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## Abstract.

With each real number $\theta, 0<\theta<1$, we can associate the so-called characteristic word $w=w(\theta)$, defined by

$$
w_{n}=\lfloor(n+1) \theta\rfloor-\lfloor n \theta\rfloor,
$$

for $n \geq 1$. We prove the following: if $\theta$ has a purely periodic continued fraction expansion, then $w(\theta)$ is a fixed point of a certain homomorphism $\varphi=\varphi_{\theta}$.

## I. Introduction.

Let $\theta$ be a real number, $0<\theta<1$. Many authors have studied the so-called characteristic word $w=w(\theta)$, the infinite word of 0 's and 1's defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{n}=\lfloor(n+1) \theta\rfloor-\lfloor n \theta\rfloor \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n \geq 1$. See, for example, Bernoulli [1772], Markoff [1882], Venkov [1970, pp. 65-68], Stolarsky [1976], Fraenkel, Mushkin, and Tassa [1978], and Porta and Stolarsky [1990]. An extensive bibliography of papers on the subject can be assembled by consulting the references of the last three papers.

For example, if $\theta=\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{5}-1)$, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=w_{1} w_{2} w_{3} \cdots=1011010110 \cdots \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

the so-called Fibonacci word.
It is well-known that the Fibonacci word is the unique fixed point of the homomorphism $\varphi$, where $\varphi(0)=1, \varphi(1)=10$. For this and other properties see, for example, Berstel [1986].

In this note we generalize this characterization (fixed point of a homomorphism) of the Fibonacci word to the case where $\theta$ has a purely periodic continued fraction expansion, i.e. when

$$
\theta=\left[0, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{r}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{r}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{r}, \ldots\right] .
$$

We refer to the number $r$ as the period length of $\theta$.

## II. The Main Result.

First, we introduce some notation. Let $\theta$ be an irrational number, $0<\theta<1$. Write

$$
\theta=\left[0, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, \ldots\right] .
$$

We define

$$
\frac{p_{n}}{q_{n}}=\left[0, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right] .
$$

Note that $q_{0}=1, q_{1}=a_{1}$, and for $n \geq 2$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{n}=a_{n} \boldsymbol{q}_{n-1}+q_{n-2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $w=w(\theta)$ be the characteristic word of $\theta$ as defined in (1) above.
We now define a sequence of strings $\left(X_{i}\right)_{i \geq 0}$. We set $X_{0}=0$, a string of length 1 , and

$$
X_{i}=w_{1} w_{2} w_{3} \cdots w_{q_{i}}
$$

for $i \geq 1$. Thus for $i \geq 1, X_{i}$ consists of the first $q_{i}$ symbols in the infinite word $w$. It is easy to see that $X_{1}=0^{a_{1}-1} 1$.

The following result essentially appears in the paper of Fraenkel, Mushkin and Tassa [1978]. Since it is crucial to our proof, and since it does not seem to have been explicitly stated before, we give it the status of a lemma:

## Lemma 1.

For $i \geq 2$ we have

$$
X_{i}=X_{i-1}^{a_{i}} X_{i-2}
$$

## Proof.

Let us borrow a notation from the programming language APL. If $x=x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{s}$ is a finite string, and $n$ is a non-negative integer, we define

$$
n \rho x=x^{q} x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{r}
$$

where $n=q s+r, 0 \leq r<s$. (In other words, the elements of $x$ are used cyclically to fill in a string of length $n$.)

Fraenkel, Mushkin, and Tassa [1978] proved that

$$
X_{i}=q_{i} \rho X_{i-1}
$$

for $i \geq 2$, if $a_{1}>1$, and for $i \geq 3$ if $a_{1}=1$.
From this, the lemma follows immediately, since by (3) we have $q_{i}=a_{i} q_{i-1}+q_{i-2}$ for $i \geq 2$, and $X_{i-2}$ is a prefix of $X_{i-1}$ (for $i \geq 2$ if $a_{1}>1$ and for $i \geq 3$ if $a_{1}=1$ ).

We can now state the main result:

## Theorem 2.

Let $\theta$ have a purely periodic continued fraction expansion; i.e.

$$
\theta=\left[0, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{r}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{r}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{r}, \ldots\right]
$$

Define the homomorphism $\varphi$ by $\varphi(0)=X_{r}, \varphi(1)=X_{r} X_{r-1}$. Then

$$
\varphi^{n}\left(X_{i}\right)=X_{r n+i}
$$

for all integers $i, n \geq 0$.

## Proof.

By induction on $r n+i$.
If $r n+i=0$, then $n=0$ and $i=0$. Clearly $\varphi^{0}\left(X_{0}\right)=X_{0}$.
If $r n+i=1$, then either $n=0, i=1$, or $r=1, n=1$, and $i=0$. In the former case we have $\varphi^{0}\left(X_{1}\right)=X_{1}$. In the latter case we have $\varphi\left(X_{0}\right)=\varphi(0)=X_{1}$ by definition of $\varphi$.

Now assume the result is true for all $n^{\prime}, i^{\prime}$ with $r n^{\prime}+i^{\prime}<s$, and $s \geq 2$. We prove it for $r n+i=s$.

