



Integer Partitions and Convexity

Sadek Bouroubi

USTHB

Faculty of Mathematics

Department of Operational Research

Laboratory LAID3

P. O. Box 32

16111 El-Alia

Bab-Ezzouar, Algiers

Algeria

sbouroubi@usthb.dz

bouroubis@yahoo.fr

Abstract

Let n be an integer ≥ 1 , and let $p(n, k)$ and $P(n, k)$ count the number of partitions of n into k parts, and the number of partitions of n into parts less than or equal to k , respectively. In this paper, we show that these functions are convex. The result includes the actual value of the constant of Bateman and Erdős.

1 Introduction

The k^{th} difference $\Delta^k f$ of any function f of the nonnegative integers is defined recursively by $\Delta^k f = \Delta(\Delta^{k-1} f)$, with $\Delta f(n) = f(n) - f(n-1)$ for $n \geq 1$ and $\Delta f(0) = f(0)$. Good [5] studied the behavior of $\Delta^k p(n)$, where $p(n)$ denotes the total number of partitions of n . He initially conjectured [5] that if $k > 3$, then the sequence $\Delta^k p(n)$, $n \geq 0$ alternates in sign. However, computations by Razen, and, independently, by Good [5], found counterexamples to this conjecture, and led to a new conjecture, namely that $\Delta^k p(n) > 0$ for each fixed k . Good [5] even made a stronger conjecture that for each k , there is an $n_0(k)$ such that $\Delta^k p(n)$ alternates in sign for $n < n_0(k)$, and $\Delta^k p(n) \geq 0$ for $n \geq n_0(k)$. He also suggested that $6(k-1)(k-2) + k^3/2$ might be a good approximation to $n_0(k)$. Some further computations by Gaskin led Good to revise his conjecture about the size of $n_0(k)$, and suggest that $\pi k^{5/2}$ might be a good approximation to it [6].

At about the same time as the first publication of Good's problem, the same question about the sign of $\Delta^k p(n)$ was also raised independently by Andrews, and was answered by Gupta [7]. Gupta noted that $\Delta p(n) > 0$ for all n , and gave a simple proof of the result that $\Delta^2 p(n) \geq 0$ for $n \geq 2$, while $\Delta^2 p(0) = 1$, $\Delta^2 p(1) = -1$; in other words, he showed that the function $p(n)$ is convex for $n \geq 2$.

Another easy proof that $\Delta^k p(n)$ is positive for large n can be obtained by applying the result of the theorem of Beteman and Erdős [2]. They showed that if $p(\mathcal{A}, n)$ is the number of partitions of n into parts taken from $\mathcal{A} \subset \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, then $\Delta^k p(\mathcal{A}, n) \geq 0$ for all n large enough iff the greatest common divisor of each subset $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ with $|\mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{B}| = k$ is equal to 1. In particular, the theorem of Beteman and Erdős asserts that there is $n_0 = n_0(\mathcal{A})$ such that the function $p(\mathcal{A}, n)$ is convex for $n \geq n_0$ iff for all pairs $\{a, b\}$ of \mathcal{A} , $\gcd(\mathcal{A} \setminus \{a, b\}) = 1$.

For more historical details see [8]. The aim of this paper is to give the actual form of this result when $\mathcal{A} = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$.

2 Definitions and notation

A *partition* of an integer n into k parts ($1 \leq k \leq n$) is an integer solution of the system:

$$\begin{cases} n = a_1 + 2a_2 + \dots + na_n, \\ k = a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n, \\ a_i \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where a_i counts the number of parts i .

Thus, a partition of n into parts less than or equal to k is an integer solution of the following system:

$$\{ n = a_1 + 2a_2 + \dots + ka_k, a_i \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, k. \quad (2)$$

Let $p(n)$, $p(n, k)$ and $P(n, k)$ be respectively the total number of partitions of n , the number of partitions of n into exactly k parts and the number of partitions of n into parts less than or equal to k . According to Bouroubi [3] and Comtet [4], we have

$$p(n) = P(n, n), \quad (3)$$

$$p(n, k) = p(n-1, k-1) + p(n-k, k), \quad (4)$$

$$p(n, k) = P(n-k, k), \quad (5)$$

and

$$P(n, k) = P(n, k-1) + P(n-k, k). \quad (6)$$

3 Convexity of the functions $(P(n, k))_n$ and $(p(n, k))_n$

Theorem 1. *The function $P(n, k)$ is convex for $n \geq 2$ and $k \geq 7$.*

Proof. Setting,

$$\gamma(n, k) = P(n, k) + P(n - 2, k) - 2P(n - 1, k).$$

First we note that if $n \leq k$ then

$$\gamma(n, k) = P(n, n) + P(n - 2, n - 2) - 2P(n - 1, n - 1).$$

From (3), we get $\gamma(n, k) = p(n) + p(n - 2) - 2p(n - 1) > 0$.

