

Journal of Integer Sequences, Vol. 27 (2024),

On generalized Leonardo *p***-numbers**

Kantaphon Kuhapatanakul and Pongpol Ruankong Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science Kasetsart University Bangkok 10900 Thailand fscikpkk@ku.ac.th fscippru@ku.ac.th

Abstract

We introduce a generalization of Leonardo *p*-numbers, which extends the definition of Leonardo p-numbers given by Tan and Leung, and investigate some of their basic properties. Moreover, we give a companion matrix and derive some identities regarding the numbers.

Introduction 1

The sequence of the Fibonacci numbers <u>A000045</u> $\{F_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is the second-order linear recurrence sequence given by

$$F_0 = 0, F_1 = 1$$
 and $F_{n+1} = F_n + F_{n-1}$ for $n \ge 1$.

One of the generalizations of Fibonacci numbers, given by Stakhov [7], is the Fibonacci *p*-numbers $F_p(n)$, which is defined for positive integers p by

$$F_p(n) = F_p(n-1) + F_p(n-p-1)$$
 for $n > p$

with the initial values $F_p(0) = 0$ and $F_p(1) = F_p(2) = \cdots = F_p(p) = 1$.

Furthermore, Catarino and Borges in [2] introduced the Leonardo sequence $\{Le_n\}_{n>0}$ and derived some of its properties. It is the sequence $\underline{A001595}$ satisfying the recurrence relation

$$\operatorname{Le}_0 = \operatorname{Le}_1 = 1$$
 and $\operatorname{Le}_n = \operatorname{Le}_{n-1} + \operatorname{Le}_{n-2} + 1$ for $n \ge 2$.

The first author and Chobsorn [4] presented the generalized Leonardo sequence and obtained some properties of this sequence. Recently, Tan and Leung [8] have introduced the sequence of the Leonardo *p*-numbers $\{\mathcal{L}_{p,n}\}_{n\geq 0}$ as

$$\mathcal{L}_p(n) = \mathcal{L}_p(n-1) + \mathcal{L}_p(n-p-1) + p \text{ for } n > p$$

with the initial values $\mathcal{L}_p(0) = \mathcal{L}_p(1) = \cdots = \mathcal{L}_p(p) = 1$. When p = 1, the Leonardo *p*-numbers reduce to the Leonardo numbers.

In this paper, we extend the aforementioned definition of the Leonardo p-numbers and derive some properties of the new sequence.

2 Generalized Leonardo *p*-numbers

We begin by defining a sequence of the generalized Leonardo *p*-numbers and establishing its basic properties.

Definition 1. For all fixed pairs of positive integers p and k, define the generalized Leonardo p-sequence $\{\mathcal{L}_{p,k}(n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ by

$$\mathcal{L}_{p,k}(n) = \mathcal{L}_{p,k}(n-1) + \mathcal{L}_{p,k}(n-p-1) + k \text{ for } n > p$$

with the initial values $\mathcal{L}_{p,k}(0) = \mathcal{L}_{p,k}(1) = \cdots = \mathcal{L}_{p,k}(p) = 1.$

Some special cases of the generalized Leonardo *p*-numbers are as follows.

- If k = p, the generalized Leonardo *p*-numbers are the Leonardo *p*-numbers.
- If p = 1, the generalized Leonardo *p*-numbers are the generalized Leonardo numbers.
- If p = 1 and k = 2, this sequence reduces to the sequence A111314.

We compute the first few terms of the sequences $F_2(n)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{2,k}(n)$ for k = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5.

n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
$F_2(n)$	1	1	1	2	3	4	6	9	13	19	28	41	60	88
$\mathcal{L}_{2,1}(n)$	1	1	3	5	7	11	17	25	37	55	81	119	175	257
$\mathcal{L}_{2,2}(n)$	1	1	4	7	10	16	25	37	55	82	121	178	262	385
$\mathcal{L}_{2,3}(n)$	1	1	5	9	13	21	33	49	73	109	161	237	349	513
$\mathcal{L}_{2,4}(n)$	1	1	6	11	16	26	41	61	91	136	201	296	436	641
$\mathcal{L}_{2,5}(n)$	1	1	7	13	19	31	49	73	109	163	241	355	523	769

Table 1: The first 14 terms of $F_2(n)$, $\mathcal{L}_{2,1}(n)$, $\mathcal{L}_{2,2}(n)$, $\mathcal{L}_{2,3}(n)$, $\mathcal{L}_{2,4}(n)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{2,5}(n)$.

