



# Unimodality of Certain Sequences Connected With Binomial Coefficients

Hacène Belbachir and Farid Bencherif

Department of Mathematics

USTHB

Po. Box 32

El Alia, Algiers

Algeria

[hbelbachir@usthb.dz](mailto:hbelbachir@usthb.dz)

[hacenebelbachir@gmail.com](mailto:hacenebelbachir@gmail.com)

[fbencherif@usthb.dz](mailto:fbencherif@usthb.dz)

[fbencherif@yahoo.fr](mailto:fbencherif@yahoo.fr)

László Szalay<sup>1</sup>

Institute of Mathematics and Statistics

University of West Hungary

Erzsébet utca 9

H-9400 Sopron

Hungary

[laszsalay@ktk.nyme.hu](mailto:laszsalay@ktk.nyme.hu)

## Abstract

This paper is devoted to the study of certain unimodal sequences related to binomial coefficients. Although the paramount purpose is to prove unimodality, in a few cases we even determine the maxima of the sequences. Our new results generalize some earlier theorems on unimodality. The proof techniques are quite varied.

---

<sup>1</sup>This research is supported by the János Bolyai Scholarship of HAS, and by the Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research Grant No. T 048954 MAT, No. K 61800 FT2.

# 1 Introduction

Let  $\{H_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  denote a binary recurrence sequence defined by the initial values  $H_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $H_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ , not both zero, and the recurrence relation

$$H_n = AH_{n-1} + BH_{n-2}, \quad (n \geq 2),$$

where the coefficients  $A$  and  $B$  are also real numbers. Moreover, let

$$h_{n,k} = A^{n-2k} B^k \binom{n-k}{k} \left( H_1 + \frac{k}{n-2k+1} AH_0 \right), \quad k = 0, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor. \quad (1)$$

The sequences  $\{H_n\}_n$  and  $\{h_{n,k}\}_k$  are strongly linked since

$$H_{n+1} = (n \bmod 2) \cdot B^{\frac{n+1}{2}} H_0 + \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} h_{n,k}, \quad (n \geq 0).$$

In what follows, for the natural numbers  $n$  and  $k$  we denote the floor  $\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor$  by  $n_k$ .

For instance, if  $A = B = H_1 = 1$  and  $H_0 = 0$  then the Fibonacci sequence  $\{F_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ , and the well-known  $F_{n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n_2} \binom{n-k}{k}$  identity are obtained.

One of the purposes of this paper is to investigate the unimodality of the sequence  $\{h_{n,k}\}_k$ . A finite sequence of real numbers  $\{a_k\}_{k=0}^m$  ( $m \geq 1$ ) is *unimodal* if there exists an integer  $l \in \{0, \dots, m\}$  such that the subsequence  $\{a_k\}_{k=0}^l$  increases, while  $\{a_k\}_{k=l}^m$  decreases. If  $a_0 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_{l_0-1} < a_{l_0} = \dots = a_{l_1} > a_{l_1+1} \geq \dots \geq a_m$  then the integers  $l_0, \dots, l_1$  are the *modes* of  $\{a_k\}_{k=0}^m$ . In case of  $l_0 = l_1$  we talk about a *peak*, otherwise the set of modes is called a *plateau*. Naturally, these definitions can even be extended to infinite sequences. For positive sequences, unimodality is implied by strict log-concavity. A sequence  $\{a_k\}_{k=0}^m$  is said to be *strictly log-concave* (SLC for short) if  $a_l^2 > a_{l-1}a_{l+1}$ ,  $1 \leq l \leq m-1$ .

Theorems 2.1-2.3 discuss three classes of (1) and generalize most of the earlier results on unimodality of the sequences related to binomial coefficients. Generally,  $\{h_{n,k}\}_k$  is not unimodal, not even if  $A$  and  $B$  are positive. For example, let  $A = B = 1$ , further  $H_0 = -8$ ,  $H_1 = 5$ . Now

$$h_{8,k} = 5, 27, 27, -30, -27, \quad (k = 0, \dots, 4)$$

is not unimodal.