Suppose now $n > k$, since $\gamma(7, 6) = \gamma(13, 6) = -1$, let us show by mathematical induction on k that $\gamma(n, k)$ is positive for every $n, n > k \geq 7$. For that we consider g_k the generating function of $P(n, k)$ [4], i.e.,

$$g_k(z) = \frac{1}{(1 - z) \cdots (1 - z^k)}, \quad |z| < 1.$$

Thus, the generating function of $\gamma(n, k)$ equals

$$h_k(z) = \frac{(1 - z)^2}{\prod_{i=1}^k (1 - z^i)}.$$

Hence

$$h_k(z) = \frac{1}{1 - z^k} h_{k-1}(z).$$

Consequently

$$\gamma(n, k) = \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha(j, k) \gamma(n - j, k - 1),$$

where $\alpha(j, k) = 1$ if k divides j and $\alpha(j, k) = 0$ otherwise.

Now let us show that $\gamma(n, 7) \geq 0$ for every $n \geq 8$.

By the decomposition of the rational function of $h_7(z)$ into partial fractions, we get

$$\begin{aligned} h_7(z) &= \frac{1}{5040} \frac{1}{(1-z)^5} + \frac{1}{480} \frac{1}{(1-z)^4} + \frac{47}{4320} \frac{1}{(1-z)^3} + \frac{161}{4320} \frac{1}{(1-z)^2} + \frac{16051}{172800} \frac{1}{1-z} + \\ &+ \frac{1}{192} \frac{1}{(1+z)^3} + \frac{23}{384} \frac{1}{(1+z)^2} + \frac{713}{2304} \frac{1}{1+z} + \frac{1}{7} \frac{(1-z)^2}{1-z^7} + \frac{1}{108} \frac{(21-2z)(1-z)}{1-z^3} + \\ &+ \frac{1}{54} \frac{(2+z)(1-z)^2}{(1-z^3)^2} + \frac{1}{36} \frac{(1-2z)(1+z)}{1+z^3} + \frac{1}{25} \frac{(2-z+z^2-2z^3)(1-z)}{1-z^5} - \frac{1}{16} \frac{z}{1+z^2}. \end{aligned}$$

By taking lower bounds of each of the coefficients of z^n for the power series expansions of the above functions we find:

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma(n, 7) \geq & \frac{1}{5040} \left(\frac{1}{24}n^4 + \frac{5}{12}n^3 + \frac{35}{24}n^2 + \frac{25}{12}n + 1 \right) + \frac{1}{480} \left(\frac{1}{6}n^3 + n^2 + \frac{11}{6}n + 1 \right) + \\ & + \frac{47}{4320} \left(\frac{1}{2}n^2 + \frac{3}{2}n + 1 \right) + \frac{161}{4320}(n + 1) + \frac{16051}{172800} - \frac{1}{192} \left(\frac{1}{2}n^2 + \frac{3}{2}n + 1 \right) - \\ & - \frac{23}{384}(n + 1) - \frac{713}{2304} - \frac{2}{7} - \frac{23}{108} - \frac{1}{54}(n + 2) - \frac{1}{18} + \frac{2}{25} + \frac{1}{16}.\end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma(n, 7) \geq & \frac{1}{120960}n^4 + \frac{13}{30240}n^3 + \frac{1}{192}n^2 - \frac{859}{30240}n - \frac{16451}{24192} \\ & = 0.8267195767 \cdot 10^{-5} \times (n + 30.63520805) \times (n - 9.699836835) \\ & \times (n^2 + 31.064628784n + 276.8069841).\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\gamma(n, 7) \geq 0, \forall n \geq 10.$$

For $n \in \{8, 9\}$, we have

$$\gamma(8, 7) = 2 ; \gamma(9, 7) = 1.$$

Suppose now that $\gamma(n, j) \geq 0$, for $7 \leq j \leq k - 1$ and show that $\gamma(n, k) \geq 0$.

