



# On the Number of Subsets Relatively Prime to an Integer

Mohamed Ayad

Laboratoire de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées  
Université du Littoral  
F-62228 Calais  
France

[ayad@lmpa.univ-littoral.fr](mailto:ayad@lmpa.univ-littoral.fr)

Omar Kihel

Department of Mathematics  
Brock University  
St. Catharines, Ontario L2S 3A1  
Canada

[okihel@brocku.ca](mailto:okihel@brocku.ca)

## Abstract

Fix a positive integer and a finite set whose elements are in arithmetic progression. We give a formula for the number of nonempty subsets of this set that are coprime to the given integer. A similar formula is given when we restrict our attention to the subsets having the same fixed cardinality. These formulas generalize previous results of El Bachraoui.

## 1 Introduction

A nonempty subset  $A$  of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  is said to be relatively prime if  $\gcd(A) = 1$ . Nathanson [4] defined  $f(n)$  to be the number of relatively prime subsets of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and, for  $k \geq 1$ ,  $f_k(n)$  to be the number of relatively prime subsets of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  of cardinality  $k$ . Nathanson [4] defined  $\Phi(n)$  to be the number of nonempty subsets  $A$  of the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\gcd(A)$  is relatively prime to  $n$  and, for integer  $k \geq 1$ ,  $\Phi_k(n)$  to be the number of subsets  $A$  of the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\gcd(A)$  is relatively prime to  $n$  and  $\text{card}(A) = k$ .

He obtained explicit formulas for these functions and deduced asymptotic estimates. These functions have been generalized by El Bachraoui [3] to subsets  $A \in \{m + 1, m + 2, \dots, n\}$  where  $m$  is any nonnegative integer, and then by Ayad and Kihel [1] to subsets of the set  $\{a, a + b, \dots, a + (n - 1)b\}$  where  $a$  and  $b$  are any integers.

El Bachraoui [2] defined for any given positive integers  $l \leq m \leq n$ ,  $\Phi([l, m], n)$  to be the number of nonempty subsets of  $\{l, l + 1, \dots, m\}$  which are relatively prime to  $n$  and  $\Phi_k([l, m], n)$  to be the number of such subsets of cardinality  $k$ . He found formulas for these functions when  $l = 1$  [2]. In this paper, we generalize these functions and obtain El Bachraoui's result as a particular case.

## 2 Phi functions for $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$

Let  $k$  and  $l \leq m \leq n$  be positive integers. Let  $[x]$  denote the greatest integer less than or equal to  $x$ , and  $\mu(n)$  the Möbius function. El Bachraoui [2] defined  $\Phi([l, m], n)$  to be the number of nonempty subsets of  $\{l, l + 1, \dots, m\}$  which are relatively prime to  $n$  and  $\Phi_k([l, m], n)$  to be the number of such subsets of cardinality  $k$ . He proved the following formulas [2]:

$$\Phi([1, m], n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) 2^{\lfloor \frac{m}{d} \rfloor} \quad (1)$$

and

$$\Phi_k([1, m], n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \binom{\lfloor \frac{m}{d} \rfloor}{k}. \quad (2)$$

In his proof of Eqs. (1) and (2), El Bachraoui [2] used the Möbius inversion formula and its extension to functions of several variables. The case  $m = n$  in (1), was proved by Nathanson [4].

## 3 Phi functions for $\{a, a + b, \dots, a + (m - 1)b\}$

It is natural to ask whether one can generalize the formulas obtained by El Bachraoui [2] to a set  $A = \{a, a + b, \dots, a + (m - 1)b\}$ , where  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $m$  are positive integers. Let  $\Phi^{(a,b)}(m, n)$  be the number of nonempty subsets of  $\{a, a + b, \dots, a + (m - 1)b\}$  which are relatively prime to  $n$  and  $\Phi_k([l, m], n)$  to be the number of such subsets of cardinality  $k$ . To state our main theorem, we need the following lemma, which is proved in [1]:

**Lemma 1.** *For an integer  $d \geq 1$ , and for nonzero integers  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ , let  $A_d = \{x = a + ib \text{ for } i = 0, \dots, (m - 1) \mid d \mid x\}$ . Then*

(i) *If  $\gcd(b, d) \neq 1$ , then  $|A_d| = 0$ .*

(ii) If  $\gcd(b, d) = 1$ , then  $|A_d| = \lfloor \frac{m}{d} \rfloor + \varepsilon_d$ , where

$$\varepsilon_d = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } d \mid m; \\ 1, & \text{if } d \nmid m \text{ and } (-ab^{-1}) \bmod d \in \{0, \dots, m - \lfloor \frac{m}{d} \rfloor d - 1\}; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