The Fibonacci 2-numbers $F_2(n)$ and the generalized Leonardo 2-numbers $\mathcal{L}_{2,2}(n)$ are the sequences <u>A000930</u> and <u>A362255</u>, respectively.

The non-homogeneous recurrence relation of the generalized Leonardo p-numbers can be rewritten as the following homogeneous recurrence relation:

$$\mathcal{L}_{p,k}(n) = \mathcal{L}_{p,k}(n-1) + \mathcal{L}_{p,k}(n-p) - \mathcal{L}_{p,k}(n-2p-1) \quad \text{for } n > 2p.$$

Next, we establish a connection between the generalized Leonardo p-numbers and the Fibonacci p-numbers.

Theorem 2. For positive integers p, k and a nonnegative integer n, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{p,k}(n) = (k+1)F_p(n+1) - k.$$
(1)

Proof. It is easy to check that the identity (1) holds for n = 0, 1, 2, ..., p. Assume that, for some $n \ge p$, the identity (1) holds for all integers m such that $0 \le m \le n$. By the definition and the inductive hypothesis, we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_{p,k}(n+1) = \mathcal{L}_{p,k}(n) + \mathcal{L}_{p,k}(n-p) + k$$

= $(k+1)F_p(n+1) - k + (k+1)F_p(n-p+1) - k + k$
= $(k+1)F_p(n+2) - k$,

which shows that the identity (1) holds for n + 1, thereby proving the theorem.

Theorem 3. For positive integers p, k and a nonnegative integer n, we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} \mathcal{L}_{p,k}(i) = \mathcal{L}_{p,k}(n+p+1) - k(n+1) - 1.$$

Proof. By the definition of $\mathcal{L}_{p,k}(n)$, write

$$\mathcal{L}_{p,k}(i) = \mathcal{L}_{p,k}(i+p+1) - \mathcal{L}_{p,k}(i+p) - k.$$

Summing a telescoping series, we get

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} \mathcal{L}_{p,k}(i) = \mathcal{L}_{p,k}(n+p+1) - \mathcal{L}_{p,k}(p) - k(n+1).$$

Since $\mathcal{L}_{p,k}(p) = 1$, we obtain the desired result.

Theorem 4. Let m and n be nonnegative integers. For positive integers p, k, we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} \mathcal{L}_{p,k}((p+1)i+m) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_{p,k}\left((p+1)n+m+1\right) - kn, & \text{if } 0 \le m < p; \\ \mathcal{L}_{p,k}\left((p+1)(n+1)\right) - k(n+1) - 1, & \text{if } m = p. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since

$$\mathcal{L}_{p,k}(i(p+1)+m) = \mathcal{L}_{p,k}(i(p+1)+m+1) - \mathcal{L}_{p,k}((i-1)(p+1)+m+1) - k,$$

by summing a telescoping series we get

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} \mathcal{L}_{p,k}(i(p+1)+m) = \mathcal{L}_{p,k}\left((p+1)n+m+1\right) - \mathcal{L}_{p,k}(-p+m) - k(n+1).$$

Since $\mathcal{L}_{p,k}(-i) = -k$ for $1 \le i \le p$ and $\mathcal{L}_{p,k}(0) = 1$, the result follows.

Here are some examples of Theorem 4 where p = 2.

(i)
$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} \mathcal{L}_{2,k}(3i) = \mathcal{L}_{2,k}(3n+1) - kn$$

(ii) $\sum_{i=0}^{n} \mathcal{L}_{2,k}(3i+1) = \mathcal{L}_{2,k}(3n+2) - kn$
(iii) $\sum_{i=0}^{n} \mathcal{L}_{2,k}(3i+2) = \mathcal{L}_{2,k}(3n+3) - k(n+1) - 1.$

Moreover, substituting k = p in Theorem 2, Theorem 3 and Theorem 4, we obtain the identities of Theorem 1, Proposition 2 and Theorem 2, in [8], respectively.

3 Main results

In this section, we introduce a companion matrix of the generalized Leonardo *p*-numbers for $p \geq 2$ (for the case p = 1, see [4, page 4]). For a given integer $p \geq 2$, let H(p) consist of all those $(2p + 1)^{\text{th}}$ order recurrence sequences $\{\mathcal{H}_p(n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of real numbers satisfying the relation

$$\mathcal{H}_p(n) = \mathcal{H}_p(n-1) + \mathcal{H}_p(n-p) - \mathcal{H}_p(n-2p-1).$$

Note that the sequences $\mathcal{L}_{p,k}(n)$ are elements of H(p).

