

## Determination of a Covering Number

D. R. Stinson  
Department of Computer Science  
University of Manitoba

### Abstract

The exact covering number  $g(1,3;18) = 76$ .

Let  $t$  be a positive integer. An exact  $t$ -covering of a finite set  $X$  is a family  $B$  of proper subsets of  $X$  (called blocks) which satisfies:

- (1) every  $t$ -subset of  $X$  occurs in exactly one block in  $B$
- (2) every block has size at least  $t$ .

The covering number  $g(1,t;v)$  is defined to be the minimum cardinality of  $B$ , an exact  $t$ -covering of a  $v$ -set  $X$ . (Such a covering is called a minimum covering). The numbers  $g(1,3;v)$  have been investigated in several papers. It is known [2] that  $g(1,3;q^2+1) = q^3 + q$  for all prime powers  $q \geq 3$ . Also,  $g(1,3;v)$  is known for  $4 \leq v \leq 26$ ,  $v \neq 11, 13, 15, 18$ , or 23 (see [2][3]). In this paper we show that  $g(1,3;18) = 76$ .

Lemma 1  $g(1,3;18) \leq 76$ .

Proof: Let  $B$  denote the blocks of a Steiner system  $S(3,6,22)$ . Pick any block  $B$ , and delete all occurrences of four points  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \in B$  in  $B$ , and also delete  $B$ . The  $S(3,6,22)$  has 77 blocks; we obtain an exact 3-covering, of the eighteen points, having 76 blocks.  $\square$

The following is established in [4].

Lemma 2 If an exact  $t$ -covering  $B$  of a  $v$ -set has a block of size  $k$ , then  $|B| \geq 1 + \frac{k-1}{v-2} \binom{k}{2} (v-k)$ .

Corollary 3 If  $g(1,3;v) \leq 75$ , then no block in a minimum covering has size exceeding 6.

Proof: The function  $f(k) = \frac{k-1}{16} \binom{k}{2} (18-k)$ , for  $7 \leq k \leq 17$ , has a minimum value of 87.625, attained at  $k=7$ .  $\square$

Now, let us suppose there exists a perfect 3-cover  $B$  of 18 points with  $b \leq 75$  blocks. By Corollary 3, all blocks have length 3, 4, 5, or 6. Let the blocks of  $B$  be denoted  $L_1, \dots, L_b$ , and let the points be denoted  $x_1, \dots, x_{18}$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq b$ , let  $k_i$  denote the length of  $L_i$ ; for  $1 \leq i \leq 18$ , let  $r_i$  denote the degree of  $x_i$  (the number of blocks in which  $x_i$  occurs).

We have  $\sum_{i=1}^b k_i = \sum_{i=1}^{18} r_i = N$ , say. For  $3 \leq i \leq 6$ , suppose there are  $b_i$  blocks of length  $i$ . Then we have

$$(0) \quad 3b_3 + 4b_4 + 5b_5 + 6b_6 = N.$$

Counting triples, we have

$$6b_3 + 24b_4 + 60b_5 + 120b_6 = 4896,$$

or

$$(1) \quad b_3 + 4b_4 + 10b_5 + 20b_6 = 816.$$

Of course,

$$(2) \quad b_3 + b_4 + b_5 + b_6 = b.$$

We may solve (1) and (2) for  $b_6$  in terms of  $b_3$  and  $b_4$ , obtaining

$$b_6 = \frac{816 - 10b + 9b_3 + 6b_4}{10}.$$

Then, from (0),

$$\begin{aligned} N &= 3b_3 + 4b_4 + 5(b - b_3 - b_4) + \frac{816 - 10b + 9b_3 + 6b_4}{10} \\ &= 4b + \frac{816 - 11b_3 - 4b_4}{10}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $b \leq 75$ , we have

Lemma 4 If there is an exact 3-cover of 18 points having  $b \leq 75$  blocks, then  $N = \sum_{i=1}^b k_i \leq \frac{3816 - 11b_3 - 4b_4}{10}$ .

