Generating Domain-Specific Visual Language Editors from High-level Tool Specifications

Jia (Jimmy) Liang

University of Waterloo

March 5, 2012

- The language is expressed in a higher abstraction, very close to the idioms and vocabulary of the domain
- Easier learning curve for domain experts
- Declarative and self documenting
- High level optimizations
- High quality and portable code
- Productivity and maintainability

- Design issues including syntax/notation, semantics, and scope
- Less flexible than general-purpose languages
- Cost of implementing the language
- Cost of maintaining the language

Textual vs visual





Figure: Clafer model

Figure: UML diagram

э

- A tool for creating modeling tools
- Implemented as an Eclipse plugin
- Frontend: Pounamu
- Backend: Eclipses Graphical Editing Framework (GEF)



Pounamu • Usable by non-experts and non-programmers

- Low quality GUI for generated tool
- Difficult to integrate with other tools
- GEF Generates commercial quality GUI
 - Easy to integrate with other Eclipse frameworks
 - Requires expert Eclipse developers

How to use Marama



Figure: A family tree by Josef Sbl cz and Mysid



(日) (同) (日) (日) (日)

э

Notation



э



< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > 、

3

Editor



<ロ> <部> < 2> < 2> < 2> < 2> < 2</p>

æ

Competitors Pounamu, MVC, Unidraw, COAST, HotDoc, GEF, Meta-MOOSE, JViews, Escalante, Tcl/Tk, Suite, Amulet, Vampire, DiaGen, VisPro, JComposer, PROGRES, DSLTools, KOGGE, MetaEdit+, MOOT, GME, MetaEnv, IPSEN, GMF, Merlin

Marama Multi-view, live, rapid development, high level, flexible integration, expressive visual language.

Key requirements for domain-specific visual language tools that we and others have identified include having an underlying model shared by all diagrams with a well-defined meta-model

Model The University of Waterloo

- View 1 Enrollment office views a submodel containing every students
- View 2 Professor views a submodel containing students enrolled in his/her classes and but the name is the only readable attribute



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

3

- Express constraints where Marama is not expressive enough
- Code generation
- Native Pounamu event handlers are supported via adapters and sandboxing.

- Generalizing visual framework for specifying event based systems
- Integration with Visual Studio

Rather than writing process descriptions in a textual scripting language like BPEL4WS, most users would prefer to graphically specify the web services and their composition to form a new business process.

- When is a textual language preferable?
- When is a graphical language preferable?

How useful is a modeling tool without code generation?

æ

Why is Marama not widely used?

@▶ < ≣

æ