Two significant sequences A_p and B_p in H(p) are defined with the following initial values:

(i) $A_p(1) = A_p(2) = \dots = A_p(p) = 1$ and $A_p(0) = A_p(-1) = \dots = A_p(-p) = 0$,

(i) $B_p(1) = B_p(2) = \cdots = B_p(p) = 1$, $B_p(0) = B_p(-1) = \cdots = B_p(-p+2) = 0$, $B_p(-p+1) = 1$ and $B_p(-p) = 0$.

We first derive a relationship between the sequences F_p and A_p .

Theorem 5. Let n be a positive integer. For positive integers p, we have

$$F_p(n) = A_p(n) - A_p(n-p).$$
 (2)

Proof. Observe that

$$F_p(n) = \begin{cases} A_p(n), & \text{if } 0 \le n \le p; \\ A_p(n) - 1, & \text{if } p < n \le 2p. \end{cases}$$

So the identity (2) holds for all integers n = 0, 1, 2, ..., 2p. Now, assume that for some integer $n \ge 2p$, the identity (2) holds for all integers m such that $0 \le m \le n$. By the definition and the inductive hypothesis,

$$A_{p}(n+1) - A_{p}(n-p+1) = A_{p}(n) + A_{p}(n-p+1) - A_{p}(n-2p) - A_{p}(n-p+1)$$

= $A_{p}(n) - A_{p}(n-p) + A_{p}(n-p) - A_{p}(n-2p)$
= $F_{p}(n) + F_{p}(n-p)$
= $F_{p}(n+1)$,

showing that the identity (2) holds for n + 1.

Consequently, we can write $A_p(n)$ as a sum of the Fibonacci *p*-numbers.

Corollary 6. Let j be an integer such that $0 \le j < p$. Then

$$A_p(mp+j) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} F_p(ip+j).$$
 (3)

Some special cases of the sequences A_p and B_p and their first few terms are given in the tables.

• For p = 2, the first 16 terms of $A_2(n)$ and $B_2(n)$ are

n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
$A_2(n)$	1	1	2	3	5	7	11	16	24	35	52	76	112	164	241	353
$B_2(n)$	1	1	2	2	4	5	8	11	17	24	36	52	77	112	165	241

• For p = 3, the first 17 terms of $A_3(n)$ and $B_3(n)$ are

n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
$A_3(n)$	1	1	1	2	3	4	6	8	11	16	22	30	42	58	80	111	153
$B_3(n)$	1	1	1	2	2	3	5	6	8	12	16	22	31	42	58	81	111

• For p = 4, the first 18 terms of $A_4(n)$ and $B_4(n)$ are

n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
$A_4(n)$	1	1	1	1	2	3	4	5	7	9	12	16	22	29	38	50	67	89
$B_4(n)$	1	1	1	1	2	2	3	4	6	7	9	12	17	22	29	38	51	67

The sequences A_2 , A_4 , B_3 and B_4 are the sequences <u>A023435</u>, <u>A233522</u>, <u>A017819</u> and <u>A017830</u>, respectively.

Some additional properties of the sequences A_p and B_p are given below. The proof is a straightforward induction and shall be omitted.

Proposition 7. Let n be a nonnegative integer. Then

$$(i) \ A_p(n) = \begin{cases} A_p(n-1) + A_p(n-p-1) + 1, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{p}; \\ A_p(n-1) + A_p(n-p-1), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
$$(ii) \ B_p(n) = \begin{cases} B_p(n-1) + B_p(n-p-1) + 1, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{p}; \\ B_p(n-1) + B_p(n-p-1) - 1, & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{p}; \\ B_p(n-1) + B_p(n-p-1), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Next, we derive a relationship between the sequences A_p and B_p .

Proposition 8. Let $n \ge 0$ be an integer. Then

$$A_p(n) = \begin{cases} B_p(n+1) - 1, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}; \\ B_p(n+1), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(4)

Proof. It is easy to see that the base cases of the identity (4) hold. Now assume that, for some integer $n \ge 2p$, the identity (4) holds for all integers m such that $0 \le m \le n$. By the definition and the inductive hypothesis, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A_p(n+1) &= A_p(n) + A_p(n-p+1) - A_p(n-2p) \\ &= \begin{cases} B_p(n+1) - 1 + B_p(n-p+2) - B_p(n-2p+1) + 1, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}; \\ B_p(n+1) + B_p(n-p+2) - 1 - B_p(n-2p+1), & \text{if } n \equiv -1 \pmod{p}; \\ B_p(n+1) + B_p(n-p+2) - B_p(n-2p), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} B_p(n+2) - 1, & \text{if } n+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}; \\ B_p(n+2), & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

thereby proving the theorem.