Case I: $i \geq 2$. We find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi^{n}\left(X_{i}\right) & =\varphi^{n}\left(X_{i-1}^{a_{i}} X_{i-2}\right) \quad \text { (by Lemma 1) } \\
& =\varphi^{n}\left(X_{i-1}^{a_{i}}\right) \varphi^{n}\left(X_{i-2}\right) \\
& =\varphi^{n}\left(X_{i-1}\right)^{a_{i}} \varphi^{n}\left(X_{i-2}\right) \\
& =X_{r n+i-1}^{a_{i}} X_{r n+i-2} \quad \text { (by induction) } \\
& =X_{r n+i} \quad(\text { by Lemma } 1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Case II: $i=1, n \geq 1$. We find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi^{n}\left(X_{1}\right) & =\varphi^{n-1}\left(\varphi\left(X_{1}\right)\right) \\
& =\varphi^{n-1}\left(\varphi\left(0^{a_{1}-1} 1\right)\right) \\
& =\varphi^{n-1}\left(\varphi(0)^{a_{1}-1} \varphi(1)\right) \\
& =\varphi^{n-1}\left(X_{r}^{a_{1}-1} X_{r} X_{r-1}\right) \\
& =\varphi^{n-1}\left(X_{r}^{a_{1}} X_{r-1}\right) \\
& =\varphi^{n-1}\left(X_{r}\right)^{a_{1}} \varphi^{n-1}\left(X_{r-1}\right) \\
& =X_{r n}^{a_{1}} X_{r n-1} \quad \text { (by induction) } \\
& =X_{r n+1} \quad(\text { by Lemma } 1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Case III: $i=0, n \geq 1, r \geq 2$. We find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi^{n}\left(X_{0}\right) & =\varphi^{n-1}\left(\varphi\left(X_{0}\right)\right) \\
& =\varphi^{n-1}\left(X_{r}\right) \\
& =\varphi^{n-1}\left(X_{r-1}^{a_{r}} X_{r-2}\right) \quad(\text { by Lemma } 1) \\
& =\varphi^{n-1}\left(X_{r-1}\right)^{a_{r}} \varphi^{n-1}\left(X_{r-2}\right) \\
& =X_{r n-1}^{a_{r}} X_{r n-2} \quad(\text { by induction }) \\
& =X_{r n} \quad(\text { by Lemma } 1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Case IV: $i=0, n \geq 2, r=1$. We find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi^{n}\left(X_{0}\right) & =\varphi^{n-2}\left(\varphi^{2}\left(X_{0}\right)\right) \\
& =\varphi^{n-2}\left(\varphi\left(X_{1}\right)\right) \\
& =\varphi^{n-2}\left(\varphi\left(0^{a_{1}-1} 1\right)\right) \\
& =\varphi^{n-2}\left(X_{1}\right)^{a_{1}-1} \varphi^{n-2}\left(X_{1} X_{0}\right) \\
& =X_{n-1}^{a_{1}-1} X_{n-1} X_{n-2}(\text { by induction }) \\
& =X_{n} \quad(\text { by Lemma } 1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.

Since in particular $X_{r n}=\varphi^{n}\left(X_{0}\right)$, we find

## Corollary 3.

The infinite word $w$ is a fixed point of the homomorphism $\varphi$ defined above.

## III. Some examples.

## Example 1.

Let $\theta=[0, a, a, a, \ldots]=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{a^{2}+4}-a\right)$. Thus $r=1$; we find $p_{1} / q_{1}=1 / a$. Then we find $X_{0}=0$ and $X_{1}=0^{a-1} 1$. Thus $w(\theta)$ is a fixed point of the homomorphism $\varphi$, where $\varphi(0)=0^{a-1} 1, \varphi(1)=0^{a-1} 10$. For $a=1$ this gives the classical Fibonacci word, mentioned in Section I.

Note that $\varphi$ satisfies the equation

$$
\varphi^{2}(0)=\varphi(0)^{a} 0
$$

and so is an "algebraic" homomorphism; see Shallit [1988].

Example 2.
Let $\theta=[0, a, b, a, b, \ldots]=(\sqrt{a b(a b+4)}-a b) / 2 a$. Thus $r=2$; we find $p_{1} / q_{1}=1 / a$ and $p_{2} / q_{2}=b /(a b+1)$. Thus $X_{0}=0, X_{1}=0^{a-1} 1$, and $X_{2}=\left(0^{a-1} 1\right)^{b} 0$. From this, we see that $w(\theta)$ is a fixed point of the homomorphism $\varphi$, where $\varphi(0)=\left(0^{a-1} 1\right)^{b} 0$, $\varphi(1)=\left(0^{a-1} 1\right)^{b} 0^{a} 1$.
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## Postscript. (December 13 1991)

In what must be one of the more remarkable instances of simultaneous discovery of the same theorem, after this manuscript was completed, I learned from J.-P. Allouche of the work of T. C. Brown [1990] and J.-P. Borel and F. Laubie [1990]. These papers contain essentially the same result as I reported above in Theorem 2, and more. (However, I believe my proof of Theorem 2 to be simpler than Brown's.)

Furthemore, Allouche later discovered the paper of Ito and Yasutomi [1990], in which the same result appears. Then, in April 1991, at the "Thémate" Conference, I was given a preprint of Nishioka, Shiokawa, and Tamura [1991], in which the result appears once again!

In May 1991, in conversations with A. D. Pollington, I learned that some of these results can be found, in a somewhat concealed fashion, in a little-known paper of Cohn [1974]. Pollington himself has a paper [1991] on this topic!

I also discovered that Lemma 1 essentially already appeared in an little-known paper of H. J. S. Smith [1876].

Finally, Theorem 2 can be used to greatly simplify the proof of one direction of a beautiful theorem of F. Mignosi [1989].
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