

# New results on pseudosquare avoidance

Tim Ng, Pascal Ochem, Narad Rampersad, Jeffrey Shallit

School of Computer Science University of Waterloo Canada

Email: shallit@uwaterloo.ca

Web : https://cs.uwaterloo.ca/~shallit/

### Repetitions

### Repetitions

A *square* is a nonempty word of the form *xx*, such as the English word murmur.

The *order* of a square xx is |x|, the length of x.

### **Antisquares**

For a binary word x, we let  $\overline{x}$  be the bitwise complement of x.

For example, if x = 0110, then  $\overline{x} = 1001$ .

An *antisquare* is a word of the form  $x \overline{x}$ . So 0110 is an antisquare.

## Avoiding squares in binary words

- Every binary word of length 4 contains a square.
- Entringer, Jackson, and Schatz (1974) constructed an infinite binary word *avoiding* squares of order > 2.
  - We use the term avoid to mean "the word has no factor (= contiguous subsequence) of the given form".
- Fraenkel and Simpson (1995) constructed an infinite binary word avoiding all squares, except three: 00, 11, and 0101. There is no infinite binary word containing only two distinct squares, so their result is optimal.

## **Avoiding antisquares**

- Remember: an antisquare is a word of the form  $x \overline{x}$ .
- The only infinite binary words avoiding all antisquares are the trivial ones:  $0^{\omega}=000\cdots$  and  $1^{\omega}=111\cdots$ .
- The only infinite binary words containing exactly one antisquare are also trivial:  $0.1^{\omega}$  and  $1.0^{\omega}$ .
- But every word in  $\{1000, 10000\}^{\omega}$  has exactly two antisquares namely 01 and 10 and infinitely many of these are aperiodic.

### Our first main result

- We consider the simultaneous avoidance of squares and antisquares in binary words
- We determine, for each  $a, b \ge 0$ , the longest binary word containing at most a squares and b antisquares (it could be infinite)

## Summary of results on squares and antisquares

The following table gives the length of the longest binary word containing at most *a* squares and *b* antisquares:

a b	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	
0	1	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	
1	3	4	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	
2	5	6	11	11	11	11	12	12	12	13	15	18	18	18	
3	7	8	15	15	15	20	20	20	24	29	34	53	98	$\infty$	
4	9	10	19	19	27	31	45	56	233	$\infty$	$\infty$	$\infty$	$\infty$		
5	11	12	27	27	40	$\infty$	$\infty$	$\infty$	$\infty$						
6	13	14	35	38	313	$\infty$									
7	15	16	45	$\infty$	$\infty$										
8	17	18	147	$\infty$											
9	19	20	$\infty$												
10	21	22	$\infty$												
:															

### How these results were obtained

- For the finite results, we use breadth-first search of the tree of possibilities. Sometimes this took a while (e.g., 6 squares and 4 antisquare — longest string of length 313).
- For the infinite results corresponding to

$$(a, b) \in \{(3, 13), (4, 9), (5, 5), (7, 3), (9, 2)\}$$

we explicitly constructed an infinite binary word with the desired properties.

• In each case this involves finding a suitable morphism  $h_{a,b}:\{0,1,2\}^* \to \{0,1\}^*$  and then applying that morphism to an infinite squarefree word over  $\{0,1,2\}$ .

## Example of a morphism

For example, here is  $h_{7,3}$ :

$$0 \to 0100100100001010000$$

$$1 \to 01001001000001$$

$$2 \to 0100100101000$$

When we apply this morphism to an infinite squarefree word over  $\{0,1,2\}$ , we get only the seven squares

$$0^2$$
,  $(00)^2$ ,  $(01)^2$ ,  $(10)^2$ ,  $(001)^2$ ,  $(010)^2$ ,  $(100)^2$ 

and only the three antisquares

In terms of the total number of squares plus antisquares, this achieves the minimum: ten.

## Looking at these results from a broader perspective

- We tried to avoid words of the form xh(x), where h is a morphism. These are called *pseudosquares*.
- More specifically, we considered the case where h is a coding (letter-to-letter map) that is a *permutation* of the underlying alphabet  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$ .
- It is impossible to avoid xh(x) for all x, so we only succeeded for sufficiently large words x.
- We could consider larger alphabets and hope to obtain analogous results.

# Avoiding pseudosquares for permutations: negative result

#### Theorem

For all finite alphabets  $\Sigma$ , and for all words w of length  $\geq 10$  over  $\Sigma$ , there exists a permutation p of  $\Sigma$  and a factor of w of the form xp(x) where  $|x| \geq 2$ .

*Proof idea.* If there is a word avoiding xp(x) for  $|x| \ge 2$ , then there is an *ordered* one: where the first letter is 0, the first non-0 letter is 1, the first non- $\{0,1\}$  letter is 2, etc.

So we can use breadth-first search, but examine only ordered words, expanding the size of the alphabet each time a candidate word is extended in length.

## Avoiding pseudosquares for permutations: negative result

Breadth-first search with an expanding alphabet size easily proves:

The longest ordered words avoiding xp(x) are of length 9:

{001000122,001000211,001000233,001222022,

001222122, 001222322}.