The first result dealing with unimodality of the elements of the Pascal triangle is due to Tanny & Zuker [3], who showed that  $\binom{n-k}{k}$  ( $k = 0, \dots, n_2$ ) is unimodal. They [4, 5] also investigated the unimodality of  $\binom{n-\alpha k}{k}$ . In this work we also treat certain cases of the binomial sequence  $\binom{n+\alpha k}{\beta k}$ .

Benoumhani [2] proved the unimodality of the sequence  $\frac{n}{n-k} \binom{n-k}{k}$  connected to Lucas numbers. Recently, Belbachir and Bencherif [1] proved that the sequences  $2^{n-2k} \binom{n-k}{k}$  and  $2^{n-2k} \frac{n}{n-k} \binom{n-k}{k}$  linked to Pell sequence and its companion sequence are unimodal. In all the aforesaid cases the authors describe the peaks and the plateaus with two elements, the elements in which the monotonicity changes.

## 2 Results

**Theorem 2.1.** *Suppose that  $A$  and  $B$  are given real numbers with  $A > 0$ , moreover  $H_0 = 0$  and  $H_1 = 1$ . Assuming that  $n \geq 2$ , the sequence*

$$h_{n,k} = A^{n-2k} B^k \binom{n-k}{k}, \quad (k = 0, \dots, n_2)$$

*is unimodal if and only if  $B \geq 0$ . In this case the peak  $k = p_n$  of  $\{h_{n,k}\}$  satisfies*

$$p_n \in \left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{4c+1}} \right) \right\rfloor, \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{4c+1}} \right) \right\rceil \right\},$$

*and the plateau with two elements may occur at the places  $p_n$  and  $p_n + 1$ .*

Obviously,  $h_{n,k}$  cannot be unimodal with negative  $B$ , and trivially unimodal when  $B = 0$ . Considering  $A$  and  $B$  as two natural numbers, a combinatorial interpretation of  $A^{n-2k} B^k \binom{n-k}{k}$  is the number of words formed with the letters  $R, S_1, \dots, S_A, T_1, \dots, T_B$  of length  $n$ , beginning with  $k$  consecutive  $R$ 's and containing exactly  $k$  letters choosing among  $\{T_1, \dots, T_B\}$ .

A similar theorem to Theorem 2.1 is true for the companion sequence of  $H_n$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $A > 0$  and  $B$  denote real numbers,  $H_0 = 2$ ,  $H_1 = A$  and let  $n \geq 2$  be an integer. The sequence*

$$h_{n-1,k} = A^{n-2k} B^k \frac{n}{n-k} \binom{n-k}{k}, \quad (k = 0, \dots, n_2)$$

*is unimodal if and only if  $B \geq 0$ . The description of peaks and plateaus coincide as we have in Theorem 2.1.*

The choice  $H_0 = 0$  and  $H_1 = 1$  makes the formula (1) as simple as possible. The following theorem does not fix the initial values, but only the coefficients.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Assume that the initial values  $H_0$  and  $H_1$  are positive,  $A = B = 1$  and  $n \geq 2$ . Under these conditions the sequence*

$$h_{n,k} = \binom{n-k}{k} \left( H_1 + \frac{k}{n-2k+1} H_0 \right), \quad (k = 0, \dots, n_2)$$

*is also unimodal.*

Another direction is to investigate the unimodality of the sequence  $\binom{n+\alpha k}{\beta k}$ , where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta \geq 0$  are integers. If either  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$  is zero then unimodality is trivial, as well as with  $(\alpha, \beta) = (1, 1)$ . The case  $(\alpha, \beta) = (-1, 1)$  has been treated in [3], while  $\alpha < -1$ ,  $\beta = 1$  in [4] and [5].

The first pair worth considering is  $(\alpha, \beta) = (-1, 2)$ , when  $h_{n,k} = \binom{n-k}{2k}$ . Note that if  $a_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n_3} \binom{n-k}{2k}$  then the terms of  $\{a_n\}$  satisfy the recurrence relation  $a_n = a_{n-1} + a_{n-2} + a_{n-4}$  with the initial values  $a_0 = a_1 = a_2 = 1$  and  $a_3 = 2$  (Sloane: A005251).

