see, e.g., Ivić [5]).

**Lemma 3.1.** Suppose A > 0 is any fixed constant. If  $1 \ll N \ll x^A$ , then

$$\Delta(x) = \frac{x^{1/4}}{\pi\sqrt{2}} \sum_{n < N} \frac{d(n)}{n^{3/4}} \cos\left(4\pi\sqrt{nx} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) + O(x^{\varepsilon} + x^{1/2 + \varepsilon}N^{-1/2}).$$

It suffices to evaluate the integral  $\int_T^{2T} x^{2\alpha-2} E_{\alpha}^2(x) dx$ . Let

$$y = T^{1-\varepsilon}$$
.

By (2.12) we have

$$x^{\alpha-1}E_{\alpha}(x) = \sum_{m \le y} \frac{\mu(m)}{m} \Delta\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) + \sum_{y \le m \le x} \frac{\mu(m)}{m} \Delta\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) + O(\log x)$$
$$=: F_1(x) + F_2(x) + O(\log x),$$

say. For  $F_2(x)$ , we have  $\Delta(x/m) \ll (x/y)^{1/3} \ll T^{\varepsilon}$ , therefore

$$F_2(x) \ll \sum_{y < m \le x} \frac{1}{m} \left| \Delta \left( \frac{x}{m} \right) \right| \ll T^{\varepsilon}.$$

For  $F_1(x)$ , we can take N=y in Lemma 3.1 with a suitable A (e.g.,  $A=(1+\varepsilon)/\varepsilon$ ), hence we get

$$F_1(x) = E^*(x) + O(T^{\varepsilon}),$$

where

$$E^*(x) = \frac{x^{1/4}}{\sqrt{2}\pi} \sum_{m \le y} \frac{\mu(m)}{m^{5/4}} \sum_{n \le y} \frac{d(n)}{n^{3/4}} \cos\left(4\pi\sqrt{\frac{nx}{m}} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right).$$

As a result we get an expression of  $x^{\alpha-1}E_{\alpha}(x)$ :

$$x^{\alpha-1}E_{\alpha}(x) = E^*(x) + O(T^{\varepsilon}). \tag{28}$$

Now we consider the mean square of  $E^*(x)$ . By the elementary formula

$$\cos u \cos v = \frac{1}{2}(\cos(u-v) + \cos(u+v))$$

we may write

$$|E^*(x)|^2 = \frac{x^{1/2}}{2\pi^2} \sum_{m_1, m_2 \le y} \frac{\mu(m_1)\mu(m_2)}{(m_1 m_2)^{5/4}} \sum_{n_1, n_2 \le y} \frac{d(n_1)d(n_2)}{(n_1 n_2)^{3/4}} \times \cos\left(4\pi\sqrt{\frac{n_1 x}{m_1}} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) \cos\left(4\pi\sqrt{\frac{n_2 x}{m_2}} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right)$$

$$= S_1(x) + S_2(x) + S_3(x), \tag{29}$$

where

$$S_{1}(x) = \frac{x^{1/2}}{4\pi^{2}} \sum_{1} \frac{\mu(m_{1})\mu(m_{2})}{(m_{1}m_{2})^{5/4}} \frac{d(n_{1})d(n_{2})}{(n_{1}n_{2})^{3/4}},$$

$$S_{2}(x) = \frac{x^{1/2}}{4\pi^{2}} \sum_{2} \frac{\mu(m_{1})\mu(m_{2})}{(m_{1}m_{2})^{5/4}} \frac{d(n_{1})d(n_{2})}{(n_{1}n_{2})^{3/4}} \cos\left(4\pi\sqrt{x}\left(\sqrt{\frac{n_{1}}{m_{1}}} - \sqrt{\frac{n_{2}}{m_{2}}}\right)\right),$$

$$S_{3}(x) = \frac{x^{1/2}}{4\pi^{2}} \sum_{3} \frac{\mu(m_{1})\mu(m_{2})}{(m_{1}m_{2})^{5/4}} \frac{d(n_{1})d(n_{2})}{(n_{1}n_{2})^{3/4}} \sin\left(4\pi\sqrt{x}\left(\sqrt{\frac{n_{1}}{m_{1}}} + \sqrt{\frac{n_{2}}{m_{2}}}\right)\right),$$

with summation conditions

$$SC(\Sigma_1): m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2 \leq y, \quad n_1 m_2 = n_2 m_1,$$
  
 $SC(\Sigma_2): m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2 \leq y, \quad n_1 m_2 \neq n_2 m_1,$   
 $SC(\Sigma_3): m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2 \leq y,$ 

respectively.