**Theorem 2.**

$$\Phi^{(a,b)}(m, n) = \sum_{\substack{d \mid n \\ \gcd(b, d) = 1}} \mu(d) (2^{\lfloor \frac{m}{d} \rfloor + \varepsilon_d} - 1) \quad (4)$$

and

$$\Phi_k^{(a,b)}(m, n) = \sum_{\substack{d \mid n \\ \gcd(b, d) = 1}} \mu(d) \binom{\lfloor \frac{m}{d} \rfloor + \varepsilon_d}{k}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\varepsilon_d$  is the function defined in Lemma 1.

*Proof.* Let  $A_d = \{x = a + ib \text{ for } i = 0, \dots, (m-1) \mid d \mid x\}$ , and  $\mathcal{P}(A_d) = \{\text{the nonempty subsets of } A_d\}$ .

It is easy to see that  $\Phi^{(a,b)}(m, n) = (2^m - 1) - \left| \bigcup_{\substack{p \text{ prime} \\ p \mid n}} \mathcal{P}(A_p) \right|$ . Clearly, if  $p_1, \dots, p_t$  are

distinct primes, then

$$\left| \bigcap_{i=1}^t \mathcal{P}(A_{p_i}) \right| = \left| \mathcal{P}(A_{\prod_{i=1}^t p_i}) \right|.$$

Thus, using the principle of inclusion-exclusion, one obtains from above that

$$\Phi^{(a,b)}(m, n) = \sum_{d \mid n} \mu(d) |\mathcal{P}(A_d)|.$$

It was proved in Lemma 1, that if  $\gcd(b, d) \neq 1$ , then  $|A_d| = 0$  and if  $\gcd(b, d) = 1$ , then  $|A_d| = \left( \lfloor \frac{m}{d} \rfloor + \varepsilon_d \right)$ . Hence

$$\Phi^{(a,b)}(m, n) = \sum_{\substack{d \mid n \\ \gcd(b, d) = 1}} \mu(d) (2^{\lfloor \frac{m}{d} \rfloor + \varepsilon_d} - 1).$$

The proof for Eq. (5) is similar. □

Theorem 3 in [2] can be deduced from Theorem 2 above as the particular case where  $a = b = 1$ . We prove the following.

**Corollary 3.** (a)  $\Phi([1, m], n) = \Phi^{(1,1)}(m, n)$

and

(b)  $\Phi_k([l, m], n) = \Phi_k^{(1,1)}(m, n)$ .

*Proof.* It is not difficult to prove that when  $a = b = 1$  in Lemma 1,  $\epsilon_d = 0$ . Using Theorem 2, and the well-known equality  $\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = 0$ , one obtains that

$$\Phi^{(1,1)}(m, n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) (2^{\lfloor \frac{m}{d} \rfloor} - 1) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) 2^{\lfloor \frac{m}{d} \rfloor} = \Phi([1, m], n) \quad (6)$$

and

$$\Phi_k^{(1,1)}(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \binom{\lfloor \frac{m}{d} \rfloor}{k} = \Phi_k([1, m], n). \quad (7)$$

□

**Example 4.** Using Theorem 2, one can obtain asymptotic estimates and generalize Corollary 4 proved by El Bachraoui [2].

## References

- [1] M. Ayad and O. Kihel, On relatively prime sets, submitted.
- [2] M. El Bachraoui, On the number of subsets of  $[1, m]$  relatively prime to  $n$  and asymptotic estimates, *Integers* **8** (2008), A41, 5 pp. (electronic).
- [3] M. El Bachraoui, The number of relatively prime subsets and phi functions for  $\{m, m + 1, \dots, n\}$ , *Integers* **7** (2007), A43, 8 pp. (electronic).
- [4] M. B. Nathanson, Affine invariants, relatively prime sets, and a phi function for subsets of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , *Integers* **7** (2007), A1, 7 pp. (electronic).
- [5] M. B. Nathanson and B. Orosz, Asymptotic estimates for phi functions for subsets of  $\{M + 1, M + 2, \dots, N\}$ , *Integers* **7** (2007), A54, 5 pp. (electronic).

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 05A15.

*Keywords*: relatively prime subset, Euler phi function.

Received October 22 2008; revised version received December 13 2008. Published in *Journal of Integer Sequences*, December 13 2008.

Return to [Journal of Integer Sequences home page](#).