# Avoiding pseudosquares for permutations: positive result

#### **Theorem**

There exists an infinite word  $\mathbf{w}$  over the binary alphabet  $\Sigma_2 = \{0,1\}$  that avoids xp(x) for all permutations p and all x with  $|x| \geq 3$ .

#### Proof.

By direct construction, using our previous results on avoiding squares and antisquares.

### Further generalization: to transformations

- Now we understand avoiding pseudosquares for permutations.
- How about for transformations of the underlying alphabet?
- Now the space of possible pseudosquares increases in size, so it becomes harder to avoid them, and requires going to a larger set of exceptions.

## Avoiding pseudosquares for transformations: negative result

#### Theorem

For all finite alphabets  $\Sigma$ , and all words w of length  $\geq 31$  over  $\Sigma$ , there exists a transformation  $t: \Sigma^* \to \Sigma^*$  such that w contains a factor of the form xt(x) for  $|x| \geq 3$ .

*Proof idea.* Again, use the tree traversal method while extending the alphabet size. There are 24745 ordered words of length 30 avoiding xt(x) for  $|x| \geq 3$ ; the lexicog. first is

000001100101001100202001101200,

while the lexicographically last is

011112233232332244343445565789.

# Avoiding pseudosquares for permutations: positive result

#### Theorem

There exists an infinite word  $\mathbf{w}$  over the binary alphabet  $\Sigma_2 = \{0,1\}$  avoiding xt(x) for all transformations t and every x with  $|x| \geq 4$ .

*Proof.* By direct construction. Use the fixed point of the morphism

$$0 \rightarrow 01$$
  $1 \rightarrow 23$   $2 \rightarrow 45$   $3 \rightarrow 21$   $4 \rightarrow 23$   $5 \rightarrow 42$ 

followed by the coding  $n \to \lfloor n/3 \rfloor$ . The result can now easily be verified with Walnut.

## Further generalization: to arbitrary morphisms

- Now we understand pseudosquares with permutations and transformations.
- Now let's generalize even further: to arbitrary (nonerasing) morphisms.
- We are trying to avoid xh(x) for all nonerasing morphisms h, simultaneously!
- This leads to our second main result.

# Avoiding pseudosquares for arbitrary morphisms: negative result

#### Theorem

No infinite word over a finite alphabet avoids all factors of the form xh(x), for all nonerasing morphisms h, with  $|x| \ge 4$ .

#### Proof.

- Assume such a word  $\mathbf{z}$  exists. By a result of de Luca and Varricchio, there is a uniformly recurrent word  $\mathbf{y}$  whose factors are a subset of those of  $\mathbf{z}$ . Such a word also avoids the factors xh(x).
- Suppose **y** contains a word of the form au, where a is any single letter and u does not contain a and  $|u| \ge 3$ .

- Since y is uniformly recurrent, it must also contain a factor of the form auvu for some v.
- But this is an occurrence of xh(x) (take h(a) = v and h the identity on other letters).
- Suppose **y** contains a word of the form *aaa*. Clearly **y** cannot equal  $a^{\omega}$ , so **y** contains *baaa* for some  $b \neq a$ . This contradicts the previous case.
- Suppose y contains three consecutive distinct letters, say abc. Then the next letter has to be a from above.
  Repeating this reasoning on the last three letters, we see the next letter has to be b, then c, etc. So y contains abcabcab, which is an occurrence of xh(x) for h(a) = b, h(b) = c, and h(c) = a.
- Now y avoids aaa, abc, and abbc for distinct letters a, b, c. So it must be a binary word.

- Now suppose  $\mathbf{y}$  contains both 0100 and 1011. Then 0100 is followed by 1. By recurrence  $\mathbf{y}$  must contain 01001u11 for some u, which is of the form xh(x) for h(0) = 1 and h(1) = u. Without loss of generality say  $\mathbf{y}$  does not contain 0100.
- Now it is easy to check that the longest binary word avoiding 000, 111, 0100, and all squares xx with  $|x| \ge 4$  is of length 67. We are done.

## Avoiding pseudosquares for arbitrary morphisms: positive result

#### **Theorem**

There exists an infinite binary word that avoids all factors of the form xh(x) for all nonerasing binary morphisms h, with  $|x| \geq 5$ .

*Proof.* By direct construction, by applying a certain 57-uniform morphism to a squarefree word over  $\{0, 1, 2\}$ .

### The morphism

### Related work

- Chiniforooshan, Kari, and Zhu (2013) studied avoiding words of the form  $x\theta(x)$ , where  $\theta$  is an antimorphic involution.
  - This means that  $\theta^2(x) = x$  and  $\theta(xy) = \theta(y)\theta(x)$ .
  - For binary words the only ones are  $\theta(x) = x$ ,  $\theta(x) = \overline{x}$ ,  $\theta(x) = x^R$ , and  $\theta(x) = \overline{x^R}$ .
- Bischoff, Currie, and Nowotka (2012) studied the avoidability of more general patterns with involution
- Rumyantsev and Ushakov (2006), Durand, Levin, and Shen (2008), and Miller (2012) studied avoiding factors of low Kolmogorov complexity. This is more general than our results, but they can't obtain explicit bounds.