Exercise 3

Problems:

- Show that a simple a conjunction (NLJ) of access paths, the execution depends on the *order* of conjuncts but not on the *parenthesization* of the expression.
- (a) Show that the standard analytic tableau calculus fails to find the rewriting A ∧ (B ∨ C) for the query (A ∧ B) ∨ (A ∧ C) w.r.t. Σ = Ø and S_A = {A, B, C}; why?

(b) Can you think of a way to modify the calculus and/or the way the rewriting problem is presented to the calculus that avoids such problems?

Obesign an interpolation rules for first-order quantifiers and show its correctness. The quantifier rules are (there are two more for ¬∀x.γ and ¬∃x.γ):

$$\frac{S \cup \{\forall x.\gamma(x),\gamma(t)\}}{S \cup \{\forall x.\gamma(x)\}} (\forall) \qquad \qquad \frac{S \cup \{\gamma(c)\}}{S \cup \{\exists x.\gamma(x)\}} (\exists)$$

for *t* an arbitrary term and *c* a fresh (Skolem) constant.

Hint: whether a quantifier is produced in the interpolant will depend on whether *t* occurs elsewhere in (parts of) *S*. What role do the $(\cdot)^{L}/(\cdot)^{R}$ labels play?

Show how updates (insertion/deletion) will be compiled for the *standard design* containing a single ternary table *R* with an additional index on its 2nd attribute.

・ロ・・母・・ヨ・ ・ヨ・ ・ ヨ・ うへで