**Theorem 2.4.** *The sequence  $h_{n,k} = \binom{n-k}{2k}$  is unimodal ( $k = 0, \dots, n_3$ ).*

A more interesting pair is  $(\alpha, \beta) = (1, 2)$ . Now  $F_{2n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+k}{2k}$  (Sloane: A001519). Note that the sequence  $a_n = F_{2n+1}$  satisfies  $a_n = 3a_{n-1} - a_{n-2}$  ( $n \geq 2$ ).

**Theorem 2.5.** *The sequence  $h_{n,k} = \binom{n+k}{2k}$  is unimodal ( $k = 0, \dots, n$ ). A plateau exists if and only if  $n = (F_{12u+4} - 1)/2$  or  $n = (F_{12u+8} - 1)/2$  with  $k = (L_{12u+4} - 7)/10$  or  $k = (L_{12u+8} - 7)/10$  ( $u \in \mathbb{N}$ ), respectively.*

For numerical examples, see the following two tables.

$u$	$F_{12u+4}$	$n$	$L_{12u+4}$	$k$	$\binom{n+k}{2k} = \binom{n+k+1}{2k+2}$
0	3	1	7	0	$\binom{1}{0} = \binom{2}{2}$
1	987	493	2207	220	$\binom{713}{440} = \binom{714}{442}$
2	317811	158905	710647	71064	$\binom{229969}{142128} = \binom{229970}{142130}$

$u$	$F_{12u+8}$	$n$	$L_{12u+8}$	$k$	$\binom{n+k}{2k} = \binom{n+k+1}{2k+2}$
0	21	10	47	4	$\binom{14}{8} = \binom{15}{10}$
1	6765	3382	15127	1512	$\binom{4894}{3024} = \binom{4895}{3026}$
2	2178309	1089154	4870847	487084	$\binom{1576238}{974168} = \binom{1576239}{974170}$

Finally, let us consider the case  $(1, \beta)$ . For instance, if  $\beta = 3$  then the numbers  $\sum_{k=0}^{n_2} \binom{n+k}{3k}$  give every third term of the Padovan sequence  $\{p_n\}$  determined by  $p_n = p_{n-2} + p_{n-3}$  and  $p_0 = 1, p_1 = p_2 = 0$  (Sloane: A003522). Theorem 2.6 partially generalizes Theorem 2.5.

**Theorem 2.6.** *The sequence  $h_{n,k} = \binom{n+k}{\beta k}$  is unimodal ( $2 \leq \beta \in \mathbb{N}, k = 0, \dots, n_{\beta-1}$ ).*

The strict log-concavity is only utilized in the proof of Theorem 2.6, because it really simplifies the treatment. In the rest of the cases we start from the definition of unimodality, which is useful mainly where the peaks and plateaus are also aimed to be determined. Finally, we draft two conjectures on Pascal triangles.

**Conjecture 1.** *Let  $\binom{n}{k}$  be a fixed element of the Pascal triangle crossed by a ray. The sequence of binomial coefficients located along this ray is unimodal.*

**Conjecture 2.** *Take a generalized Pascal triangle corresponded to the Tribonacci sequence given by  $T_n = T_{n-1} + T_{n-2} + T_{n-3}$  ( $n \geq 3$ ) and  $T_0 = T_1 = 0, T_2 = 1$ . This triangle contains the elements*

$$\binom{n}{k}_2 = \sum_{i=m}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \frac{n!}{i! \cdot (k-2i)! \cdot (n-k+i)!} = \sum_{i=m}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n}{n-i} \binom{n-i}{k-2i}$$

with  $m = \max\{0, k-n\}$ . We conjecture that the sequence  $\binom{n-k}{k}_2$  is unimodal.

### 3 Proofs

**Proof of Theorem 2.1.** There remains only the case  $B > 0$  to consider. The inequality

$$A^{n-2k} B^k \binom{n-k}{k} \leq A^{n-2(k+1)} B^{k+1} \binom{n-(k+1)}{k+1}, \quad (k = 0, \dots, n_2 - 1)$$

is equivalent to

$$0 \leq (4c+1)k^2 - (4c+1)kn + cn^2 + (2c+1)k - (c+1)n \quad (2)$$

with  $c = B/A^2 > 0$ . Multiplying (2) by  $4(4c+1)$  it can be rewritten as

$$(4c+1)(n+1)^2 + 4c^2 \leq ((4c+1)(2k-n) + (2c+1))^2. \quad (3)$$

It is easy to check that  $(4c+1)(2k-n) + (2c+1) < 0$ . Consequently, by (3) we have

$$k \leq t_n = \frac{(4c+1)n - (2c+1) - \sqrt{(4c+1)(n+1)^2 + 4c^2}}{2(4c+1)}. \quad (4)$$

