

CS886 - Affective Computing

Jesse Hoey
School of Computer Science
University of Waterloo
DC3613
jhoey@cs.uwaterloo.ca

September 5, 2024

Emotions and Intelligent Computers

1997: Rosalind Picard in *Affective Computing*



“This book proposes that we give computers the ability to recognize, express and in some case ‘have’ emotions. Is this not absurd?”

Now:

- ▶ IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing
- ▶ International Conference on Affective Computing and Intelligent Interaction (ACII)
 - <https://www.acii-conf.net/2024/>
- ▶ Increasing awareness that emotions play a significant role in human intelligence
- ▶ but, still don't have “emotional machines” - why not?

Objectives of the Course

- ▶ Study basic theories of emotion: socio-cultural and psychological
- ▶ Study existing computational models of emotion, both socio-cultural and psychological
- ▶ Learn how emotions are fundamental to human interaction and intelligence
- ▶ Investigate how AI systems can make use of emotions to provide better interactions with humans

Key Messages:

1. Emotion **motivates** humans.
2. Emotion is **cultural and social (group oriented)**
3. AI for human interactive systems **needs emotion**
4. Emotions are strongly connected to **ethics** and **morality**
5. For emotionally aware AI, make sure you **get it right for your target users.**

Course Outline

- ▶ Week 1: Introduction - what is emotion?
- ▶ Weeks 2-3: Cultural/Social theories of Emotion/Culture
 - ▶ Henrich
 - ▶ Douglas
 - ▶ Lakoff
 - ▶ Lawler
- ▶ Week 4: Psychological Theories of Emotion
 - ▶ Universal/Categorical
 - ▶ Dimensional
 - ▶ Rational and Cultural
- ▶ Weeks 5-9: Computational Modeling
 - ▶ Signals and Affective Computing
 - ▶ Socio-Cultural Models
 - ▶ Vaisey-Valentino, Bales
 - ▶ Ridgeway
 - ▶ Affect Control Theory
 - ▶ BayesACT
- ▶ Weeks 10-12: Student presentations

- ▶ Seth Winward (Psychology) - psychological study of emotions
- ▶ Matthew Silk (Philosophy) - ethics
- ▶ Sam Johnson (Philosophy) - decision making
- ▶ Annika Hillebrandt (Psychology) - ethics in the workplace
- ▶ Roxanne Itier (Psychology) - neuroscience of emotion
- ▶ Clara Colombetto (Psychology) - LLMs

Course Structure

- ▶ 3 hours/week
- ▶ Weeks 1-9 (approx): 8-9 lectures on major topics by instructor
- ▶ Invited Lectures (6)
- ▶ Weeks 10-12: student presentations (10-15 minutes each)
- ▶ Independent study (no class) Sept 17th and Sept 26th
- ▶ Reading summaries
(100-200 words 1x per lecture for 6 lectures of your choice)
- ▶ Project
- ▶ Student presentations + summaries 2x
- ▶ Assessment:
 - ▶ Project (35%: 5% proposal, 30% project)
 - ▶ Presentation (1 talk 15% + 2 summaries 10% = 25%)
 - ▶ Summaries (6x5%=30%)
 - ▶ Participation (10%)
- ▶ No prerequisites - all welcome!

Project Details

- ▶ Individual project
- ▶ Small groups (2-3 people) OK, but must have a **clear delineation** of roles in the proposal and **approval** by the instructor.
- ▶ Project ideas:
 - ▶ Implemented systems, user studies, conceptual frameworks, theoretical development,
 - ▶ Pick a paper and re-implement it and see if you can improve it
 - ▶ Write an app that uses emotions (e.g. a chatbot!)
 - ▶ Literature reviews may be acceptable (talk to instructor)
- ▶ Proposal: **1 page, 5-10 references**
- ▶ Final Report: **8 pages, correctly formatted 15-20 references**

The project reports will be evaluated on three main criteria, with weights as shown. These are used as guidelines for the instructor when evaluating the work.

- ▶ **Completeness** (50%): does the report state contributions, claims, assumptions, strengths and weaknesses?
- ▶ **Clarity** (30%): is the report clear, readable, and free of spelling and grammar errors, and presented using the required format? If Generative AI is used, it is properly declared?
- ▶ **References** (10%): are the references correctly formatted, complete (e.g. including page numbers, book titles, years, etc), and are the guidelines for citing wikipedia and other online content respected?
- ▶ **Originality** (10%): does the report uncover something novel?

Academic Integrity

When writing, follow these simple rules:

1. **ALWAYS** write your own submitted work.
2. **CLEARLY** indicate contributions from anyone else
 - ▶ "The sun was shining on the sea..." (Carroll, 1871)
3. Apply Rule 2 **IMMEDIATELY** when writing
4. **DON'T** cut and paste.
5. **NEVER NEVER NEVER NEVER** cite Wikipedia.

Note:

- ▶ Failing to follow Rules 3-4 is undetectable but you are strongly advised to do this.
- ▶ Failing to follow Rules 1-2 will result in **heavy** mark deductions.
- ▶ Failing to follow Rule 5 will result in **immediate failure**.

- ▶ All usage of Generative AI (e.g. ChatGPT, etc) is permitted, but must be declared on all submitted work.
- ▶ Any work using GPT-like tools without declaring will be given zero marks.
- ▶ note that GPT-like tools will generate the uniform content across users. Submitted work will be graded in part on originality, so any work using GPT-like tools may not obtain these marks.