Now we proceed to the main result. For $n \ge p \ge 2$, define the $(2p+1) \times (2p+1)$ companion matrix $Q_p = [q_{ij}]$ where

$$q_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = 1, p \text{ and } j = 1, \text{ and } i = j + 1; \\ -1, & \text{if } i = 2p + 1, j = 1; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For instance, the matrices Q_p for p = 2, 3, 4 are as follows: $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$$Q_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad Q_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$Q_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Theorem 9. Let n be a nonnegative integer. Then

$$Q_{p}^{n} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{p}(n+1) & A_{p}(n) & A_{p}(n-1) & \cdots & A_{p}(n-2p+1) \\ B_{p}(n-p+2) & B_{p}(n-p+3) & B_{p}(n-p+4) & \cdots & B_{p}(n-3p+2) \\ B_{p}(n-p+3) & B_{p}(n-p+4) & B_{p}(n-p+5) & \cdots & B_{p}(n-3p+3) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ B_{p}(n) & B_{p}(n-1) & B_{p}(n-2) & \cdots & B_{p}(n-2p) \\ -A_{p}(n-p) & -A_{p}(n-p-1) & A_{p}(n-p-2) & \cdots & A_{p}(n-3p) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -A_{p}(n-1) & -A_{p}(n-2) & A_{p}(n-3) & \cdots & A_{p}(n-2p-1) \\ -A_{p}(n) & -A_{p}(n-1) & A_{p}(n-2) & \cdots & A_{p}(n-2p) \end{bmatrix}$$

Proof. The case n = 1 follows from the initial values of the sequences A_p and B_p . Write

$$Q_p^{n+1} = Q_p^n Q_p$$

By the inductive hypothesis and the definitions of A_p and B_p , a straightforward matrix multiplication shows that the result holds for n + 1.

For positive integers m, define the $(2p+1) \times (2p+1)$ matrix $R_{p,m}$ by

$$R_{p,m} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{H}_{p}(m) & \mathcal{H}_{p}(m-1) & \mathcal{H}_{p}(m-2) & \cdots & \mathcal{H}_{p}(m-2p) \\ \mathcal{H}_{p}(m-1) & \mathcal{H}_{p}(m-2) & \mathcal{H}_{p}(m-3) & \cdots & \mathcal{H}_{p}(m-2p-1) \\ \mathcal{H}_{p}(m-2) & \mathcal{H}_{p}(m-3) & \mathcal{H}_{p}(m-4) & \cdots & \mathcal{H}_{p}(m-2p-2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathcal{H}_{p}(m-2p) & \mathcal{H}_{p}(m-2p-1) & \mathcal{H}_{p}(m-2p-2) & \cdots & \mathcal{H}_{p}(m-4p) \end{bmatrix}$$

where \mathcal{H}_p is an element of H(p).

Theorem 10. Let $n \ge 0$ be an integer. For every positive integer m, we have

$$R_{p,m}Q_p^n = R_{p,m+n}. (5)$$

Proof. The case n = 0 is trivial. Now assume that the identity (5) is true for an integer $n \ge 0$. Since

$$R_{p,m}Q_p^{n+1} = \left(R_{p,m}Q_p^n\right)Q_p$$

by the inductive hypothesis and the definition of \mathcal{H}_p , a straightforward matrix multiplication shows that the result holds for n + 1.

Equating the (1, 1)-entry on both sides of the equation (5), we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 11. Let m, n > 0 be two integers. For a sequence $\mathcal{H}_p \in H(p)$, we have

$$\mathcal{H}_p(m+n) = \mathcal{H}_p(m)A_p(n+1) + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \mathcal{H}_p(m-i)B_p(n-p+i+1) - \sum_{i=0}^p \mathcal{H}_p(m-p-i)A_p(n-p+i).$$

Note that, since $\mathcal{L}_{p,k}, A_p, B_p \in H(p)$, the identity of Corollary 11 holds for each of these sequences as well.

Lastly, we derive the analogous version of Honsberger's formula for the generalized Leonardo p-numbers.

Lemma 12. Let n be a positive integer. Then

$$(k+1)\sum_{i=0}^{p} F_p(n+i) = \mathcal{L}_{p,k}(n+2p-1) + k.$$

Proof. Using the sum of Fibonacci *p*-numbers formula (see, e.g., [7])

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} F_p(i) = F_p(n+p+1) - 1,$$

we get that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{p} F_p(n+i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+p} F_p(i) - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} F_p(i)$$
$$= F_p(n+2p+1) - F_p(n+p)$$
$$= F_p(n+2p).$$

Using Theorem 2, we obtain

$$(k+1)\sum_{i=0}^{p} F_p(n+i) = (k+1)F_p(n+2p) = \mathcal{L}_{p,k}(n+2p-1) + k,$$

as desired.