For any point  $x_i$  of  $X$  let  $D_i = \{B \setminus \{x_i\} : x_i \in B \in \mathcal{B}\}$ . Each  $D_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 18$  is an exact 2-cover of 17 points (i.e. a pairwise balanced design or finite linear space (FLS)). Further, each  $D_i$  has only blocks of length 2, 3, 4 or 5. The following results on finite

linear spaces are useful.

Lemma 5 If a finite linear space has 17 points and no block of length exceeding 15, then (1) it has at least 20 blocks

(2) it can be embedded in a projective plane of order 4 if and only if it has 20 or 21 blocks

(3) it does not have exactly 22 blocks.

Proof: (1) is shown in [3]; (2) in [6]; and (3) in [5].  $\square$

Thus, for any point  $x_i$  in an exact 3-cover of 18 points, the derived linear space  $D_i$  has at least 20 blocks. For  $1 \leq i \leq 18$ , let  $r_i = 20 + \beta_i$ , where  $\beta_i \geq 0$  by the above remark. If  $\delta = \sum_{i=1}^{18} \beta_i$ , then  $N = 360 + \delta$ , so we have

Lemma 6 Under the above hypotheses,  $10\delta + 11b_3 + 4b_4 \leq 216$ .

Now, for any finite linear space  $D$  with 17 points, define  $C(D)$ , the content of  $D$ , to be  $C(D) = 10\ell - 200 + \frac{11\ell_2}{3} + \ell_3$ , where  $D$  has  $\ell$  blocks and  $\ell_i$  blocks of length  $i$ ,  $i \geq 2$ . We have the following

Lemma 7  $\sum_{i=1}^{18} C(D_i) = 10\delta + 11b_3 + 4b_4$ .

Proof:  $\sum_{i=1}^{18} C(D_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{18} [10\ell(D_i) + \frac{11\ell_2(D_i)}{3} + \ell_3(D_i)] - 3600$

$$= 10 \sum_{i=1}^{18} r_i + \frac{11}{3}(3b_3) + 4b_4 - 3600$$

$$= 10N + 11b_3 + 4b_4 - 3600$$

$$= 10\delta + 11b_3 + 4b_4.$$

□

Corollary 8  $\sum_{i=1}^{18} C(D_i) \leq 216$

Let  $F$  denote the family of all finite linear spaces with 17 points which have only blocks of length 2,3,4 or 5. For  $D \in F$ , let  $v_{2,3} = v_{2,3}(D)$  denote the number of points which occur in a block of length 2 or 3.

Lemma 9 There are precisely three  $D \in F$  with  $\ell \leq 21$ , having the following parameters:

FLS	$\ell$	$\ell_2$	$\ell_3$	$\ell_4$	$\ell_5$	C	$v_{2,3}$
$F_1$	20	0	0	16	4	0	0
$F_2$	21	0	6	8	7	16	15
$F_3$	21	1	3	11	6	$16\frac{2}{3}$	11

Proof: By Lemma 5, we know that any such FLS can be embedded in a projective plane of order 4. There are precisely three ways to delete four points from a plane of order 4: all four collinear; three collinear; and no three collinear. These yield, respectively,  $F_1$ ,  $F_3$ , and  $F_2$ . □

We need to explicitly mention one other FLS of  $F$  which we denote by  $F_4$ . Its parameters are  $\ell = 23$ ,  $(\ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4, \ell_5) = (3, 1, 15, 4)$ ,

$C = 42$ , and  $v_{2,3} = 4$ . It can be constructed by replacing any line of length 4 in  $F_1$ , say  $x_1x_2x_3x_4$  by the four lines  $x_1x_2x_3$ ,  $x_1x_4$ ,  $x_2x_4$ , and  $x_3x_4$ . Notice that  $F_4$  does not satisfy condition (2) of the following Lemma.

Lemma 10 Suppose that every  $D \in F$  with  $\ell > 21$  satisfies

(a)  $C(D) \geq 33$ .

