## Excercise

- For query RRR, threshold 11, what are the other 3mers that can generate hits?
$R R R: 15$
$R R Q: 11$
$R Q R: 11$
$Q R R: 11$
$R R K: 12$
$R F R: 12$
$K R R: 12$

|  | A | R | N | D | C | Q | E | G | H | I | L | K | M | F | P | S | T | W | Y | V |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| A | 4 | -1 | -2 | -2 | 0 | -1 | -1 | 0 | -2 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -2 | -1 | 1 | 0 | -3 | -2 | 0 |
| R | -1 | 5 | 0 | -2 | -3 | 1 | 0 | -2 | 0 | -3 | -2 | 2 | -1 | -3 | -2 | -1 | -1 | -3 | -2 | -3 |
| N | -2 | 0 | 6 | 1 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | -3 | -3 | 0 | -2 | -3 | -2 | 1 | 0 | -4 | -2 | -3 |
| D | -2 | -2 | 1 | 6 | -3 | 0 | 2 | -1 | -1 | -3 | -4 | -1 | -3 | -3 | -1 | 0 | -1 | -4 | -3 | -3 |
| C | 0 | -3 | -3 | -3 | 9 | -3 | -4 | -3 | -3 | -1 | -1 | -3 | -1 | -2 | -3 | -1 | -1 | -2 | -2 | -1 |
| Q | -1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -3 | 5 | 2 | -2 | 0 | -3 | -2 | 1 | 0 | -3 | -1 | 0 | -1 | -2 | -1 | -2 |
| E | -1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | -4 | 2 | 5 | -2 | 0 | -3 | -3 | 1 | -2 | -3 | -1 | 0 | -1 | -3 | -2 | -2 |
| G | 0 | -2 | 0 | -1 | -3 | -2 | -2 | 6 | -2 | -4 | -4 | -2 | -3 | -3 | -2 | 0 | -2 | -2 | -3 | -3 |
| H | -2 | 0 | 1 | -1 | -3 | 0 | 0 | -2 | 8 | -3 | -3 | -1 | -2 | -1 | -2 | -1 | -2 | -2 | 2 | -3 |
| I | -1 | -3 | -3 | -3 | -1 | -3 | -3 | -4 | -3 | 4 | 2 | -3 | 1 | 0 | -3 | -2 | -1 | -3 | -1 | 3 |
| L | -1 | -2 | -3 | -4 | -1 | -2 | -3 | -4 | -3 | 2 | 4 | -2 | 2 | 0 | -3 | -2 | -1 | -2 | -1 | 1 |
| K | -1 | 2 | 0 | -1 | -3 | 1 | 1 | -2 | -1 | -3 | -2 | 5 | -1 | -3 | -1 | 0 | -1 | -3 | -2 | -2 |
| M | -1 | -1 | -2 | -3 | -1 | 0 | -2 | -3 | -2 | 1 | 2 | -1 | 5 | 0 | -2 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 1 |
| F | -2 | -3 | -3 | -3 | -2 | -3 | -3 | -3 | -1 | 0 | 0 | -3 | 0 | 6 | -4 | -2 | -2 | 1 | 3 | -1 |
| P | -1 | -2 | -2 | -1 | -3 | -1 | -1 | -2 | -2 | -3 | -3 | -1 | -2 | -4 | 7 | -1 | -1 | -4 | -3 | -2 |
| S | 1 | -1 | 1 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -2 | -2 | 0 | -1 | -2 | -1 | 4 | 1 | -3 | -2 | -2 |
| T | 0 | -1 | 0 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -2 | -2 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -2 | -1 | 1 | 5 | -2 | -2 | 0 |
| W | -3 | -3 | -4 | -4 | -2 | -2 | -3 | -2 | -2 | -3 | -2 | -3 | -1 | 1 | -4 | -3 | -2 | 11 | 2 | -3 |
| Y | -2 | -2 | -2 | -3 | -2 | -1 | -2 | -3 | 2 | -1 | -1 | -2 | -1 | 3 | -3 | -2 | -2 | 2 | 7 | -1 |
| V | 0 | -3 | -3 | -3 | -1 | -2 | -2 | -3 | -3 | 3 | 1 | -2 | 1 | -1 | -2 | -2 | 0 | -3 | -1 | 4 |
| B | -2 | -1 | 3 | 4 | -3 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | -3 | -4 | 0 | -3 | -3 | -2 | 0 | -1 | -4 | -3 | -3 |

S.e $\quad$ -


## How to implement that?

- With BLASTP:
- Build an automaton that reflects all string close to short strings in T (the short sequence)
- Scan $S$ (the longer sequence), looking for matches.
- We do not study the classic ways to match multiple patterns efficiently. If interested, you can read at https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Aho $\% \mathrm{E} 2 \% 80 \%$ 93Corasick algorithm


## A Simpler Way

- There is another way:

1) For every 3-mer, find all "neighboring" 3-mers that, score at least +11 (or whatever). Build these into a data structure NeighborList.
2) Build a hash table H for S of its 3-mers, just like for the nucleotide case
3) For every 3-mer $x$ in T, retrieve all neighbors from NeighborList. For each neighbor, query H to find hits in S .

NeighborList is a small structure: there are only 8000 3-mers.

## Which sequence to index?

- That's actually a tough question.
- Here's a typical scenario:
- S is the human genome (length $n$ )
- $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ is a short protein sequence (length $m_{1}$ )
- $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ is another short sequence (length $m_{2}$ )
- If we're smart, build an index for $S$, once, and then look up the short sequences in it.
- Added time for $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ is more like $\mathrm{O}\left(m_{2}\right)$, not $\mathrm{O}\left(n+m_{2}\right)$.


## More on indexing

- But memory is a concern:
- Indexing the human genome is expensive!
- Oh, wait. No, it isn't, not anymore... you probably should index the longer sequence.
- BLASTN (1990) indexes the query, not the database.
- BLAT (2000) indexes the database, not the query.
- BLASTP also indexes the query.


## Extensions to this idea

- Two-hit BLAST:
- Require two seeds (probably shorter) that are nearer than $\mathcal{N}$ from each other, and base the alignment on their enclosing box.
- Potentially even fewer false positives, but one has to use shorter seeds. There's quite a tradeoff here.


$$
\left|i_{2}-i\right| \leq w
$$

## Wrap-up

- Local alignment slow when sequences are large
- Use 11 consecutive matches as hits
- How these hits are found efficiently
- What to do after hits are found
- Spaced seeds better
- How sensitivity is computed and how optimal seed is found
- How hits are found for spaced seed
- Multiple spaced seed.
- Protein seeds.
- Two hits.