Theorem 13. Let m and n be two positive integers. Then

$$\mathcal{L}_{p,k}(m)\mathcal{L}_{p,k}(n+1) + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \mathcal{L}_{p,k}(m-j)\mathcal{L}_{p,k}(n-p+i) = (k+1)\mathcal{L}_{p,k}(m+n+1) - k(\mathcal{L}_{p,k}(m+p) + \mathcal{L}_{p,k}(n+p+1)) + pk^{2} + k.$$

Proof. By Theorem 2, write

$$\mathcal{L}_{p,k}(m)\mathcal{L}_{p,k}(n) = (k+1)^2 F_p(m+1)F_p(n+1) - k(k+1)(F_p(m+1) + F_p(n+1)) + k^2.$$

Applying Lemma 12 and Honsberger's formula for the Fibonacci *p*-numbers [9], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{p,k}(m)\mathcal{L}_{p,k}(n+1) + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \mathcal{L}_{p,k}(m-j)\mathcal{L}_{p,k}(n-p+i) \\ &= (k+1)^{2} \left(F_{p}(m+1)F_{p}(n+2) + \sum_{i=1}^{p} F_{p}(m-i+1)F_{p}(n-p+i+1) \right) \\ &- k(k+1) \left(F_{p}(m+1) + F_{p}(n+2) + \sum_{i=1}^{p} F_{p}(m-i+1)F_{p}(n-p+i+1) \right) \\ &+ (p+1)k^{2} \\ &= (k+1)^{2}F_{p}(m+n+2) - k(k+1) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{p} F_{p}(m-i+1) + \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} F_{p}(n-p+i+1) \right) \\ &+ (p+1)k^{2} \\ &= (k+1)(\mathcal{L}_{p,k}(m+n+1) + k) - k \left(\mathcal{L}_{p,k}(m+p) + \mathcal{L}_{p,k}(n+p+1) + 2k \right) \\ &+ (p+1)k^{2} \\ &= (k+1)\mathcal{L}_{p,k}(m+n+1) - k(\mathcal{L}_{p,k}(m+p) + \mathcal{L}_{p,k}(n+p+1)) + pk^{2} + k, \end{aligned}$$
as desired.

as desired.

Substuting k = p in Theorem 13 and using Lemma 12, we obtain Theorem 1 of [8].

Acknowledgment 4

The authors are truly grateful to the anonymous referee for his/her helpful comments and suggestions.

References

[1] Y. Alp and E. G. Kocer, Some properties of Leonardo numbers, Konuralp J. Math. 9 (2021), 183-189.

- [2] P. Catarino and A. Borges, On Leonardo numbers, Acta Math. Univ. Comenian. (N.S.) 89 (2019), 75–86.
- [3] P. Catarino and A. Borges, A note on incomplete Leonardo numbers, Integers 20 (2020), #A43.
- [4] K. Kuhapatanakul and J. Chobsorn, On the generalized Leonardo numbers, Integers 22 (2022), #A48.
- [5] A. G. Shannon, A note on generalized Leonardo numbers, Notes Number Theory Discrete Math. 25 (2019), 97–101.
- [6] N. J. A. Sloane et al., The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, 2024. Available at https://oeis.org.
- [7] A. P. Stakhov, Fibonacci matrices, a generalization of the Cassini formula, and a new coding theory, *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **30** (2006), 56–66.
- [8] N. Tuglu, E. G. Kocer, and A. Stakhov, Bivariate Fibonacci like p-polynomials, Appl. Math. Comput. 217 (2011), 10239–10249.
- [9] E. Tan and H. H. Leung, On Leonardo *p*-numbers, *Integers* 23 (2023), #A7.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11B37; Secondry 11B39. Keywords: Leonardo number, Fibonacci number, Leonardo p-number.

(Concerned with sequences <u>A000045</u>, <u>A000930</u>, <u>A001595</u>, <u>A017819</u>, <u>A017830</u>, <u>A023435</u>, <u>A111314</u>, <u>A233522</u>, and <u>A362255</u>.)

Received October 2 2023; revised versions received October 9 2023; March 18 2024; March 21 2024. Published in *Journal of Integer Sequences*, April 11 2024.

Return to Journal of Integer Sequences home page.