

CS 886: Multiagent Systems

Kate Larson

Cheriton School of Computer Science
University of Waterloo

September 8, 2008

Outline

- 1 Introduction
 - Introduction
 - Two Communities
- 2 This Course
- 3 Examples
 - Selfish Routing
 - London Bus System

Outline

- 1 Introduction
 - Introduction
 - Two Communities
- 2 This Course
- 3 Examples
 - Selfish Routing
 - London Bus System

Introduction

- Kate Larson
 - Faculty Member in CS
 - Member of the AI research group
- Research Interests: Multiagent Systems
 - Strategic Reasoning
 - bounded rationality/limited resources
 - argumentation
 - Electronic market design

Introduction

- Focus of this course is *self-interested* Multiagent Systems
 - aka competitive Multiagent Systems
- Study of autonomous agents
 - Diverging information
 - Diverging interests
- Issues
 - Cooperation
 - Coordination
 - Overcoming self-interest of agents in order to achieve system-wide goals

Introduction

- Growth in settings where there are multiple *self-interested* interacting parties
 - Networks
 - Electronic markets
 - Game playing...
- To act optimally, participants must take into account how other agents are going to act
- We want to be able to
 - Understand the ways in which agents will interact and behave
 - Design systems so that agents behave the way we would like

Introduction

- Growth in settings where there are multiple *self-interested* interacting parties
 - Networks
 - Electronic markets
 - Game playing...
- To act optimally, participants must take into account how other agents are going to act
- We want to be able to
 - Understand the ways in which agents will interact and behave
 - Design systems so that agents behave the way we would like

Introduction

- Growth in settings where there are multiple *self-interested* interacting parties
 - Networks
 - Electronic markets
 - Game playing...
- To act optimally, participants must take into account how other agents are going to act
- We want to be able to
 - Understand the ways in which agents will interact and behave
 - Design systems so that agents behave the way we would like

Outline

- 1 Introduction
 - Introduction
 - Two Communities
- 2 This Course
- 3 Examples
 - Selfish Routing
 - London Bus System

Two Communities

Economics

- Traditional emphasis on game theoretic rationality
- Describing how agents should behave
- Multiple self-interested agents

Computer Science

- Traditional emphasis on computational and informational constraints
- Building agents
- Individual or cooperative agents

New Research Problems

- How do we use game theory and mechanism design in computer science settings?
- How do we resolve conflicts between game-theoretic and computational constraints?
- Development of new theories and methodologies

Explosion of Research

Explosion of research in the area (Algorithmic game theory, computational mechanism design, Distributed algorithmic mechanism design, computational game theory,...)

- Papers appearing in AAI, AAMAS, UAI, NIPS, PODC, SIGCOMM, INFOCOMM, SODA, STOC, FOCS, ...
- Papers by CS researchers appearing in Games and Economic Behavior, Journal of Economic Theory, Econometrica,...
- Numerous workshops and meetings,...

This Course

- Introduction to game theory, social choice, mechanism design
- Study how they are used in computer science (in particular in AI)
- Study computational issues that arise

Course structure

- Introductory lectures
- Current research papers

This Course

- Introduction to game theory, social choice, mechanism design
- Study how they are used in computer science (in particular in AI)
- Study computational issues that arise

Course structure

- Introductory lectures
- Current research papers

Prerequisites

- **No formal prerequisites**
- Students should be comfortable with mathematical proofs
- Some familiarity with probability
- Ideally students will have an AI course but I can provide background material when needed
- **I will cover the game theory and mechanism design required**

Grading

- 2-3 assignments on game theory and mechanism design: 10%
- In class presentation(s): 20%
 - Peer-reviewed
- Class participation: 20%
- Research project: 50%

Presentations

Every student is responsible for presenting a research paper in class

- Short survey + a critique
- Everyone in class will provide feedback on the presentation
- Marks given on coverage of material + organization + presentation

Class Participation

You must participate!

- Before each class (before 6:00 am the day of the presentation) you must submit a review of one of the papers being discussed
 - What is the main contribution?
 - Is it important? Why?
 - What assumptions are made?
 - What applications might arise from the results?
 - How can it be extended?
 - What was unclear?
 - ...

Projects

The goal of the project is to develop a deep understanding of a topic related to the course.

- The topic is open
 - Theoretical, experimental, *in-depth* literature review,...
 - Can be related to your own research
 - If you have trouble coming up with a topic, come and talk to me
- Proposals due October 20
- Final projects due December 1st¹
- Students will present projects in class

¹I will likely be flexible with this.

Projects

The goal of the project is to develop a deep understanding of a topic related to the course.

- The topic is open
 - Theoretical, experimental, *in-depth* literature review,...
 - Can be related to your own research
 - If you have trouble coming up with a topic, come and talk to me
- Proposals due October 20
- Final projects due December 1st¹
- Students will present projects in class

¹I will likely be flexible with this.

Projects

The goal of the project is to develop a deep understanding of a topic related to the course.

- The topic is open
 - Theoretical, experimental, *in-depth* literature review,...
 - Can be related to your own research
 - If you have trouble coming up with a topic, come and talk to me
- Proposals due October 20
- Final projects due December 1st¹
- Students will present projects in class

¹I will likely be flexible with this.

Projects

The goal of the project is to develop a deep understanding of a topic related to the course.

- The topic is open
 - Theoretical, experimental, *in-depth* literature review,...
 - Can be related to your own research
 - If you have trouble coming up with a topic, come and talk to me
- Proposals due October 20
- Final projects due December 1st¹
- Students will present projects in class

¹I will likely be flexible with this.

