Recall Polyhedra

A *polyhedron* consists of a finite connected set of (plane) polygons called *faces* such that

1. if two faces intersect it is only at a common vertex or edge
2. every edge of every face is an edge of exactly one other face
3. the faces surrounding each vertex form a single circuit

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Polyhedron
A \textit{polyhedron} consists of a finite connected set of (plane) polygons called \textbf{faces} such that

1. if two faces intersect it is only at a common vertex or edge
2. every edge of every face is an edge of exactly one other face
3. the faces surrounding each vertex form a single circuit

\textbf{NOT Polyhedra}
Polyhedra

dice, Pompei, 1st century

icosahedral die, Roman, 2nd century
Polyhedra

Platonic solids

- Tetrahedron
- Octahedron
- Cube
- Icosahedron
- Dodecahedron

- Cuboctahedron
- Pentagonal orthocupolarotunda
- Polycrystalline morphology
Lord Kelvin’s Bubble Problem

Cells of equal volume with minimum surface area

Kelvin structure, 1887 (truncated octahedra)

Weaire-Phelan structure, 1993

Gabrielli's structure, 2009

2008 Beijing Olympics
Non-convex Polyhedra

convex

non-convex
Maybe later in the course we will talk about unfolding polyhedra.

**Unfolding Polyhedra—Durer 1400’s**

Durer, 1498

snub cube
A **polyhedron** consists of a finite connected set of (plane) polygons called **faces** such that

1. if two faces intersect it is only at a common vertex or edge
2. every edge of every face is an edge of exactly one other face
3. the faces surrounding each vertex form a single circuit

A **tetrahedron** is a polyhedron with 4 triangular faces. (aka a *simplex*)

To **tetrahedralize** a polyhedron means to partition its interior into disjoint tetrahedra whose vertices are vertices of the polyhedron.
Not all polyhedra can be tetrahedralized

Schönhardt 1928

Why no tetrahedralization?

There is no single tetrahedron each vertex rules out one other

A → A'
B → B'
C → C'

We need 4 "independent" vertices.
But no such set.

NP-hard to test if a polyhedron can be tetrahedralized.

On the difficulty of triangulating three-dimensional nonconvex polyhedra
J Ruppert, R Seidel - Discrete & Computational Geometry, 1992 - Springer
https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02187840

A number of different polyhedral decomposition problems have previously been studied, most notably the problem of triangulating a simple polygon. We are concerned with the polyhedron triangulation problem: decomposing a three-dimensional polyhedron into a set ...
The number of tetrahedra in a tetrahedralization is not unique

Example:

Exercise: Show that a cube can be cut into 5 tetrahedra and into 6 tetrahedra.

There are examples where number of tetra. can be $2n - 7$ or $\binom{n-2}{2}$.
Using Steiner points to partition a polyhedron into tetrahedra

Note: the output is no longer combinatorial — we need coordinates for Steiner points

Determining the min number of Steiner points for a given polyhedron is NP-hard.

Determining the minimum number of tetrahedra for a given polyhedron is NP-hard. Even for convex polyhedra! (where min. number of Steiner points is 0)

Can adding Steiner points reduce the number of tetrahedra? Yes.

Exercise: Find an example.

Explore efficient algorithms to approximate the min number of Steiner points or tetrahedra to within some guaranteed ratio.
Using Steiner points to partition a polyhedron into tetrahedra

a lower bound:
There are polyhedra that require Omega($n^2$) Steiner points even to partition into convex pieces. Chazelle, 1980’s.

Cut wedges from a cube so they almost meet in the middle, and their lines form a hyperbolic paraboloid. The lines cut the hyperbolic paraboloid into Theta($n^2$) pieces, pairwise invisible, so Omega($n^2$) convex pieces are needed in any partition.

https://doi.org/10.1137/0213031
Convex partitions of polyhedra: a lower bound and worst-case optimal algorithm
B Chazelle - SIAM Journal on Computing, 1984 - SIAM
The problem of partitioning a polyhedron into a minimum number of convex pieces is known to be NP-hard. We establish here a quadratic lower bound on the complexity of this problem, and we describe an algorithm that produces a number of convex parts within a constant …
a positive result:
Any polyhedron can be partitioned into $O(n^2)$ tetrahedra using $O(n^2)$ Steiner points.
Bern and Eppstein, “Mesh generation and optimal triangulation”, 1995