On the one hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma(n, k) = & \alpha(n, k) - \alpha(n - 1, k) + \alpha(n - k - 1, k) \gamma(k + 1, k - 1) + \\ & + \sum_{j=0; j \neq n-k-1}^{n-2} \alpha(j, k) \gamma(n - j, k - 1).\end{aligned}$$

Hence by the induction assumption, we get

$$\gamma(n, k) \geq \alpha(n, k) - \alpha(n - 1, k) + \alpha(n - k - 1, k) \gamma(k + 1, k - 1).$$

On the other hand from (6), we have

$$\gamma(n, k) = \gamma(n, k - 1) + \gamma(n - k, k).$$

Therefore

$$\gamma(k + 1, k - 1) = \gamma(k + 1, k - 2) + \gamma(2, k - 1) = 1 + \gamma(k + 1, k - 2).$$

- if $k - 2 \geq 7$ then $\gamma(k + 1, k - 2) \geq 0$, by the induction assumption.

- if $k - 2 = 6$ then $\gamma(k + 1, k - 2) = \gamma(9, 6) = 0$.

Consequently

$$\gamma(n, k) \geq \alpha(n, k) - \alpha(n - 1, k) + \alpha(n - k - 1, k) \geq 0.$$

Indeed

- if k divides n then $\alpha(n, k) - \alpha(n - 1, k) + \alpha(n - k - 1, k) = 1$,
- if k divides $n - 1$ then $\alpha(n, k) - \alpha(n - 1, k) + \alpha(n - k - 1, k) = 0$,
- if k divides neither n nor $n - 1$ then $\alpha(n, k) - \alpha(n - 1, k) + \alpha(n - k - 1, k) = 0$. \square

Corollary 2. *The function $p(n, k)$ is convex for $n \geq k + 2$ and $k \geq 7$.*

Proof. Using (5), we have

$$p(n, k) + p(n - 2, k) - 2p(n - 1, k) = P(n - k, k) + P(n - k - 2, k) - 2P(n - k - 1, k),$$

and the result follows immediately, using Theorem 1. \square

Remark 3. *Using the same method we can show that the function $P(n, 5)$ and $P(n, 6)$ are convex for $n \geq 2$ and $n \geq 14$ respectively. We give below the value of $\gamma(n, 5)$ and $\gamma(n, 6)$, for $0 \leq n \leq 20$.*

n	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
$\gamma(n, 5)$	1	-1	1	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	2	0	3	2	3	1	3	1	4	1	5
$\gamma(n, 6)$	1	-1	1	0	1	0	2	-1	3	0	3	0	5	-1	6	1	6	1	9	0	11

Table 1: The value of $\gamma(n, 5)$ and $\gamma(n, 6)$, for $0 \leq n \leq 20$.

4 Conclusion

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, $k \geq 2$. In this paper we showed that the partition function $P(\mathcal{A}, n)$ is convex for $k \geq 5$ and the constant of Bateman and Erdős, $n_0(\mathcal{A})$ equals 2 if $k = 5$ or $k \geq 7$, however for $\mathcal{A} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$, $n_0(\mathcal{A}) = 14$.

5 Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank the referee for the detailed instructive comments and suggestions which helped to improve the quality of the paper.

References

- [1] G. E. Andrews, The Theory of Partitions, *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*, Vol. 2, Addison-Wesley, 1976.
- [2] P. T. Bateman and P. Erdős, Monotonicity of partition functions, *Mathematika*, **3** (1956), 1–14.
- [3] S. Bouroubi, *Optimisation dans les Posets*, Thèse de Doctorat d’Etat en Mathématiques, USTHB, Alger, 2004.
- [4] L. Comtet, *Advanced Combinatorics*, D. Reided, Dordrecht, 1974.
- [5] I. J. Good and R. Razen, Solution to Advanced Problem 6137. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **85** (1978), 830–831.
- [6] I. J. Good, Solution to Advanced Problem 6137. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **88** (1981), 215.
- [7] H. Gupta, Finite differences of the partition function. *Math. Comp.*, **32** (1978), 1241–1243.
- [8] A. M. Odlyzko, Differences of the partition function, *Acta Arithmetica*, **49** (1988), 237–254.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11P81.

Keywords: integer partition, convexity.

(Concerned with sequence [A026812](#).)

Received March 6 2007; revised version received June 9 2007. Published in *Journal of Integer Sequences*, June 10 2007.

Return to [Journal of Integer Sequences home page](#).