Further, suppose that every  $D \in F$  with  $\ell > 21$ , other than  $F_4$ , satisfies

(b)  $(v_{2,3} + 1)C > 216$ .

Then  $g(1,3;18) \geq 76$ .

Proof: First suppose that  $D_i \not\cong F_1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 18$ . Then  $b_5 = \frac{16.18}{5}$  is not an integer, a contradiction. Thus some derived FLS has non-zero content. We may suppose that  $D_1$  has the minimum non-zero content, of all the  $D_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 18$ . We have three cases.

Case (1):  $D_1 \cong F_2$ . We have  $v_{2,3}(D_1) = 15$ , so there are at least 16 points  $x_i$  such that  $D_i$  has non-zero content. By the minimality of  $C(D_1)$  we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{18} C(D_i) \geq 16 \cdot C(D_1) = 256 > 216, \text{ contradicting}$$

Corollary 8.

Case (2):  $D_1 \cong F_3$ . Suppose first that  $D_i \cong F_1, F_2$ , or  $F_3$ , for all  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 18$ . For  $1 \leq j \leq 3$ , let  $a_j$  denote the number of points  $x_i$  such that  $D_i \cong F_j$ . Then  $a_2 = 0$  since case (1) does not hold; so



$a_1 + a_3 = 18$ . Then  $b_5 = ((18-a_3)16+a_3, 11)/5 = 288/5 - a_3$  is not an integer, a contradiction.

Thus there is a point, say  $x_{18}$ , with  $\ell(D_{18}) \geq 22$ . We use property (a) of the hypothesis.

Since  $C(D_{18}) \geq 33$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{18} C(D_i) &\geq v_{2,3} \cdot C(D_1) + C(D_{18}) \\ &\geq 11.16 \frac{2}{3} + 33 = 216 \frac{1}{3} > 216, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

Case (3):  $D_1 \not\cong F_1, F_2$  or  $F_3$ . If  $D_1 \not\cong F_4$ , we may apply property (b) of the hypothesis, containing

$$\sum_{i=1}^{18} C(D_i) \geq (v_{2,3}(D_1) + 1)C(D_1) > 216,$$

a contradiction.

Now suppose  $D_1 \cong F_4$ . We have  $\sum_{i=1}^{18} C(D_i) \geq (v_{2,3}(F_4) + 1) \cdot C(F_4) = 210$ . If  $\sum_{i=1}^{18} C(D_i) < 216$  we must have only five points with non-zero content (since  $6.42 > 216$ ), say  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5$ .

We may suppose that  $x_1x_2x_3x_4$ ,  $x_1x_2x_5$ ,  $x_1x_3x_5$ , and  $x_1x_4x_5$  are four blocks of the cover, since  $D_1 \cong F_4$ . Now  $x_1, \dots, x_5$  are the only points of non-zero content, so the blocks in the cover of length 3 and 4 contains only the points  $x_1, \dots, x_5$ , so  $x_1x_2x_3x_4$  is the only block of length 4. Thus  $D_5 \not\cong F_4$ .

In  $D_5$  we have  $l_2 \geq 3$ ,  $l_3 = 0$ ,  $l \geq 23$ , and  $42 \leq C \leq 48$ . Since  $\sum_{j=2}^5 \binom{j}{2} l_j = 136$ ,  $l_2 + l_3$  is even; so  $l_2 \geq 4$ .

Then  $C \geq 30 + 4 \cdot \frac{11}{3} = 44\frac{2}{3}$ . Since  $C \leq 48$ , we must have  $l_2 = 4$ ,  $l_3 = 0$ , and  $l = 23$ . Solving for  $l_4$  and  $l_5$ , we find they are non-integral. It follows that the hypothesized cover does not exist.  $\square$

The remainder of this section is devoted to showing that conditions (a) and (b) of Lemma 10 hold. Notice that the problem is now reduced to establishing certain facts concerning finite linear spaces.