Idea — a bit like trapezoidization:
- from each edge of the polyhedron, extend a vertical wall up and down.
- pieces are “generalized prisms”
  - vertical sides (each is a trapezoid)
  - one top face, one bottom face
    (not necessarily parallel)

- this gives $O(n^2)$ pieces
- then tetrahedralize these pieces:
  - cut into triangular prisms by triangulating the top and bottom the same way
  - then add one Steiner point in each, making sure that tetrahedra match face-to-face
an approach from meshing (uses Delaunany tetrahedralization, which we’ll cover later on)

https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-29090-7_9

Meshing piecewise linear complexes by constrained Delaunay tetrahedralizations
H Si, K Gärtner - Proceedings of the 14th international meshing ..., 2005 - Springer

We present a method to decompose an arbitrary 3D piecewise linear complex (PLC) into a constrained Delaunay tetrahedralization (CDT).
NEW TOPIC: Convex Hulls

Given points in d-dimensional space, find a good “container” = convex polytope. Many applications, e.g. collision detection, pattern recognition, motion planning . . .

In 2D, imagine putting a rubber band around the points

In 3D, wrap with shrink-wrap

More formally:

In 2D, the **convex hull** of a set of points S is a convex polygon P with vertices in S such that every point of S lies inside. (definition in 3D and higher later on)

https://brilliant.org/wiki/convex-hull/
**Convex Hull Algorithms in 2D**

Almost any algorithmic paradigm will work, so this problem is a great one for Algorithms courses. See [Zurich notes, Chapter 4].

**Incremental Algorithm** — add points one by one in sorted order by x coordinate

**Example**
Incremental Algorithm — add points one by one in sorted order by x coordinate

general situation

We have:
- \( H_{i-1} = \text{CH}(p_1, \ldots, p_{i-1}) \)
as a doubly linked list
- \( p_{i-1} \) is a vertex of \( H_{i-1} \)

We want:
- add \( p_i \) to get \( H_i \)
- \( p_i \) is joined to:
  - \( p_u \) by upper bridge
  - \( p_i \) by lower bridge
**Incremental Algorithm** — add points one by one in sorted order by x coordinate

- starting from $p_{i-1}$ scan forward (clockwise) to find $p_i$

- starting from $p_{i-1}$ scan backward (counterclockwise) to find $p_u$

invariant: the line segment from $p_i$ to the current vertex is outside the CH (true initially for line segment $p_i p_{i-1}$)

How to stop the scan

- if $p_s$ is above line $p_i p_r$ then we have lower bridge $P_e \leftarrow P_r$
- else scan moves to $p_s$
Run time

Adding one point

can take \(\Theta(n)\)

? bound is \(\Theta(n^2)\) ??

Amortized analysis

each input point is added once and deleted at most once at \(O(1)\) cost

So total is \(O(n)\).

+ sort \(O(n \log n)\)

final total \(O(n \log n)\).
Graham’s Algorithm

Another sorting-base approach.
1. Sort the points radially around some point X inside the convex hull.

2. From P_i in clockwise order, repeatedly remove 2nd last point if it forms a reflex angle.

To find X:
- Take average of any 3 non-collinear points.

To sort the points radially around X:
- Use sidedness tests.

Runtime:
- $O(n \log n) + O(n)$
Divide and Conquer Algorithm

Divide the points in two by a vertical line (easy if we sort by x coordinate).
Recurse on each side.
Then combine the two sides.

To combine:
Find upper & lower bridges
Start with segment from max x on left
To min x on right
Walk up to get upper bridge
"down" lower
Time is O (# points that are removed)
(similar to incremental)
Divide and Conquer Algorithm

Runtime

Combine step $O(n)$
Sort $O(n \log n)$

$$T(n) = 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + cn$$

(recall from merge sort or prove by induction).

Combine step.
Lower Bound

There is an Omega(n log n) lower bound on computing the ordered convex hull in 2D on a RAM (Random Access Machine) with +,-,x. (recall sorting is Ω(n log n) on that model).

**Proof.** Reduce sorting to finding the convex hull.

map points to 2D

map \( x \rightarrow (x, x^2) \)

input points we want to sort

Note: even finding the (unsorted) CH vertices takes n log n (needs different proof)
Summary

- partitioning polyhedra

- algorithms for convex hull in the plane
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