We have

$$\int_{T}^{2T} S_1(x)dx = \frac{B(T)}{4\pi^2} \int_{T}^{2T} x^{1/2} dx,$$
(30)

where

$$B(T) = \sum_{1} \frac{\mu(m_1)\mu(m_2)}{(m_1m_2)^{5/4}} \frac{d(n_1)d(n_2)}{(n_1n_2)^{3/4}}.$$

We evaluate B(T). It is written as

$$B(T) = \sum_{1} \frac{\mu(m_1)\mu(m_2)d(n_1)d(n_2)(m_1m_2)^{-1/2}}{(n_1m_2n_2m_1)^{3/4}}$$
$$= \sum_{n \le y^2} h^2(n;y)n^{-3/2},$$

where

$$h(n;y) = \sum_{\substack{n=ml \\ m,l \le y}} \mu(m)d(l)m^{-1/2}.$$

Let

$$h_0(n) = \sum_{n=ml} \mu(m)d(l)m^{-1/2}, \ h_1(n) = \sum_{n=ml} d(l)m^{-1/2}.$$

Obviously,

$$h(n; y) = h_0(n), n \le y,$$
  
 $|h(n; y)| \le h_1(n), |h_0(n)| \le h_1(n), n \ge 1.$ 

Since  $h_1(n)$  is a multiplicative function, by using Euler's product, it is easy to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_1^2(n) n^{-s} = \zeta^4(s) M(s), \quad \Re s > 1,$$

where M(s) is regular for  $\Re s > 1/2$ . Thus

$$\sum_{n \le x} h_1^2(n) \ll x \log^3 x.$$

From the above estimates we get

$$B(T) = \sum_{n \le y} h_0^2(n) n^{-3/2} + O\left(\sum_{y < n \le y^2} h_1^2(n) n^{-3/2}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_0^2(n) n^{-3/2} + O\left(\sum_{n > y} h_1^2(n) n^{-3/2}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_0^2(n) n^{-3/2} + O(y^{-1/2} \log^3 y). \tag{31}$$

Next we consider the integral of  $S_2(x)$ . By the first derivative test we get

$$\int_{T}^{2T} S_{2}(x)dx \ll T \sum_{2} \frac{d(n_{1})d(n_{2})}{(m_{1}m_{2})^{5/4}(n_{1}n_{2})^{3/4}} \frac{1}{\left|\sqrt{\frac{n_{1}}{m_{1}}} - \sqrt{\frac{n_{2}}{m_{2}}}\right|} \\
\ll T \sum_{2} \frac{d(n_{1})d(n_{2})}{(n_{2}m_{1}n_{1}m_{2})^{3/4}} \frac{1}{\left|\sqrt{n_{1}m_{2}} - \sqrt{n_{2}m_{1}}\right|} \\
\ll T \sum_{\substack{n,m \leq y^{2}\\n \neq m}} \frac{d_{3}(m)d_{3}(n)}{n^{3/4}m^{3/4}} \frac{1}{\left|\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{m}\right|} \\
\ll T \sum_{\substack{n,m \leq y^{2}\\|\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{m}| \geq \frac{1}{2}(mn)^{1/4}}} \frac{d_{3}(m)d_{3}(n)}{n^{3/4}m^{3/4}} \frac{1}{\left|\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{m}\right|} \\
+ T \sum_{\substack{n,m \leq y^{2}\\0 < |\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{m}| < \frac{1}{2}(mn)^{1/4}}} \frac{d_{3}(m)d_{3}(n)}{n^{3/4}m^{3/4}} \frac{1}{\left|\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{m}\right|} \\
\ll T \left(\sum_{n \leq y^{2}} d_{3}(n)n^{-1}\right)^{2} + T \sum_{\substack{n,m \leq y^{2}\\n \neq m}} \frac{d_{3}(m)d_{3}(n)}{n^{1/2}m^{1/2}} \frac{1}{\left|n - m\right|} \\
\ll T \log^{9} T + T \sum_{n \leq y^{2}} d_{3}^{2}(n)n^{-1} \ll T \log^{9} T, \tag{32}$$

where we used the well-known Hilbert's inequality and the estimates

$$\sum_{n \le x} d_3(n) \ll x \log^2 x, \quad \sum_{n \le x} d_3^2(n) \ll x \log^8 x.$$