Lemma 11 A finite linear space  $D \in F$  with  $l > 21$  has  $C(D) \geq 33$ .

Proof: By Lemma 5,  $l \neq 22$ . If  $l \geq 24$ , then  $C \geq 40$ ; thus  $l = 23$ , and  $C = 30 + \frac{11}{3} l_2 + l_3$ . In order that  $C \leq 33$ ,  $l_2 = 0$  and  $l_3 \leq 3$ . We have noted that  $l_2 + l_3$  is even. Thus  $(l_2, l_3) = (0, 0)$  or  $(0, 2)$ .

Given  $l_2$  and  $l_3$ , the system  $\sum_{i=2}^5 \binom{i}{2} l_i = 136$ ,  $\sum_{i=2}^5 l_i = 23$  has a unique solution. If  $(l_2, l_3) = (0, 0)$ , then  $l_5 < 0$ . If  $(l_2, l_3) = (0, 2)$ , then  $(l_2, l_3, l_4, l_5) = (0, 2, 20, 1)$ . However, the packing number  $D(2, 4, 17) = 20$  (see [1]), so  $l_4 + l_5 \leq 20$ . Thus there is no FLS  $D \in F$  with  $l > 21$  and  $C(D) < 33$ .  $\square$

Now, we wish to establish that for all  $D \in F$ ,  $D \not\cong F_4$ ,  $(v_{2,3} + 1) \cdot C > 216$ .

An FLS is said to be triangle-free if there do not exist three blocks of the form  $xy$ ,  $xz$ ,  $yz$ .



Let  $F_\Delta$  denote the collection of FLS  $\{F: F \in F, F \text{ is triangle-free}\}$ . We note that  $F_4 \in F_\Delta$ .

Lemma 12 Suppose that for all  $D \in F_\Delta$  with  $\ell \geq 22$ , other than  $F_4$ ,  $(v_{2,3} + 1) \cdot C > 216$ . Then, for all  $D \in F$  with  $\ell \geq 22$ ,  $D \not\cong F_4$ , We have  $(v_{2,3} + 1) \cdot C > 216$ .

Proof: Let  $D \in F \setminus F_\Delta$  (thus  $D \not\cong F_4$ ). Then  $D$  contains a triangle, say  $x_1x_2, x_2x_3, x_1x_3$ . Let  $D'$  denote the FLS obtained by deleting  $x_1x_2, x_2x_3, x_1x_3$ , and adding the block  $x_1x_2x_3$ . It can easily be seen that  $v_{2,3}(D) = v_{2,3}(D')$  and  $C(D) > C(D')$ . Keep repeating this process as long as possible, obtaining  $D_1 \in F_\Delta$ . Then  $(v_{2,3}(D) + 1) \cdot C(D) > (v_{2,3}(D_1) + 1) \cdot C(D_1)$ . If  $D_1$  has  $\ell \geq 22$  then  $(v_{2,3}(D) + 1) \cdot C(D) > 216$  (even if  $D_1 = F_4$ ). If  $D_1$  has  $\ell \leq 22$  then  $D_1 \cong F_2$  or  $F_3$ , since  $F_1$  contains no blocks of length 3. Now  $(v_{2,3}(F_2) + 1) \cdot C(F_2) > 216$ , so we need only consider the case where  $D_1 \cong F_3$ . Then  $v_{2,3}(D) \geq 11$ , and  $C(D) \geq 30$ , (since  $\ell(D) \geq 23$ ), so  $(v_{2,3}(D) + 1) \cdot C(D) > 216$ . This completes the proof. □

Lemma 13 If  $D \in F_\Delta$  has  $\ell \geq 22$  and  $(v_{2,3} + 1) \cdot C \leq 216$  then

- (i)  $v_{2,3} \leq 5$
- (ii)  $\ell_3 \leq 2$
- (iii)  $\ell_2 \leq 4$

Proof: Recall that  $\ell \geq 23$  and  $C \geq 33$ . Then  $v_{2,3} \leq \left\lfloor \frac{216}{33} \right\rfloor - 1 = 5$ , proving (i).