Projects

The goal of the project is to develop a deep understanding of a topic related to the course.

- The topic is open
 - Theoretical, experimental, *in-depth* literature review,...
 - Can be related to your own research
 - If you have trouble coming up with a topic, come and talk to me
- Proposals due October 20
- Final projects due December 1st¹
- Students will present projects in class

¹I will likely be flexible with this.

Other Information

- Class times: Monday-Wednesday 10:00-11:30
- Office Hours: By appointment (just send me email or talk to me after class to set up an appointment)
- Course website
 - `http://www.cs.uwaterloo.ca/~klarson/teaching/F08-886`

Outline

- 1 Introduction
 - Introduction
 - Two Communities
- 2 This Course
- 3 Examples**
 - **Selfish Routing**
 - London Bus System

Selfish Routing

- We want to find the least-cost route from S to T .
- Costs are private information – we do not know them
- We do know that agents (nodes) are interested in maximizing revenue
- How can we use this to figure out the least-cost route?

Outline

- 1 Introduction
 - Introduction
 - Two Communities
- 2 This Course
- 3 Examples**
 - Selfish Routing
 - London Bus System**

London Bus System²

- 5 million passengers daily
- 7500 buses
- 700 routes
- The system has been privatized since 1997 by using competitive tendering
- *Idea*: Run an auction to allocate routes to companies

²As of April 2004

Auction Protocol

- Let G be set of all routes, I be the set of bidders
- Agent i submits bid $v_i(S)$ for all bundles $S \subseteq G$
- Compute allocation S^* to maximize sum of reported bids

$$V^*(I) = \max_{(S_1, \dots, S_n)} \sum_i v_i(S_i)$$

- Compute best allocation without each agent

$$V^*(I \setminus i) = \max_{(S_1, \dots, S_n)} \sum_{j \neq i} v_j^*(S_j)$$

- Allocate each agent S_i^* , each agent pays

$$P(i) = v_i^*(S_i^*) - [V^*(I) - V^*(I \setminus i)]$$

Auction Protocol

- Let G be set of all routes, I be the set of bidders
- Agent i submits bid $v_i(S)$ for all bundles $S \subseteq G$
- Compute allocation S^* to maximize sum of reported bids

$$V^*(I) = \max_{(S_1, \dots, S_n)} \sum_i v_i(S_i)$$

- Compute best allocation without each agent

$$V^*(I \setminus i) = \max_{(S_1, \dots, S_n)} \sum_{j \neq i} v_j^*(S_j)$$

- Allocate each agent S_i^* , each agent pays

$$P(i) = v_i^*(S_i^*) - [V^*(I) - V^*(I \setminus i)]$$

Auction Protocol

- Let G be set of all routes, I be the set of bidders
- Agent i submits bid $v_i(S)$ for all bundles $S \subseteq G$
- Compute allocation S^* to maximize sum of reported bids

$$V^*(I) = \max_{(S_1, \dots, S_n)} \sum_i v_i(S_i)$$

- Compute best allocation without each agent

$$V^*(I \setminus i) = \max_{(S_1, \dots, S_n)} \sum_{j \neq i} v_j^*(S_j)$$

- Allocate each agent S_i^* , each agent pays

$$P(i) = v_i^*(S_i^*) - [V^*(I) - V^*(I \setminus i)]$$

Auction Protocol

- Let G be set of all routes, I be the set of bidders
- Agent i submits bid $v_i(S)$ for all bundles $S \subseteq G$
- Compute allocation S^* to maximize sum of reported bids

$$V^*(I) = \max_{(S_1, \dots, S_n)} \sum_i v_i(S_i)$$

- Compute best allocation without each agent

$$V^*(I \setminus i) = \max_{(S_1, \dots, S_n)} \sum_{j \neq i} v_j^*(S_j)$$

- Allocate each agent S_i^* , each agent pays

$$P(i) = v_i^*(S_i^*) - [V^*(I) - V^*(I \setminus i)]$$

London Bus System

- Mechanism: Generalized Vickrey Auction
 - Specifies the rules
 - Describes how outcome will be determined
- Strategies
 - Policies which specify what actions to take
 - Agents are self-interested and rational
- GVA is efficient and strategy-proof

Computational Issues

- Winner determination problem: Select bids to maximize sum of reported values
 - Maximum weighted set packing (NP-hard)
 - Solve this problem $I + 1$ times
- Agent valuation problem
- Communication complexity
 - Each agent has to communicate 2^{700} bids to the auctioneer

Computational Issues

- Winner determination problem: Select bids to maximize sum of reported values
 - Maximum weighted set packing (NP-hard)
 - Solve this problem $I + 1$ times
- Agent valuation problem
- Communication complexity
 - Each agent has to communicate 2^{700} bids to the auctioneer

Computational Issues

- Winner determination problem: Select bids to maximize sum of reported values
 - Maximum weighted set packing (NP-hard)
 - Solve this problem $I + 1$ times
- Agent valuation problem
- Communication complexity
 - Each agent has to communicate 2^{700} bids to the auctioneer

Computational Issues

- Winner determination problem: Select bids to maximize sum of reported values
 - Maximum weighted set packing (NP-hard)
 - Solve this problem $I + 1$ times
- Agent valuation problem
- Communication complexity
 - Each agent has to communicate 2^{700} bids to the auctioneer