Put  $t_n^* = (n/2)(1 - 1/\sqrt{4c+1})$ . From  $-1 < t_n - t_n^* < 0$  it follows that the peak  $p_n$  of  $\{h_{n,k}\}$  is one of  $\lfloor t_n^* \rfloor$  and  $\lceil t_n^* \rceil$ . When  $t_n$  is a natural number then  $\{h_{n,k}\}$  possesses a plateau with two elements at  $k = p_n$  and  $k = p_n + 1$ . Obviously, plateaus can be identified by the positive integer solutions  $x, y$  of the Pell equation  $(4c+1)(x+1)^2 + 4c^2 = y^2$ .

**Remark 3.1.** Formula (4) returns with

$$k \leq \frac{5n-3-\sqrt{5n^2+10n+9}}{10} \quad \text{and} \quad k \leq \frac{4n-3-\sqrt{8n^2+16n+9}}{8}$$

in the particular case of Fibonacci and Pell sequences (see [3] and [1]), respectively.

**Proof of Theorem 2.2.** Suppose that  $B > 0$  and follow the steps of the proof of Theorem 2.1. Thus we can transform  $h_{n,k} \leq h_{n,k+1}$  into

$$0 \leq (4c+1)k^2 - (4c+1)kn + cn^2 + (2c+2)k - (c+1)n + 1, \quad (5)$$

( $c = B/A^2$ ) and by a suitable multiplication of (5) we have

$$(4c+1)n^2 + 4c(c-2) \leq ((4c+1)(2k-n) + (2c+2))^2.$$

Hence

$$k \leq \frac{(4c+1)n - (2c+2) - \sqrt{(4c+1)n^2 + 4c(c-2)}}{2(4c+1)}. \quad (6)$$

Now we find again that  $p_n$  is  $\lfloor t_n^* \rfloor$  or  $\lceil t_n^* \rceil$  with the same  $t_n^*$  we had in Theorem 2.1. For plateaus the diophantine equation  $(4c+1)x^2 + 4c(c-2) = y^2$  should be investigated.

**Remark 3.2.** The inequality (6) provides formulae

$$k \leq \frac{5n - 4 - \sqrt{5n^2 - 4}}{10} \quad \text{and} \quad k \leq \frac{4n - 5 - \sqrt{8n^2 - 7}}{8}$$

in case of Lucas numbers and the companion sequence of Pell numbers (see [2] and [1]), respectively.

**Proof of Theorem 2.3.** Now  $A = B = 1$  and the inequality  $h_{n,k} \leq h_{n,k+1}$  provides

$$(n - k)(k + 1)(H_1(n - 2k + 1) + H_0k) \leq (n - 2k + 1)(n - 2k)(H_1(n - 2k - 1) + H_0(k + 1)),$$

which is equivalent to  $0 \leq f_n(k) = \sum_{i=0}^3 e_i(n)k^i$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} e_0(n) &= n^3 + (h - 1)n^2 + (h - 2)n, \\ e_1(n) &= (h - 7)n^2 + (-4h + 2)n + (-2h + 3), \\ e_2(n) &= (-5h + 15)n + (3h - 1), \\ e_3(n) &= 5h - 10, \end{aligned}$$

and  $h = H_0/H_1 > 0$ . The special case  $h = 2$  has essentially been treated by Benoumhani [2]. Therefore, by the sign of  $e_3(n)$ , we must distinguish two cases.

Firstly, we assume that  $h > 2$ , which entails positive leading coefficient in the polynomial  $f_n(k)$  of degree three. Since  $f_n(0) = e_0(n) > 0$  and  $f_n(n/2) = -(hn^3 + (2h + 2)n^2 + 4n)/8 < 0$ , it follows that  $f_n(k)$  possesses exactly one zero in the interval  $[0; n/2]$ . Hence  $h_{n,k}$  is unimodal.