For the integral of  $S_3(x)$ , by the first derivative test again, we get

$$\int_{T}^{2T} S_{3}(x)dx \ll T \sum_{3} \frac{d(n_{1})d(n_{2})}{(m_{1}m_{2})^{5/4}(n_{1}n_{2})^{3/4}} \frac{1}{\left|\sqrt{\frac{n_{1}}{m_{1}}} + \sqrt{\frac{n_{2}}{m_{2}}}\right|} 
\ll T \sum_{3} \frac{d(n_{1})d(n_{2})}{(m_{1}m_{2})^{5/4}(n_{1}n_{2})^{3/4}} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{n_{1}}{m_{1}}\right)^{1/4}\left(\frac{n_{2}}{m_{2}}\right)^{1/4}} 
\ll T \sum_{3} \frac{d(n_{1})d(n_{2})}{m_{1}m_{2}n_{1}n_{2}} \ll T \log^{6} T.$$
(33)

From (3.2)-(3.6) we get

$$\int_{T}^{2T} |E^{*}(x)|^{2} dx = \frac{1}{4\pi^{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_{0}^{2}(n) n^{-3/2} \int_{T}^{2T} x^{1/2} dx + O(T^{3/2} y^{-1/2} \log^{3} T + T \log^{9} T)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi^{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_{0}^{2}(n) n^{-3/2} \int_{T}^{2T} x^{1/2} dx + O(T^{1+\varepsilon}). \tag{34}$$

From (3.1), (3.7) and Cauchy's inequality we get

$$\int_{T}^{2T} x^{2\alpha - 2} |E_{\alpha}(x)|^{2} dx = \frac{1}{4\pi^{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_{0}^{2}(n) n^{-3/2} \int_{T}^{2T} x^{1/2} dx + O(T^{5/4 + \varepsilon}), \tag{35}$$

which implies Theorem 2 by a splitting argument.

**Remark 3.** The referee kindly indicated that the average order of  $h_1^2(n)$  is also derived by Shiu's theorem. Indeed, since  $h_1(n) \ll d_3(n) \ll n^{\varepsilon}$  we have

$$\sum_{n \le x} h_1^2(n) \ll \frac{x}{\log x} \exp\left(\sum_{p \le x} \frac{h_1^2(p)}{p}\right)$$

$$\ll \frac{x}{\log x} \exp\left(\sum_{p \le x} \left(\frac{4}{p} + \frac{4}{p^{3/2}} + \frac{1}{p^2}\right)\right)$$

$$\ll x(\log x)^3.$$

# 4 Acknowledgments

The authors express their gratitude to the referee for careful reading of the manuscript and many valuable suggestions, especially pointing out Pillai's paper and Remark 3 to the authors.

## References

- [1] O. Bordellès, A note on the average order of the gcd-sum function, J. Integer Sequences 10 (2007), Article 07.3.3.
- [2] K. Broughan, The gcd-sum function, J. Integer Sequences 4 (2001), Article 01.2.2.
- [3] K. Broughan, The average order of the Dirichlet series of the gcd-sum function, J. Integer Sequences 10 (2007), Article 07.4.2.
- [4] M. N. Huxley, Exponential sums and Lattice points III, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 87 (2003), 591–609.
- [5] A. Ivić, The Riemann Zeta-Function, John Wiley and Sons, 1985.
- [6] S. S. Pillai, On an arithmetic functions, J. Annamalai Univ. II (1937), 243–248.
- [7] K. C. Tong, On divisor problem III, Acta Math. Sinica 6 (1956), 515–541.
- [8] G. Voronoï, Sur une fonction transcendante et ses applications a la sommation de quelques séries, Ann. Sci. École Norm Sup. 21 (1904), 207–267, 459–533.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11N37; Secondary 11M06. Keywords: gcd-sum function, Dirichlet divisor problem, mean square estimate.

(Concerned with sequence <u>A018804</u>.)

Received March 30 2008; revised version received May 9 2008. Published in *Journal of Integer Sequences*, June 3 2008.

Return to Journal of Integer Sequences home page.