Next, three blocks of length 3 contain at least six distinct points, since pairs are not repeated.

This proves (ii).

To prove (iii) we use the assumption that  $D$  is triangle-free. Assume  $\ell_2 \geq 5$ ; then  $v_{2,3} \geq 5$ . Since also  $v_{2,3} \leq 5$ , we have  $v_{2,3} = 5$ . Then  $C \geq 30 + 5 \cdot \frac{11}{3} = 47\frac{2}{3}$ , so  $(v_{2,3} + 1) \cdot C > 216$ , a contradiction. Thus  $\ell_2 \leq 4$ .  $\square$

Lemma 14 If  $D \in F_{\Delta}$  has  $\ell \geq 22$ , then  $(v_{2,3} + 1) \cdot C > 216$ , unless  $D \cong F_4$ .

Proof: Assume  $(v_{2,3} + 1) \cdot C \leq 216$ . We have noted that  $\ell \geq 23$ , and  $\ell_4 + \ell_5 \leq D(2,4,17) = 20$ ; thus  $\ell_2 + \ell_3 \geq 3$ . Since  $\ell_2 + \ell_3$  is even,  $\ell_2 + \ell_3 \geq 4$ . Then, by Lemma 13,  $\ell_2 + \ell_3 = 4$  or  $6$ , and  $(\ell_2, \ell_3) = (4,2)$ ,  $(4,0)$ ,  $(3,1)$ , or  $(2,2)$ .

Assume that  $\ell \geq 24$ . Then  $C \geq 40$ , so  $v_{2,3} \leq 4$ . Hence  $\ell_3 \leq 1$ , and  $\ell_2 \geq 3$  ( $\ell_2 + \ell_3 \geq 4$ ). Now  $C \geq 40 + 3 \cdot \frac{11}{3} = 51$ , so  $v_{2,3} \leq 3$ . But  $\ell_2 \geq 3$  also implies that  $v_{2,3} \geq 4$ , since  $D$  is triangle-free. This is a contradiction.

Thus  $\ell = 23$ . Given  $\ell_2$  and  $\ell_3$ , we may uniquely determine  $\ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4$ , and  $\ell_5$ . If  $(\ell_2, \ell_3) = (3,1)$ , then  $D \cong F_4$ . If  $(\ell_2, \ell_3) = (4,0)$  or  $(2,2)$ , then  $\ell_4$  and  $\ell_5$  are non-integral. Finally, if  $(\ell_2, \ell_3) = (4,2)$ , then  $C \geq 30 + 4 \cdot \frac{11}{3} + 2 > 45$ , and  $v_{2,3} \geq 5$ , so  $(v_{2,3} + 1) \cdot C > 216$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Theorem 15  $g(1,3;18) = 76$ .

Proof: The above Lemmata 10, 11, 12, and 14 prove that  $g(1,3;18) \geq 76$ , and Lemma 1 establishes that  $g(1,3;18) \leq 76$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] A. E. Brouwer, Optimal Packings of  $K_4$ 's into a  $K_n$ , Journal of Combinatorial Theory A 25(1979), 278-297.
- [2] A. Hartman, R. C. Mullin, and D. R. Stinson, Exact covering configurations and Steiner systems, Journal of London Math. Soc., to appear.
- [3] R. C. Mullin and D. R. Stinson, Preprint.
- [4] R. G. Stanton and J. G. Kalbfleisch, The  $\lambda$ - $\mu$  problem:  $\lambda = 1$  and  $\mu = 3$ , Proc. Second Chapel Hill Conf. on Combinatorics, Chapel Hill (1972), 451-462.
- [5] D. R. Stinson, The non-existence of certain finite linear spaces, preprint.
- [6] P. de Witte, On the embedding of linear spaces in projective planes of order  $n$ , Trans. Amer. Math Soc. (to appear).