Now, the assertion  $0 < h < 2$  implies  $e_3(n) < 0$ . The reader can easily verify that  $f_n(0) = n(n^2 + (h - 1)n + (h - 2))$  is positive if  $n \geq 2$  and  $f_n(n/2) < 0$  as it has already been occurred previously. But the negative leading coefficient  $e_3(n)$  causes ambiguous structure for the zeros of  $f_n(k)$ . Therefore a deeper analysis is necessary to clarify the situation.

The polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} f'_n(k) &= (15h - 30)k^2 + ((-10h + 30)n + (6h - 2))k + \\ &\quad + ((h - 7)n^2 + (-4h + 2)n + (-2h + 3)) \end{aligned}$$

has two distinct real zeros because its discriminant

$$D = 20(2h^2 - 3h + 3)n^2 + 40(3h^2 - 5h + 3)n + 4(39h^2 - 111h + 91)$$

is positive when  $0 < h < 2$ . Indeed,  $2h^2 - 3h + 3 > 0$  and the discriminant

$$D_1 = -960(h - 2)^2(11h^2 - 19h + 19)$$

of  $D$  takes negative values on the interval  $]0, 2[$ .

To finish the proof it is sufficient to show that the larger real zero  $f_2$  of  $f'_n(k)$  satisfies  $n/2 < f_2$ , more exactly

$$f_2 = \frac{(10h - 30)n + (2 - 6h) - \sqrt{D}}{30h - 60} > \frac{n}{2}.$$

To do this it is enough to see that  $2 - 6h - 5hn < \sqrt{D}$ , which is trivially true when  $h \geq 1/3$ . Contrary, if  $h < 1/3$ , it suffices to confirm

$$0 < (-h + 2)n^2 + (-4h + 4)n + (-8h + 12). \quad (7)$$

And (7) is fulfilled since its right hand side has no real zero under the given conditions.

**Proof of Theorem 2.4.** Starting with  $\binom{n-k}{2k} \leq \binom{n-k-1}{2k+2}$ , we obtain

$$0 \leq f(k) = -23k^3 + (23n - 21)k^2 + (-9n^2 + 12n - 4)k + (n^3 - 3n^2).$$

Since  $f(0) = n^2(n - 3) \geq 0$  if  $n \geq 3$ , further  $f(n/3) = -4n(n + 3)(2n + 3)/27 < 0$ , it is sufficient to verify that  $f(k)$  is strictly monotone decreasing. And, really, if  $n \geq 3$  then  $f'(k) \neq 0$  implies the required monotonicity.

**Proof of Theorem 2.5.** Assuming  $h_{n,k} \leq h_{n,k+1}$ , it provides

$$0 \leq f(k) = -5k^2 - 7k + (n^2 + n - 2).$$

Since  $k = -0.7$  is the only solution of  $f'(k) = 0$ , it follows that  $f(k)$  is strictly decreasing in the interval  $[0; n - 1]$ . Further, the zeros of the polynomial  $f(k)$  are

$$f_{1,2} = \frac{7 \pm \sqrt{20n^2 + 20n + 9}}{-10}, \quad (8)$$

the smaller  $f_1$  is negative, the larger  $f_2$  is approximately  $0.45n$  since

$$f\left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{5}} - 1\right) \cdot f\left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{5}}\right) = -\frac{4}{5}(4 + \sqrt{5})n^2 - \frac{2}{5}(5 + 3\sqrt{5})n < 0$$

implies  $n/\sqrt{5} - 1 < f_2 < n/\sqrt{5}$ . Thus  $h_{n,k}$  is unimodal, and its smallest mode  $k_n = \lceil f_2 \rceil$  satisfies  $\lfloor n/\sqrt{5} \rfloor \leq k_n \leq \lceil n/\sqrt{5} \rceil$ .

Now we inspect the existence of plateaus. By (8), we need to solve the Pell equation  $20x^2 + 20x + 9 = y^2$ . It is well known that

$$y^2 - 5(2x + 1)^2 = 4$$

implies  $y = L_{2v}$  and  $2x + 1 = F_{2v}$ . A Fibonacci number with even suffix is odd if and only if 3 does not divide  $v$ . Put  $v = 3u \pm 1$ . A simple verification shows that  $L_{6u \pm 2} \equiv \pm 7 \pmod{10}$  depending on the parity of  $u$ . Choosing the appropriate cases we obtain  $f_2$  as positive integer.

**Remark 3.3.** Another proof can be obtained by introducing the function  $G_n(x) = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} \binom{n+k}{2k} x^k$ , for which

$$G_n(x) = \frac{1}{x^n \sqrt{x^2 + 4x}} \left( \left( \frac{x + \sqrt{x^2 + 4x}}{2} \right)^{2n+1} - \left( \frac{x - \sqrt{x^2 + 4x}}{2} \right)^{2n+1} \right).$$

It suffices to see that

$$G_n(x) = (x+2)G_{n-1}(x) - G_{n-2}(x), \quad G_0(x) = 1, \quad G_1(x) = x+1.$$

We deduce that  $G_n(x)$  admits  $n$  distinct real zeros given by

$$x_k = -2 \left( 1 + \cos \frac{2k\pi}{2n+1} \right), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

and then the sequence  $\binom{n+k}{2k}$  is SLC, which gives unimodality with a peak or a plateau with two elements.

For  $m \geq 3$  let denote  $\{\alpha_m\}_{m \geq -1}$  and  $\{\beta_m\}_{m \geq -1}$  sequences defined by

$$\begin{cases} (\alpha_{-1}, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) = (-11, -2, 1, 10) \text{ and } \alpha_m = 322\alpha_{m-2} - \alpha_{m-4} + 160, \\ (\beta_{-1}, \beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2) = (4, 0, 0, 4) \text{ and } \beta_m = 322\beta_{m-2} - \beta_{m-4} + 224. \end{cases}$$

The integers  $n$  for which the sequences  $\binom{n+k}{2k}$ ,  $(k = 0, 1, \dots, n)$  admit a plateau with two elements  $\{k_n, k_n + 1\}$  are exactly the integers  $\alpha_s$ ,  $s \geq 1$  such that  $k_{\alpha_s} = \beta_s$  for  $s \geq 1$ .

$s$	$n = \alpha_s$	$k_n = \beta_s$
1	1	0
2	10	4
3	493	220
4	3382	1512
5	158905	71064
6	1089154	487084

**Proof of Theorem 2.6** We show that  $h_{n,k}$  is strictly log-concave, or more precisely

$$\binom{n+k}{\beta k}^2 > \binom{n+k-1}{\beta k - \beta} \binom{n+k+1}{\beta k + \beta}. \quad (9)$$

Put  $b = \beta - 1 \geq 1$ . Thus (9) is equivalent to

$$\frac{(n+k)(n-bk+1)}{(n+k+1)(n-bk)} \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{\beta-1} \left( 1 + \frac{\beta}{\beta k - i} \right) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{b-1} \left( 1 + \frac{b+1}{n-bk-j} \right) > 1.$$

Since  $(n+k)(n-bk+1) > (n+k+1)(n-bk) > 0$ , further the other multipliers are trivially greater than 1, the statement is proved.



## References

- [1] H. Belbachir and F. Bencherif, Unimodality of sequences associated to Pell numbers, preprint.
- [2] M. Benoumhani, [A sequence of binomial coefficients related to Lucas and Fibonacci numbers](#), *J. Integer Seq.*, **6** (2003), Article 03.2.1.
- [3] S. Tanny and M. Zuker, On a unimodality sequence of binomial coefficients, *Discrete Math.*, **9** (1974), 79–89.
- [4] S. Tanny and M. Zuker, On a unimodal sequence of binomial coefficients, *J. Combin. Inform. System Sci.*, **1** (1976), 81–91.
- [5] S. Tanny and M. Zuker, Analytic methods applied to a sequence of binomial coefficients, *Discrete Math.*, **24** (1978), 299–310.

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11B65; Secondary 05A10, 11B39 .  
*Keywords*: binomial coefficients, unimodality, log-concavity, linear recurrences.

---

(Concerned with sequences [A001519](#), [A003522](#), and [A005251](#).)

---

Received November 27 2006; revised version received January 16 2007. Published in *Journal of Integer Sequences*, January 17 2007.

---

Return to [Journal of Integer Sequences home page](#).